

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
3/16/2021 9:13:02	March 9, 2021	Selection of Legal Firms	Sharon Edgar	86004	self	I appreciate you discussing the applicants for Executive Director in a public meeting. You artfully kept their identities confidential, and it was reassuring to see the Commissioners working well together. I also appreciate you interviewing the top Executive Director candidates in public. Thank you so much for your work on the Independent Redistricting Commission.
3/16/2021 9:13:25	March 9, 2021	IV Executive Director interviews	Laura Huenneke	86004	self	Thank you for your transparency in discussions and for your acceptance of broad public input at every stage. I am writing to express my deep concerns about one of the candidates you are considering for the Executive Director position, Brian Schmitt. I have seen reports that Mr. Schmitt was paid a large lump sum from (then) Senator McSally's campaign, for unspecified services. While working on or contributing to a campaign may not be an explicit basis for exclusion from consideration, it does raise some issues about one's underlying commitment to the basic principles of our independent redistricting effort. And a payment of more than \$50,000, without transparency about what services were performed, is quite troubling. At the very least it gives the public some reason to be uneasy about Mr. Schmitt's ability to be objective, fair, and transparent in managing the RC's work. At worst it would give some reason to be quite cynical and distrusting of his motives - something the Commission does not need as it proceeds with its work. As an attentive member of the voting public in the state, I would much prefer to see an Executive Director who has direct experience with how elections are managed on behalf of the public, or perhaps prior experience with the redistricting process itself.
3/16/2021 9:14:17	March 9, 2021	IV, V	Andrea Varela	85122	Self	I would like to thank the commission for their public service and the work they are doing. I believe in a transparent process with public input and the commission has shown that they can do that; I hope the commission continues to encourage public engagement. In terms of the Executive Director, this person should be someone that can lead the commission in an independent fashion. I feel that the ED should be knowledgeable about the redistricting process and should have the qualifications to help overcome the challenges that we are facing this cycle, including the pandemic and delayed Census data. They must have experience working with Arizona's diverse communities as well as experience working with the public. This should be someone who will be able to hit the ground running. Thank you.
3/16/2021 9:15:53	March 9, 2021	Selection of Law Firms	Sharon Edgar	86004	self	Please explain why you went into executive session on March 9, 2021. There was some very painful audio feedback during the discussion of the options for selecting a law firm, and whether to go into executive session. At one point it seemed that you wanted to discuss law firms that had responded to your RFP. Then, it seemed that you wanted to discuss criteria, and rank those criteria, which should be public information. Also, at the March 2, 2021 meeting, the Commission requested a presentation from the Office of Procurement on how the Commission should vet a law firm not on the SPO's list. If the Commission decides to pursue Option #3, such a presentation would promote respect and confidence in the selection process.
3/16/2021 9:18:07	March 9, 2021	Exec Dire selection process	María-Elena	86303	Prescott Indivisible	I applaud Chair on her leadership and her continued desire/push for having the process be as transparent as possible. Unfortunate that Comm York is still looking at ways to keep some of the information, especially re their assessment of the candidates, from the public. We really appreciate transparency. These candidates know that they will be public figures!
3/16/2021 9:20:02	March 9, 2021	Executive Director	Barbara Tellman	85705	self	When choosing the director, please concentrate on proven professional management skills and eliminate candidates with evident political activity in favor of any recognized party. This is especially vital this year with the census data being delayed and the need for efficient management when the time comes for decision making in the fall.
3/16/2021 9:20:04	March 9, 2021	Hiring of Executive Director	Suzanne Mead	85331	myself	As a former executive in the challenging environments of a political non-profit and a fast growing national corporation, I know that an organization's success relies on the depth and breadth of a manager's experience. The more complex a manager's job, the more important he or she be ready to run from day one. Given the complexity and urgency of the RC's mission, I feel strongly that the executive director should have deep management experience. For that reason, I believe that candidates Gomez, Hartsell and Augherton should be given priority consideration for the position of Executive Director. I also feel that the political sensitivity of redistricting and the commitment to fair and equal representation that it demands, require a management candidate whose career has not been centered on advocacy for any one political party or candidate. For that reason, I believe that candidates Schmitt and Abarzua should be eliminated from consideration.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
3/16/2021 9:21:37	March 9, 2021	Election of candidates to interview	Deborah Howard	85308	self	<p>I'm letting you know that i just submitted a second open meeting violation complaint with the AZ AG office. My complaint is centered on the discussion on March 9 when Commissioners referenced conversations with their "contacts" related to the suitability of candidates. Candidates were admitted to the interview process and excluded from the process on the basis of these conversations. This is entirely outside of the scope and intent of the open meeting law. If these contact conversations were critical too the decision, invite them (and then others perhaps) to give public testimony to inform your decision.</p> <p>I watch each of your meetings and believe as a group you wholeheartedly want to conduct your business transparently and in public. At the moment that is being interpreted as making decisions in public - but keeping your own counsel with external networks to develop those decisions. I believe this is inappropriate. The public is invited to see your collective thinking and your decisions should be based on conversations with each other (in public for the most part) - not external networks.</p> <p>I remain thankful for your service and appreciate you are tasked with doing really important work in a really awkward manner. You deserve better legal guidance and this only emphasizes the importance of your choice in hiring staff.</p>
3/16/2021 9:22:39	March 9, 2021	Public Comment	Hope Busto-Keyes	85743	Self	<p>RE: IRC Hire of Executive Director</p> <p>The Commission needs an Executive Director who will uphold it's goals to ensure public confidence in the redistricting process; welcomes public engagement and working with diverse communities; has a working knowledge of the complexities of mapping; experience with effective group dynamics and management.</p> <p>Creating an effective, collaborative team will help make the Commission's work much easier.</p>
3/16/2021 9:25:47	March 9, 2021	interviews of candidates for Executive Director	Susan Bickel	85718		<p>Thank you to the Commissioners for your diligent efforts to make this redistricting effort transparent, fair and balanced. So far, it appears that you have been successful. However, I must voice my opposition to one of the candidates that is being considered today for the Executive Director position. The person who is hired to perform this demanding job must be experienced and qualified. Mr. Trevor Abarzua is neither. Mr. Abarzua is ambitious but his young age and limited work experience do not qualify him for this critical and demanding job. His work experience at the highest level of political power in Arizona translates to GOP political operative who is looking to bring partisan influence to the work of the Commission. I strongly encourage the Commissioners to reject Mr. Trevor Abarzua for the position of Executive Director and any other positions of leadership for which he may apply. t is imperative that the Commission consider the importance of qualified and experienced staff at all levels. The Commission must assure that everyone involved in the work of serving all Arizonans are worthy of the trust we, the people, are giving them.</p> <p>Susan Bickel </p>
3/16/2021 9:32:23	March 9, 2021	IV. Executive Director Interviews	Dora Vasquez	85013	self	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment. In considering applicants for the Executive Director position, please consider experience in working with boards and commissions, and managing consultants and staff as a top priority. Also, the individual selected should have the ability to engage the public in the redistricting process through a clear understanding of diversity and inclusion. I oppose the appointment of Trevor Abarzua, who is clearly partisan, and will surely not be able to lead the Commission with transparency and in an impartial manner.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
3/16/2021 9:40:46	March 9, 2021	Hiring of Executive Director	Janell Hunt	85143	Myself as an AZ Citizen and Voter	Commissioners: As an Arizona citizen and voter, I am extremely interested in seeing that you, as members of the state's Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC), strive to do as well as the last IRC in developing the mapping plan for the next decade. I implore you to choose an IRC Executive Director who has a well qualified background and skillset in management pertinent to the needs of this Commission for the citizens of Arizona as a whole, not bending to partisan influence. Having a varied experience myself in leadership positions, several of which required me to be neutral on keenly political issues, I suggest taking Trevor Abarzua and Brian Schmitt off your finalist's list. Even a hint of political favoritism in the redistricting processes and final report can endanger the goals you are working toward on our behalf. Certainly you will want a similar outcome and commendation on your final report as the Ash Center for Democratic Governance Innovation at the Harvard Kennedy School gave the previous report. Thank you for doing this important project, and best wishes as you continue together to endeavor to provide fair and equitable mapping for future elections here in the next ten years. We are depending on each of you, along with a knowledgeable, well balanced Executive Director to ensure public input and top notch experts to see that the Arizona Independent Redistricting Plan produces a successful product. Thank you for considering my statement. Janell Hunt San Tan Valley, AZ
3/16/2021 10:14:08	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		In listening to Candidate Aughterton re outreach and public in-person mtgs around the state, I wonder whether all commissioners are making an attempt to be fully vaccinated as to allow for these public mtgs to be more likely?
3/16/2021 10:16:27	March 16, 2021	III	Vianey De Anda	85014		We expect this IRC to hire an Executive Director that has experience working with the public and listening to the public, managing large groups of staff, working with commissioners/board members, and working with and listening to the needs of our diverse communities.
3/16/2021 10:22:33	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		Hartsell seems to be a very fair, sound, well balanced in experience candidate. Hope she will be seriously considered for a call back this afternoon, if not selected out right. Liked that she had a very short introductory "speech" regarding herself and allowed for it all to come out through questions.
3/16/2021 10:34:02	March 16, 2021	Executive Director	Jay Simpson	85016	Myself	The RC should make every effort to instill public confidence in the redistricting process as a fair and non-partisan effort. The commission would be making a serious mistake and send the wrong message to the public by hiring an executive director with strong partisan ties. Several of the five finalists regrettably fall in this category. Rather than hiring an executive director with strong partisan ties, the IRC should hire someone who can lead the commission in a non-partisan and independent fashion. The person should also have strong management and leadership skills with the ability to work with a diverse set of constituencies. It would also be helpful to have someone with experience with the two prior Commissions who understands the history of redistricting in Arizona. For all of these reasons, the clear choice among the five finalists would seem to be Ms. Gomez.
3/16/2021 11:02:01	March 16, 2021	IV. Executive Director Interviews	Janet Hamlin	85284	Self, resident of Maricopa County	As a resident of Arizona and Maricopa County, I encourage the members of the commission to consider either Keely Varvel Hartsell or Kristina Gomez for Executive Director. Both of these candidates have the experience and have demonstrated that they rise above any partisan issues. Both Hartsell and Gomez have demonstrated in previous positions that they accomplish a great deal in time sensitive situations. The delay of census data reinforces the need for an Executive Director such as Keely Varvel Hartsell or Kristina Gomez.
3/16/2021 11:09:02	March 16, 2021	III. Possible second interviews for Executive Director Position	Aris Correa	85194	Myself	Thank you for having this hiring process be so transparent. Obviously, whoever is picked for this position will have much influence on the process. In my opinion the commission should steer clear of any hyper partisan picks. We should have a director that can help lead this commission in a way that is non partisan and in an independent fashion and the public needs to have faith in this process. We do have an applicant today (Trevor Abarzua) who had applied previously to be a Republican commissioner for the IRC and a quick google search shows that he was an exempt employee at the Governor's Office in 2018. I believe that this is concerning. Other factors that the commission should consider are knowledge and work on previous redistricting projects, experience managing a large staff, and someone who has the ability to work with diverse communities. I believe that taking all these factors into consideration will help serve Arizonans. Thank you.
3/16/2021 11:10:21	March 16, 2021	Executive Director Candidate Selection	anastasia travers	85048	SELF	As a resident of The State of Arizona, I respectfully request this comment be considered when choosing an Executive Director for the IRC. Chairwoman Neuberger says "We need the most competent person who can transcend any kind of partisan issues," I agree. We need someone who has a demonstrated history of doing just that and has the experience to navigate what's required of this commission in the coming year. Candidates Abarzua, Schmitt and Aughterin have no record of bipartisanship or the necessary experience needed at this time. Please consider the two exceptional female candidates going forward, both women have the experience, bipartisanship and understand the nuances of what's required to see this through fairly and competently. Thank you for your time

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
3/16/2021 11:18:28	March 16, 2021	Public Comment	Hope Busto-Keyes	85743	Self	RE: IRC Hire of Executive Director The Commission needs an Executive Director who will uphold it's goals to ensure public confidence in the redistricting process; welcomes public engagement and working with diverse communities; has a working knowledge of the complexities of mapping; experience with effective group dynamics and management. Creating an effective, collaborative team will help make the Commission's work much easier.
3/16/2021 11:19:39	March 16, 2021	V. Discussion and Possible Action on Executive Director interviews	Alison Porter	85283	self	As Commissioners seeking to make a positive difference for all Arizonans, please consider competence, integrity and clear communication, such as shown by Keely Hartsell and Kristina Gomez. Trevor Abarzua's attempt to be a commissioner for Republicans indicates a possible hidden agenda not appropriate for Executive Director. Schmitt may also have an agenda not fitting Executive Director. Your Executive Director should be very focused on getting this important task done, representing all Arizona voters with deep respect for communities of interest, and not be distracted with previous roles and relationships.
3/16/2021 11:24:25	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir candidate interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		Schmitt - Sounds like his experience is more political and at very local level . Weak candidate, in my view.
3/16/2021 11:29:17	March 16, 2021	Executive Director	Dana Offerman	85737	Myself	As the IRC hires an Executive Director, it is imperative that someone who is independent and impartial, capable of engaging the public, and a highly competent and experienced manager who can work with a volunteer board is selected. It is important that the Executive Director be non-partisan and is not strongly affiliated with any political party. For the public to have confidence in the IRC, the Executive Director must assure that the best interests of all Arizona citizens are taken into account, and not just the members of any political party. Independence is the key word.
3/16/2021 11:45:21	March 16, 2021	IV. Executive Director Interviews	William Bowlus-Root	85365	Myself	The Executive Director is probably the most important member of your staff, the one whose work - and talents and perspectives - will likely impact the success of your mission more than anyone else (yes, even more than your legal counsels). While it is true the person selected must be someone you feel comfortable working with, please remember that for you to be successful that person must also be primarily focused on what's best for the people of Arizona. In essence, your choice should also be the choice of the people. The Executive Director will serve as the lens through which you will view the people and through with the people will gain an understanding of you and the work that you're doing on their behalf. Choose someone with experience, someone who is both adept at the administrative work but also focused on the getting the most out of the interactions you will have with the people of the state. Choosing wisely will make a difference in the whether the public views your work with confidence or with disdain.
3/16/2021 11:47:02	March 16, 2021	IV. Brian Schmitt, candidate for Exec. Dir.	Maria Lynam	86301	myself - citizen of Prescott, AZ	Brian Schmitt has a great deal of local experience. I am concerned with his knowledge of the rest of the state. He states that he works in an honest, independent and confidential manner, but "does as he is told". Please remember that his background is as a political operative and chief of staff for someone running for Congress. He also was paid over \$63,000 from the McSally campaign, three days after the last election, but we are not sure what it was for. Please investigate as you consider his application. Commission Nuberg, I wish you asked the question re what the EC do on day one to Ms. Hartzell. Thank you all for your efforts on our behalf.
3/16/2021 11:54:23	March 16, 2021	Selection of Executive Director Candidates	Shanna Leonard	85719	Self	I am concerned about the politicalization of a committee which was designed to be independent of political influence into the hiring of the Executive Director. Arizona can be proud of its past reputation for fairness with our previous redistricting. Considering or selecting any individual with no experience working with the community is concerning. Even more so, selecting a partisan political operative goes against the purpose of the commission. I urge you to select a candidate with experience outside of partisan politics.
3/16/2021 12:02:22	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir candidate interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		I wish someone would ask Abarzua how he would be able to work as a support staffer when in fact he wanted to be one of the commissioners. And, his submission for that was as a Republican...which makes me wonder about his impartiality as Exec Director.
3/16/2021 12:06:32	March 16, 2021	Interview of Tom Aughterton	Diane McQueen	86327	self	While he seems to be very familiar with the workings of State Government I did not feel that he directly answered most of the questions and that most of his experience is very high level not down in the trenches which to me the Executive Director has to do both given the staff is not going to be large. He spent most of his time talking in analogies and really didn't give an exact examples of tasks he's accomplished in these areas. He does understand that policy is set by the Commission and not by the Director. Minimal experience working with rural communities which is bad. He used the word "Hinterlands". Not quite sure how I feel about that - almost insulting as if those of us (like me) who live in rural areas are really an afterthought. Makes it sound like everything outside of the major metropolitan areas are unchartered territory. My least favorite applicant.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
3/16/2021 12:07:03	March 16, 2021	Interview of Brian Schmitt	Diane McQueen	86327	self	Familiar with the open meetings laws, public records. The City operates very similar to the State and is familiar with lots of different processes but didn't give any specific examples. Said the ED would help facilitate the commissioners to make them as efficient as possible. Again, no specific examples. Believes in social media use but no specific examples of how it could be used. Talked about "we" at the City of Phoenix but nothing with "I" as in I did this or this was my task as part of this team. That's good in some instances but he should be highlighting what his piece of the "we" was during a job interview. He talked about projects he's worked on at the City but again he never really detailed what his specific tasks those projects are. Aware of the 6 basics of redistricting but really didn't say much other than that. Understands the value of public comment. No real mention of rural communities but when asked about "communities" he did include rural and tribal residents. But again, he didn't include any specific examples of how he's reached out given his current position at the City of Phoenix. Overall, I think all his answers were just too general. I never got a good feel for his own exact experience. Not a top favorite of an applicant.
3/16/2021 12:11:37	March 16, 2021	Interview of Keely Varvel Hartsell	Diane McQueen	86327	self	I really liked all her direct answers to all the questions. She was able to give exact examples of work she has done and is doing that related to the questions. I think her role in the Maricopa County Records office really provides her the knowledge of the meaning of having to work nonpartisan. She has extensive experience in both county and state procurement and the procedures that go with this. She has directly managed consultants for the state and county. Very familiar with the state personnel laws. Does budgeting, accounting, etc. Familiar with public records, records retention, etc. Very familiar with the redistricting process including rudimentary mapping. She demonstrated that she understands the 6 basics of redistricting. She has a lot of experience managing people, realizes what the role of executive director is compared to the role of the commissioners. I liked her answer to Commissioner Watchman on what communities are. She has public relations experience and realizes the value of social media. She followed the previous two commissions quite closely. Realizes you can't remove politics from the process but this is not in the best interest of the voters. My top applicant.
3/16/2021 12:12:02	March 16, 2021	Interview of Kristina Gomez	Diane McQueen	86327	self	Has a deep passion for redistricting as demonstrated by her past positions with both the past two commissions. Has a Master in Public Education. Extensive experience with the budgeting law. Drafted the budgets for the commission. Is involved with HR for her current position and the last commission. Does currently interact with ADOA. Understands the role of community outreach and all the different communities including Latino and tribal residents. Very strong on community public community and would like to see it double for this process. Understands the value of social media and would like to see some advancements in that. I agree with her insight and recommendation to not use ADOR for the hiring of the legal counsel and the mapping consultant. She understands the differences between the roles of the ED and the commissioners. Good question she had on what the commission wants to see with this commission. Having someone with this intimate knowledge of the past two commissions is priceless. My second favored applicant because of her past IRC experience.
3/16/2021 12:12:34	March 16, 2021	Interview of Trevor Abarzua	Diane McQueen	86327	self	Seems to have a good understanding of the diversity of the State due to his past and present positions. Has relationship with ADOA on procurement processes and on the open meeting laws. Does believe in building a diverse staff that represents the state. Did work to stress nonpartisanship in his current position. Understands the importance of reaching out to all communities in the state via various methods. Did give examples of communication within his role at the commerce authority. I disagree with his assessment of the last commission about competitiveness. Being from a rural area I don't feel there was enough competitiveness. Frankly that he was a Republican applicant for a Commissioner seat is a strike against him in my eyes. I think that shows just a little too much partisanship for me. I would have felt the same way about someone who would have applied for one of the Democrat seats on the commission. I think some of the other applicants are better suited. Not my favorite applicant.
3/16/2021 12:13:42	March 16, 2021	Executive Director Interviews	Sharon Edgar	86004	self	According to McSally's campaign finance report, Brian Schmidt received a payment of over \$63,000 on November 6, 2020 from her campaign. He didn't mention that in his interview. He mentioned working on McCain's Presidential campaign, and Jim Waring's campaign, and working in Jim Waring's office for the past ten years. The optics are that he is too partisan for the role of Executive Director, and holds partisan allegiances.
3/16/2021 12:14:13	March 16, 2021	IV. Trevor Abarzua	Maria Lynam	86301	self	Abarzua is a very good candidate. He has all the qualities of a good Exec. Director and will serve you well. Again, I would have appreciated it if you asked all the candidates the same questions. I would like it if you bring back Hartsell and Abarzua.
3/16/2021 12:19:08	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		Thank you so much, Commissioners, for making this a public process. And to the candidates as well. Excellent interviews. Tho not asked, I "vote" that you call back Ms Hartsell and Mr Abarzua. And, for the latter, please ensure that he would feel comfortable working as a staffer rather than a commissioner being that he saw himself as one.
3/16/2021 12:19:19	March 16, 2021	Executive Director position	Peggy Pena	85643	Myself	After reviewing the candidates backgrounds and observing the interviews today it appears to me the person with the best background for this position would be Kristina Gomez. The other candidates appear to have political backgrounds. A non political executive director is needed to demonstrate to the public that they are really interested in a nonpartisan redistributing. Particularly put off by Trevor Abarzua comment that competitiveness in districts was not as important.
3/16/2021 12:29:15	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		To Comm York - where we at the same interview re Hartsell? She answered questions well and the partisanship answer was good because, for once, the elephant in the room was addressed which was not the fully the case with other candidates. And, by the way, Commissioner Miehle, Maricopa elections "dept" is controversial because some members of one party have made it so....

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
3/16/2021 12:30:21	March 16, 2021	V. Discussion and Possible Action on Executive Director Interviews...	William Bowlus-Root	85365	Myself	How can you conduct the last part of the last interview and especially the discussion of the applicants without one of the Commissioners present? The Commission and the public is missing his perspectives on these candidates and he is missing those of the others in real time, so we're missing his interaction. This seems inappropriate.
3/16/2021 12:35:13	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		To Comm York - where we at the same interview re Hartsell? She answered questions well and the partisanship answer was good because, for once, the elephant in the room was addressed which was not the fully the case with other candidates. And, by the way, Commissioner Miehl, Maricopa elections "dept" is controversial because some members of one party have made it so....
3/16/2021 12:43:31	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		To Comm York - where we at the same interview re Hartsell? She answered questions well and the partisanship answer was good because, for once, the elephant in the room was addressed which was not the fully the case with other candidates. And, by the way, Commissioner Miehl, Maricopa elections "dept" is controversial because some members of one party have made it so....
3/16/2021 12:55:25	March 16, 2021	Constitutionality	Deborah Howard	85308	Self	In discussion of the candidates for executive director there has been a lot of comment of respecting the constitution and a perspective that the controversy surrounding the last commission was because it prioritized competitiveness over the other criteria. The four state criteria are equal - they are not hierarchical - this, then that. The appropriate criteria in NE Arizona may not be the same criteria that is appropriate in SW Arizona. The IRC commissioners must be flexible and emphasize each criteria as it best fits the place they are considering. It is being used in this very first tough decision you are making to select one candidate or another based on how THEY view this criteria. The intensity on this will increase with each tough decision. To get to a spot where the five commissioners can truly work as a team you are going to have to confront that there are five different perspectives on this issue. You as a team need to figure out how to come to a common understanding of the six criteria and the appropriateness of when, and when not to apply one or more of the criteria.. It won't always be the same -- there are many versions fair maps you can draw - none of them come from a blanket rule that competitiveness comes last.
3/16/2021 12:55:54	March 16, 2021	General	Constance Aglione	85614	self	Thank you for serving on the important Independent Redistricting Commission. Arizona can, and should be a model for the nation on redistricting. It is vital that the public has confidence in your work. For this reason it is necessary that there be no doubt about the independence of the Executive Director who is hired. The Executive Director must be able to demonstrate that she/he can work in an impartial, nonpartisan fashion. The Commission represents the entire state so it will also be important that the staff that is hired reflects the diversity of our state's population.
3/16/2021 13:04:00	March 16, 2021	Exec Dir interviews	María-Elena Dunn	86303		To Comm York - where we at the same interview re Hartsell? She answered questions well and the partisanship answer was good because, for once, the elephant in the room was addressed which was not the fully the case with other candidates. And, by the way, Commissioner Miehl, Maricopa elections "dept" is controversial because some members of one party have made it so....
3/16/2021 13:04:01	March 16, 2021	Post executive Session Report out	Deborah Howard	85308	self	The link to the 9:00 am meeting died -- at 1:00 and there is no way to connect back to your meeting. This is really unacceptable -- and the responsibility falls to the administrative staff that are supposed to be supporting you.
3/16/2021 13:17:44	March 16, 2021	General	Sharon Edgar	86004	self	I was listening to the meeting, but got cut off when the Commission went into executive session, at about four hours into the meeting. Is there a different link after four hours? This should be clearly available to the public. Thank you.