




ARIZONA	10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:40:25	October 28, 2021	Commissioner Mehl	Sharon Edgar	86004	self	It appears that Commissioner Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council are trying to manipulate maps to the detriment of Latino communities. His integrity has been damaged. Please do not allow Commissioner Mehl to taint the reputation of the 2021 Independent Redistricting Commission.
10/28/2021 9:40:58	October 28, 2021	Community of Interest	Charmaine Roth	85263	Myself	<p>I live in Rio Verde, shop in Fountain Hills, and Scottsdale and go to church in Scottsdale. I don't go to Desert Ridge or Anthem, they should not be in my district. Desert Ridge and N Phoenix are not part of my community. The new draft of District 3 is too wide for any representative to be effective. You should place The western border should be Scottsdale Road, keep the current western border of Scottsdale</p> <p>IRC should NOT split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it with other communities.</p> <p>Scottsdale is nationally known as a unique community and splitting it up this way will destroy its special flavor.</p> <p>Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are very distinct communities and you should continue to be in the same legislative district. I want to be represented by people near me and have the same economic interests, and who participate in my community activities. recreational values.</p> <p>The proposed inclusion of Anthem near I 17 with Rio Verde, and Fountain Hills and separating of large parts of Scottsdale from Rio Verde and Fountain Hills does not reflect an actual community with shared interests."</p>
10/28/2021 9:41:04	October 28, 2021	mapping	Susan Bickel	85718	self	<p>Thank you, Commissioners Lerner and Watchman, for supporting the premise of Prop 106, fair and competitive maps.</p> <p>As a resident in the Catalina Foothills area of Tucson, I am grateful for your thoughtful assessment of crafting districts within Pima County that are compact, contiguous, respectful of the many geographical boundaries, populated equally, and representative of the many diverse communities of interest in our cultural melting pot.</p> <p>The SALC maps, now known to be a partisan map drawn by the Republican party in an effort to gerrymander Pima County districts to assure a Republican district, has violated the sixth constitutional criterion. If this map is adopted, I believe this opens a path forward that would ultimately destroy the independence of the IRC. This deviation from the standard submission of proposed maps should not be allowed to stand.</p> <p>In light of this revelation, and for his participation in the irregular submission of the SALC map, I am asking Commissioner Mehl to resign from the Commission. If Chair Neuberg or Commissioner York had knowledge of this action, I ask for their resignations also.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:41:51	October 28, 2021	map plans	Jean Meconi	85737	self	<p>As a resident, voter, shopper, mother, homeowner and concerned citizen of Oro Valley, I am asking the commission to keep all of the redistricting constitutional criteria in mind as you make important mapping decisions.</p> <p>It is in Oro Valley's community of interest to keep Oro Valley intact in one district. Oro Valley's larger community of interest is Casas Adobes and Tucson. Our town shares most characteristics with these areas. Oro Valley is diverse in demographics, economy, housing, and culture. We share the same concerns about balanced development, dwindling water supplies and safety.</p> <p>Please respect our natural and political boundaries. Oro Valley should be in a Pima County LD and not in an LD that extends into Pinal County. Mountains are natural barriers and Oro Valley's LD should end at the Catalina Mountains.</p> <p>Please keep in mind compactness. Oro Valley shouldn't be in a sprawling district. It is suburban-urban. The proposed Legislative District 17 in LD draft map version 9.1 and 9.2 give outsized representation to rural places with which Oro Valley has little in common.</p> <p>It is also important to weight competitiveness. No party should be given a competitive advantage in the purple state of AZ. LD draft map version 9.1 and 9.2 give Republicans an unfair advantage due to packing Democrats into districts. There are no Republican districts that are similarly packed thus making their vote much more effective.</p> <p>Equal representation comes with making the districts as equal in population as possible. Districts should fall within the 1% variance of the target population.</p> <p>Our growing minority populations should have fair representation. The proposed maps do not yet reflect this.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:01	October 28, 2021	Congressional District Maps	Karen Winfield	85205	self	<p>CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT STATEMENT IN OPPOSITION TO maps for CDs 4 & 5. I'm stunned that the Independent Redistricting Commission has broken Mesa and Gilbert up into irregular chunks to form Congressional Districts 4 & 5. District 4 is composed mostly of parts of Mesa, Tempe and Ahwatukee – three cities which have very little in common. These maps do not respect community boundaries or common interests. Mesa a large enough city to have it's own Congressional District joined by neighboring cities which have much more in common with Mesa than do Tempe and Ahwautukee. Mesa is a residential, family-oriented community, as is Gilbert. Both have strong foundations in religious values. The residents of Mesa and Gilbert are closely united by family, work, and religious ties. Further, the many charter and private schools in Mesa and Gilbert mean that students come from all over these cities to attend the school of their choice, mingling both children and parents into congenial groups that spread across Mesa, Gilbert, and as far as Queen Creek. People tend to move comfortably back and forth through these communities for work, church, and school, as well as for recreation. Breaking up Mesa into two parts and breaking up Gilbert into two parts undermines the cohesion that exists among the residents of these towns. Other towns, such as Queen Creek and Chandler, are much more like Mesa and Gilbert in interests, culture, and spirit than are Tempe and Ahwautukee, Residents in the towns of Mesa and Gilbert, as well as Queen Creek and Chandler, maintain cross-relationships with their school, work, and church friends in these very compatible communities. I strongly object to the proposed maps for Congressional Districts 4 & 5. Thank you. Karen Winfield</p>


ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:42:02	October 28, 2021	LD map	Barbara Tellman	85705	self	<p>Independent Coimmissioner Neuberg:</p> <p>Please do not let the Redistricting process become a mockery by letting it be captured by Commissioner Mehl and his small group of Tucson business associates who submitted an unvetted gerrymandered npn-compact map at the 11th hour. Do not let it be controlled by the same special interests that brought us the Fraudit.</p> <p>The IRC gave short shrift to the Intertribal Council's maps as they did to the Latino Coalition's maps on time. Why the red carpet treatment for SALC and the Pima County Republican Party?</p> <p>Please step back and take an extra week to go back a few versions and incorporate the wishes of the Council and Coalition for consideration in a new map that meets all the criteria.</p> <p>Taking extra time to do it right will save years of litigation. Not bowing to political pressure will preserve the intentions of the original writers of the law: Fair, independent, compact, non-gerrymandered and according the federal and state law.</p> <p>Please be the independent commissioner you are supposed to be.</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:09	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Eric Rodriguez	85260	Community of interest	<p>My family and I live in the air park area of Scottsdale. We shop and dine out primarily at the Promenade, Scottsdale Quarter, and Kierland. We rarely go as far west as Desert Ridge. And we certainly only go as far south as fashion square when absolutely necessary. We go to church at our local Catholic Church (St. Patrick's) on 84th St. The proposed District 3 is just too wide and encompasses too many distinct communities for anyone to represent all the various communities of interest fairly. Scottsdale is known nation wide for its very unique and distinctive flavor. Splitting it up this way will without a doubt destroy Scottsdale as as we know it. District 3 should be divided by Scottsdale Road to better represent all of the different communities.</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:35	October 28, 2021	Public Comments	Chery Fayne-dePersio	85268-5341	self	<p>Keep our representation as it is. I live in Fountain Hills. Our community should be represented effectively since this is the area where we shop, dine, learn, pay taxes, and support our community. Redistricting is too wide for any representative to be effective. Representation should be maintained as one in all of Fountain Hills, All of Scottsdale, and All of Rio Verde.</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:36	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Jennifer Kriwer	85268	Myself	<p>Fountain Hills should be part of Scottsdale and Scottsdale should remain together. I do all of my shopping, dining, worshiping in Fountain Hills or Scottsdale. I do not travel to Anthem, Desert Ridge, Mesa or Tempe for business or recreation. Thank you</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:42:47	October 28, 2021	Commission Meeting	Kit McCalla	85255	Myself, family and neighborhood in Scottsdale	<p>Hello, Thank you for providing a public opportunity to voice opinion on your commission duties.</p> <p>I have lived and worked in the N. Scottsdale area for the past two decades. For some actions of local government, I feel they have overall been good stuards of the community. However, serious unfortunat seeminly political outside interests are encroaching on our local community pushing changes that threaten our local culture and ethnic equity that has been the draw of the N. Scottsdale community most of my adult life here. I feel the new District 3 proposal is too wide for any representative to effectively know local professionals / residents like me and my family / our neighbors. You cannot tell me that grouping large geographical areas & in other cases splitting other communities as proposed will fostor good neighborly comminication and responsible local governmennt interaction. You should place the western border at/near Scottsdale Road (the current western border of Scottsdale) because as a long time resident here, I can personally tell you that this current border is truely a different community. It is not that it is a bad community, just I know myself and many of my neighbors, fellow professionals who live and work here, do not commonly shop, attend church or schools outside the current representative district / city border. As such, it isn't right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and splice it in with other communities that are not common in so many ways. In my industry, Scottsdale is nationally known as a unique community which draws annual events drawing tourism monies in the millions everyt year. I believe splitting it up this way will destroy its special flavor, will destroy the draw of tourism / business and even destroy the multicultural growth of professionals like my two business partners, who enjoy equal opportunity (like diverse schools for their families) in our great communities of N. Scottsdale.</p> <p>We need to keep our districts as they currently are; we need to keep our local representation by people of our immediate community who both live in our actual geographical area and actually know community members personally.</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:48	October 28, 2021	III	Rich Rutkowski	85268	Myself	<p>I live in Fountain Hills. I work in central Scottsdale & shop in central & north Scottsdale. I go to church in Scottsdale. I attend social events in Scottsdale, Rio Verde, & Fountain Hills. I have close friends and family in Fountain Hills, Scottsdale, & Rio Verde. I very rarely shop, work, or visit friends in Desert Ridge, Anthem, or north Phoenix. I think the inhabitants of those areas have the corresponding experience relative to Fountain Hills, Scottsdale, & Rio Verde. I think this establishes Scottsdale (especially the northern half), Fountain Hills, & Rio Verde and establish these municipalities as one community of interest. The borders of current District 23 are consistent with us being one cohesive community of interest. It preserves the entire city of Scottsdale in one Legislative District. The proposed new District 3 is geographically spread widely from east to west and would make effective representation of its residents much more difficult. It also groups areas which do not share the common factors which currently connect all of Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, & Rio Verde together as a community. I believe that it is in the best interest of all involved to include & maintain all of Scottsdale, all of Fountain Hills, and all of Rio Verde in a single legislative district.</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:48	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Kristine Rodriguez	85260	Community of interest	<p>Redistricting maps</p> <p>My family and I live in the air park area of Scottsdale. We shop and dine out primarily at the Promenade, Scottsdale Quarter, and Kierland. We rarely go as far west as Desert Ridge. And we certainly only go as far south as fashion square when absolutely necessary. We go to church at our local Catholic Church (St. Patrick's) on 84th St. The proposed District 3 is just too wide and encompasses too many distinct communities for anyone to represent all the various communities of interest fairly. Scottsdale is known nation wide for its very unique and distinctive flavor. Splitting it up this way will without a doubt destroy Scottsdale as as we know it. District 3 should be divided by Scottsdale Road to better represent all of the different communities.</p>


ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:42:48	October 28, 2021	VI	Rob Sweeney	86324	Town of Clarkdale	<p>TOWN OF CLARKDALE'S "COMMUNITY OF INTEREST" STATEMENT REGARDING REDISTRICTING</p> <p>Revised October 26, 2021 in reaction to the Independent Redistricting Commission's Draft Maps</p> <p>The Town of Clarkdale understands that in rural Arizona the best solutions are often regional solutions. We urge the Independent Redistricting Commission's recognition of and actions towards preserving our "Community of Interest" consisting of the Verde Valley municipalities of Camp Verde, Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Jerome, Sedona, the Yavapai-Apache Nation and the unincorporated areas and communities of eastern Yavapai County into one legislative and congressional district. The Town of Clarkdale believes said defined, "Community of Interest" shares common values, interests, and outcomes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic Growth and Sustainability • Infrastructure (Transportation, Broadband) Sharing and Expansion • Healthcare Systems • Natural Resources (Environmental Health, Forest Health, Water Resources including Rivers and Streams) • Tourism <p>As a secondary priority, the Town of Clarkdale requests that the legislative and congressional districts which include the Town of Clarkdale be considered competitive with no partisan entity having an advantage greater than five percent (5%) of political party affiliated registered voters, as currently represented by community draft map LD0013, if inclusive of the entire geographical area of the Verde Valley as defined in the Town's "Community of Interest" Statement.</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:51	October 28, 2021	Agenda Item VI - Congressional Map Drawing	Hildy Angius	86429	Myself	<p>Chairman Neuberg and Commissioners Watchman, Mehl, Lerner and York: I live in Bullhead City, currently Congressional District 4. I respectfully submit these comments today in opposition of the proposed Congressional District 9.</p> <p>While our current congressional district isn't perfect, and includes portions of metropolitan Phoenix and Maricopa County, it primarily encompasses more rural geography. The proposed new district however, highly favors the populated areas of western Maricopa County.</p> <p>The proposed District 9 does include most of Arizona's west coast, which is appropriate; however, it dovetails into the west valley communities including Sun City West, Surprise, Luke Air Force Base, Goodyear and Buckeye, where at least 70 percent of the proposed district's population reside. This is clearly not representative of the residents of Bullhead City, nor the residents of greater Mohave, La Paz and Yuma Counties. In a district with these demographics, the chances of representation from and for rural communities would be unlikely. We have seen it before.</p> <p>We who choose to live in rural Arizona should have equal opportunities to be heard. We should not be punished with the potential of under-representation for our choice.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Hildy Angius [REDACTED] Bullhead City, AZ 86429</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:42:52	October 28, 2021	Proposed Redistricting Map	Kim Gleason	85263		<p>Dear Members of the Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern over the new redistricting boundaries being suggested for Rio Verde. I noticed that the new redistricting map shows the proposed district boundaries including Rio Verde and Fountain Hills would extend over to the Desert Ridge/ I-17/North Phoenix/ Anthem area. I was confused by this suggestion because as a resident of Rio Verde, I do not consider the concerns or issues that are relevant to Desert Ridge and its surrounding really relevant to Rio Verde/Fountain Hills/Scottsdale at all.</p> <p>As a resident of Rio Verde, I do all of my daily errands and shopping in Fountain Hills, my husband and I work in Scottsdale, our children attended school in Scottsdale and did all of their extracurricular activities in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. The Desert Ridge/North Phoenix/Anthem area is not at all a part of our daily lives, activities, or community life.</p> <p>I am very concerned that with these new boundaries district representatives would find it impossible to gauge what issues matter to their voters. The district representative is the member of our state government with whom I should feel that I can pick up the phone and talk with and that person might likely be a neighbor—someone that is a part of my community. The issues along the I-17 and Anthem are not similar to the issues facing Rio Verde and the far Northeast Valley.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter and for listening to my concerns. Kim Gleason</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:56	October 28, 2021	CD and LD Maps	Alan Nichols	85750	myself	<p>Dear. Commissioners,</p> <p>The currently approved CD 6.0 map is totally unacceptable. It does not address any community of interest. The City of Tucson should be its own, unique district. It is urban and densely populated. The surrounding areas are semi-rural and spread out. These two areas should each be uniquely represented by their own House members so that they can be represented as separate and unique communities of interest.</p> <p>LD Maps 9.1 and 9.2 should not be accepted. There is no community of interest between Vail and Saddlebrook. There is no way to travel between them only a mountain range connecting them. They not aligned communities of interest and are separate and unique. As with the Tanque Verde valley it takes an hour to travel to Saddlebrook where the legislative meeting have been held. The Catalina Foothills areas and Oro Valley have stated on numerous occasions that they do not want to be aligned with the City of Tucson which this map DOES. The city of Tucson is a community of interest and should be represented by two separate LD districts. I urge that these two maps not be accepted.</p> <p>LD Map 9.0 (as well as 2.0 and 6.1) are generally acceptable LD maps. They represent and respect communities of interest, Manmade and geographical boundaries and are compact and contiguous. I urge the commission to restart deliberations of LD maps in Southern Arizona from one of these maps and reject 9.1 and 9.2</p> <p>Thanks, Alan Nichols October 28, 2021</p>
10/28/2021 9:42:59	October 28, 2021	Legislative drawings	Mickie Niland	85298	myself	<p>I live in Gilbert. I am very concerned that my community is being divided into five different Legislative Districts. It seems like someone started all the divisions in the east valley in Gilbert and went outward. Mesa and Chandler have fewer divisions than Gilbert. Please give Gilbert's community of interest the similar respect that other communities are receiving and move the boundaries to align with at least some of our municipal boundaries. I realize that our population is too large to remain as one Legislative District, however five divisions is excessive! We are being fragmented.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:43:00	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Patricia Kelly	85716	self	As described in the Arizona Daily Star article, Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council have proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The Arizona Constitution does not have that as a legitimate redistricting goal. I urge you to be fair and to create districts that are as nonpartisan as possible.
10/28/2021 9:43:07	October 28, 2021	Legislative Map Drawing	Bob Keiser	85331	Self	My wife and I live in LD-15 just east of Tatum Ranch. We have lived here for 37 years. This area of north Phoenix has grown extensively since we first put down stakes. We are concerned that the latest proposed legislative district map shows a major westward encroachment of the already huge district 23 (newly designated as district 3) into legacy districts 1 and 15. The people who live here in district 15 see it as a vibrant community, with unique characteristics and needs. Combining it with a district as vast as 23 will dilute the outstanding support we have enjoyed from our elected representatives over the years and could possibly back-seat critical initiatives we see as vital to the continued healthy growth of our community. If the aim is to balance the population within the legislative districts based upon the recent census, please consider expanding proposed districts 2, 3 and 4 to take in more population northward, which seems to be the general direction of growth in this part of the valley, as opposed to expanding west. Along those lines, a practical western boundary for district 3 might be Scottsdale Road. Thank you for listening.
10/28/2021 9:43:08	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Libby Settle	85268	Fountain Hills	Please reconsider putting Fountain Hills in the same district as Anthem and Desert Ridge. Fountain Hills is a small community that sits almost an hour away from Anthem. Anthem has very different needs than Fountain Hills and we are very distinct communities. As a Fountain Hills citizen, I do most of my shopping and daily activities in Fountain Hills and neighboring Scottsdale. I don't drive an hour to go to Anthem to shop, play at their parks, etc. It just doesn't make sense to make this redistricting move.
10/28/2021 9:43:15	October 28, 2021	Support 9.0 map for the most representative and fair voting!	Lynn Price	85745	self	We support map 9.0 for voting in the most representative and fair manner! Thank you!
10/28/2021 9:43:16	October 28, 2021	Legislative District Maps	Karen Winfield	85205	Self	OPPOSITION TO LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT MAP FOR MESA. I strongly object to the Legislative District maps for Mesa. Mesa is split into four legislative districts, and each split requires the addition of parts of other cities in order to meet the population requirement. The west fourth of Mesa is blended with Tempe and the northeast quarter is attached to Apache Junction. Neither of these combinations is compatible, in terms of community interests. Even the central part of Mesa was combined with another city. There is no reason to bifurcate Mesa this way, creating incompatible districts by combining large numbers of residents who have little in common. I strongly object to this map. Thank you. Karen Winfield

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:43:18	October 28, 2021	III	Karen Flenniken	86442	Mohave County	<p>Chairman Neuberg and Commissioners Watchman, Mehl, Lerner and York:</p> <p>I live in Bullhead City which is in Mohave County I respectfully submit these comments today in opposition of the proposed Congressional District 9.</p> <p>While our current congressional district isn't perfect, and includes portions of metropolitan Phoenix and Maricopa County, it primarily encompasses more rural geography. The proposed new district, however, highly favors the populated areas of western Maricopa County.</p> <p>While I love visiting Maricopa County, I do not want to be governed by a metropolitan area. Rural is quite a different setting than Sun City West, Surprise, Luke Air Force Base, Goodyear and Buckeye. We should not be lumped in with them.</p> <p>We live in a quiet town with the population of about 41,000 and we all love that small hometown feeling. I like that when I read the newspaper in the morning, I know some of the people they are writing about. We have small churches of every sort and small mom and pop shops with not too many big box stores. Please don't lump us in with the fast paced lifestyle of Maricopa County!</p> <p>This is clearly not representative of the residents of Mohave County, nor the residents of greater La Paz and Yuma Counties. In a district with these demographics, the chances of representation from and for rural communities would be unlikely.</p> <p>All Arizonans matter and all voices should have equal opportunities to be heard. We should not be punished with the potential of under-representation for choosing to live and work in rural Arizona. I urge you to reconsider the proposed alignment of Congressional District 9 in an effort that more fairly represents the rural voice of western Arizona.</p> <p>Sincerely, Karen Flenniken [REDACTED] Bullhead City, AZ 86442</p>
10/28/2021 9:43:46	October 28, 2021	Redistricting LD 23	James Roth	85263	LD 23	<p>Rio Verde , Fountain Hills, and Scottsdale should continue to be in the same legislative district because this area shares a community and economic interest. This is the area where my neighbors and I live, do business, shop, dine, go to church, and participate in community activities. The current district which includes Rio Verde, Fountain Hills and all of Scottsdale accurately represents a community of interest of citizens who live, do business and work together. The proposed inclusion of Desert Ridge and Anthem near I 17 with Rio Verde, and Fountain Hills and separating large parts of Scottsdale from Rio Verde and Fountain Hills does not reflect an actual community with shared interests since my neighbors and I rarely or never go there, do business there, go to church there, or shop there. The communities of Rio Verde, Fountain Hills and Scottsdale are distinct communities and should be represented by people from this area not Desert Ridge or Anthem.</p>
10/28/2021 9:43:54	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Amber Watson	85054	Self	<p>As a nurse I live, work and play in the family friendly Desert Ridge area. High Street is home with it catering to urban, vibrant, young professionals. In stark contrast are the areas of Rio Verde, a rural, active adult golfing community as well as North Scottsdale and Fountain Hills, both elderly, quiet, retirement communities. The Representatives for each of these areas know their unique constituents and what each community needs; lumping areas together with such contrasting cultures is not in our best interest. Referencing the proposed district map, please consider smaller, more manageable, more cohesive areas ensuring The People are fully and appropriately represented. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:44:00	October 28, 2021	Draft maps 9.0, 9.1, 9.2	Nancy Musgrave	85743	Myself	Picture Rocks in the same district as Pinal County towns?! Someone told me about this in the latest draft maps, 9.0, 9.1 and 9.2 and at first I thought it was a sick joke. But you are showing this with all three draft maps in the new LD16. This makes no sense. No sense at all. We residents in Picture Rocks are far away from those towns in Pinal County and have nothing in common with them except that we're all in Arizona. We don't share churches, shopping areas, school systems, community organizations, location, banking or anything else and never will. There's absolutely no common community of interest with those far away towns in Pinal County. We in Picture Rocks need to be in a Pima County-only district with Marana, which we border and do share a common community interest. As a community with no services, we all shop in neighboring Marana, belong to community organizations there, such as the library, our doctors are in Marana, our children even attend their schools. We need to be in the same district as our neighbor, Marana. Putting Picture Rocks in with those towns in Pinal County also means this proposed new district, LD16, will not be competitive. That is unfair and does not comply with the mandate to have competitive districts. There is no circumstance under which Picture Rocks belongs in the same district as Pinal County. These new draft maps are completely unacceptable. Pima and Pinal counties don't belong together under any circumstance. Be fair, as you are expected to be and are mandated to be. Do your jobs honorably and fairly! Don't isolate us from our natural community of Marana.
10/28/2021 9:44:03	October 28, 2021	Legislative district for Oro Valley	Paul Marion	85755	My wife, Susan, and me	Please include Oro Valley in LD draft map 9.0, which puts Oro Valley in D17. Thank you.
10/28/2021 9:44:05	October 28, 2021	III. Public Comments	David Blyth	85268	Self	<p>I am a resident of Fountain Hills. When I leave Fountain Hills to do business, to go shopping or out to eat, to meet friends and family, I go almost exclusively to Scottsdale, North to South. This is because Scottsdale and Fountain Hills share not only a common border, but are also part of the same community of people and businesses.</p> <p>Please do not split up the current legislative district that has served so well the residents of Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde over the years. It is important to keep Scottsdale together as the unique community that it is, and to keep Fountain Hills as part of the same district, so that we can continue to be represented by people that share our common culture, interests, and geography. Thank you kindly for your consideration!</p>
10/28/2021 9:44:07	October 28, 2021	Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission	Gayle Baingo	86336	Myself and Sedona Residents	<p>I do not agree with the new districting map and feel that both West Sedona and Uptown Sedona need to stay together in Yavapai County. Sedona's is more aligned with the values of Prescott which has a strong conservative movement. We have similar community interests and the median age of Sedona is 61, Prescott median age is 58; whereas Flagstaff's median age is 25. It is easier traveling to Prescott in the winter for supplies.</p> <p>Keeping like communities together in the same district makes sense for future planning.</p> <p>I say no to the redistricting map being proposed and request that all Sedona stay together in Yavapai County.</p>
10/28/2021 9:44:10	October 28, 2021	Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission	Shelley Evans	86336	Myself and Sedona Residents	I live in West Sedona and I believe there needs to be full transparency in this day and age on everything you undertake. Redistricting seems to be a blatant gerrymandering tactic. I say no to the redistricting and strongly advise you not to overstep the criteria already in place that guide the districting. Step up and do your due diligence to truly respect and protect the wishes of the people who you are beholden to represent.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:44:17	October 28, 2021	LD6 and LD7	Sharon Edgar	86004	self	<p>Please consider changes to LD6 and LD7 as proposed in a letter and map submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors on 10/27/21. Their proposal makes both LD6 and LD7 competitive and keeps the Flagstaff-Sedona-Verde Valley Community of Interest whole. The map from the Coconino County Board also complies with VRA requirements for a Native American legislative district.</p> <p>In the IRC's Legislative Test Version 8.0, there is a vote spread of over 30% in LD7 and 42% in neighboring LD6. One heavily favors Republicans, and one heavily favors Democrats. The map from Coconino County shows that both districts can be more competitive.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
10/28/2021 9:44:19	October 28, 2021	comment	Allison Mary	85260	myself	<p>October 28, 2021</p> <p>Madame Chairman and Members of the Commission,</p> <p>I live, work, shop and enjoy my chosen home of Scottsdale, Arizona, and have for 31 years.</p> <p>To divide Scottsdale into 3 separate Legislative and/or divide it into different Congressional Districts is a great disservice to this "community of interest".</p> <p>Scottsdale, as a whole, fulfils the criteria of "visible geographic features", and it is a world renowned city and vacation destination.</p> <p>Your proposed District 3, from North Scottsdale all the way to Anthem, would lump 3 separate communities into one. These 3 communities do not share any common interests.</p> <p>Please reconsider splitting Scottsdale!</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration, Allison Mary [REDACTED] Scottsdale, AZ 85260</p>
10/28/2021 9:44:33	October 28, 2021	Redistricting LD23	Jack Gramm	85268	Myself	<p>I'm a Fountain Hills resident concerned with the current proposed redistricting map that would throw Fountain Hills in with communities far flung from Fountain Hills, Rio Verde and East Scottsdale. I do not shop, go to movies, restaurants, gyms, or have any other reason to go so far away from home. We just don't fit with communities way over on I-17. Please reconsider this map and make it more similar to the current one. Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 9:44:38	October 28, 2021	Legislative Maps	Joanne Keene	86336	City of Sedona	<p>On October 27, 2021, the Sedona City Council voted to support the legislative district maps for LD 6 and 7 prepared by Coconino County. These maps do not split Sedona (a city of less than 10,000) into two districts and keep Sedona with the rest of the Verde Valley. The Council also supports joining Flagstaff in a legislative district. We appreciate the consideration of these maps.</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:44:44	October 28, 2021	Proposed District 3	Philip Hubacek	85254	Self	<p>I strongly object to the newly proposed District 3. I live in the current District 15 and feel the current district reflects the community atmosphere and characteristics that relate to my lifestyle and activities.</p> <p>I have no physical or social connection to the Anthem, Rio Verde, Cave Creek, or Fountain Hills areas. I primarily dine and shop within or near the current District 15 and only pass through the Anthem or Fountain Hills areas when traveling to/from Show Low and Flagstaff. I only visit the Cave Creek area during Bike Week. I see no reason those areas should be combined with the area in which I live.</p> <p>I also feel that the proposed District 3 would be too diverse and too large for any effective state representation by only one representative. If there must be redistricting, please consider dividing the new district north and south along Scottsdale Road.</p>
10/28/2021 9:44:47	October 28, 2021	III. Opportunity for Public Comments	John Pio	85268	Self	<p>I live in Fountain Hills but have a strong connection to Scottsdale. I worked in Scottsdale for many years before retiring. I go to church in Scottsdale and frequently shop in Scottsdale and dine in restaurants in Scottsdale. I believe these factors are true for many residents of Fountain Hills and also for Rio Verde. In my opinion Scottsdale is a community with long-standing common interests and inter-relationships that should not be split apart into three separate LD's. I also don't see any reasonable connection between Fountain Hills, Scottsdale, and Rio Verde with Desert Ridge, Anthem, and north Phoenix. Those areas have a distinct character from our more tightly knit communities as well as being geographically distant. Taking all these factors into consideration, Scottsdale should not be split up and should remain with Fountain Hills and Rio Verde in one Legislative District.</p>
10/28/2021 9:44:56	October 28, 2021	Proposed Redistricting Map	Philip Gleason	85263		<p>Dear Members of the Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern over the new redistricting boundaries being suggested for Rio Verde. I noticed that the new redistricting map shows the proposed district boundaries including Rio Verde and Fountain Hills would extend over to the Desert Ridge/ I-17/North Phoenix/ Anthem area. I was confused by this suggestion because as a resident of Rio Verde, I do not consider the concerns or issues that are relevant to Desert Ridge and Anthem and its surrounding really relevant to Rio Verde/Fountain Hills/Scottsdale at all.</p> <p>As a resident of Rio Verde, I do all of my daily errands and shopping in Fountain Hills, my wife and I work in Scottsdale, our children attended school in Scottsdale and did all of their extracurricular activities in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. The Desert Ridge/North Phoenix/Anthem area is not at all a part of our daily lives, activities, or community life.</p> <p>I am very concerned that with these new boundaries district representatives would find it impossible to gauge what issues matter to their voters. The district representative is the member of our state government with whom I should feel that I can pick up the phone and talk with and that person might likely be a neighbor—someone that is a part of my community. The issues along the I-17 and Anthem are not similar to the issues facing Rio Verde and the far Northeast Valley.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter and for listening to my concerns. Philip Gleason</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:45:02	October 28, 2021	Commission Meeting on Redistricting	Phyllis Lerner	85262	Myself as resident of LD23	<p>To the Redistricting Committee,</p> <p>I have been a Scottsdale resident since 1997. I Shop in Scottsdale, Golf in Scottsdale, and Dine in Scottsdale, up and down the Scottsdale Road corridor. This is my community and all of it should remain in my district, NOT split up. We have common needs and interests and should not be divided up and paired with communities of dissimilar needs and interests such as Desert Ridge and Anthem. I would like our representatives to be sensitive to our needs and not those of neighboring communities.</p> <p>Most of my neighbors feel as I do and I hope they express their opinions as well. People are flocking to Scottsdale because of its desirability..... why ruin a good thing.</p> <p>I thank you for your work and service to Arizona but let's keep our very desirable state and communities the way it has been and not try to change it for the worse.</p> <p>Sincerely, Phyllis ☺ Lerner</p>
10/28/2021 9:45:10	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Current District 15 into Revised District 3	Stephen Harris	85310	Myself	<p>I live in Current District 15. I this district is merged with Fountain Hills, Rio Verde and outlying areas, I will lose representation by dilution of misaligned constituents. I like and work far from Rio Verde and Fountain Hills, which are NOT my community. The new district 3 is far too dispersed to be representative of my communities needs.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 9:45:11	October 28, 2021	Draft Map discussion	Priya Sundareshan	85719	self	<p>Please use *in the map drawing process* the Latino coalition's proposal for 8 Latino districts, as well as the Navajo Nation and other tribal nation input. I expect the Independent Redistricting Commission to spend at least as much time considering their input as you have done with the other outside groups' proposals (specifically the SALC supported map, which we are now aware thanks to public reporting was initially a partisan submission). Thank you for having the lawyers meet with the Latino Coalition and Navajo Nation - now please discuss as a Commission in relation having that input reflected in the map drawing.</p>
10/28/2021 9:45:12	October 28, 2021	LD Map	Benjamin Larrabee			<p>I am extremely worried about the current proposed map. Not only does it not fairly represent Citizens of all backgrounds, but cities that are vastly different from one another are grouped together. The map should be redrawn to better represent the citizens of this state.</p>
10/28/2021 9:45:23	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Cynthia Dean	85050	LD15	<p>Redistricting Communities Should NOT Be Together as ONE!</p> <p>The NEW District 3 is too wide for any representative to be effective, you should split it up east/west at Scottsdale Road.</p> <p>I live in Desert Ridge and shop, frequent restaurants, meet up with friends in North Phoenix, why would Fountain Hills and Rio Verde be in my District when they are NOT part of my community?</p> <p>Please strongly consider splitting these two Communities for redistricting, it only makes logical sense.</p>


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:45:30	October 28, 2021	Maping	Denise Smith	86406	Myself	<p>Chairman Neuberg and Commissioners Watchman, Mehl, Lerner and York: I live in Lake Havasu City. I respectfully submit these comments today in opposition of the proposed Congressional District 9.</p> <p>While our current congressional district isn't perfect, and includes portions of metropolitan Phoenix and Maricopa County, it primarily encompasses more rural geography. The proposed new district however, highly favors the populated areas of western Maricopa County.</p> <p>The proposed District 9 does include most of Arizona's west coast, which is appropriate; however, it dovetails into the west valley communities including Sun City West, Surprise, Luke Air Force Base, Goodyear and Buckeye, where at least 70 percent of the proposed district's population reside. This is clearly not representative of the residents of Lake Havasu, nor the residents of greater Mohave, La Paz and Yuma Counties. In a district with these demographics, the chances of representation from and for rural communities would be unlikely.</p> <p>All Arizonans matter and all voices should have equal opportunities to be heard. We should not be punished with the potential of under-representation for choosing to live and work in rural Arizona. I urge you to reconsider the proposed alignment of Congressional District 9 in an effort that more fairly represents the rural voice of western Arizona.</p>
10/28/2021 9:45:30	October 28, 2021	III	Judy Rutkowski	85268	Self	<p>My family and I live in Fountain Hills. My husband & I work in Scottsdale. We shop in Fountain Hills & Scottsdale. I am in Rio Verde almost everyday helping care for horses at a stable. We go to church in Scottsdale. We attend social gatherings in Scottsdale, Rio Verde, & Fountain Hills. We have many close friends and family in Fountain Hills, Scottsdale, & Rio Verde. The Desert Ridge area and Anthem are not part of our usual places to be. People in Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, & Rio Verde share many common issues. I consider these areas to all be part of one community. As such, I believe that they should all be in the same legislative district and have the same representatives/senator in the state legislature. This makes sense from a geographical perspective and from the perspective of us being one community of interest. Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 9:45:46	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Fred Kriwer	85268	Myself	<p>I live in Fountain Hills and do all my shopping, dining and worshipping in Scottsdale or Fountain Hills. I therefore do not want to have my district encompass areas that I never am in to include Anthem, Desert Ridge, Mesa or Tempe. Scottsdale should remain all together and Fountain Hills a part of that district. Thank you</p>
10/28/2021 9:45:47	October 28, 2021	Draft maps decisions	Andy Flach	85749	Self	<p>LD Test Map v9.0 proposed by Commissioner Lerner creates a more contiguous, compact and competitive D17 than the LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 supported by Commissioner Mehl. Creating a safe Republican district in a Democratic county is NOT one of the redistricting goals in the Arizona Constitution. Commissioner Neuberg should side with the Arizona Constitution, not the SALC.</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:45:52	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Maps	Dennis & Betty Burk	85296		We have lived in Gilbert for over 28 years & are active registered voters. We have been actively involved in various types of volunteer work in our community while living here & we are strongly opposed with the current maps for redistricting. Gilbert is being divided into too many parts, we need a unified voice & our interests are at risk. Our community is family orientated with strong religious roots & this will separate us from each other. School districts will be divided & current maps do not respect natural boundaries. Follow the constitution in all 6 areas laid out & keep our community united with the boundaries.
10/28/2021 9:45:52	October 28, 2021	III. Opportunity for Public Comments.	Crystal Bazarnic	85085	myself	In LD test maps 8.0 through 9.2, D28 is uncompetitive due to going west-east instead of south. Please include the I-17 north gateway corridor area from New River down to or past the Loop 101 a more cohesive, compact, and competitive district by keeping our community of interest together. Thank you for separating us from Yavapai County - now we need to be separated from Wickenburg and the rural areas west of Phoenix which we also have no commonalities with. Thank you for listening.
10/28/2021 9:45:59	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Teresa Malo			Scottsdale is a very distinct community and should not be broken into different districts. Anthem is a completely different community and should not be in the same district. Please do include Anthem and Desert Ridge in the same district. The proposed new District 3 would be very difficult for a representative to represent effectively due to its geographical dimensions.
10/28/2021 9:46:00	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Karen Vanderjagt	86404	myself as a voter	<p>Chairman Neuberg and Commissioners Watchman, Mehl, Lerner and York: I live in Lake Havasu. I respectfully submit these comments today in opposition of the proposed Congressional District 9.</p> <p>The proposed District 9 does include most of Arizona's west coast, which is a community that is not like areas such as Sun City West, Surprise, Luke Air Force Base, Goodyear and Buckeye. We have a unique lifestyle which allows us to relate to our own personal beliefs as a community. We are very family oriented, but we also draw in more visitors then all those areas combined. As a result, our paradigm of life and particular needs is in direct opposition to those communities that do not deal with the unique challenges and needs we have here. For example, we are each remote cities with little access to goods and services found in a metropolitan population. That would greatly affect our ability to have our legislator hear our needs especially when we would stand as the minority.</p> <p>Living in a rural community is a choice we made because we don't want to be part of a huge metropolitan area. It has its disadvantages but the advantages out-weigh the disadvantages. For example, crime, is less likely. An attitude of neighbor helping neighbors because we all know each other in some sphere of our lives such as church, political groups, schools, work, community clubs-- these all draw us together. You don't see that in a large, populated metropolis. WE NEED TO HAVE A VOICE! All Arizonans matter and all voices should have equal opportunities to be heard. We should not be punished with the potential of under-representation for choosing to live and work in rural Arizona. I urge you to reconsider the proposed alignment of Congressional District 9 in an effort that more fairly represents the rural voice of western Arizona.</p> <p>Thank you for your action!</p> <p>Karen Vanderjagt Lake Havasu City</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:46:15	October 28, 2021	redistricting proposal	Judith Clegg	85624	self and spouse	As a resident of Patagonia in Santa Cruz County, I am vehemently opposed to the carving up of our small rural county. As a small county, representation in the state legislature is vitally important to making sure that our community's needs are met. The obvious gerrymandering reflected in redrawing the boundaries of our legislative districts will remove our voice from key decision making at the state level. It is hard to imagine that the Davis Monthon voter community will have much interest in our problems down here in Patagonia. Do the right thing, maintain our constitutionally-guaranteed right to fair representation. Thank you.
10/28/2021 9:46:27	October 28, 2021	District Map	Theresa Nordhagen	85255-6606	Self	I live in Scottsdale. Please don't assign communities to the west of my home to my district. I rarely drive west of Scottsdale Road. My children go to school a few miles south of my home and we go to church a few miles to the north. I do all my grocery shopping east of Scottsdale Road. I hike in the McDowell mountains and that is typically the farthest east that I travel also. The proposed redistricting does not make sense to me.
10/28/2021 9:46:31	October 28, 2021	Leg. Redistricting - Public Comments	Mark Depersio	85268	self	I'm a resident of Fountain Hills and oppose redistricting. Scottsdale should be kept whole and Fountain Hills should be part of that district. Fountain Hills is where I work, shop and worship. Effective representation will not be preserved by redistricting.
10/28/2021 9:46:38	October 28, 2021	V	Rob Sweeney	86324	Town of Clarkdale	<p>TOWN OF CLARKDALE'S "COMMUNITY OF INTEREST" STATEMENT REGARDING REDISTRICTING</p> <p>Revised October 26, 2021 in reaction to the Independent Redistricting Commission's Draft Maps</p> <p>The Town of Clarkdale understands that in rural Arizona the best solutions are often regional solutions. We urge the Independent Redistricting Commission's recognition of and actions towards preserving our "Community of Interest" consisting of the Verde Valley municipalities of Camp Verde, Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Jerome, Sedona, the Yavapai-Apache Nation and the unincorporated areas and communities of eastern Yavapai County into one legislative and congressional district. The Town of Clarkdale believes said defined, "Community of Interest" shares common values, interests, and outcomes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic Growth and Sustainability • Infrastructure (Transportation, Broadband) Sharing and Expansion • Healthcare Systems • Natural Resources (Environmental Health, Forest Health, Water Resources including Rivers and Streams) • Tourism <p>As a secondary priority, the Town of Clarkdale requests that the legislative and congressional districts which include the Town of Clarkdale be considered competitive with no partisan entity having an advantage greater than five percent (5%) of political party affiliated registered voters, as currently represented by community draft map LD0013, if inclusive of the entire geographical area of the Verde Valley as defined in the Town's "Community of Interest" Statement.</p>
10/28/2021 9:46:44	October 28, 2021	redistricting map support option v9.0	Dustin D. Farnum		self	We support map v9.0 as the most representative and fair!
10/28/2021 9:46:53	October 28, 2021	Community interest	Charmaine Roth	85263	myself	Fountain Hills or Rio Verde should NOT be part of the North Phoenix legislative district., t Scottsdale should stay whole and Fountain Hills and Rio Verde should be part of Scottsdale's district.

ARIZONA	10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:46:57	October 28, 2021	LD Map	Linda Morenz	85750	self	Version 8 (the SALC version) of the LD map is not acceptable and should be rejected. It weakens the VRA districts. The Latino and Tribal coalitions should have more input. Districts are not competitive or compact. District 17 appears to have been drawn just to increase Republican influence and the executive director of SALC confirmed that in an interview with Capital Media Services published in the 10/27/21 Arizona Daily Star. This is not acceptable or fair.
10/28/2021 9:47:17	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Scottsdale	Suzanne Welton	85262	Concerned Citizen	<p>I live in Desert Mountain, shop in North Scottsdale, and go to church in Scottsdale. I don't go to Desert Ridge or Anthem, and they should not be in my district. These areas are not part of my community and should not be merged into my district. They do not encounter the same issues or needs as my community.</p> <p>The new District 3 is too wide for any representative to be effective because the issues vary disproportionately between the communities.</p> <p>It isn't right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it with other communities – Scottsdale is internationally known as a unique community and splitting it up this way will destroy its special flavor.</p> <p>The communities are very distinct and I should be represented by people near to my area that are dealing with my local issues.</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale and want to be represented by others that live in Scottsdale. I do not live, work, or participate in any activities in Desert Ridge or Anthem and DO NOT want to be represented by those that are located there.</p> <p>Respectfully, Suzanne Welton Concerned citizen</p>
10/28/2021 9:47:34	October 28, 2021	redistricting LHC with western suburbs of Phoenix!!	Elaine Vanderboom	86406		I strongly disapprove of this plan!!
10/28/2021 9:47:41	October 28, 2021	Redistricting North Phoenix	Diane Niemann	85050	My community	I live in North Phoenix. My friends and I shop, bank, get car service, go to church and activities, get entertainment all in mid-Phoenix and Scottsdale. I object to moving our representation and community to include far north communities I have never been to. It makes no logical sense.
10/28/2021 9:47:50	October 28, 2021	District 3 - Scottsdale and Fountain Hills	Susan Leeper	85260	myself	Scottsdale should remain intact as a city. It should not be divided. Fountain Hills should be included in this district. These two communities share common interests and values and are concerned about similar issues and problems. Don't muddy the waters by throwing in areas outside Scottsdale and Fountain Hills that have different outlooks and issues.
10/28/2021 9:47:55	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Laurie Gonzalez	85233-5738	Myself and the community of Gilbert, AZ	I have lived in Gilbert for 20 years and I love walking my dogs in the evening and feeling safe in my quiet neighborhood. I live alone and am terrified that redistricting will bring in crime, drugs and illegal aliens. I am a very social person and find that my neighborly values are shared with so many people in my community and I feel accepted and secure. I moved from Mesa because of the crime and even had a gun pulled on me, and prior to that I lived in Tempe and had my car broken into multiple times. I am self employed and am NOW worried about not being able to afford to move to a safer area if you are seriously planning on breaking up and destroying my peaceful Gilbert. So much is changing in America and up until I heard about the redistricting I have always felt very safe and happy in Gilbert. I am BEGGING you not to redistrict. I am praying that you consider the potential harm that you could cause to me and the rest of the Gilbert community. Sincerely, Laurie Gonzalez


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:48:01	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Peter Volny	85268	Peter Volny & Linda Goddard-Volny	I live in Fountain Hills. I shop and dine in Fountain Hills and Scottsdale. I never go to Desert Ridge or Anthem, they should not be in my district. The demographic composition of those communities is substantially different to Fountain Hills & Scottsdale.
10/28/2021 9:48:16	October 28, 2021	Fountain Hills Redistricting	Shaun Settle	85268	Fountain Hills	As a Fountain Hills resident, I live, shop, and work in Fountain Hills. I can't remember the last time I was in Anthem outside of passing by it when driving to Flagstaff on the I-17. We are two very distinct communities and represent vastly different ideals. It is unrealistic to combine these two areas into the same district.
10/28/2021 9:48:17	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision Legislative Map	Diane Nevill	85715	myself	As described in the Oct 27 Arizona Daily Star article, Commissioner Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council have proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The Arizona Constitution does not have that goal as a legitimate redistricting goal.
10/28/2021 9:48:25	October 28, 2021	map 9.0	Susan Anderson	85742	self	I live in Pima County Precinct 40 which is an unincorporated suburban neighborhood between Marana and Oro Valley. For my community map 9.0 is the best map presented thus far. If the goal is to have Marana and Oro Valley in one legislative district, this map is good because it includes ALL of Marana and ALL of Oro Valley. It makes no sense to me to have just a portion of Marana joined with just a portion of Oro Valley as shown in maps 9.1 and 9.2. The 9.0 map creates a compact LD, meeting one of the IRC goals. It is a competitive LD, thus meeting a second IRC goal.
10/28/2021 9:48:34	October 28, 2021	Proposed Redistricting Map	Ray Heiman	85263		<p>Dear Members of the Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern over the new redistricting boundaries being suggested for Rio Verde. I noticed that the new redistricting map shows the proposed district boundaries including Rio Verde and Fountain Hills would extend over to the Desert Ridge/ I-17/North Phoenix/ Anthem area. I was confused by this suggestion because as a resident of Rio Verde, I do not consider the concerns or issues that are relevant to Desert Ridge and its surrounding really relevant to Rio Verde/Fountain Hills/Scottsdale at all.</p> <p>As a resident of Rio Verde, I do all of my daily errands and shopping in Fountain Hills. We have been wintering in Scottsdale for 20 years and have moved permanently to Rio Verde. Our grandchildren children attended school in Scottsdale and did all of their extracurricular activities in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. The Desert Ridge/North Phoenix/Anthem area is not at all a part of our daily lives, activities, or community life.</p> <p>I am very concerned that with these new boundaries district representatives would find it impossible to gauge what issues matter to their voters. The district representative is the member of our state government with whom I should feel that I can pick up the phone and talk with and that person might likely be a neighbor—someone that is a part of my community. The issues along the I-17 and Anthem are not similar to the issues facing Rio Verde and the far Northeast Valley.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter and for listening to my concerns. Ray Heiman</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:48:37	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Anthem	Nancy Wood	85086		<p>Dear Members of the Independent Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>I want to thank you for separating Anthem from Yavapai County. It gives me hope that those of us who live in Anthem will have a legislative district with a better balance in our communities of interest and be more politically competitive. I urge you to consider the fact that our community of interest not only extends south from Carefree Highway, but also seeks more political balance within Maricopa County.</p> <p>I am asking you to please reconsider placing Anthem in LD28. LD28 is largely rural Arizona. Its largest town, Wickenburg, is about 60 miles from metro Phoenix. On the other hand, Anthem is within the Phoenix metropolitan area. A large portion of Anthem is part of Phoenix. Phoenix is where many Anthem residents work, shop and play. Our community interests are much different than those of rural Arizona.</p> <p>I am asking the Commission to please place Anthem in a legislative district with our neighboring communities. Please make LD28 a more balanced and competitive district. To help achieve that, I would suggest that LD28 should go down I-17 to or beyond the northern Loop 101. Thank you for all of your work, including asking for and listening to community input.</p> <p>Respectfully, Nancy Wood</p>
10/28/2021 9:48:40	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Vicki Martin	85268	Myself	I stand against redistributing Fountain Hills with the Desert Ridge area. I live in Fountain Hills and rarely visit the Desert Ridge area. I see no reasoning to do this.
10/28/2021 9:48:41	October 28, 2021	V.	Virginia Dotson	85902	Self	To comply with the spirit of the Voting Rights Act, you should provide "technology translators," as you do with language translators, to help people participate in the redistricting process who aren't able to use your technology. This would serve Tribal and other residents in rural areas with poor internet service, people who don't have access to computers, the elderly, and others who have no background in the technologies you are using. To protect these peoples' rights, you should hire technicians to scan hand-drawn maps and integrate them into your data base. Thank you.
10/28/2021 9:48:51	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Judith Reisman	85737	self	Map 9.0 is somewhat better than the later iterations 9.1 and 9.2. At least this 9.0 map makes for a more balanced LD 17 instead of trying to ensure that one political party has the advantage. When I went to school, that was called gerrymandering! Arizona should be better than that. Thank you.
10/28/2021 9:48:52	October 28, 2021	. Redistricting North Phoenix	Deena Gauharou	85085		Our community needs in North Phoenix are very different from the other areas proposed in this redistricting. By lumping us all together our concerns here in North Phoenix will be drowned out. We need to have a voice where we live and closest to the city which will be making decisions that effect us as a community. Don't take away our voice.
10/28/2021 9:49:11	October 28, 2021	IRC Redistricting	Cara Kretz	86336	myself	The current LD map being considered puts West Sedona and VOC in LD5 with Prescott, and puts Sedona Uptown/Tourist Center and parts of 179 in LD 7 with Snowflake. We need the entire city of Sedona be part of District 5 with Prescott. We do not want Sedona divided since Sedona is part of the Verde Valley communities of interest and should be kept together. Dividing Sedona like this will cause a great disservice to its residents and lots of confusion.
10/28/2021 9:49:37	October 28, 2021	Leg District Draft map	Sarah Dorn	85260	Public Comment	I am asking you to reconsider the district 8 boundaries. It makes no sense. I live in Scottsdale and it barely represents my community most of the places we do business and my children's schools will no longer be in our district. Our area is completely different than Tempe and has completely different issues and concerns. My neighborhood and community's concerns will not be represented if the current district are adopted.


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:49:37	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Greg Blaire	85255	myself	I have major concerns with how the current LD3 (LD23) map is drawn. The communities west of Scottsdale Road are far different with different needs from us. They aren't part of our community and stretches into Phoenix. I and my friends live, work, trade and worship all east of Scottsdale road all within the city of Scottsdale - NOT Phoenix. Broadening LD3 beyond Scottsdale road and into Phoenix would have a severe impact on how our needs are represented directly and by our government representatives. Thank you so much for your time.
10/28/2021 9:49:40	October 28, 2021	Opportunity for public comments	Ashok Santhanam	85260	Myself	<p>I live in north Scottsdale. Almost all my activities including working, shopping, eating out, playing golf, going to the gym, visiting doctors/dentists etc happen in Scottsdale, ranging from north Scottsdale and Mayo Clinic/Fountain Hills to Old Town Scottsdale. My family moved to Scottsdale from northern California because of the uniqueness of the Scottsdale community. We rarely have reason to venture out beyond Scottsdale into Phoenix or Tempe.</p> <p>I am opposed to the current plan to split Legislative District 23, which neatly captures the community we chose to move to, into three separate districts (LD 3, LD 4 and LD 8). The proposed plan splits up a unique community into three without any rationale. The proposed district 3, for example, is extremely wide from east to west and combines Fountain Hills, north Scottsdale and Phoenix communities like Desert Ridge and Anthem - these communities have little in common with one another and are far too diverse for any representative to effectively serve the community. Similarly, Tempe has little in common with Scottsdale.</p> <p>I believe Scottsdale is a unique community - not just in metro Phoenix but nationally - and this should be considered for purposes of political representation. For redistricting purposes I would propose keeping Scottsdale together without any part of Phoenix or Tempe.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 9:49:50	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Lisa Renfree	85260	Scottsdale	My family and I live in North Scottsdale. Our children have attended Catholic schools in this area, we shop, dine and work within 10 min of our home. Scottsdale is unique and the proposed redistricting plan will destroy its uniqueness.
10/28/2021 9:50:00	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision Legislative Map	Diane Nevill	85715	myself	Commissioner Lerner's LD Test Map v9.0 makes District 17 a very competitive district, and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly (14 Democratic seats & 16 Republican seats). The map creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8 point vote margin. In contrast, Commissioner Mehl's LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district, and only have 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria. The statewide balance under Mehl's maps would tilt the state totals even further toward the Republicans (13 Democratic seats and 17 Republican seats).


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:50:14	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Sandi Bartlett	85233	myself	<p>These maps unacceptable.</p> <p>I live in Gilbert and you taking the NW corner of Gilbert and splitting it into sections with Mesa and part into Ahwatukee.</p> <p>The NW section of Gilbert is the heart of Gilbert. We have nothing in common with Mesa nor Ahwatukee. I don't know what the goal is here but splitting these cities is just not fair it is outrageous.</p> <p>I pay taxes in Gilbert. I go to restaurants in Gilbert. I shop in Gilbert. We moved here because of the small-town atmosphere and the people who we associate with.</p> <p>It is disturbing that you would consider dividing the east valley cities into unrecognizable regions.</p> <p>Your goal is to keep cities together so we can be represented by common interests. These maps fall short of your goal to keep communities together.</p> <p>Redraw the lines NOW to show fairness. Gilbert must not be torn apart.</p> <p>Sandi Bartlett Concerned Gilbert Resident</p>
10/28/2021 9:50:15	October 28, 2021	Independent Redistricting Commission (District 3)	Linda Scorzo	85258		<p>I live in McCormick Ranch. I go to church in the neighborhood, 2 miles away. I don't go to Desert Ridge or Anthem. They should not be in my district. The new District 3 border is too wide for any representative to be effective. You should place the western border at/near Scottsdale Road (the current western border of Scottsdale).</p> <p>It's not right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it to other communities. Scottsdale is nationally known as a unique community and splitting it up this way will destroy its special character. Thank you, Linda Scorzo</p>
10/28/2021 9:50:32	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Richard Steenis	85032	self	<p>The proposed district 3 boundaries are not logical since they incorporate North Phoenix, and a huge part of Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde and they are very diverse areas. The proposed boundaries have no logical partitioning based on city-related areas and natural geographic boundaries and are very diverse. District 15 currently provides for a logical partitioning and should be left as currently defined.</p> <p>It makes more sense if Districts 2, 3 and 4 were adjusted to take in more population further North to accommodate the growth rather than expanding East-West.</p>
10/28/2021 9:50:39	October 28, 2021	LD 9 VOTES NO on going down into the CITY FINAL DRAFT MAP	Linda Evans, MFA	85718	Legislative District 9 Pima County	<p>IRC Commissioners,</p> <p>Legislative District 9 in Pima County has consistently rejected ANY map of ANY kind which has placed us below the Rillito River. You torment us! You ask about our "communities of interest" in both Tours 1 & 2. We answer with a resounding "Do NOT place us into the City of Tucson." We have created maps which follow the AZ Constitution, such as my map "Indigo."</p> <p>Again we tell you we have NOT had GOP representation for a decade. You express the beauty of 1/3 R; 1/3 D; 1/3 IND, but again we say Independents are 70% Dems. Only 9.0 gives us a chance for Representation. We reject 9.1 & 9.2. Go TOWARD a Final Map more like Draft 2.0 or 6.1</p> <p>Thank you, Linda Evans Candidate State House LD9 Pima County Redistricting</p>


ARIZONA	10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:50:53	October 28, 2021	LD Boundries	Nancy Ordowski	85268	Myself	<p>I live in Fountain Hills, my community of interest is the areas of Fountain Hills and Scottsdale. This is where I receive medical care, shop, worship and my community attend local schools. It is important that lines at least move to Scottsdale Rd as a boundary on the west side. I understand compromise is necessary and I support that issue. It is important we keep Fountain Hills and most of Scottsdale in the same district.</p> <p>Except when driving too Sedona, I have no community contact with Anthem and the communities west of Cave Creek.</p> <p>Thank you for taking responsibility in this difficult job.</p> <p>Nancy</p>
10/28/2021 9:50:54	October 28, 2021	VI - Draft Map Decision / Legislative Map Drawing	Jordynne Jarvis	85251		<p>As it is now (series 9), the map butchers Scottsdale - dividing this one city amongst 3 legislative districts. These districts blatantly ignore the "communities of interest" clause in the state's constitution, with notable examples being 1.) the exclusion of residential areas along N. Pima Road from D4, and 2.) the merging of Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, and Desert Ridge into a single legislative district (D3).</p> <p>In an indirect democracy, elected officials are trusted to represent their constituents, but this map doesn't allow for that. Gerrymandering parts of South Scottsdale into D8 and parts of North Phoenix into D3 either tasks their future legislators with the impossible (uniting and representing two distinctly different communities) or it silences the voters in those N. Phoenix and S. Scottsdale areas.</p> <p>This iteration of the redistricting map has done D2, D3, D4, and D8 a disservice. But, seeing that D4 has 7,000 less voters than the two districts to its west (D1 & D2) and 10,000 less voters than the district south of it (D8), there's clearly room for modifying the boundaries as they're currently drawn.</p>
10/28/2021 9:50:57	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	K Walker	85255	Self	<p>My comments are regarding the Scottsdale redistricting maps. I am opposed to two primary issues: 1) splitting the maps into three sections, and 2) expanding the north section from Fountain Hills to I-17! These make no sense in terms of representing the people in my community. There should only be two sections - north and south Scottsdale, and the border should be at Scottsdale Road. I live, eat, shop and attend entertainment events in Scottsdale and I want my representation in Scottsdale, not from an area that geographically does not support my needs. Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 9:51:01	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Janis Flavin	85263	Myself	<p>The new map showing District 3 is breaking up established communities! Rio Verde and Fountain Hills SHOULD NOT be connected with Desert Ridge & I-17. I live in Rio Verde and shop in Fountain Hills and Scottsdale, not Desert Ridge or Anthem. The proposed map is breaking up our community, and I strongly urge that you do not do this! Thank you!</p>
10/28/2021 9:51:12	October 28, 2021	Proposed Congressional District 9	Cil Jennings	86406	Lake Havasu City resident	<p>I live in Lake Havasu City. I am commenting today in opposition to the currently proposed Congressional District 9 map.</p> <p>Rural Arizona communities have very different interests and needs that our Congressperson must represent. Our current district isn't perfect, but at least most of it is comprised of rural Arizona residents. Your current map has added a large section of Maricopa County residents, so that the majority of voters live in the urban areas of Arizona. This will result in less attention to the needs and interests of our rural communities.</p> <p>I urge you to reconsider the proposed alignment of Congressional District 9 in an effort that more fairly represents the rural voice of western Arizona.</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:51:13	October 28, 2021	Redistricting the north Phoenix area	Cindy Tappendorf		My community in North Phoenix	<p>We need to keep a North Phoenix district. It makes no sense to spread Scottsdale over 3 districts - the north Phoenix community and the Scottsdale community are very different in many ways. It makes no sense to combine them. To me, just adding more people to the north of each of these districts makes the most sense and keeps communities together.</p> <p>When I shared this with my young adult children, they said, "Isn't that gerrymandering?" It certainly appears that that is what it is - "the practice of redrawing electoral districts to gain an electoral advantage for a political party." They learned that in their government class and still remembered it! How sad to recognize it in action in this agenda. I ask you to please keep the districts as they are and only expand northward as the growth has in 2,3, and 4. This keeps communities together and keeps the "political" out of it. Thank you for considering my concerns. I pray you will do the right thing for the people these districts represent.</p>
10/28/2021 9:51:54	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision Legislative Map	Diane Nevill	85715	myself	<p>Commissioner Mehl's district D17 as shown in LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 makes a mockery of the constitutional goal of using geographical features as district boundaries. They create a district D17 that is really two geographically separate areas divided by an unpopulated and roadless portion of Catalina Mountains. This may be the only district on the LD map where you would have to leave the district and drive over 45 minutes to get from one population center to another.</p>
10/28/2021 9:51:56	October 28, 2021	LD MAPS	Hyatt Simpson	85749	self	<p>LD Test maps V.9.1 and 9.2 are gerrymandering in action—such contortions to placate one special interest group (SALC), to the detriment of the Public's interests and those of the Navajo Nation, the Latino Coalition, and Tucson's communities.</p> <p>It bears repeating that the AIRC's constitutional mandate is to create FAIR and COMPETITIVE maps. Respecting the VRA helps assure fairness. Competitive does not mean equal numbers of heavily skewed districts. Truly competitive districts will help deter the extremism that has infected the ruling party of this state, and dragged them down a rabbit hole of conspiracy theories, perpetuating the worst of political extremism.</p> <p>Commissioner Lerner's LD Test Map v9.0 makes District 17 a very competitive district, and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly (14 Democratic seats & 16 Republican seats). The map creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8 point vote margin. In contrast, Commissioner Mehl's LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district, and only have 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria. The statewide balance under Mehl's maps would tilt the state totals even further toward the Republicans (13 Democratic seats and 17 Republican seats).</p> <p>Commissioner Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council have proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The Arizona Constitution does not have that goal as a legitimate redistricting goal.</p> <p>I OBJECT to the huge swath of the area east of Tucson, including most of the Tanque Verde Valley, being sacrificed to Commissioner Mehl's desire to keep Oro Valley and Marana together! The residents of the Tanque Verde Valley deserve to be in a district that reflects our social and economic ties to Tucson, and should not be plopped into a giant, amorphous rural district. If the majority on the IRC adopts one of Mehl's preferred maps, it will make a mockery of the independence of the Commission and create distrust of the concept going forward. Did you take an oath to uphold the constitution of AZ and the USA? Please honor your oath!</p>


ARIZONA	10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:52:02	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Public Comments - Scottsdale	Bruce Linker	85260	Myself	<p>Scottsdale is clearly the major town for Arizona tourism. We have an international reputation. This is the result of welcoming communities with many and varied attractions from participation sports to spectator sports. It would be a crime to shatter the crown jewel of Arizona. Scottsdale is the "Golden Goose that lays Golden Eggs all day every day." The state is a major recipient of the tax dollars and the citizens who work in Scottsdale are major recipients of the tourist dollars. Many tourists choose to resettle here in their working and or retirement years, again benefiting the state. The citizens of Scottsdale have a true sense of community. When a fire house needs to be built or a traffic situation needs to be reconstructed the large expense is put up to a bond issue vote to all of the Scottsdale citizens not just those around the area. This keeps the character of the town.</p> <p>Why would 5 people who sit on a panel convened every 10 years for the purpose of redistricting the state want to cut up a town into pieces. As residents of Scottsdale we urge you to keep Scottsdale together as a single entity.</p>
10/28/2021 9:52:03	October 28, 2021	Pubic comment	Lina Hatch	85233	Lina Hatch	<p>I am commenting on my community of interest. We have lived in Gilbert 45 years. My husband has been a realtor for 40 years, specializing in Gilbert. Our children and grandchildren attend Gilbert schools, we attend a Gilbert church, we shop mostly in Gilbert, our healthcare providers and clinics are in Gilbert, we vote for Gilbert town council, we vote for Gilbert school board, we bank in Gilbert. In 2010 we were divided out of LD22. Our small area is W of Cooper and S of Baseline. We feel we do not belong in LD17 because we have no representation or cohesion - not in schools, churches, town council, school board, shopping, medical needs, etc. The latest map has divided Gilbert even more! This puts our community of interest at risk! This is NOT acceptable! We want our LD to reflect our community of interest her in Gilbert!</p>
10/28/2021 9:52:09	October 28, 2021	Proposed Redistricting Map	Jacqueline Heiman	85263		<p>Dear Members of the Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern over the new redistricting boundaries being suggested for Rio Verde. I noticed that the new redistricting map shows the proposed district boundaries including Rio Verde and Fountain Hills would extend over to the Desert Ridge/ I-17/North Phoenix/ Anthem area. I was confused by this suggestion because as a resident of Rio Verde, I do not consider the concerns or issues that are relevant to Desert Ridge and its surrounding really relevant to Rio Verde/Fountain Hills/Scottsdale at all.</p> <p>As a resident of Rio Verde, I do all of my daily errands and shopping in Fountain Hills. We have been wintering in Scottsdale for 20 years and have moved permanently to Rio Verde. Our grandchildren attended school in Scottsdale and did all of their extracurricular activities in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. The Desert Ridge/North Phoenix/Anthem area is not at all a part of our daily lives, activities, or community life.</p> <p>I am very concerned that with these new boundaries as district representatives would find it impossible to gauge what issues matter to their voters. The district representative is the member of our state government with whom I should feel that I can pick up the phone and talk with and that person might likely be a neighbor—someone that is a part of my community. The issues along the I-17 and Anthem are not similar to the issues facing Rio Verde and the far Northeast Valley.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter and for listening to my concerns. Jacqueline Heiman</p>
10/28/2021 9:52:17	October 28, 2021	Redistrict Maps	Gerry Friedel	85268	Myself and neighbors	<p>I and several others live in Fountain Hills, and go to church in Scottsdale. I don't go to Desert Ridge or Anthem, they should not be in my district. The new District 3 is too wide for any representative to be effective. You should split it up north/south at Scottsdale Road.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:52:27	October 28, 2021	IRC	Mitch Wentzel	85027	Myself	My wife and I have lived in the same home in the current LD-15 for over 35 years. The current proposed redrawing of Ld-15 does not make sense to me and I do not believe that we will be adequately and fairly represented by this new proposed LD-15 redistricting. We currently live between 7th Ave. and 19th Ave. and Beardsley Rd. and Deer Valley Rd. We live in a "food desert" in this area. I do not believe that economic development in this area will improve with the proposed redrawing of this district. I am opposed to the current proposed redistricting of LD-15
10/28/2021 9:52:28	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Margaret Vaughn	85715	LD10	Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 purposefully splits Tanque Verde from the parts of Tucson where Tanque Verde residents are most likely to work, shop, worship and socialize, in order to prioritize Commissioner Mehl's partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. In contrast Lerner's districts D17 and D18 in LD Test Map v9.0 keep local communities together. I've lived here for 7 years and hate to see my district divided in this strange way.
10/28/2021 9:52:54	October 28, 2021	LD27 and LD28 definition	David Bartholoma	85310	David & Carolyn Bartholoma	I live in an area between Happy Valley Road and Pinnacle Peak that is currently in LD15. The current proposal (i.e., draft 8) is that this area would be placed in LD28. This would include Wickenburg and many outlying small towns. However, our "village" is more consistent with the area defined in LD27. We shop, have other family members, and engage in LD27. Please consider placing the area between Happy Valley & 67th Ave to Happy Valley and 35th Ave and south to Greenway in LD27.
10/28/2021 9:53:36	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision Legislative Map	Diane Nevill	85715	myself	Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 purposefully splits Tanque Verde from the parts of Tucson where Tanque Verde residents are most likely to work, shop, worship and socialize, in order to prioritize Commissioner Mehl's partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. In contrast Lerner's districts D17 and D18 in LD Test Map v9.0 keep local communities together.
10/28/2021 9:53:51	October 28, 2021	Redistricting agenda	James McCabe	86336	yourself	We need to keep Sedona as one district. Flagstaff is it's own college town with an average age forty years below the residential age of Sedona. During the winter months Flagstaff is dangerous and difficult, not to mention the other months when students flood the town. I believe that counties should be kept together and not divided. It should be noted, that we spend the majority of our time in Prescott. My wife and I own two homes, and therefore pay double taxes which makes this more relevant. Thank you for your consideration. Jim McCabe
10/28/2021 9:53:51	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	kathryn schrag	85624	myself and my family	We moved from Pima County to Patagonia 20 years ago. Part of the reason was because we wanted the intimacy of small towns, knowing our communities and our neighbors. An informed public is necessary for a health democracy. Please do not divide up our county.
10/28/2021 9:53:59	October 28, 2021	IRC districts	sondra duncan	85268	self	It does not make sense to put Fountain Hills & Anthem into the same district. The geographic distance between the two is vast & we have have different composition of voters & community interests.
10/28/2021 9:54:20	October 28, 2021	Opportunity for public comment	Revathi Santhanam	85260	Myself	<p>I live in Scottsdale near Frank Lloyd Wright Blvd and highway 101. Almost all my activities - shopping, eating out, going to the gym, visiting doctors/dentists etc - happen in Scottsdale. My husband and I moved to Scottsdale from northern California because of the uniqueness of the Scottsdale community. I rarely venture beyond Scottsdale.</p> <p>I don't agree with the current plan to split Legislative District 23 - which encompasses Scottsdale and Fountain Hills and captures the community we chose to move to - into three separate districts (LD 3, LD 4 and LD 8). The proposed plan splits up a unique community without any rationale. The proposed district 3, for example, is extremely wide from east to west and combines Fountain Hills, north Scottsdale and Phoenix communities like Desert Ridge and Anthem - these communities have little in common with one another and are far too diverse for any representative to effectively serve the community. Similarly, Tempe has little in common with Scottsdale.</p> <p>I believe Scottsdale is a unique community - not just in metro Phoenix but nationally - and this should be considered for purposes of political representation. I believe redistricting can be better accomplished by keeping Scottsdale together as one district, or by splitting the current LD 23 into two districts without changing current district borders.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:54:47	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Kathleen Sylvie	85268-5416	Myself	I am a resident of Fountain Hills. I shop at the majority of stores, hair salons, banks, restaurants and medical facilities in town. My husband and I also frequent restaurants in North Scottsdale. The furthest northwest point we frequent is Whole Foods on Scottsdale Rd. We do not visit the stores at Desert Ridge or areas North and West. We do not shop at any outlets in the Tempe or Mesa areas. It is critical to Fountain Hills residents that Scottsdale remain whole and include Fountain Hills in that district. Expanding District 3 would prohibit supportive and effective legislature for my family and other Fountain Hills residents.
10/28/2021 9:55:18	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision Legislative Map	Diane Nevill	85715	myself	Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 is ridiculously distended and fragmented for a district whose voters all live in relatively densely populated suburban areas. Marana/Oro Valley is a long drive from Tanque Verde down to Vail, and these two geographically distinct suburban areas don't have much to do with each other. In contrast, Lerner's D17 in LDv9.0 has all of its suburban residents grouped together in a compact and contiguous area.
10/28/2021 9:55:27	October 28, 2021	kathleendubbs [REDACTED]	Kathleen Dubbs	85745	Self	I would have loved to listen to the meeting today, but unfortunately I could not get any audio. I would like to say that I encourage you to adopt version 9.0 of the Tucson area map, as it is the most competitive and sensible
10/28/2021 9:56:11	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Richard Kulibert	85624-0432	Concerned Citizen	Please do not allow redistricting
10/28/2021 9:56:15	October 28, 2021	District 15	Joel Steenis	85032		The proposed district 3 boundaries are not logical since they incorporate North Phoenix, and a huge part of Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde and they are very diverse areas. The proposed boundaries have no logical partitioning based on city-related areas and natural geographic boundaries and are very diverse. District 15 currently provides for a logical partitioning and should be left as currently defined. It makes more sense if Districts 2, 3 and 4 were adjusted to take in more population further North to accommodate the growth rather than expanding East-West.
10/28/2021 9:56:16	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Judith Gogolin	85259	Scottsdale	I find it hard to believe that the entire area of Rio Verde, Fountain Hills and Scottsdale could all be effectively represented if included with Anthem and Desert Ridge. It is huge! 1. These communities are distinctly different 2. I want representation for my community that matches my needs 3. I live, work, shop, go to church, look for activities almost exclusively in the community I live in--Scottsdale. I would never go to Anthem. Desert Ridge is a place I have not been to in at least 3 years. 4. As a tour guide for 35 years, I know that Scottsdale is seen as unique and special. It draws people from around the world. But, we residents see it that way, too, and want to preserve what we have with representation that is looking out for our needs. Please don't split Scottsdale up.
10/28/2021 9:56:36	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Nohl Rosen	85390	Self	After looking at your draft maps it seems like the commission isn't hearing the needs of Wickenburg. We don't wish to be in District 28 or in District 9. We need to be in the same district as Congress, AZ which is District 5 and 2. Morristown can also come with us as well. Both Wickenburg and Morristown are roping communities and Congress is as well. We all have that strong bond as rural communities as well. Why does this commission keep insisting on breaking us up or splitting up Wickenburg into 2 separate districts both congressionally and legislatively? All 3 of these communities should be together. The commission also needs to keep in mind that Wickenburg Ranch is also a part of the town which is another big reason why we need to all be in one district preferably on the Yavapai side of the line.
10/28/2021 9:56:51	October 28, 2021	Redistricting maps under consideration	Aaron Hellman	85711	myself, resident of Pima County	Arizona must have fair and equal representation in the districting of the legislative and congressional districts. The IRC should not consider a map that is heavily business and Republican leaning, does not consider the interests of the Tribal Nations (who are important participants in our state's future), and violates the IRC goal of having contiguous geographical areas within a legislative district. Republican commissioner Mehl (who founded the SALC) should not be granted the approval of a map that serves his Republican interests. Each legislative and congressional district should be based on communities of interest who share natural resources and economies.

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:56:59	October 28, 2021	Redistricting draft	Bridgette Harp	85331		Regarding the redistricting draft, we live about 5 miles from Desert Ridge marketplace at Tatum and Dynamite. The drafted redistricting map is extended too wide for any 1 rep to be effective and to appropriately address the needs and concerns of the community, especially with the newly developing communities within this same 5 -7 mile radius. Due to where we live, shop and worship everything is within a 7 mile radius of Desert Ridge. So I do not think it would be prudent to include Rio Verde and Fountain Hills in the Desert Ridge district. Thank you for your consideration.
10/28/2021 9:57:43	October 28, 2021	Redistricting District 3	David Leeper	85260	self	Scottsdale is a nationally known unique community. My wife and I have lived in Scottsdale almost 30 years now, and dividing our community into 3 sections would destroy the cohesion of its residents and weaken our representation. Your proposed District 3 is too big for a Scottsdale representative to be effective. The communities you have drawn into District 3 are distinct and should not be all jammed together. Please change your proposed map to keep Scottsdale residents together! As I recall, the AIRC tried to split up Scottsdale 10 years ago, and now the AIRC is attempting to do it again. I urge you NOT to split up Scottsdale. You can do much better!
10/28/2021 9:58:04	October 28, 2021	Maps	Misty Atkins	85737	Self	Please don't disregard the Navajo Nation input nor the ideas of the Latino Coalition. By favoring a map put forward by a special interest group, you invalidate the entire process. Thank you for your effort to make fair and competitive maps.
10/28/2021 9:58:08	October 28, 2021	LD27 and LD Definition	David Bartholoma	85310	David & Carolyn Bartholom	Note: This is to correct an error in the previous comment. Greenway should be replaced by Pinnacle Peak rd in last sentence. Previous comment should read: I live in an area between Happy Valley Road and Pinnacle Peak that is currently in LD15. The current proposal (i.e., draft 8) is that this area would be placed in LD28. This would include Wickenburg and many outlying small towns. However, our "village" is more consistent with the area defined in LD27. We shop, have other family members, and engage in LD27. Please consider placing the area between Happy Valley & 67th Ave to Happy Valley and 35th Ave and south to Pinnacle Peak in LD27.
10/28/2021 9:58:15	October 28, 2021	Redistrict	Rich warnol	85085	My family	We need to stay separate from rio verde and fountain hills and even north Scottsdale as we have very different communities. I live off the 17 freeway on Sonoran foothills and rarely enter these other cities. My kids have been a part of PVUSD and feel that it run better than Scottsdale and fountain hill schools. We eat in these areas around our house and around the kids schools and don't eat or even visit those other cities. Please don't lump us all together as it will be a terrible thing for all these cities involved.
10/28/2021 9:58:49	October 28, 2021	Redistricting of Santa Cruz County	Catherine Kulibert	85624	Self	As a resident of Patagonia since 2018, I strongly oppose the redistricting of Santa Cruz County and support fair elections for all US citizens, no matter their race, gender, chosen life style, political party, or any other human characteristic that might be used as a basis for prejudice and/or voter suppression.

ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 9:59:01	October 28, 2021	VI	Lee Nichols	85750		<p>Please reconsider LD Test Map V. 8.0. It does not comply with many of the requirements of redistricting.</p> <p>Of particular interest to me is D17. It is not compact. Mammoth to Marana and past Vail is not compact. There are 73 miles between Mammoth and Vail alone.</p> <p>It is not contiguous. The Santa Catalina Mountains are right in the middle of the district. There isn't an easy way around the mountain range. Its borders do not use visible geographic features. It crosses a county line. I-10 is not used as a border.</p> <p>Community of interests are not met with this map. Vail has nothing in common with Mammoth. Marana has similarities with Catalina, Oracle and Mammoth, but none of these have similarities with Vail.</p> <p>Ever since the commission decided to draw districts with a north/south emphasis, all draft maps got worse in regards to communities of interest, compactness and contiguousness. Please re-evaluate this change in your thinking and map drawing. Map 6.1 was a great map for Southern Arizona. Please reconsider 6.1 as the map for Southern Arizona.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 9:59:08	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Larry Battin	86325	Self	I live in Cornville. I ask the IRC to follow the complete Yavapai county lines when drawing the LD and CD maps. Additionally, I ask the IRC to follow the six criteria that guide the drawing of districts instead of trying to gerrymander Northern Arizona districts.
10/28/2021 9:59:36	October 28, 2021	Please do not change the redistricting of Santa Cruz Co. I have lived here for 41 years and I do not wish for the demographics to be changed. I vote no on the change. It does not make sense.	Regina Medley	85624	Santa Cruz Co.	It is my sincere wish that you do not change the demographics Of my county. Santa Cruz Co.
10/28/2021 9:59:46	October 28, 2021	Public comment	Dianna Guzman	85303	Resident of LD29	I live at [REDACTED] Glendale for 25 years. I don't understand why you would cut off LD29 at 83rd Avenue and Bethany Home rd. This area is considered the Westgate corridor. Your projected new map lines puts my home in a district that goes all the way east to 19th Avenue and bethany home rd. This area is central phoenix not far west neighborhoods. I shop at westgate my family utilizes the Heroes park at 83rd Ave and bethany home rd. I literally can see the State Farm Stadium from my house. My community is the Westgate corridor not central phoenix. I would like to see my community be kept in the Westgate corridor.
10/28/2021 10:00:10	October 28, 2021	Redistricting -- SE Arizona	Mary Tolena	85624	Comomunity interests of Santa Cruz county.	Please KEEP SANTA CRUZ COUNTY INTACT in one legislative district, and reject the gerrymandering proposal to divide our voters. Santa Cruz county has distinct needs and interests, and we have a long history of working together. Please stand up for effective governance and representation by keeping our county intact.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:00:34	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Jerome scott	85263	Jerome scott	Placing these quite separate areas together seems to make no sense. Their priorities are geographically different as well as association with each other. I am a retired us Treasury employee and have experienced working and traveling the USA. Common sense should prevail.
10/28/2021 10:00:50	October 28, 2021	Redistricting of Lake Havasu City	Charles Vanderboom			I am against Redistricting Lake Havasu in this manner
10/28/2021 10:01:01	October 28, 2021	VI	Lee Nichols	85750		<p>Commissioners,</p> <p>Please consider re-evaluating this draft map. It is out of compliance with many of the requirements of redistricting.</p> <p>D18 crosses the natural boundary of the Rillito River. It plummets South into the City of Tucson past Golf Links Road. The Catalina Foothills area does not share common interests with the City of Tucson. They have safety cams; we have wildlife cams.</p> <p>The middle part of this district drops down into the city to 6th St. Again, Catalina Foothills does not share common interests with the City of Tucson. They have night life, we have wildlife.</p> <p>The Rillito River should be used as the Southern boundary to respect communities of interest and natural boundaries.</p> <p>Catalina Foothills has much in common with Oro Valley, and should be included in D18. Put Oro Valley with the Catalina Foothills and exclude the city.</p> <p>The vote spread is calculated at 17.1 percent. Competitiveness is assumedly using only Republican and Democrat votes. Adding in the Independents and PNDs, the district will be much more heavy on the Democrat side. Competitiveness of this map is shown at 9 Dem wins and 0 (ZERO) Rep wins. This is not competitive and would be immediately changed if the win numbers were reversed. This is not a swing district regardless of what the consultants tell you during your meetings.</p> <p>Since the commission changed its LD map drawing to go North and South instead of East and West, the maps have been horrible and completely ignore the community of interest testimonies given thus far. I have listed to all of them. Go back and listen to them. Most testimonies were East/West communities, not the other way.</p> <p>Please look at map 6.1. It met the communities of interest as testified during your visits to Pima County. Please draw LD maps based on the community of interest testimonies. Otherwise, they look suspicious, as do your discussions during your meetings.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 10:01:14	October 28, 2021	Draft map discussion	Priya Sundareshan	85719	self	Of the maps being discussed (8.0 and the 9 series), Map 9.0 appears to be the most balanced and seeks to undo the awkward changes that resulted from adopting the SALC changes that Commissioner Mehl introduced (which we know now from public reporting originated with a partisan map submission, an attempt to "launder" the Republican preferences through an outside group). Please continue to make changes to rebalance the maps given the source of those changes and the imbalances created that do not meet teh constitutional criteria.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:01:36	October 28, 2021	Maps	Nubert Boubeke	85710	Self	<p>In reviewing the LD Test Map v.9.1 & v9.2, one comes away that IRC is not taking into account that in redistricting few of the following needs to be present:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Districts shall be geographically compact and contiguous to the extent practicable 2.District boundaries shall respect communities of interest to the extent practicable <p>The v9.1 & v9.2 do cut away and split Tanque Verde from the parts of Tucson where most of its residents work, shop and socialize more. These maps should not favor or prioritize a pre-determined idea of creating a safe district for one party but looks for a competitive one. Clearly, Commissioner Lerner's proposal of districts D17 and D18 Map v9.0 produce maps that are keeping communities together. There is no way that the eastern section of Tucson that are proposed by Commissioner Mehl is contiguous to Pinal nor meet the constitutional goal of using geographical features as district boundaries. What it has done, it has created a district D17 that is areas that is not populated, roadless. Commissioner Lerner's LD Test Map v9.0 makes District 17 a very competitive district, and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly (14 Democratic seats & 16 Republican seats). The map creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8 point vote margin. In contrast, Commissioner Mehl's LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district, and only have 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria. The statewide balance under Mehl's maps would tilt the state totals even further toward the Republicans (13 Democratic seats and 17 Republican seats).</p>
10/28/2021 10:01:39	October 28, 2021	Legislative Redistricting/Scottsdale	Joyce Riedell	85255	myself	<p>It's disturbing you are considering redistricting the Scottsdale district and joining it with Desert Ridge and Phoenix to the East. Scottsdale is a unique American city, nationally known for its distinct personality. It is made up of many retirees. Watering down the very unique qualities of this city and joining it to Desert Ridge in particular is not a positive position for our community. I am a retired person and enjoy the relative safety, convenience, amenities of the area. These particular qualities have led many, many retirees across the US to move to Scottsdale. The Desert Ridge area is made up mostly of young, working families with different interests and needs than the more settled Scottsdale area. I personally shop in Scottsdale, attend Church in Scottsdale, seek medical attention in Scottsdale, seek entertainment in Scottsdale.</p> <p>I am unacquainted with the Desert Ridge area. It's needs are uniquely different from the Scottsdale City, and want to voice my objection to your recent published map joining these two disconnected areas into one legislative district.</p>
10/28/2021 10:02:04	October 28, 2021	vi	Lee Nichols	85750		<p>Commissioners,</p> <p>Draft map 8.0 is much worse than the current map in regards to the City of Tucson. The city is fragmented by six LDs. Like 10 years ago, the commission has sought maps that inappropriately divide the City's population into random, unrelated LDs.</p> <p>The City of Tucson should be regarded as a community of interest, as testified to, and should become one (or two) LDs to respect many of the requirements of the commission.</p> <p>Draw the city's boundary on this map. Take a step back to really see the number of LDs that invade the city and break its natural and man-made boundaries, the residents' communities of interest and its compactness.</p> <p>We know the residents of The City of Tucson are mostly democrats and vote accordingly. This commission, just like the previous one, has taken those votes and diluted them down by dividing the city. The city needs to be united. Unite it by letting it have its own LD or two.</p> <p>Please make Southern Arizona's LD map better than they are today.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:02:06	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Carla Darin	86336	Sedona	<p>Please, stop attempting to redistrict our area, creating more division. There's enough division already! Sedona is much more in alignment with the Verde Valley and Prescott than it is with Flagstaff. Sedona has a strong conservative movement, as does Prescott and the Verde Valley. We do not want the division. Communities of interest should remain together, not be divided. It just makes sense to keep the districts as they are currently.</p> <p>In addition, Flagstaff is a college town; therefore, has a much younger demographic that Sedona or much of the Verde Valley. We don't have much in common. Students at 3 Verde Valley High schools already take college courses from Yavapai College, located in Prescott, with one extension location being in Sedona; one more example of the connection the Prescott and the Verde Valley, as opposed to Flagstaff.</p>
10/28/2021 10:02:10	October 28, 2021	LD23 redistricting comment	Gregory Wodynski	85268	Self - Citizen	<p>The new District 3 is divisive and does not properly represent civic and economic geography that we live and operate in today in Fountain Hills/Rio Verde/Tonto Verde/Scottsdale/Fort McDowell. Communities are uniquely distinct and redistricting is intended to be represented by people living and operating in our community are surrounding area. I live in attend attend church in Fountain Hills. I work from Fountain Hills, Scottsdale and the Tonto Verdee communities. The new District 3 (in pink from the proposed redistricting map) is too wide for any fair representation to be effective for our area. You should place the western border at/near Scottsdale Road (the current western border of Scottsdale). I rarely or ever have purpose or cause to frequent Desert Ridge or Anthem - fare north and west of here; they should not be in my district. The IRC is NOT correct to divide Scottsdale into thirds and join it with other communities. Scottsdale is internationally well known as a unique community and splitting it up in thirds will destroy its desirable characteristics.</p>
10/28/2021 10:02:23	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Santa Cruz County	Marion Larkin Rossitter	85648	United Churches Fellowship, Nogales AZ	<p>Please maintain the integrity of Santa Cruz County in one Congressional District. I have lived in Nogales and Rio Rico for almost 30 years. I have had friends in Tubac and Patagonia. Our social and cultural perspectives are very similar.</p>


Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:02:37	October 28, 2021	LD v 8.0 Maps	Michael Schroeder	86366	Myself	<p>The legislative districts should be constructed to represent the values of the people in the district and representation of services provided by government agencies which we fund through our taxes. We live in the Verde Valley, Sedona. It is troubling when I hear people wanting us to be aligned with Flagstaff and any other entity up north because of shopping and medical services. There have been comments that because some go to the Flagstaff Medical Center that we have a relationship with Flagstaff. You can also go to the Sedona Medical Center or the NAH Hospital in Cottonwood. Our tax dollars do not go to Coconino county or the Medical Center. That's like saying because I get my Acura serviced at Honda Flagstaff I have a relationship with Coconino county and Flagstaff. Under those scenarios I shop at Trader Joe's in Prescott, there is no Trader Joe's in Flagstaff. I shop at Loews in Prescott, there is no Loews in Flagstaff. So should I argue that we have a relationship with Prescott because there is an independent business there that we use? Ridiculous. The argument is pointless to where you buy goods and services and has little or nothing to do with government. These arguments lead me to believe that Flagstaff requests may be politically motivated. This is supposed to a non-partisan process.</p> <p>My property taxes go to Yavapai County, and I want to be represented by people who are also effected by the actions of Prescott and Yavapai County. My school taxes, my fire district taxes and all the ancillary taxes like Yavapai College...go to Prescott and Yavapai County. We have our own Jail and Court system on highway 260 in Camp Verde. We have our own Sheriff office in the Village of Oak Creek. Our water and environmental issues are in the Verde Valley. NOT Flagstaff. Our county Supervisor is in the Verde Valley, main governing body is in Prescott.</p> <p>There have been some lame comments (I witnessed personally at one of the previous hearings) that we have to "drive over the mountain" to get to Prescott. I suppose if you want the scenic long route through Cottonwood and Jerome. My suggestion to those folks is to turn on Goggle Maps on your phone and you will find that Cornville to downtown Prescott is 1 hour and 5 minutes. Cornville to Flagstaff is 58 minutes.</p> <p>For those who live on the Coconino Side of Sedona, a small percentage, your SCHOOL and FIRE DISTRICT taxes still stay here in the Sedona districts. Any other money that is pulled by Coconino county you see close to zero return on, and you never will. Splitting Sedona between two counties should have been fixed a long time ago - but that's a discussion for another time.</p> <p>The Verde Valley is a rural community, and Sedona is unique because of its tourism. Our representatives on a State and National basis should be those in OUR communities and not mixed with Flagstaff and that region which has its own issues, goals and desires.</p>


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:02:51	October 28, 2021	Legislative Redistricting Boundaries	Brydie Andrews	85268	myself	<p>I am writing to express my concern over the new redistricting boundaries being suggested for Fountain Hills. I noticed that the new redistricting map shows the proposed district boundaries including Fountain Hills would extend over to the Desert Ridge/ I-17/North Phoenix/ Anthem area. I was confused by this suggestion because as a resident of Fountain Hills, I do not consider the concerns or issues that are relevant to Desert Ridge and its surrounding really relevant to Fountain Hills/Scottsdale at all.</p> <p>As a resident of Fountain Hills, I do all of my daily errands and shopping in Fountain Hills, my husband and I work in Scottsdale, my children attend school in Scottsdale and do all of their extracurricular activities in Fountain Hills. The Desert Ridge/North Phoenix/Anthem area is not at all a part of our daily lives, activities, or community life.</p> <p>I am very concerned that with these new boundaries district representatives would find it impossible to gauge what issues matter to their voters. The district representative is the member of our state government with whom I should feel that I can pick up the phone and talk with and that person might likely be a neighbor—someone that is a part of my community.</p>
10/28/2021 10:03:00	October 28, 2021	Map review	M.E. Dunn	86303		<p>Good morning. Really appreciated the short presentations by the legal teams. Some of this was way overdue but very glad to have it. On the current deliberation: Hopefully Commissioners will remember that CD map version 8.0 is really 6.3 which was a map submitted at the last minute on Thu 21 Oct under, should we say, questionable circumstances. Spending time rehashing that one is, in my view, counterproductive and regressive. Thank you</p>
10/28/2021 10:03:28	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Lisa Lopez	85028	Myself	<p>I do not support redistricting. I prefer to have my interests represented by someone who understands the concerns in my neighborhood and nearby area and not a community across town. Please stop trying to fix what has been working.</p>
10/28/2021 10:03:38	October 28, 2021	vi	Lee Nichols	85750		<p>LD Map v 9.0 Regarding Pima County</p> <p>Commissioners,</p> <p>Legislative District map version 9.0 is the best for Pima County. All districts within the County are geographically compact and easily travelable. All seem to respect the majority of testimonies from residents regarding their communities of interest. All Pima County districts use geographic features and boundaries as their borders.</p> <p>Thank you for finding a good solution for Pima County!</p>
10/28/2021 10:03:59	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Carol Barring	85266		<p>I live in North Scottsdale. Most of my activities are done in Scottsdale and occasionally Fountain Hills. I do not believe Desert Ridge, Anthem, and North Phoenix should be included in my district.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:04:01	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Jack Williams	86336	Myself	<p>Good morning. Please maintain the integrity of the City of Sedona. Why is the City of Sedona being split between draft LD5 and draft LD7? I, who lives in the part of Sedona now orphaned in draft LD7, implore the commission to keep and to maintain the integrity of the City of Sedona by moving that part of Sedona now placed in draft LD7 into LD5 (thereby placing the whole City of Sedona into LD5). Given that Sedona has been, and is one city, one community, and is treated as such on the current (2011) LD6 map, it doesn't make sense to split it up now. I appreciate trying to keep to county lines, but this should in no way hurt and or punish the City of Sedona by separating it into two separate legislative districts. Sedona is not large, it is not Phoenix or Tucson, and the effect of moving that part of Sedona currently in draft LD7 into draft LD5 should not impair or move the demographic needle at all and will meet the criteria that guide the drawing of our legislative districts - contiguosness, respect for communities of interest, incorporation of visible geographic features, such as city boundaries, etc. What placing the entire City of Sedona in draft LD5 will do is to respect and keep Sedona as an incorporated municipality, keep Sedona as a total community, remarrying the residents of Sedona in Coconino County with their representative government in West Sedona. Lastly, Sedona has a greater affinity and affiliation to the Verde Valley than points North or East. The cities of Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Camp Verde, Jerome, etc. are many, many miles closer and convenient than Snowflake, Taylor, Show Low, etc. When we can't find it in Sedona, we head Southwest! Thank you for your good and common-sense consideration. Regards, Jack Williams, Sedona, AZ.</p>
10/28/2021 10:04:08	October 28, 2021	CD9	James Jones	96409	self	<p>Concerning CD9 I do not feel I will be represented by any changes in my district. The change would change my community drastically. Any changes would split my community and again I would not be represented. I respectfully demand that no voting maps be changed.</p> <p>The proposed District 9 does include most of Arizona's west coast, which is appropriate; however, it dovetails into the west valley communities</p> <p>including Sun City West, Surprise, Luke Air Force Base, Goodyear and Buckeye, where at least 70 percent of the proposed district's population reside.</p> <p>This is clearly not representative of the residents of Mohave County, La Paz and Yuma Counties.</p> <p>In a district with these demographics, the chances of representation from and for rural communities would be unlikely.</p> <p>All Arizonans matter and all voices should have equal opportunities to be heard. We should not be punished with the potential of under-representation for choosing to live and work in rural Arizona. I urge you to reconsider the proposed alignment of Congressional District 9 in an effort that more fairly represents the rural voice of western Arizona.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 10:04:20	October 28, 2021	Mapping in the Tucson area	Mitzi Cowell	85712		<p>Thank you for your work.</p> <p>Please reject Mehl's v9.1 and v9.2 maps. Lerner's v9.0 creates more competitive districts, which is an actual goal specified in the Arizona Constitution. Beyond that, v9.0 better represents the makeup of the Tucson area's population itself. Mehl and SALC have made it clear that the intention of the absurdly long gerrymander stretching all the way from north of Marana, across the wilderness of our Catalina mountains, to points south of Vail is designed to create a strongly partisan district and skew future elections toward one party. I live just south of proposed district 17 and have more interests in common with the residents and businesses of the Catalina foothills than they have with the communities of Vail and Marana. I hike the trails in the Catalina mountains, as do countless Tucson residents and our valuable tourist visitors. But these are all additional points; the Constitutional argument should be enough.</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:04:22	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Tom Mulleady	85263	LD 23	I live in Rio Verde, go to church in Scottsdale, shop in Fountain Hills, and frequent restaurants in Cave Creek. It would a shame to split my neighborhood up. Please consider leaving LD 23 as it is. Thank You, Tom Mulleady
10/28/2021 10:04:39	October 28, 2021	LD v 8.0 Maps	Dwight Kadar	86351	Myself	After reengining on their votes to support the Version 8.0 of the Legislative District maps, Commission Chair Neuberger gave Commissioners York and Lerner and "who knows who" six additional days to produce new objections that we expect with be presented at the October 28 Commission meeting. Question: Who are the "D" Commissioners talking before Tuesday's meeting? Answer: My assumption is "D" party officials just like ten years ago. This sure "smells" like a setup. The residents of Arizona deserve full transparency of the decisions which will impact them for the next ten years.
10/28/2021 10:05:31	October 28, 2021	vi	Lee Nichols	85750		LD Map v 9.0 Specific to D17 Commissioners, Thank you for following the testimonies of many, including myself, regarding communities of interest. It incorporates The Catalina Foothills with Oro Valley and Saddlebrook. These areas share many common interests and should be defined into one Legislative District. The Southern Boundary is defined by the Rillito River, a natural geographic feature. By following the River, the City of Tucson is not included. The City of Tucson should not be included as it does not share any common interests with the Catalina Foothills or Oro Valley. Thank you for excluding the city. It is compact and easy to travel within. District 17 is competitive in that there is only 1 percent between Democrats and Republicans.
10/28/2021 10:06:18	October 28, 2021	District 23	Linda Scorzo	85258		I live in McCormick Ranch. I go to church in the neighborhood, less than 2 miles away. I don't go to Desert Ridge or Anthem. They should not be in my district. The new District 3 border is to wide for any representative to be effective. You should place the western border at/near Scottsdale Road (the current western border of Scottsdale). It's not right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it to other communities. Scottsdale is nationally known as a unique community and splitting it up this way will destroy its special character. Thank you, Linda Scorzo
10/28/2021 10:07:11	October 28, 2021	vi	Lee Nichols	85750		LD Map v 9.0 Regarding the City of Tucson (D18 and D20) Commissioners, Thank you for recognizing the City of Tucson and not shattering it in pieces with more than two legislative districts. The residents deserve to have a voice regarding their community and version 9.0 does just that. It does not dilute their vote into legislative districts that are distant in so many ways. Thank you for finding an answer that finally makes sense for the residents of the city.
10/28/2021 10:08:14	October 28, 2021	Comments	David Williams	85749	Self	Test Map v9.0 makes District 17 a very competitive district, and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly. The map creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8 point vote margin. In contrast, Meh's LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district, and only have 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria. The statewide balance under Meh's maps would tilt the state totals further toward the Republicans.

ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments	
10/28/2021 10:08:53	October 28, 2021	Legislative Map Drawing	Jeannine Reno	86351	Self	Many citizens came to the public tour, yet their testimony seems to be less important after you allowed Commissioner Mehl's attempt to bring his own map to the forefront. When you revisit Legislative Districts, please note that Sedona residents have CONSISTENTLY asked not to be divided by county lines, and to be kept with Flagstaff. We share a robust tourism economy with Flagstaff, which amounts to 2 billion dollars per year. Prescott is divided from us by a mountain range, which has not enabled a community of interest to exist. The chair also mentioned that Verde Valley should not dictate what Maricopa does. It is your job, even if it is more work to make our community of interest correct. It is also inaccurate that Mehl says the Verde Valley is "split" on VV being part of Flagstaff. REVIEW the testimony, the vast majority of residents (80%) testified that Sedona wants to remain together, and part of Flagstaff. Chairwoman also mentioned that VV wasn't a viable COI? Says who? You have testimony after testimony that we are a COI. Please pay more attention the actual COI testimony from Northern Arizona that you heard/read!	
10/28/2021 10:09:08	October 28, 2021	Congressional Mapping	John Levien	86406	Myself as a registered voter	Dear IRC Commissioners, Thank you for the service you are providing and this opportunity to comment. My comment is regarding the mapping for the proposed Congressional District 9 which takes in my current district. As a voting citizen from Lake Havasu City, I have been accustomed to voting with an electorate which is a part of my broader community which is small town and rural in nature. It does not seem legitimate to join this community with that of one associated with one of the largest cities in the nation. On top of that, it does not seem consistent with the State directive/guidelines to draw a new boundary by stretching an arm off the Arizona west coast over to grab a larger and therefore dominant population from the Phoenix area. Please redraw the draft to exclude the western Phoenix area from CD 9. Thank you. Sincerely, John	
10/28/2021 10:09:11	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Kathy Battin	86325	Self	My grandparents built their family home in Phoenix when Thomas Rd. was still a dirt road! My mother was born and raised in Phoenix and so was I. You couldn't pay me enough to live in Phoenix now. Rural Arizona rocks! Draw the redistricting map to keep rural AZ rural!	
10/28/2021 10:09:58	October 28, 2021	Re-districting Committee	Rachel Bauer	85050	Self	The proposed new District 3 is very large and covers many communities. It seems that one representative would not be effective for this large area. A large district as this proposed one would be more appropriate for an area that is sparsely settled. The proposed new district contains Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, North Scottsdale, North Phoenix, and Anthem, all densely populated communities. Please revisit and make the proposed new District 3 into two or three districts that reflect the distinctness of each community. Thank you.	

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:10:14	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Gail Derscheid	85259	Self	<p>My name is Gail Derscheid and my husband and I have lived in Scottsdale for the past 25 years. Scottsdale is a great community that has grown substantially yet has maintained its unique small-town atmosphere and quality of life.</p> <p>I have concerns about the new redistricting of Scottsdale. Representatives help give us local representation at the federal and state level by people we have elected. A true representative will oftentimes live and work in the district they represent. They are intimately familiar with the goals and needs of their community. The founding fathers intended for citizens to have this local relationship with their representative so the concerns and needs of citizens of across the nation will be heard.</p> <p>However, expanding an existing district way beyond its borders will compromise the integrity of true representation at the local level. I don't live in Desert Ridge, Fountain Hills, Anthem, or Rio Verde. How can a representative who lives in Scottsdale truly represent the people of Fountain Hill, Anthem, etc. or how can someone who lives well outside my community represent me?</p> <p>Please re-consider how the districts should be formed and protect the integrity of what a Representative for the people should be.</p> <p>With Sincere Concern, Gail Derscheid</p>
10/28/2021 10:10:17	October 28, 2021	Test Maps 9.1 & 9.2	Michael L Dayton	85737	self	<p>I was appalled to read in the morning paper that Mehl had colluded with Pima County Republicans to gerrymander maps he presented. All maps he has submitted are tainted and should be immediately discarded. This is a disgrace and Mehl should be replaced, even at this late date from the IRC. Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 purposefully splits Tanque Verde from the parts of Tucson where Tanque Verde residents are most likely to work, shop, worship and socialize, in order to prioritize Commissioner Mehl's partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. In contrast Lerner's districts D17 and D18 in LD Test Map v9.0 keep local communities together.</p>
10/28/2021 10:10:46	October 28, 2021	Comments	David Williams	85749	Self	<p>As described in the Arizona Daily Star article, Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council have proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The Arizona Constitution does not have that as a legitimate redistricting goal.</p>
10/28/2021 10:11:06	October 28, 2021	Commission on redistricting	gilbert lerner	85262	Self CD6 LD23	<p>I have been a resident of Scottsdale for 24 years. I do not want my district split up and paired with communities of dissimilar interests. All of my activities are in Scottsdale, not in anthem or Desert Ridge or any other neighboring community. I want my elected representative to be concerned with our interests and not those of other communities. Leave our district alone.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this. Gilbert Lerner</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:11:53	October 28, 2021	vi	Lee Nichols	85750		<p>LD Map v 9.2 Regarding D18</p> <p>Commissioners,</p> <p>Many residents of the Catalina Foothills testified before you during the first Listening Tour. Many described their community of interest spanned between the Catalina Foothills and Saddlebrook, and included Oro Valley. It did not include the City of Tucson.</p> <p>District 18 of Draft Map LDv9.2 excludes Oro Valley and Saddlebrook, and includes the City of Tucson. This is the exact opposite of the testimonies of many residents who waited hours to testify before you.</p> <p>Please redraw D18 to include Oro Valley and Saddlebrook. Please use the natural boundary of the Rillito River as the southern border of D18 to exclude the city.</p> <p>Preferably use D17 from LD v 9.0 as the correct border of this Legislative District. It excludes the City of Tucson by using the natural boundary of the Rillito River as its southern border. It combines Oro Valley, Catalina Foothills and Saddlebrook, all of similar lifestyles and communities.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 10:11:57	October 28, 2021	IV	Salil Deshpande	85719		<p>If the Commission is obligated to use *Census* data (as was stated during this meeting), then why is the Commission using CVAP data, which comes from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey (not from the 2020 Census)?</p>
10/28/2021 10:12:07	October 28, 2021	ALL	Vianey De Anda	85023	Those trying to watch at home	<p>I gotta say, Webex is not very accessible for mobil users -desktop users at that. People don't want to download apps on their phones/computers. This is adding an unnecessary step to an already tedious issue. Today's vote is VERY important & should be streamed live on Youtube as well, a much more accessible and familiar platform for people. Accessibility continues to be a problem this commission can't seem to address.</p>
10/28/2021 10:12:45	October 28, 2021	Maps	Lisa Wolfe	85704	Self	<p>Why do you have to arbitrarily create a safe Republican district in Pima County? The Legislature has been majority Republican for decades. Creating a Republican district out of parts of communities of interest is gerrymandering. Despicable.</p>
10/28/2021 10:12:55	October 28, 2021	LD5 pre-draft map	Charles Mackey	86326	Myself	<p>The current LD5 pre-map Version 8.0 looks good except for dividing uptown Sedona into two separate districts. All of Sedona should be included in the proposed LD5 boundary as Sedona is one community with the same interests. Sedona is one incorporated municipality with common community interests and splitting Sedona into two districts makes no sense. Citizens of Sedona have no common interests with Flagstaff individuals due to median age differences, i.e. Sedona median age is 60 and Flagstaff is 25. Medical facilities are available nearby in Cottonwood for emergencies and most people prefer to use Phoenix for specialists. Yavapai College serves all of the Verde Valley and there is a campus located in Sedona, which serves all of Sedona not just those students in Yavapai County. High School students have the option to use the local high school or the Mingus Union High School located in Cottonwood. I believe the citizens of Sedona would best be served by including all of Sedona with Prescott and the Verde Valley which can be accomplished with including us all in one legislative district.</p> <p>Thank you, Charles Mackey</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:13:38	October 28, 2021	Opportunity for Public Comments	Scott Oldendorph	85704	Self	This is Scott Oldendorph from CD2, LD9, Precinct 187 living at 1451 W. Sunridge Drive in Tucson 85704. This is my first redistricting, second testimonial with 2 maps and first public comment form. The map 9.0 is the best map that I have seen from the 3 maps that you are reviewing. In northwest Tucson, Pima is the largest county but we in the unincorporated county do not have Republican representation at all except for Mark Finchem and the maps you are looking at favor Democrats and the Independents which are also Democrats in the City of Tucson. My compact community of interest must be River road to the south and never the urban city of Tucson, Catalina Highway to the east, Marana just west of the I-10 highway to the west and Oro Valley/Catalina/Saddlebrook to the north. This area is ideologically diverse, competitive and the future growth of Pima County. The City of Tucson IS NOT representative of my compact community of interest and we have not had representation for the past 10 years due to the bad CD and LD grid lines drawn favoring only Democrat liberal progressive socialist goals. Please save the northwest communities of the Foothills, Marana, Oro Valley, Catalina and Saddlebrook as one community. This will help save Arizona and America from falling into this growing abyss of liberal racism, progressive socialism and critical race theory that helps brain wash our children and cancel of lives out. Thank you.
10/28/2021 10:14:02	October 28, 2021	LD Maps	Andrea Kadar	86351	Myself	Sedona and the Verde Valley align closely as communities of interest with Prescott. The Yavapai College Sedona Center is located in West Sedona, the Yavapai County Sheriff has an office in the Village of Oak Creek, our Yavapai County Superintendent lives in the Village of Oak Creek and the Yavapai County Jail is located in Camp Verde. The vast majority of Sedona and the Verde Valley's property taxes are paid to Yavapai County for Yavapai County services. For Sedona and Verde Valley residents to have a voice with our elected officials, we must be included with Prescott in the final district maps. Legislative District and County lines must be preserved.
10/28/2021 10:14:26	October 28, 2021	Mapping	Judith Clegg	85624	household in patagonia	The concept of "keeping communities whole" has been mentioned numerous times during the presentations on the proposed redistricting boundaries. Santa Cruz County MUST BE KEPT WHOLE, NOT DIVIDED UP WITH SOME COMMUNITIES LUMPED INTO OTHER DISTRICTS WHERE THEIR VOICES WILL BE DROWNED OUT!
10/28/2021 10:14:33	October 28, 2021	VI.	Virginia Dotson	85902	Self	I strongly support the LD6 and LD7 Map Revision submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors on 10/27. This map integrates all the different Communities of Interest across Northeast Arizona, and will provide fair and competitive districts while complying with the Voting Rights Act as well as the Arizona Constitution. Competitiveness is extremely important in a diverse area such as ours. Districts that can be won by either party give us candidates and elected officials who are responsive to all their constituents, and who are better able to work with others once they are in office. It is important to the economy of the region to keep the Grand Canyon, Flagstaff, Sedona and Verde Valley corridor united in one district. This is the second-largest tourism economy in Arizona, with over \$2 Billion in spending in 2019. Prescott is geographically separate, and should remain in a separate Congressional district with the Colorado River areas.
10/28/2021 10:14:34	October 28, 2021	Redistricting LD 3,4&8	Jill Wroblewski	85050	My family	I live in Desert Ridge and shop in North Phoenix, who would we combine with a completely separate community of Fountain Hills or Rio Verde? We need to remain separate.
10/28/2021 10:15:00	October 28, 2021	Legislative District Map	Ed Schaefer	85611	Self	I've lived in Santa Cruz County for 22 years and feel that I have been VERY poorly represented. It would make sense to redraw the maps to reflect the common interests we have in Elgin with Cochise County. I fully support the CD0052 and LD0029 plans that have been submitted. The way the maps are currently drawn give me no voice in the political process. Thanks for considering these changes.
10/28/2021 10:15:01	October 28, 2021	Public comment	Priscilla Jackson		my neighborhood and north Phoenix	As a resident of Northern Phoenix, my community of interest is N. Phoenix. This is where I live, shop, dine, picnic, and attend my community functions. Currently, our district map does not include Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, or Rio Verde. Because our population growth has been within our current boundaries, I believe the district should remain together. In addition, Scottsdale would be better served as one district not divided between three. Thank you for your time and consideration.


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:15:43	October 28, 2021	redistricting map 9.1 modified	Kalyanraman Bharathan	85711	myself	In the discussion ongoing this morning, you have brought Vail also into District 17, missing the point that District 17 as it now stands consists of two unconnected, non-contiguous districts. The map does not show it, but the district sits astride the Catalina Mountains. you cannot drive from one end of the district to the other without leaving the district. Is that not a basic definition of contiguity? This does not seem right to me, and certainly does not fit the constitutional requirement.
10/28/2021 10:15:50	October 28, 2021	Series 9 test maps	Gail Kamaras	85710	Self	<p>Commissioner Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council, of which he is a founder, have proposed LD Test Maps 9.1 & 9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The maps are nothing short of gerrymandered conglomerations of non-related areas and do not serve any legitimate redistricting goal.</p> <p>However, Test Map 9.0 makes District 17 a very compact and competitive district, and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly. The map creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8 point vote margin.</p> <p>It is critical for the IRC to operate in the real world in which Arizona's citizens and voters are more diverse and less aligned with political parties. Our representatives must be chosen by the voters, not imposed on them by political parties. As much as some partisans would like to cling to control of the legislature, the IRC has an obligation to follow the data regarding voter registration as well as the VRA.</p>
10/28/2021 10:16:20	October 28, 2021	III	Justin McKay	85020	Self	<p>Several things: Scottsdale is distinct from Phoenix and Fountain Hills and Anthem. To put all those together, all the way to the i-17, is absurd and does not offer good representation to the people who live in these areas. I live in the Phoenix area, and go to church around Thunderbird and Tatum. I dont go anywhere near the i-17. My courthouse is at the 51 and Union Hills. Anything east of Central, especially the area around the i-17 is not my community area. District 1 should stop at Central and should go as far north as the 101 and as far east as Tatum or even Scottsdale Rd.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 10:16:55	October 28, 2021	Discussion of maps 9.0, 9.1, 9.2	Larry Bodine	85718	self	Please REJECT the maps written by Anna Clark, vice chair of the Pima County GOP and submitted by the Southern Area Leadership Council. Blatantly partisan maps to guarantee districts for the Republican party have NO place in the IRC.
10/28/2021 10:16:59	October 28, 2021	Legislative Redistricting/Scottsdale	Paul Riedell	85255	myself	I am a recent homeowner here in Scottsdale and know little about local politics. I wanted to live here in Scottsdale because of its known characteristics such as a large retired population, a busy arts scene and a sort of Western / cowboy attitude. There is just enough shopping and entertainment and activities to keep me and my family very happy here. I just want to express my hopes that your committee will act to preserve Scottsdale as it is and not dilute the uniqueness that is so valuable and appreciated by the residents. Each of the nearby towns have characteristics that residents love. Mesa, Gilbert, Chandler, etc. are all unique and so is Scottsdale. Let's keep it that way. Thank you.
10/28/2021 10:17:47	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Claudette Haney	85614	Myself -- but aligned with A4A	Please keep Santa Cruz County whole
10/28/2021 10:17:55	October 28, 2021	District Maps for districts 9, 10, 11,15	Jim Roundy	85234	Myself and the interest of Gilbert	The maps and comments show that great concern was made to keep certain cities together in a single map. Example of cities kept together are Coolidge. Tucson, Flowing Wells, etc. However just the opposite is proposed for Gilbert. The present maps all show Gilbert divided into 4 or 5 districts. Why divide Gilbert do drastic? My recommendation is to allow a Gilbert district.

ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:19:16	October 28, 2021	III	Joe Reyes	85268	Myself	<p>The draft map for District 3 should not combine Fountain Hills with Desert Ridge at I-17. This area is too wide for any effective and meaningful representation. I live in Fountain Hills, shop in all areas, and go to church in Scottsdale. Why should Desert Ridge/Anthem be within the same District as Fountain Hills? The far west area of the proposed district is not remotely a part of my community, and in fact would polarize both areas.</p> <p>There seems to be inequity in carving out 3 sections for Scottsdale, and blending the individual sectors into neighboring Districts. Population balance does not seem to support this direction. Scottsdale is nationally and locally recognized as a unique community. As such, this is a Community of Interest, and should remain intact and considered as a priority in configuring District Boundaries. Extending District 3 to the far outskirts of Anthem to the West, undermines maintaining the flavor and uniqueness associated with Scottsdale.</p> <p>In summary, please consider limiting expansion of District 3 to the the far west I-17 boundary, and maintain the current boundary to Scottsdale Road, or Hayden Road as an alternative.</p>
10/28/2021 10:19:47	October 28, 2021	draft maps	Gail Block	85749	self	<p>Test maps 9.1 and 9.2 supported by Commissioner Mehl are apparently intended to create a safe Republican district in a Democratic County. These maps do not prioritize contiguous and competitive districts (I live in Tanque Verde and would be included with Marana and Oro Valley - areas a 45 minute drive away). As far as I understand, creating "safe districts" for either party is not the intended goal of the IRC! Test map v. 9 proposed by Commissioner Lerner supports the intended goal of the IRC - to create compact, contiguous and competitive districts.</p>
10/28/2021 10:20:17	October 28, 2021	New maps	Austin Smith	85361	Myself	<p>I live in the new LD25 in Wittmann, AZ. It should not be with parts of Goodyear, Litchfield and Palm Valley. It needs to be in the new LD28 with Wickenburg and Morristown. Our issues are not the same as Goodyear and Litchfield. Please please rectify this.</p>
10/28/2021 10:20:57	October 28, 2021	Redistricting map 9.0 and 9.1	Kalyanraman Bharathan	85711	myself	<p>The concept of competitiveness should be based on making as many districts as possible truly competitive. To say that one district is democratic leaning and another republican leaning is not an appropriate way of looking at competitiveness. "Safe" districts only encourage political extremism, and legislators who do not bother to listen to constituents. Truly competitive districts create a level playing fields for ideas, which is what good politics - and democracies - are based on.</p>
10/28/2021 10:21:21	October 28, 2021	Public comment	Lisa Wolfe	85704	Self	<p>There is too much influence from Comm Mehl's cohorts and supporters. He is not acting in the community interest. Latino and Native communities should have the access to the commission given to Mehl's people. Likewise, communities of interest in Pima should have access. Splitting Oro Valley and Marana in order to create a Republican district is contradictory to the goals of a truly independent redistricting endeavor.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:21:38	October 28, 2021	Public Comment on Re-mapping proposals of District 9	laura shodall	86406	Myself and my husband John M. Bailey	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to address our opposition to the current draft of District 9. My name is Laura Shodall from Lake Havasu City, Arizona. Both my husband, John Michael Bailey and I, a retired Building Contractor and a Financial & Human Resources Manager respectively, chose Mohave County and more specifically Lake Havasu City, as our home because it is a small, rural community with self-contained infrastructure and politics geared toward and responsive to, the rural outdoor, close community participation that our area and the western counties are noted for. We are active in boating, camping, water sports, our family resides here, and are engaged in our community politics and policies, groups, and feel this community of people and resources fit our needs and lifestyle perfectly. We invested here 5 years ago, and then moved here permanently 2 years ago for the above mentioned reasons.</p> <p>The proposed District 9 includes most of Arizona's west coast which is appropriate, but, it also includes Sun City West, Surprise, Luke Air Force Base, Buckeye, and Goodyear, where at least 70% of the proposed district's population reside. This definitely won't represent the residents of Lake Havasu City, nor the Mohave, La Paz and Yuma County residents as these areas are not necessarily rural, nor geared around the view points, lifestyles, economics and amenities offered in the more rural, western counties.</p> <p>All Arizonans matter and we should all have equal opportunity to be heard. Our representation will be greatly diminished and our voices will be drowned out for choosing rural Arizona as our home if these additional areas are included in District 9. Please, reconsider your draft alignment of District 9 to more fairly represent rural western Arizona residents. We are all important and deserve to continue to be empowered to protect and promote our rural lifestyles, economies and community activities.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your consideration.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Laura Shodall & John Michael Bailey</p>
10/28/2021 10:21:39	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	AMY CROTHERS	85268	Fountain Hills	<p>Hello, I am writing to express my sincere concern over the legislative redistricting of Fountain Hills. Our Constitution guarantees Representation. My representative should represent the views and the concern and the welfare of the people of Fountain Hills and Rio Verde. How could a person represent such a vast amount of people spread across such a large area? It's not even logical. This process needs to be fair and we need to have representatives that represent the heartbeat of this community. How would a representative be able to represent such a large area? Please do not break up this legislative district.</p> <p>Thank you, Amy Crothers</p>


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:21:41	October 28, 2021	Draft Map Decision Discussions	Dustin Landagora	85050		<p>Chairman Neuberg and Commissioners Watchman, Mehl, Lerner and York: I live in Phoenix and respectfully submit these comments today in opposition of the proposed Legislative District 3.</p> <p>It is more important that and believe legislation says districting should represent communities of interest.</p> <p>With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are very much separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective for the residents in these communities.</p> <p>District 3 should be split between at Scottsdale Rd.</p> <p>Respectfully, Dustin Landagora</p>
10/28/2021 10:22:55	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Shondi Zwaschka	85234	LD12	I am concerned that these maps disregard the educational priorities and economic development needs of my Town. Districts should be compact to accurately represent communities of interest who live and work together. Gilbert shouldn't be included in other towns, other towns shouldn't be included in Gilbert. Allow us to vote for our current areas
10/28/2021 10:25:07	October 28, 2021	New Maps	Charlotte Perez	85251	myself	I live in Old Town Scottsdale and go to church in Scottsdale. I have been living here for almost two years now and I don't know why Fountain Hills and Rio Verde would be in my district when they are not part of my community.
10/28/2021 10:25:24	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Miranda Lopez	85712	Self	As described in the Arizona Daily Star article, Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council have proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The Arizona Constitution does not have that as a legitimate redistricting goal.
10/28/2021 10:26:18	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Miranda Lopez	85712	Self	Commissioner Mehl's district D17 as shown in LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 makes a mockery of the constitutional goal of using geographical features as district boundaries. They create a district that is really two geographically separate areas divided by an unpopulated and roadless portion of Catalina Mountains. This may be the only district on the LD map where you would have to leave the district and drive over 45 minutes to get from one population center to another.
10/28/2021 10:27:07	October 28, 2021	New maps	Chase Piper	85248	Myself	I live in Old Town Scottsdale and go to church in Scottsdale. I have been living here for almost two years now and I don't know why Fountain Hills and Rio Verde would be in my district when they are not part of my community.
10/28/2021 10:27:39	October 28, 2021	redistricting map for LD23	Peter Smith	85263	resident	We live in Rio Verde and shop and dine in Fountain Hills and Scottsdale. This is our community and should not be split into separate areas as the current redistricting map is proposed.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:28:47	October 28, 2021	Redistricting concerns	Sarica Cernohous	86005	Northern AZ	<p>My concern when looking at the proposed redistricting maps is that the commission might be drawing districts looking to attain some partisan/political end, which we commonly define as 'gerrymandering', rather than meeting the particulars of the framework of redistricting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equal population, Compactness and contiguousness, Compliance with the U.S. Constitution and the Voting Rights Act, Respect for communities of interest, Incorporation of visible geographic features, including city, town, and county boundaries, as well as undivided census tracts, and Creation of competitive districts where there is no significant detriment to other goals. I request that the entire city of Sedona be part of District 5, not to be divided since Sedona is part of the Verde Valley communities of interest and should be kept together. Also, Sedona is an incorporated municipality and residents of Sedona in Coconino County would still be with their representative government in West Sedona if they are included as part of District 5. Relative to proposed Map 6, I like the fact that the map follows county lines and Yavapai County is kept as a whole. Counties should be kept together whenever possible. Prescott is the center of the Yavapai County government. County government provides unincorporated communities with road maintenance, law enforcement, land use designation and other authoritative needs. It is important that incorporated communities be kept together with their representative government in Prescott. <p>It is imperative to keep the Verde Valley communities together with Prescott, which is distinctly different than Flagstaff and because of travel, demographic and weather differences, there is no real connection to Flagstaff and so should be aligned with Prescott Valley/Prescott. Relative to Map 8, the notion of splitting Sedona is ludicrous--please do not vote for this plan.</p>
10/28/2021 10:29:10	October 28, 2021	New maps	Hannah Segal	85251	Myself	I live in Old town Scottsdale and spend a lot of time in this community. It has a special culture and is known for it all throughout the U.S. as a popular vacation destination. Bringing in Fountain Hills and Rio Verde to my district would make absolutely no sense as they are not a part of our special little community. Keep Old Town Scottsdale unique, small, and special by keeping those two areas OUT!
10/28/2021 10:29:10	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion A. Legislative Map Drawing	Dianne Coscarelli	85718	Self	Having just heard the demographics discussion, Map LD 9.0 is proving to be the superior choice among the 4 LD maps discussed. Map LD 9.0 gives much better competitive treatment to within Districts 16 and 17 and helps the overall state-based competitiveness.
10/28/2021 10:29:55	October 28, 2021	independent redistricting	Carol Perica	85268	Myself	I would like to remain in the district that I live in and spend all of my time in.
10/28/2021 10:30:05	October 28, 2021	Map Drawing Discussion	Brian Steiner	85337	LD4	I live in a remote area between Gila Bend and Casa Grande, but I work and visit the Metro Phoenix area a lot. The maps options that I have been seeing do not seem to be following our Arizona Constitutional guidelines. The splitting of cities like Scottsdale and others appears to elevate Competition, which should be the last criteria used in setting up the map options, this would be in direct violation of the more important criteria's that our Constitution has laid out clearly and must be followed. Our constitution is the contract between the people of Arizona and Government, which gets its power from the people Article 2 Section 2.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:30:15	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Maps	David Feldbaumer	85268	self	<p>I am a Fountain Hills resident for 18 years and an Arizona resident for 40 years. I live, shop, recreate and dine in the Fountain Hills and Scottsdale areas. Rio Verde and Fountain Hills should not be connected with Desert Ridge and I-17 as a political district. This zoning would include disparate and vastly different communities which would be difficult to represent appropriately. The continuity of our communities will be destroyed and our well-intentioned representatives will struggle to understand their constituents.</p> <p>Why would Desert Ridge and North Phoenix be in my district when they are not part of my community? On the other hand, Scottsdale is nationally recognized as a unique community – a tourist destination. Why would anyone want to split a cohesive community such as Scottsdale into separate districts? Who is advocating this change? Scottsdale and Fountain Hills are very similar bordering communities and very distinct from North Phoenix. They should be represented by people who are from that area, not North Phoenix!</p> <p>Also Scottsdale should not be split into separate districts as the proposed redistricting calls for. It should remain whole and Fountain Hills should remain as part of Scottsdale's district. This is historically what has been in place and makes perfect sense. As a voting constituent and an educated voter, I request that you please do not implement the proposed redistricting plan.</p>
10/28/2021 10:30:41	October 28, 2021	Legislative District.	Ashley Earle	86259		I live in Scottsdale, all of my daily activities are in Scottsdale, I go to the Scottsdale parks, Scottsdale restaurants, Scottsdale grocery shopping. My community of interest is Scottsdale. Do not add Desert Ridge, Anthem or the I-17 to my Legislative District.
10/28/2021 10:31:07	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Dennis Scholler	85331	LD15 Constituent	I live in the Desert Ridge area, why would Fountain Hills and Rio Verde be in my district when they are not part of my community? This makes no sense, it would make the new district to wide for any representative to be effective. You should split it up north/south at Scottsdale Road.
10/28/2021 10:31:28	October 28, 2021	Legislative district	Christopher Earle	85259		Rio Verde and Fountain Hills should not be connected with Desert Ridge and I-17. I live and work in Scottsdale and fountain hills. Desert ridge and I-17 are not part of the area I live and work.
10/28/2021 10:32:17	October 28, 2021	PRO Public Comment Test Map v9.0	Maria Hidalgo	85750	Myself: Resident/Voter of Catalina Foothills/ Tucson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As a resident of Catalina Foothills since 2017, I can count 1 one hand the number times I've gone into Oro Valley. I have never needed to go to Marna. However, I am in the Tanque Verde area availing myself of many of the businesses and services at least 3 times a week. Tanque Verde should NOT lumped in as community of interest with Oro Valley and especially Marana. • So, in reviewing Test Map v9.0 I believe this map makes District 17 a more competitive district and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly. Map v9.0 creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8-point vote margin. • However, it is evident Commissioner Mehl's LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 purposely makes District 17 a safe Republican district, and only has 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria. The statewide balance under Mehl's maps would tilt the state totals further toward the Republicans. • Having competitive electoral maps in AZ will aid significantly in addressing the extremism with is poisoning public discourse and our democracy. • The IRC exists to ensure electoral maps comply with AZ Constitution, the US Voting Rights Act; and those electoral maps are competitive, compact, contiguous and take into consideration the voting representation communities of color. • As described in a recent Arizona Daily Star, LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 were developed by one political party for the sole partisan goal of creating a safe district for Republicans. The Arizona Constitution does not have that as a legitimate redistricting goal. • I implore the IRC to do the right thing for ALL Arizonans, and not just the special interests of those with power and influence.

ARIZONA <small>STATE OF ARIZONA</small>	10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:32:34	October 28, 2021	Executive Session	Suzanne Mead	85331	Self	Please share in detail what you have discussed in Executive Session re VRA, polarization and competitiveness. These issues and mapping decisions based on legal considerations are of great interest to the public. How the Commission arrives at its mapping decisions should be more transparent, especially where competitiveness at district and statewide levels is sacrificed in favor of other criteria. Please explain in detail why you can countenance plans that show so many non-competitive districts.
10/28/2021 10:32:51	October 28, 2021	Redistricting considerations for Maricopa County	Barbara Laughlin	86441	myself	Good morning. I live in Dolan Springs, in Mohave County. I respectfully submit these comments today in opposition of the proposed Congressional District 9. My reason for opposition is that we in Arizona deserve to be fairly represented in each of our districts and we are very concerned when we see a county with the voting power of Maricopa be shifted to give more weight to the urban portions and leave the rural areas without a voice. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity as a resident of Arizona to comment on this viral issue.
10/28/2021 10:32:53	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Carol Perica	85268	myself	I live , shop and go to church in Fountain Hills and Scottsdale. It does not make sense to me to change my district to one which I never or rarely step foot in.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:33:22	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	William Bowlus-Root	85365	Myself	<p>At a series of hearings around the state, the commission took public testimony regarding communities of interest. The commissioners have often pledged to do their best to ensure that those communities are respected when drawing the congressional and legislative district boundaries.</p> <p>While there has been much discussion of the communities of interest in the Phoenix and Tucson areas, no attention has been focused on the Yuma area. The result is that, in the last several versions of the proposed maps, the consensus of those who provided testimony at the Yuma meeting has been ignored. This may be due to inattention, but the impression is that someone is trying to intentionally blunt the impact of voters in the Yuma area. And that does not bode well for the eventual public acceptance of the maps or your work on them.</p> <p>During the Listening Tour, speakers at the Yuma meeting clearly stated that the interests of the area were best served by splitting both the city and the county into separate congressional and legislative districts. By doing so, the region can be represented by additional legislators who have worked together to provide the kinds of governmental services and policies we need to prosper. It's been working well for us.</p> <p>However, all the current maps disregard the public testimony that we would prefer to keep the splits as they are (or very nearly so). The maps all lump the entire City of Yuma - with the exception of one small neighborhood on the eastern edge in the foothills of the Gila Mountains - into the southwestern districts (CD7 and LD23).</p> <p>Also, for some reason the area around Wellton has been included in LD23 despite having important economic and social ties to the surrounding areas that are in LD30. That makes no sense from a community of interest standpoint.</p> <p>To respect the wishes of the people who testified, the City of Yuma should be split down the middle, following closely the boundaries that currently exist. That would distribute Yuma's population and economic interests more evenly in CD7 and CD9 and LD23 and LD30. It would also more closely align the political views of residents with their likely representatives. And both sets of districts would become more competitive when the City of Yuma and Yuma County are split that way rather than what is proposed in the maps.</p> <p>Please don't ignore the Yuma area in your rush to get the Draft Maps approved. Just take care of this before you do so we don't have to raise the ire of the community and hear them rile at the commission over the next 30 days (and beyond) for being insensitive and not listening to what we agree is best for us.</p> <p>William Bowlus-Root A concerned citizen</p>
10/28/2021 10:34:48	October 28, 2021	Districting	Carolyn Shafer	85624	Myself	I have lived in Patagonia for 25 years. ALL of Santa Cruz County should remain as one district. To do otherwise is classic gerrymandering to serve miscreant political purposes.
10/28/2021 10:35:25	October 28, 2021	Eastern Santa Cruz County CD & LD	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita/Elgin et al	Santa Cruz County Democrats are coming out strongly against the proposal to move eastern SCC into LD19 and CD 6. Their argument is strictly emotional. They do not understand that those changes actually STRENGTHEN their voting leverage in LD 21 and CD 7! How? By moving a majority Republican area of the County into LD 19 and CD 6!
10/28/2021 10:35:27	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Maritza Marmolejo	85648	Santa Cruz county	I am a retired high school teachers who lives in Rio Rico and my children live in Tucson. We want Santa Cruz county to stay together in one legislative and congressional district. We need representatives that represent who we are, and protect not just our economic interests but the specific needs of our community.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:35:48	October 28, 2021	V	Nancy Wexler	85745		Listening to the IRC discussion today, it confirms my support for test map 9.0 over the alternatives as it makes D 17 highly competitive and 16, very competitive. I did not hear equal rationale for the other maps. Number 9.0 stood out as superior and a balance of the districting criteria, keeping the state competitive, compact, contiguous and adherent to the VRA
10/28/2021 10:36:36	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	John & Judy Helms	85255	Husband and wife	We do NOT like the map you have drawn for Scottsdale. It should remain the same as now as we have nothing in common with citizens to the west. If enacted you will disenfranchise us!
10/28/2021 10:37:10	October 28, 2021	LD MAPS	Hyatt Simpson	85749	self	LD Map v 6.2 puts Tanque Verde Valley into a mostly rural, heavily skewed Republican district 19. 6.0 and 6.1 are more acceptable for Tanque Verde Valley to be in dist 17
10/28/2021 10:37:19	October 28, 2021	comment	Allison Mary	85260	myself	<p>October 28, 2021</p> <p>Madame Chairman and Members of the Commission, After viewing and listening to the Commissions proceedings, this morning, I am dismayed that the only criteria you have been focusing on is "Competitiveness"! What happened to the other criteria of "community of Interest", "visible geographical boundaries" and "compact and contiguous"? Emphasis, focusing on competitiveness, will result in gerrymandering as in 2011. Thank you for your time and consideration, Allison Mary</p>
10/28/2021 10:38:50	October 28, 2021	NA living on and off reservation	Lynne Hudson	85704	Self	Doug Johnson said NA's living on and off reservation don't vote as a uniform block. Does he mean their voting patterns are not the same? What data support that conclusion? And how does such a conclusion impact decisions being made by commissioners?
10/28/2021 10:38:55	October 28, 2021	CD-2 pre-draft map Version 6.0	Charles Mackey	86326	Myself	I like the CD2 pre-draft Version 6.0. Entire counties are kept together in the CD and by following county lines with the CD boundaries it is easy for a voter to determine which congressional district he/she is located in. County governments and their respective counties are all in the same district which makes sense. The redistricting commission has done an excellent job drawing the boundaries for this district, make this map the final draft. Thank you, Charles Mackey
10/28/2021 10:39:25	October 28, 2021	Proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 and v9.2	Alison Hughes	85719	Self	I oppose the LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 proposed by Mr. Mehl and his business colleagues at Southern Arizona Leadership Council. The result of their proposed Tucson-area legislative district map would yield to the benefit of the few and the detriment of the many. As reported in the October 27 Arizona Daily Star, this map is clearly designed to ensure business interests will have a louder voice in the state legislature than the voices of the people. The business community is not a "community of interest" in and of itself, as noted in the IRC requirements. Please do not adopt these maps or others similar in scope.
10/28/2021 10:39:40	October 28, 2021	Public comment	Mitchell Cox	85614	Self	Please reject the proposal to split Santa Cruz County. I have lived in Quail Creek, Sahuarita, for 10 years and have voted in each election. Splitting out our area from it's historical voting district would eliminate my vote in most cases. Please let the area stay as is. Thank you.
10/28/2021 10:40:58	October 28, 2021	VI.	Virginia Dotson	85902	Self	I object to the Draft Map 9.0 for LD6 and LD7, which is a textbook example of gerrymandering. It carves areas out of three Northeast Arizona counties--Apache, Navajo, and Coconino, and uses a narrow unpopulated strip along the New Mexico border to connect two areas. It creates a White Republican fortress in one district, and a Tribal ghetto in the other. Even while grouping all Tribal areas together, it fails to protect their voting power and probably violates the Voting Rights Act. You must be careful to respect the rights of Tribal and also Latino citizens to be sure you do not diminish their voting power relative to the 2011 mapped districts. This requires that you give full consideration to the maps submitted by the Navajo Nation, the Latino Coalition and other people covered by the VRA. Thank you.
10/28/2021 10:41:07	October 28, 2021	Mapping	Ann Heitland	86004	self	Where can the public see the comments that have been submitted using the "Provide Feedback" feature on the maps?


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:41:23	October 28, 2021	Maps	Eloise Gore	85750	myself, a Pima County registered voter	<p>I respectfully urge the IRC to adopt the map put forth by Commissioner Lerner and endorsed by Commissioner Watchman, LD Test Map v9.0. This map incorporates the communities of interest in Marana and Oro Valley in one LD 17, as long advocated by Commissioner Mehl. It would balance the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly by creating seven competitive seats (being within an 8-point vote margin) in contrast to LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2, which purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district with only 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria.</p> <p>LD Test Map v9.0 not only creates a very Competitive LD 17 (as well as the other LDs on the map) but also follows the Redistricting criteria for Compactness and use of visible geographic features, and city, town and county boundaries. In contrast, LD Test Maps v9.1 and v9.2, would torture the shape of the LD, defying the Compactness criterion and disregarding Communities of Interest. Specifically, LD Test Maps v9.1 and v9.2 would create a district of two geographically separate areas divided by an unpopulated and roadless portion of the Catalina Mountains. It would become the only district on the LD map where one must leave the district and drive nearly an hour from one population center to another. In contrast, D17 in LD v9.0 has all of its suburban residents grouped together in a compact and contiguous area. LD Test Map v9.0 also respects the Communities of Interest for our Latino communities.</p> <p>It is beyond question that D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 intentionally splits Tanque Verde from the parts of Tucson where Tanque Verde residents are most likely to work, shop, worship and socialize, in order to prioritize the stated goal of the SALC to create a safe Republican district in Pima County. It would also create a ripple effect, moving Coolidge into the same district as Casa Grande and out of the district it had shared with Florence; and moving Vail, in the Tucson area, into the district that encompasses Cochise, Graham and Greenlee counties.</p> <p>The IRC, and particularly our Independent Chair, need not adopt a map in this important part of the state that violates at least three of the five Independent Redistricting criteria. Creating a safe Republican or Democrat district in the Tucson area is not a legitimate redistricting goal in accordance with the Arizona Constitution. As a resident of Pima County, I implore the hard-working IRC to adopt LD Test Map v9.0, which abides by all the Independent Redistricting Constitutional criteria.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 10:41:44	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Felecia Wright-Nace	85255	Scottsdale	<p>I am commenting with regard to today's meeting on redistricting. I reside in Scottsdale. I shop in Scottsdale and dine both in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. I do not understand why Rio Verde and Fountain Hills would be aligned with Desert Ridge. These are two distinct areas and I do not believe we will continue to have good political representation if these areas are combined. I don't see how a politician can fairly represent two communities that are so far apart, not only in distance, but in uniqueness as well. At a time when fairness and equity and political representation and even voting are scrutinized, this type of redistricting is not representative of all of the people it attempts to serve. I am, therefore, requesting that Fountain Hills and Rio Verde not be combined with Desert Ridge. Thank you in advance for your consideration!</p>
10/28/2021 10:42:10	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Vincent Gil	85016		<p>Why Gilbert is split into 5 different legislative districts is beyond any comprehension of a fair map. I also find it hard to believe that Fountain Hills would be in the same district as those who are living next to I17 when they are significantly closer to Scottsdale. Respectfully, these proposed maps need to be greatly reconsidered if the public is to believe this is fair.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:42:31	October 28, 2021	Congressional and Legislative Districts	Terry Plympton	85611		Commissioners, I encourage you to support the proposed Congressional and Legislative District changes (CD0052 and LD0029) for Santa Cruz County, Arizona. Please do not take away the voice of the people. Thank you for your service, and your wisdom, in protecting the voting rights of those who are being relegated to an unequitable situation.
10/28/2021 10:42:42	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Oscar Villasenor	85648	Santa Cruz county	As a retired school teacher, I think that it is of utmost importance to have fair maps and competitive districts. We need to have competitive district boundaries where both parties have a fair chance of winning elections.
10/28/2021 10:44:45	October 28, 2021	Legislative district	Elizabeth Ludens	85259		I live in Scottsdale, do not want anthem or Desert Ridge or the I-17 added to my legislative district.
10/28/2021 10:45:26	October 28, 2021	LD Draft maps 9.1 and 9.2	Carol Schloff	85713	Myself and my Tucson Estates Neighbors	Proposed LD 16 is of great concern to me. I live currently in LD3 Precinct 21 which includes Tucson Estates. We are 2 miles west of the Tucson city limits, and have a Tucson address. We are a physically small precinct due to our high density and population. We consider ourselves an urban area. There is little open space, and with the exception of Tucson Estates proper, all our homes are less than 20 years old. Our community of interest is tethered to the Tucson Metro area. We spend our money there. Frequently. LD draft maps 9.1 and 9.2 have yanked us totally out of Tucson. Proposed LD16 is a sprawling rural area that gerrymanders around and does not represent our way of life and our day to day activities and interests. In this proposed rural district, our voices will be minimized, and perhaps not even heard. We have for decades been included in the Tucson Metro area. I implore the committee to put us back to where we belong...with our town of Tucson.
10/28/2021 10:45:37	October 28, 2021	V	Nancy Wexler	85745		It was good to hear of the legal meetings with the Navajo National and Latino Coalition but what I could not discern from the discussion was where this led to the Commission acceptance of those proposed requests and maps for serious consideration. All concerns able to be incorporated in mapping need to be given equal, if not greater, consideration than business interests as these communities are granted a constitutional commitment to ensure legislative and congressional representation and must not be marginalized in a state where Latino and tribal population is essential to the fabric of our social and economic function.
10/28/2021 10:45:42	October 28, 2021	VI	Barb Orcutt	86004	self	When I voted for Prop 106 in the year 2000, the ballot said the IRC would "oversee the mapping of fair and competitive" districts. The Commission should pay attention to competitiveness because the voters wanted it and because it brings us better legislators.
10/28/2021 10:45:56	October 28, 2021	Redistricting in the Town of Gilbert	Jeff Lichter	85297-****	Myself as a 6 year Resident of Gilbert	Having heard that our town (city) may be split into 4 or more legislative districts would be way too divisive to a community that presently has cohesiveness and many common facilities and interests that make it a real example of what a community should be. Gilbert should be divided into no more than two districts and preferably just one. Thank you.
10/28/2021 10:46:58	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Robert Gay	85624	one citizen	I've lived and worked in Pima or Santa Cruz Counties since 1996, and in Patagonia for six years. Based on the communities of interest that I live with, around issues of conservation (and increasingly survival) as well as other historical and human rights concerns, I strongly feel Santa Cruz County should be kept whole. Putting Patagonia and other parts of SCC into a block with Cochise seems to many of us like the very kind of partisan manipulation that the commission was mandated to avoid. Thank you.
10/28/2021 10:48:06	October 28, 2021	Legislative District	Elizabeth Ludens	85259		I live in Scottsdale, I do not want Desert Ridge to the I-7 added to my legislative District. Correction to my first comments, I do NOT want this plan.


ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:50:01	October 28, 2021	Legleslative/ No Redistricting	Jason Trybus	85259	Vote NO	Please vote no on the proposed changes of redistricting. Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are vastly different communities than those around the i17 and Desert Ridge. I have lived in Scottsdale for over 30 years and it is baffling to hear that those in government think it would make sense to combine these communities. Scottsdale has been one of the greatest places in the country to live and raise a family... splitting it up into thirds has no benefit for its residents. The people of Scottsdale want to be represented by people who live in Scottsdale...not by those who live in other parts of the valley, miles and miles away.
10/28/2021 10:50:27	October 28, 2021	Competitiveness	Lynne Hudson	85704	Self	Doug Johnson did not highlight the predicted number of safe R and D seats in each legislative district. Since competitive seats amount to less than 1/3 of our LDs, is it also important to consider the number of safe districts each map would create?
10/28/2021 10:52:13	October 28, 2021	III. Opportunity for Public Comment	Karen Garrett	85255	Myself	<p>Arizona has been my home for 40 years and I have lived in North Scottsdale for 26 years.</p> <p>LD considerations for Scottsdale - Scottsdale has always been proud of being a cohesive and unique community of interest. We provide vast amounts of State tax dollars. With the current LD draft maps Scottsdale will be obliterated into 3 LD's, 2 Democrat and 1 Republican, really? You have separated me personally from untold numbers of Scottsdale neighbors, who will no longer be allowed to work together on LD matters. I primarily shop, eat out, and go to church in the City of Scottsdale. For example, on an LD level I have very little in common with those living on the West side along the I-17.</p> <p>Each time they shave a little more out of Scottsdale but this is an iconoclastic, purposeful, destruction of a Republican stronghold and the proposed LD 3, 4, and 8 current maps should not stand as presented.</p> <p>CD - Scottsdale is primarily intact in the current proposed draft map. However, this new primarily urban CD 1 is now gerrymandered from New River south to Central Phoenix! Do I need to say more than to ask you why? Anyone would question what Central Phoenix has in common with Scottsdale, New River, Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, and Cave Creek!</p> <p>For what purpose has Scottsdale been singled out for a cataclysmic shake up of both our LD's and CD. Scottsdale residents moved here never believing this would happen to us and many will choose to move elsewhere if this stands, including me at some point.</p> <p>Respectfully Submitted, Karen Garrett</p>
10/28/2021 10:54:07	October 28, 2021	Legislative district	Matt McKay	85259		I do not want Desert Ridge, anthem or the I-17 added to scottsdale, I live in Scottsdale my community of interest is Scottsdale. Do not add Desert Ridge or the I-17 to Scottsdale legislative district.
10/28/2021 10:54:50	October 28, 2021	redistricting	s baardsen	85086	self	please reject plan for District 3..this is too large of district to be effectively represented I reside in North Phoenix 85086 and do business in the North Phoenix/north Scottsdale area, but the proposed area is too wide of an area to represent the people that live in my community.
10/28/2021 10:55:20	October 28, 2021	: 2466 939 0878	Judy Bee	86404	Lake Havasu City	<p>Chairman Neuberg and Commissioners Watchman, Mehl, Lerner and York: I live in Lake Havasu City and I respectfully submit these comments today in opposition of the proposed Congressional District 9.</p> <p>I do not favor these maps as it splits the community, and our community is very distinct and should be represented by people near to my area.</p> <p>I ask you to reconsider the proposed alignment of Congressional District 9 in an effort that more fairly represents the rural voice of western Arizona.</p> <p>Thank you!</p>


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 10:58:08	October 28, 2021	Scottsdale Redistricting	Lindsay Cheatham	85260		I live in N. Scottsdale and shop in N Scottsdale, why would Fountain Hills and Rio Verde be in my district when they are not part of my community? Also, the new District 3 is too wide for any representative to be effective. You should split it up north/south at Scottsdale Road. Scottsdale is unique and should not be lumped together with other communities that have their own uniqueness about them. Let's keep the most livable city, livable! Thank you!
10/28/2021 11:00:21	October 28, 2021	redistricting	brenda wexler	85719-5628	self	Looks like map v9.0 makes District 17 competitive. Best option so far---fair and competitive.
10/28/2021 11:01:36	October 28, 2021	Comments on proposed District 3	Gregory Wodynski	85268	Self - citizen	Comment 2 : District 3 MUST be adjusted to better represent the residents in Fountain Hills Rio/Tonto Verde and Scottsdale. Proposed District 3 is not an accurate or a fair representation of the communities and citizens in this geography. Scottsdale must not be divided into three portions effectively fragmenting the cohesiveness and fair representation of the broader Scottsdale & Fountain Hills community. Proposed Desert Ridge and Anthem geography in proposed District 3 are too far west and north and do not make for good or fair representation. These regions DO NOT fairly represent the common sense economic, employment, civic, and general cohesiveness of the community. I respectfully and strongly urge the IRC to act NOT to move forward with proposed District 3.
10/28/2021 11:02:18	October 28, 2021	Public comment	Katelyn Ward	85297		I have lived in Gilbert for 3 years. This community should not be split into 5 legislative districts as it is a community of interest. It would be incredibly difficult to know who represents us.
10/28/2021 11:03:02	October 28, 2021	Redistricting vote and streaming accessibility	Jonathan Burgess	85283		The commission has made this inaccessible by not putting the live vote on YouTube. Put it on YouTube for greater accessibility. pass maps that represent AZ's Hispanic and Native populations equitably!
10/28/2021 11:03:31	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	brenda wexler	85719-5628	self	Honor our Native tribe requests. Let's give them voice!
10/28/2021 11:03:46	October 28, 2021	Redistricting maps	Megan Hink	85260	Self	I am contacting the commission to make you aware of wide spread concern about the re-districting maps as proposed. The proposed maps have no respect for the integrity of our communities. It seems these maps have been arbitrarily drawn by someone who knows nothing about the area and the characteristics that identify each community. These maps are absurd as they stand and should not be approved.
10/28/2021 11:03:51	October 28, 2021	New Maps	Austin Welch	85054		I live in Scottsdale, work in Scottsdale and go to Church here in Scottsdale. The years I've spent here have led me to have a strong sense of pride in the community of my district. The proposed maps include Fountain Hills and Rio Verde as a part of my district and disagree with this proposal. These areas are not part of the community we have established here in Scottsdale and I don't know why they've been included in this latest turn.
10/28/2021 11:04:54	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Barbara Coleman	85256	Myself	I live in North Central Scottsdale. I don't feel that I am represented by the people of Fountain Hills or Rio Verde. I believe the expensive area that you were trying to operate until one district is out of reach and one representative cannot cover such a district with the different needs of the residents.
10/28/2021 11:05:50	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Alison WALUKIEWICZ	85255	Myself	I live in north Scottsdale and go to church in north Scottsdale, shop in north Scottsdale etc. why would I be in the same district as Anthem or Fountain Hills which is 30+ minutes away from me? My interests are in my local businesses and community.
10/28/2021 11:07:43	October 28, 2021	III and VI	Dina Galassini	85268	My husband and me	We live, shop, dine only in Fountain Hills and Scottsdale. We do not go to Desert Ridge and prefer that it be excluded from our district. Desert Ridge is too congested and we do not enjoy it at all. It isn't right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it with other communities – Scottsdale is nationally known as a unique community.
10/28/2021 11:08:52	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Victoria villasenor	85648	Santa Cruz county	As a young voter, I want to make sure that every one gets equal representation. We need to ensure that there is compliance with the Voting Rights Act, this means districts should be drawn so that communities of color have fair access to the political process and to elect their representative of choice.
10/28/2021 11:09:14	October 28, 2021	redistricting	brenda wexler	85719-5628	self	Listen to the people, not a lobbyist group. Question to you, Are your maps fair and competitive? I know you will follow your mandate.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 11:09:47	October 28, 2021	VI	Connie Williams	85648	myself	I have lived in Santa Cruz County for nearly 30 years, taught in the public schools, and now that I'm retired, I volunteer pretty much full-time for various local non-profit community-based organizations. Maps 9.1 and 9.2 are bad for our county. Tubac and Patagonia in particular, are where my friends live, and where I spend much of my volunteer time. Separating such a small county out into pieces like these maps propose, pretty much ensures we will once again be ignored by elected officials. In addition, adding in DM Airforce Base to LD21 is a bad idea. That base is a COI with Sierra Vista and their base – it has virtually no ties at all to our county. Keep Santa Cruz County whole and connected to Tucson and Green Valley/Sahuarita via the I-19 corridor. I know that was the majority sentiment in all the listening tour comments from August, too.
10/28/2021 11:10:13	October 28, 2021	IRC Redistricting	Edward O'Brien	85262	Myself	I live in Rio Verde, shop in Fountain Hills, and go to church in Scottsdale. Desert Ridge or Anthem is a 45 minute drive, we never go there. it's not part of my community it isn't right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it with other communities – Scottsdale is known as a unique community and splitting it up this way will destroy it. We should expect to be represented by the people in our area. These others are completely different shopping, culture, traffic, commercial, residential, and much more. Thank you
10/28/2021 11:10:49	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Sharon Rogers	86324	Sharon Rogers and Harry Rogers	<p>The Verde Valley communities should remain together with Prescott. We do not wish to be part of Flagstaff. Other than medical facilities, there is no connection to Flagstaff. We want to be aligned with Prescott Valley/Prescott.</p> <p>As Cottonwood and Clarkdale are rapidly growing communities, Prescott is the center of the Yavapai County government. County government provides unincorporated communities with road maintenance, law enforcement, land use designation and other authoritative needs. It is important that incorporated communities be kept together with their representative government in Prescott.</p> <p>The median age in Sedona is 61 and Prescott is 58, while the median age Flagstaff is 25. The culture and lifestyle do not reflect the same principles. Sedona is only part of the Verde Valley and for both Cottonwood and Camp Verde residents it is easier to go to Prescott Valley if they need things which are not available in their own communities.</p> <p>We strongly desire to remain a part of the Prescott Valley/Prescott community.</p>
10/28/2021 11:11:20	October 28, 2021	Agenda V	April Tomquist	85296	myself	I moved to Gilbert to be associated with the type of people who still had farms and horses. In the latest map a small finger of Gilbert, my area, is pulled into an LD with Chandler and Ahwatukee. This little finger would separate me from my neighbors by placing me in a separate LD. My family would be in a different town and school district than the rest of the legislative district. By combining this little pocket of Gilbert with Chandler and Ahwatukee my family and I lose our representation. Legislative districts should group towns and cities, and should group school districts. Each town or city has it's own feel and its own values, and the feel in Gilbert is much different than the areas west. Gilbert has much more in common with Queen Creek than Chandler. Please keep Gilbert together... ALL together.
10/28/2021 11:12:03	October 28, 2021	Map 9.0	Mark Anderson	85742	self	We are giving time and attention to maps that unite Marana and Oro Valley. These maps represent the interests of some suburban, well heeled, majority white citizens very well. I urge the IRC to also give time and attention to maps submitted by our Native American and Latino Citizens. The IRC is charged with upholding the Voting Rights Act and it would be wrong to ignore that lawful mandate.
10/28/2021 11:13:09	October 28, 2021	Redistricting santa cruz county	L Vista Michael	85637	Myself	I have lived in Santa Cruz County for 25 years. I believe it has very little in common with Cochise County. Out here we are ranchers farmers people who love the great outdoors and nature and save it as much as possible. We have a deep historical attachment to the history of Arizona and particularly Santa Cruz County. We also have an attachment to the I-9 Corredor which takes us to Pima county where we have many common interest and programs.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 11:14:10	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion A. Legislative Map Drawing	Karen Wilkison	85718	Myself	District D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 and v9.2: The long narrow district is fragmented and not grouped so the district is combined in a compact and contiguous area. Marana/Oro Valley and Tanque Verde are completely different entities, separated by geography and are distinct suburban areas. Please don't falsely connect them.
10/28/2021 11:14:24	October 28, 2021	Redistricting LD 5 CD1	Margaret Pavlich	86351	self and husband	<p>We are residents of the Village of Oak Creek/Sedona in the Verde Valley and wish to express preference for redistricting which includes us in LD5 for the following important reasons:</p> <p>1)Verde Valley communities should be kept together (not split in part or whole); we are all linked environmentally, culturally and historically by the Verde River/Verde Valley watershed.</p> <p>2)As a body, our communities should be joined with those of Prescott/Prescott Valley for the purposes of representative redistricting.</p> <p>3)The educational interests of Verde Valley communities are directly served by Yavapai County including k-8 and community college systems. Redistricting should strive to reinforce representation of educational interests by community...NOT breaking up that support (as would dividing Sedona into two districts which should be avoided).</p> <p>4)Demographically and culturally, Verde Valley communities have FAR MORE in common with neighboring Prescott/Prescott Valley than Flagstaff.</p> <p>5)Weather is a MAJOR distinction between Coconino County/Flagstaff and Verde Valley communities. Simply put, our interests are completely different and we would be denied just representation in the funding and consideration of our infrastructure, education, environmental and lifestyle needs. (Neither does Flagstaff share our watershed.)</p> <p>Also, we would like to add that we think it is important that Yavapai County residents be considered as a whole (and county lines not be severed) when it comes to redistricting for CD2.</p> <p>Sincerely, Peggy & Paul Pavlich Sedona/Oak Creek</p>
10/28/2021 11:14:32	October 28, 2021	Lerner's LD Test Map v.9.0	martin drozdoff	85704	Myself as a community leader!	Upon cosideration, I recommend Lerner's Test MapV.9.0 as the most fair and balanced way to map this district in a fair and equitable way that meets the state state guide lines for redistricting. Thank you for considering my comments. Martin Drozdoff
10/28/2021 11:16:37	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Map	Billie Orr		Myself	Please do not divide the City of Scottsdale into 3 districts. I live in McCormick Ranch and volunteer in the Art District area in Old Town. We are very different from Anthem, Carefree and Cave Creek area.
10/28/2021 11:17:09	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Casidy Ferneding	85255	Myself	I live near Pima and the 101. I shop at Kierland, Scottsdale, DC Ranch and sometimes Carefree and Cave Creek. I do not shop or work in Fountain Hills, Anthem or Rio Verde. Scottsdale is a distinctive community and should be kept together and not separated into separate legislations districts.
10/28/2021 11:21:22	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Bob Rothrock	86326	myself	The Verde Valley would be poorly served if placed in a district with Prescott. Western Yavapai County is much more conservative than many parts of eastern Yavapai. Please consider placing the Verde Valley in a district that includes all of part of Flagstaff
10/28/2021 11:22:05	October 28, 2021	District 15 bounderies map	Robert Beggs	85254	Beggs family	We believe the District 15 boundaries should not be expanded to include Rio Verde and Anthem. We have different needs and interests and should have separate representation.
10/28/2021 11:23:19	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion A. Legislative Map Drawing	Karen Wilkison	85718	Myself	LD Test Maps v9.1 and 9.2 do not adhere to the goal of using geographical features as district boundaries. Two distinct entities are separated by mountains (which have no population or roads). To cover this district by car would take about 45 minutes of continuous driving. These maps must be partisan influenced. Competitiveness is not present.
10/28/2021 11:26:43	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Jane Trafton	85624	me	I have lived in Patagonia for 13 years. ALL of Santa Cruz County should remain as one district. To do otherwise is classic gerrymandering to serve miscreant political purposes.

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 11:27:21	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	John Castiglia	85255		It isn't right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it with other communities – Scottsdale is nationally known as a unique community and splitting it up this way will destroy its special flavor.
10/28/2021 11:27:32	October 28, 2021	LD 9.0 Map	Hope Busto-Keyes	85743	Self	Dear Commissioners, The LD 9.0 map appears to best represent the community and the best choice as a fair map.
10/28/2021 11:29:27	October 28, 2021	LD Map series 9.0	Golda Velez	85716	self	Just looking at the maps it is obvious that Lerner's D17 and D18 maps v 9.0 are sensible and contiguous. Mehl's maps 9.1 and 9.2 are patently gerrymandered and obviously manipulated for partisan purposes. How will this not put a stain on our state for years to come if we allow ANY party to gerrymander our voting districts for their benefit? Contiguous communities are healthy and natural. They obviously make sense and don't need tortured arguments to support them. Just draw competitive, contiguous maps, and be brave enough to speak out for obvious fairness and following the criteria that were publicly agreed on. Please.
10/28/2021 11:29:59	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Trevor Johnson	85255	North Scottsdale	Everyone knows that Scottsdale has its own community. I shop in Scottsdale, go to church in Scottsdale, go to work and school in Scottsdale. We share nothing in common with North Phoenix. Please do the right thing, correctly maintain the district border at Scottsdale Road, and let our community maintain its representation as a legislative district.
10/28/2021 11:30:32	October 28, 2021	VI	Salil Deshpande	85719	self	I feel fortunate to live in a state that has an independent redistricting commission. As someone originally from Texas, I have seen how negative and toxic partisan gerrymandering can be. It would be unfortunate to see the negativity and toxicity of Texas politics come to Arizona. As reported in the Arizona Mirror, the current maps the Commission is considering, particularly 9.1. and 9.2, came from a highly partisan source whose aim is to gerrymander Tucson for partisan gain and tilt the statewide balance further toward Republicans. Version 9.0, on the other hand, makes District 17 a competitive district and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly. The map creates 7 competitive seats. In contrast, v9.1 & 9.2 purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district, and only have 6 competitive seats.
10/28/2021 11:31:20	October 28, 2021	new maps	Elizabeth Kravchuk	85251	myself	I live in scottsdale and my whole life revolves in scottsdale. I know my community well. That being said, there is no reason that other communities such as Fountain Hills, Rio Verde, etc. to be included in my district. We are a big state and that there are SO many communities that are under-represented and deserve to be in their own district. Do better.
10/28/2021 11:38:09	October 28, 2021	Public Comment	Ron DeSouza	85712	Myself	Hello my name is Ron DeSouza, I am a resident of the Tucson area from Precinct 100, with communities of interest in Oro Valley and Marana, two towns where I grew up in. The 8.0 map seems to group these communities with those of Vail and other further communities. Breaking Oro Valley and Marana off from Tucson does not serve the interests of the many communities shared between Tucson and its northern suburbs. No matter the circumstance, Tanque Verde and Marana should be together as complementary communities of interest as well. Map 9.1 in particular does little to provide proper representation to the people of LD9, considering how far the legislative district is integrated with the city of Tucson. Thank you, and I hope the commission can take these points into consideration ...
10/28/2021 11:38:26	October 28, 2021	Legislative District Map Series 9.0	Patricia Wiedhopf	85715	myself	Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 is ridiculously distended and fragmented for a district whose voters all live in relatively densely populated suburban areas. Marana/Oro Valley is a long drive from Tanque Verde down to Vail, and these two geographically distinct suburban areas don't have much to do with each other. In contrast, Lerner's D17 in LDv9.0 has all of its suburban residents grouped together in a compact and contiguous area.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 11:39:07	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion A. Legislative Map Drawing	Karen Wilkison	85718	Myself	Test Map v9.0 creates an ideal District 17. It creates 7 competitive seats, evenly balanced within an 8 point vote margin and the statewide totals of predicted party seats are pretty even. Please don't change the map to make it non-competitive and Republican leaning. Use all of the 8-point criteria.
10/28/2021 11:39:33	October 28, 2021	Legislative Maps	Kathleen Barber	85704	Self	<p>Thank you for the privilege of commenting on the current maps.</p> <p>I have voted in Pima County since 1972. In reviewing the 9.0, 9.1, and 9.2 maps, I find some questionable boundaries. I have lived in Pueblo Gardens, Central Tucson, and currently in Casas Adobes. I have lived in my home since 1979 and know the area well. I consider my communities of interest lie within the Casas Adobes and Oro Valley area, suburban/urban housing, and Amphitheater School District.</p> <p>1) I support Map 9.0, it appears to have compact, well thought out boundaries that take into account our communities of interest, including ethnic communities. The population totals are close. The districts are competitive. These are all criterion the IRC must meet by law from the Arizona Constitution.</p> <p>2) Maps 9.1 and 9.2 do not meet the criterion established by the Arizona Constitution, in my opinion. The boundaries show outlines that are classic to the term gerrymandering. Many of the Pima County district are outside the range of competitiveness, and cut communities by what appears to be partisan reasons.</p> <p>3) Please, whatever decision is made, make sure the districts meet the criterion spelled out specifically in the Arizona Constitution. With Best Regards, Kathleen Barber.</p>
10/28/2021 11:40:46	October 28, 2021	D17	Tobin Voge	85718	myself	I am a registered independent who has resided in Pima County since the 1980s. The D17 outlines proposed by Commissioner Mehl do not abide by the provisions in Prop 106. The Tanque Verde and Oro Valley/Marana areas are vastly different parts of the county. They are geographically separated by the Catalina Mountains. I implore the IRC to oppose such mapping and embrace the will of the voters expressed in Prop 106.
10/28/2021 11:40:47	October 28, 2021	Legislative District Map Series 9.0	Patricia Wiedhopf	85715	myself	Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 purposefully splits Tanque Verde from the parts of Tucson where Tanque Verde residents are most likely to work, shop, worship and socialize, in order to prioritize Commissioner Mehl's partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. In contrast Lerner's districts D17 and D18 in LD Test Map v9.0 keep local communities together.
10/28/2021 11:41:56	October 28, 2021	IRC map	Joe Watkins	85716	myself	<p>I read with considerable concern the SALC's statement that their goal was to have a solidly Republican district in Pima County. Their rationale is that they wanted someone to promote their agenda. This is far from the type of consideration that the Independent Redistricting Commission can do and still follow the law. Moreover, these maps show the signatures of gerrymandering with distending districts.</p> <p>Under the v9.0 map, communities are much more intact. I live in a district 21 in the SALC map putting my midtown Tucson neighborhood into a district that is largely made of Santa Cruz County residents. The v9.0 map has my neighborhood in a Tucson district. This should be the minimum requirement for a neighborhood in the middle of the city.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 11:42:34	October 28, 2021	Legislative District Map Series 9.0	Patricia Wiedhopf	85715	myself	Commissioner Mehl's district D17 as shown in LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 makes a mockery of the constitutional goal of using geographical features as district boundaries. They create a district that is really two geographically separate areas divided by an unpopulated and roadless portion of Catalina Mountains. This may be the only district on the LD map where you would have to leave the district and drive over 45 minutes to get from one population center to another.
10/28/2021 11:45:04	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion A. Legislative Map Drawing	Karen Wilkison	85718	Myself	LD Test Maps v9.1& v9.2 obviously create a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. It ignores the latino population and is not competitive.
10/28/2021 11:45:39	October 28, 2021	Legislative District Map Series 9.0	Patricia Wiedhopf	85715	myself	Test Map v9.0 makes District 17 a very competitive district, and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly. The map creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8 point vote margin. In contrast, Mehl's LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district, and only have 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria. The statewide balance under Mehl's maps would tilt the state totals further toward the Republicans.
10/28/2021 11:47:05	October 28, 2021	Legislative District Map Series 9.0	Patricia Wiedhopf	85715	myself	As described in the Arizona Daily Star article, Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council have proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The Arizona Constitution does not have that as a legitimate redistricting goal.
10/28/2021 11:54:21	October 28, 2021	Redistricting map	Loureen M. Stefanovich	85268	Fountain Hills	I do not want any changes made. We need to leave everything as is. I do not shop at Desert Ridge nothing done there is in my interest and this would make the area to large for any Representative to do a good job for their constituents. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.
10/28/2021 11:54:54	October 28, 2021	Maps	Joe Junker	85258	Citizens of McCormick Ranch	We are near the threshold of north and south split. We spend nearly 90% of our time in Scottsdale. Please keep the city of scottsdale together, if separation is needed for population, my friends and family typically don't travel south of Oak St. that area approaches more similar to Tempe.
10/28/2021 11:56:00	October 28, 2021	maps	Misty Atkins	85737	self	Tanque Verde and Vail are too far away from Oro Valley. Keep Oro Valley intact. Marana intact. Commissioner Lerner explained the criteria very clearly. I DO NOT support map 9.2.
10/28/2021 11:56:44	October 28, 2021	Agenda 5	April Tornquist	85296	myself	I previously commented on the legislative district map. I would now like to comment on the congressional map. The legislative and congressional maps should follow the same lines, and those lines should follow town and city lines as much as possible. Both congressional and legislative lines divide Gilbert up, but in different areas. This makes no sense to have one legislative district share more than one congressional district. Gilbert is unique and has a unique culture. A great emphasis has been placed on keep together minority districts. The same consideration and respect needs to be applied here as well. Please keep ALL of Gilbert together.
10/28/2021 11:58:13	October 28, 2021	Draft Legislative Map	Evelyn Lathram	85742	myself	I live in Oro Valley and of the 3 9-series of Leg maps, 9.0 respects our communities of interest the most. 9.0 respects the natural boundaries and the county boundaries, by not crossing the Catalina Mountains and keeping the district wholly in Pima County. This version of D17 is compact and contiguous. Oro Valley would be in a competitive district and is closest to the district Oro Valley has been asking for. D17 in Leg draft map versions 9.1 and 9.2 includes Red Rock, SaddleBrooke, and SaddleBrooke Ranch in Pinal County along with Oro Valley, Marana, over the Catalina Mountains to Redington, Tanque Verde and Vail. It violates respecting natural boundaries and county boundaries and is not compact or contiguous. Both of these maps create a far-flung, solid Republican district, D17, and it would not be acceptable to Oro Valley.
10/28/2021 11:59:49	October 28, 2021	maps	Misty Atkins	85737	self	LD 17 is not competitive in 9.1 or 9.2. These are heavily populated areas and do not to be so spread out. 9.0 is a much better jumping off point.

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 12:01:03	October 28, 2021	Redistricting maps	Samantha Paez	85297	Ld12	<p>I've been a citizen of Gilbert for 6 years. I'm concerned about the redistricting greatly.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gilbert is being divided into too many parts, we need a unified voice, our community of interest is at risk. 2. We are a very family orientated community, with strong religious roots. "Portions of my church community will be separated from one another." 3. I am concerned that these maps disregard the educational priorities and economic development needs of my Town. Districts should be compact to accurately represent communities of interest who live and work together. 4. Education is important to us and these maps place my kids' school district into multiple legislative districts. 5. We have agricultural roots and these maps cut us off from other areas in Gilbert that share our community of interest. 6. The current maps fail to respect natural boundaries such as municipal borders, school district boundaries, or majors dividers such as freeways. 7. Please follow the constitution. Adhere to all six of the criteria laid out in the Constitution.
10/28/2021 12:02:48	October 28, 2021	Communities of Interest	Rustin Pearce	85213	LDS Community	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am a 6th Generation Arizonan. My ancestors were instrumental in settling different parts of Arizona. I grew up in Mesa AZ and after collage and have returned to raise my 3 (soon to be 4) children in Mesa. Over the years I have watched many of my friends moved south to the Gilbert and Queen Creek areas. These areas share a large common interest... they have a large population of members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints (Mormons). The highest concentration of Mormons are in the Mesa and Gilbert areas. The influence that our church/beliefs has in these areas is even greater than the percent of the population as we are encouraged to be involved in community and civic events. This is something that is noticed by most around this area.</p> <p>It seems like there is a big effort to redistrict based on race (which is racist-fyi) instead of communities of interest. Our church is represented by a variety of races who share common interest. If our goal is to truly see past race and ethnicity then lets stop trying to make it about race. Make it about values (content of character).</p> <p>It should be based on family values of Mesa and Gilbert vs entertainment corridors of Tempe and Scottsdale.</p> <p>Having grown up in Mesa... and watching many move south to Gilbert, we still share many of the same values.</p> <p>Additionally, it seems that we need to try to use natural boundaries (ether geographical, county, municipal, etc.) as much as possible. Why are we splitting counties and cities in almost ever legislative district?</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 12:03:33	October 28, 2021	redistricting map	Heather Skillicom	85268		For the new district, I would think the goal is to keep like communities together. I live in fountain hills and shop in my town as well as within adjacent towns (mesa & scottsdale) but my community is mainly in scottsdale and fountain hills. The new proposed district 3 is so wide it is combining dissimilar communities and thus not a good district for a single representative. A north/south split at Scottsdale road seems wise. Thanks for considering my comments.
10/28/2021 12:03:52	October 28, 2021	LD 9.0 Compactness, COI, Fair Competitive District	Hope Busto-Keyes	85743	Self	Dear Commissioners, Meeting the six criteria to create the fairest maps possible is important for Arizona voters - the competitive spread of the 9.0 map is fair.
10/28/2021 12:04:20	October 28, 2021	D3 and D4	Eddie Cook	85233	Self	I live at [REDACTED] Gilbert, AZ 85233. I have served as a councilmember for the Town of Gilbert for 9 years and current serve as the Maricopa County Assessor. The west side of Gilbert has been in Congressman's Biggs district for many years. The current maps show that the west side of Gilbert is not in his district. This is not in alignment with keeping all of Gilbert in the same congressional district. I recommend that all of Gilbert move to from D3 to D4.
10/28/2021 12:04:54	October 28, 2021	Redistricting as it affects Santa Cruz county	John Michael		Myself, a 25 year resident in Santa Cruz County	The proposed redistricting of Congressional districts appears to me inconsistent with item C and D of Constitutional Criteria. Specifically the proposed District boundaries are not geographically compact. Splitting of Tubac, Amado, Sonoita and Patagonia from Nogales and Rio Rico describes a classic gerrymander. The proposed changes also appear to make the redrawn districts less competitive. By registration what is the ratio of registered Democrats, Republicans and Independents? How does that ratio compare to the registration of the existing districts? As a longtime of the County I oppose the proposed changes
10/28/2021 12:05:09	October 28, 2021	VI	Cathy Lee	85209	self	If you adopt map 9.2 you are only taking into consideration. Southern AZ and not even addressing how you have hurt some Maricopa Districts such as D10. You are now moving AJ into D10 and diluting any competitiveness even as slight as it was even further. You speak about communities of interest but you are splitting school districts, LDS community, putting east Mesa with SanTan Valley & QC which we have nothing in common with. Your original map that had East Mesa south boundary at Guadalupe Rd./ East Boundary at the Maricopa Cty. border. Again Chairwoman Neuberger sides with the Republicans and is not truly Independent which is what we were most concerned with.
10/28/2021 12:06:33	October 28, 2021	maps	Misty Atkins	85737	self	9.2 is a clearly gerrymandered district to meet one special interest group's wishes. Our Independent Chair is clearly preferring a map that is far out of the range of what would be seen as a fair map. Very disappointing.
10/28/2021 12:06:40	October 28, 2021	VI Draft map discussion	Paul Weich	85044	self	Just say no to Commissioner Mehl's Doughnut District around Tucson. (This coming from a Tucson-raised, doughnut-loving guy. A guy who hates gerrymandering.)
10/28/2021 12:11:29	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Vicki Lynes	85268	Myself	Rio Verde and Fountain Hills should not be connected with Desert Ridge and I-17. Scottsdale should remain intact and not be divided by thirds. FHs residents live, work, play and worship in Scottsdale. We are connected communities and deserve representation that reflects that.
10/28/2021 12:14:48	October 28, 2021	IRC	Christine K. Dayton	85737	Self	I would like to THANK the IRC members who are working so hard to present competitive and representative maps. I appreciate their fairness, their honesty and their dedication. Integrity is an important quality also. The Test Maps of version 9.1 and 9.2 needs to be rejected. They are Republican from start to finish. They are fragmented and split into two districts. Oro Valley does NOT want to be with Pinal. Oro Valley wants to be with Pima County. Thank YOU.
10/28/2021 12:15:38	October 28, 2021	Draft Maps	Greg Blaire	85255	myself	Update to my last input: A 35 mile wide district in a perfectly urban area is not compact. We deserve representation that is found in our community. Not a 35-mile wide district that would include 4 cities. Thanks.


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 12:15:46	October 28, 2021	LD map discussion	Matt Parrilli	85637	Sonoita, Elgin et al	The Commission would be correct in considering cross boundary "competitiveness"balance. It makes good sense!
10/28/2021 12:16:18	October 28, 2021	Draft maps discussion	Priya Sundareshan	85719	self	Although Chair Neuberger claims to seek consensus, in every split decision she has come down on the side of the Republicans. The latest example is preferring LD maps 8.0 or 9.1 or 9.2, which privileges the partisan-submitted maps and Republicans efforts to gerrymander LD17 to be a Republican district while extremely skewed for competitiveness and incredibly strained to include completely disparate, rather than map 9.0 which seeks to balance physical connectivity and competitiveness in the district. Chair Neuberger, why are you privileging the Republicans in northern Tucson? They are not a community that needs to have you weigh in on your behalf. Consider how bad it looks for the Commission's independence, especially in light of recent reporting that all of these changes in southern Arizona were driven by a partisan-submitted map. In order to ensure the Independence of the Redistricting Commission, you must not continue to fold to every wish of Commissioner Mehl.
10/28/2021 12:17:15	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Rhonda Licata	85086		Anthem, being an upper middle class area, needs to be with other areas running south along I17 to L101. It makes no sense to have us with Wickenburg, a town that is very different from Anthem.
10/28/2021 12:17:46	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Debbie Skehen	85268	Myself	I live in Fountain Hills and when I need something not available here, I travel to Scottsdale to get what I need. Please keep FH and Scottsdale in the same district. We compliment each other. I do not go to Desert Ridge or Tempe. Please keep Scottsdale whole and Fountain Hills a part of the Scottsdale district.
10/28/2021 12:20:20	October 28, 2021	IRC	Lori Gray	85268	Fountain Hills	A 35 mile wide district in a urban area is not compact. We deserve representation that is found in our community. Not a 35-mile wide district that would include 4 cities.
10/28/2021 12:20:21	October 28, 2021	legislative district maps	Katie Kvam	85254		A 35 mile wide district in a perfectly urban area is NOT compact. Our communities deserve the representation that is found within each individual community. Not a 35-mile wide district that would include 4 different cities.
10/28/2021 12:24:53	October 28, 2021	9.2	Lisa Wolfe	85704	Self	9.2 is not a compromise. It is a Mehl-gerrymandered map- not competitive, not compact or contiguous, doesn't include communities of interest. 9.0 id a compromise
10/28/2021 12:25:05	October 28, 2021	IRC, map changes	Gary Townsend	85629	Self	No, to the map proposal from Comm. Mehl. Tubac, Amado and Quail Creek are a close community with Sahuarita and Green Valley and have similar wants and needs. Sonoita and Elgin should also remain in. Removing those areas and adding Davis-Monthan would be classic gerrymandering, exactly what this commission is supposed to prohibit.
10/28/2021 12:26:30	October 28, 2021	redistricting	pat Kolander	85262	myself and family	Do NOT redistrict my area = do not include us with Desert Ridge and beyond!
10/28/2021 12:27:21	October 28, 2021	Maps	Thomas Meconi	85737		It is mind boggling that an "independent" redistricting committee can continue to pretend that a map which was a submitted by the business pals of a committee member continues to gain the support of the chairperson. Not only is this map a premier example of gerrymandering, when the chairperson states that there needs to be more red-leaning districts, she admits it. The constitutional mandates are clear, and are clearly being ignored.
10/28/2021 12:29:13	October 28, 2021	Mapping - Tucson area	Ann Heitland	86004	self	Drawing districts for partisan purposes is NOT a constitutional criteria; drawing for competitiveness is. Look at Northern AZ, please.
10/28/2021 12:30:28	October 28, 2021	LD 5, 6, and 7	Patricia Van Tuyl	86004	Self	As a resident of Flagstaff, I am disappointed that the Commission has not taken the time to analyze and appreciate our Northern Arizona geography and communities of interest. The last several series of maps do not accurately reflect the communities of interest in districts 5, 6, and 7. I recommend that the Commission adopt the LD 6 and LD 7 Map Revision adopted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors at its meeting on October 27 and submitted to you. Please listen to their voices as earnestly as you listened to the Mayor of Marana and the business leaders of southern Arizona

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 12:30:55	October 28, 2021	Pima County districts	Frank Bergen	85749	self	<p>Chairwoman Neuberg and Commissioners,</p> <p>From where I sit in the Tanque Verde Valley, a quarter mile or less east of the city of Tucson, the recommendations offered yesterday by Commissioner Lerner make a lot of sense. She proposed that District 17 take in the Catalina Foothills and Casas Adobes, while giving the Tanque Verde area in eastern Tucson to neighboring District 18. Meanwhile, District 17 would give Vail and Rincon Valley to Cochise County-based District 19. These changes keep the Tanque Verde Valley in a competitive district and make District 17 more compact and legally defensible. Vail and Rincon Valley would be rejoined to Cochise County as they were in the 2001-10 legislative map.</p> <p>This morning's Star includes remarks by the executive officer of the Southern Arizona Leadership Conference which I find telling. SALC wants Republican districts in Pima County in order to have some influence in the Legislature. In the decade now ending LDs 2, 9 and 10 have each sent Republicans to the House and they have had little influence within the majority caucus. SALC should perhaps consider joining with those of us — probably a majority of Arizonans — who ask for more competitive districts in order that more centrist candidates of both parties might be elected and work together on behalf of all the people and interests of the state.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Franklyn J. (Frank) Bergen LD10 Tucson</p>
10/28/2021 12:32:40	October 28, 2021	LD 7 is gerrymandered	Patricia Van Tuyl	86004	Flagstaff resident	<p>Republicans in District 7 have a 30-point advantage in choosing representatives in the state legislature and so is a perfect example of gerrymandering. At the same time, it separates this area from its rightful place in the corridor between the South Rim of the Grand Canyon and the Verde Valley, an area that is one of the most significant economic drivers of the Arizona economy—viz., tourism.</p>
10/28/2021 12:36:54	October 28, 2021	vi	Lee Nichols	85750		<p>Commissioners, now that 9.2 passed, please at least use the Rillito River as the southern border of D18. Once again, Catalina Foothills residents do not identify with the residents of the City of Tucson. Please stop taking our votes away by including us with the City of Tucson!</p>
10/28/2021 12:39:14	October 28, 2021	3	Brian Bickel	85718	Self	<p>Chair Neuberg has expressed consistent concern that the right of center population has the opportunity to elect someone to express their concerns in the legislature. Where in Prop 106 is that a criteria for a map? The goal of the IRC is to take partisanship out of the map drawing process. And as far as the left leaning districts is concerned, they are mostly majority minority VRA districts.</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 12:41:39	October 28, 2021	redistricting LD 6 and 7 and CDs	John Watts	86514	self	<p>I strongly support the LD6 and LD7 map revision adopted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors that was created at its meeting on October 27 and submitted to the IRC. I am a resident of Teec Nos Pos in Apache County, Arizona, and for me the two most compelling criteria are that the mapping creates competitive districts and recognizes important communities of interest.</p> <p>I strongly OPPOSE the way LD 6 and LD 7 are drawn in test maps 8.0 and 9.x. They do not create competitive districts and they do not recognize important communities of interest.</p> <p>Compliance with the Constitution and the Voting Rights Act is the only one of six redistricting criteria that is strictly required without the modifier "to the extent practicable." That means there is no getting around that compliance. You must follow it.</p> <p>Therefore, due deference should be given to maps submitted by the Latino Coalition. Moreover, the tribes' ability to elect representatives under the 2011 maps must not be impinged upon by redistricting.</p> <p>So, I strongly support the LD 6 and LD 7 map revision adopted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors created at its meeting on October 27 and submitted to the IRC.</p> <p>As far as Congressional Districts go, I want to see Prescott remain in a Congressional District with the Colorado River areas so that Native American Tribes are given the opportunity to elect Congressional representatives of their choice by making CD2 more competitive.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to provide my comments.</p>
10/28/2021 12:42:06	October 28, 2021	LD 9.x maps don't honor citizen testimony	Patricia Van Tuyl	86004	Self	<p>The LD 9.x series maps fail to recognize important communities of interest that have been identified to you in testimony. An example of this is the division of the tract of land to be used for the Northern Arizona Healthcare Village at the south edge of Flagstaff, placing one part in LD 6 and one in LD 7. The Flagstaff suburbs of Mountaineer and Kachina Village as well as the City of Sedona are separated from the City of Flagstaff. This makes no sense to those of us living on the ground in these communities. The corridor from the South Rim of the Grand Canyon through Flagstaff and Sedona into and including the Verde Valley share common commercial, environmental, healthcare, and public safety features which should be represented by one LD.</p>
10/28/2021 12:43:24	October 28, 2021	Draft Map Discussion	Tyler Farnsworth	85296	Gilbert Resident / Faith Group & School Community	<p>I grew up and currently live in the 85296 zip code in the square mile South of Elliot, West of Lindsay. This current map is going against the Constitutional directive to maintain boundaries that respect communities of interest. This map places my home into the new LD12 which will include South Tempe and Ahwatukee. This completely separates me from my entire community. My faith community, my children's school community, and my business community. This section from Elliot down to Ray, EAST of Gilbert Road should be a part of the new LD14. Gilbert Road is a natural dividing line and we see very distinct separation of key communities. Within 2 square miles of my home there will be four different LD's. This is not at all good for Gilbert. Our community has shared interests and the way this current map is created inappropriately disenfranchises my community. It is not contiguous nor respects my faith or education community. Our High School (Gilbert High) will be split into FOUR different LD's.</p> <p>Please revisit the way you have split up Gilbert. Use South of Baseline and East of Cooper or Gilbert Road to maintain proper adherence to the Constitutional directive.</p> <p>Thank you all for your efforts in this work!</p>
10/28/2021 12:43:45	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Trevor Johnson	85255	North Scottsdale	<p>A 35 mile wide district in a fully urban area is not compact. We deserve representation that is found in our community. Not a 35-mile wide district that would include 4 cities. Scottsdale is unique, do the right thing and let us represent ourselves.</p>


ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 12:46:42	October 28, 2021	vi	lee nichols	85750		Commissioners, Regarding D18 of approved 9.2, north toward Overton Rd is great, but south of the Rillito River is not. Separate City of Tucson from D18 and stop diluting our vote. There's nothing you can do about the vote in the city except consolidate it and free us from their votes. Conflating the city with the foothills is problematic for both areas. During our testimonies, we spoke of the Houghton Corridor as part of our communities of interest, yet this map does not reflect that either. D18 should not have the city in it, it should go farther north and east. The southern border should be the natural boundary created by the Rillito River. Thank you.
10/28/2021 12:46:54	October 28, 2021	Series 9 maps	Gail Kamaras	85710	Self	Chair Neuberger's vote in favor of map 9.2 follows her consistently voting for Republican proposed maps. Map 9.2 is not contiguous or compact, nor does it consist of a common community of interest. It will not withstand the inevitable litigation that will follow its approval
10/28/2021 12:47:06	October 28, 2021	LD15 Redistricting - I would like a North Phoenix only presence - not the proposed new district.	Kathryn Apodaca	85054	LD15	We literally have no dedicated North Phoenix district with the new proposed district and we need one. Scottsdale is carved up into three – losing all city-related – even geographic boundary connections. It would make more sense if Districts 2, 3 and 4 were adjusted to take in more population further North to accommodate the growth rather than expanding East-West.
10/28/2021 12:47:07	October 28, 2021	Legislative map drawing	Ayden Young	85234	Self	Please do not split Gilbert among five districts. The boundaries do not respect town or school district lines and dilutes Gilbert as an entity. The northern boundary of the main districts covering Gilbert should be at Baseline. I live between Guadalupe and Baseline and have no affinity with or connections in central or west Mesa. My social and commercial relationships are in Gilbert. Thank you.
10/28/2021 12:50:04	October 28, 2021	VI - Draft map discussion	Paul Weich	85044	self	Chair Neuberger is mixing together competitiveness with "Communities of Interest", to come up with "Political Communities of Interest" - something contradictory and counter to mission. What would the reaction have been back in Aug (on listening tour) if someone had testified re: their Political COI? LD9.2 is a political gerrymander on the scale of what has been done and what is being proposed in Texas. The AZIRC was created to stop Doughnut Districts and the like.
10/28/2021 12:50:46	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Pima County	Diand Allen	85622	Pima County	Do not redistricting Pima County, especially Quail, Creek, Amado and Tubac.
10/28/2021 12:53:01	October 28, 2021	Dist. Map Series 9.0	Kay Schriener	85755	Myself	I'm writing to voice my concerns about Commissioner Mehl's district 17 in test maps v9.1 and v9.2. This district is geographically split and huge, which would seem to violate the Commission's need to establish geographically compact districts. I much prefer Commissioner Lerner's D17 in the LDv9.0. I am also very concerned about Mr. Mehl's recent comments indicating his intention to establish a safe Republican district. That's not what the Commission is intended to do. Commissioner Lerner's D17 and D18 in LD Test Mapv9.0 is a much better option to establish competitive districts. Commissioner Mehl's proposed map also divides a district by a mountain range. The Commission should observe natural features and not create a sprawling district separated by the Catalinas. Overall, recent media reports have made me skeptical of the roles played by Commissioner Mehl. To admit that he's trying to establish a Republican district is an admission that he is not acting in accord with the Constitution's requirements. I would urge the Commission to keep in mind its duty to the Arizona Constitution.

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 12:53:58	October 28, 2021	legislative map drawing	Jacqui Bauer	85716	self	I'm really alarmed by the direction of the conversation, with Commissioner York deciding unilaterally that one of the constitutional criteria for maps, and one of the original motivations behind the adoption of the IRC amendments in the first place, is not a "real end result" that the commission should strive for. Map 9.2 conveniently deprioritizes competition in an area where we have a clear opportunity to make Tucson's districts more compact, respective of geographic features, and respective of communities of interest, all to respond to requests that are clearly partisan in nature. Chairwoman Neuberg we need your independent voice here! Version 9.2 does not reflect the makeup of AZ voters.
10/28/2021 12:58:03	October 28, 2021	Congressional maps	Ayden Young	85234	Self	Please do not split a corner of Gilbert from CD5. We in that part of Gilbert have much more in common with Gilbert than with Tempe. I would move the CD5 boundary up to Baseline and move the western boundary to the east so that more of Chandler would be in CD4. Thank you.
10/28/2021 12:58:21	October 28, 2021	Tucson Area Gerrymandering	Tega Maidoh	85282		The commission needs to strike down maps 8.0, 9.1, and 9.2 which are maps based on a proposal from a Southern Arizona conservative group which a Republican on the commission founded. Political parties in regions of the state are NOT communities of interest. To draw a gerrymandered map in order for Republicans in the Tucson area to elect a candidate of their choice is the exact definition of gerrymandering. There are democrats in rural Arizona who deserve to elect a candidate of their choice. There are democrats in North Western Maricopa county that also deserve to elect a candidate of their choice. This is against the constitutional criteria of the Independent Redistricting Commission. This is not the Partisan Redistricting Commission.
10/28/2021 13:02:31	October 28, 2021	State legislative draft map	Peggy Pena	85643	Self	The chairman has finally shown she is partisan not independent. Parisonship isn't on the goals mentioned in Proposition 106. What she really means is letting them win every election in this district 17 not just have a say. This means that the people at the center or left not have a say in this district. She says that the right of center should have a say in Tucson but I thought the goal was to each district competitive as possible. She doesn't bring up by making this district Republican it makes the entire state legislative more right of center. I live in Cochise county and am in the center. I wonder if you can change the boundaries for the Cochise County state legislative district so I would have more say. Or change on the districts in the Republican areas of the state so the center/left could have some say in that area.
10/28/2021 13:04:54	October 28, 2021	Pima County Districts - placement of Casas Adobes	Judith Keagy	85704	Myself as a resident of Casas Adobes	<p>I have lived in northwest Pima County for 30 years where the Catalina Foothills and Casas Adobes areas of the County just north of the Tucson city limits come together just south of Oro Valley. These are contiguous communities that work, shop, worship and generally interact together in the day to day lives of residents. It makes much more sense to combine these communities within a legislative district than to gerrymander Casas Adobes with the more physically distant communities in eastern Pima County. This would create a more competitive district 17. There is no way Casas Adobes shouldn't be part of its natural community of interest rather than stuck in a gerrymandered district that extends into the eastern part of the metro area, especially since the creation of more competitive districts should be the goal.</p> <p>It also doesn't make sense to put Tucson's Flowing Wells neighborhood in with a predominantly Pinal County district 16 either. Flowing Wells is a distinct Tucson neighborhood that has much more in common with western Tucson.</p> <p>Finally, I'm concerned about the placement of the Tohono O'odham nation which has a strong economic and cultural connection with Pima County and the greater Tucson metropolitan area. I encourage the commission to listen to the input of our tribal neighbors.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Judith Keagy</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 13:05:37	October 28, 2021	legislative map drawing	Jacqui Bauer	85716	self	The latest discussion focuses so much on protecting right-leaning voters in the Tucson area, but I fully agree with both Lerner and Neuberg that we have to balance this with concerns for minority-party and independent voters all over the state. Version 9.2 doesn't do that. I live in Tucson, and am a Dem, and AGREE that single-party rule anywhere is a terrible idea, here included. We're at 50 years of largely single-party rule in Arizona - it's time to lean on our exemplary redistricting process to balance this state out to better reflect Arizona's voters. Map version 9.2 as it stands now is not what we need.
10/28/2021 13:06:13	October 28, 2021	Draft Map	Dee Maitland	85658	Self	Sacrificing one competitive district between 9.0 and 9.2 only makes sense if you are a Republican first and an IRC commissioner second. I concur that the distance between Marana and Vail is in more than just miles.
10/28/2021 13:07:39	October 28, 2021	Re-districting	Art Sylvie	85268	Self as Fountain Hills resident	Re-districting - insanely stupid idea. Why would FH want to be joined with other, not close by, communities? It may mean higher taxes, issues not decided by FH residents solely (but decided by others), and other unanticipated problems, and more levels of administrators. Abort this dad idea.
10/28/2021 13:12:52	October 28, 2021	Legislative Maps	Kathleen Barber	85704	Self	As I watched Map 9.2 being presented on screen, I imagined a Tyrannosaurus Rex carry a back pack through the Arizona Sonora desert. It is not the only district that has irregular boundaries or that sprawls across miles. I genuinely hope that the IRC can come up with adjustments. I live in Casas Adobes and have not been in Vail for 2 decades and travel to the east side of Tucson maybe once a year. I do not consider myself a part of the community of Vail or Tanguie Verde Thank you for the opportunity to comment .
10/28/2021 13:16:55	October 28, 2021	Public comments	Public comments	85085		If the IRC is going to consider protecting interests of right-of-center people in Tucson, you need to so the same with left-of-center folks elsewhere. Districts 3 and 28 as drawn silence the voices of left-leaning voters.
10/28/2021 13:20:24	October 28, 2021	Patagonia Redistricting	Retherford	85624	self	Patagonia does not want to be redistricted!
10/28/2021 13:20:25	October 28, 2021	Draft map	Austin Aslan	86005	City of Flagstaff	Splitting the City of Flagstaff into two separate legislative districts, into one legislative district with the Navajo Nation and another with Yavapai County, thereby diluting the voice of Flagstaff's residents, is unacceptable. This proposed split does not meet the state constitutional mandates for competitiveness, maintaining communities of interest, equal population, geographical compactness, and keeping cities whole. It will surely harm the City of Flagstaff and Coconino County for the next decade. As a sitting Councilmember with the City of Flagstaff, I strongly wish to make my opposition to this proposal heard.
10/28/2021 13:21:23	October 28, 2021	Draft Mapping #6	Mary Darling	85621	Santa Cruz County	I have heard very little concern or comment from any of the Commissioners over the impact of splitting up Santa Cruz County. We are one of the tiniest Counties in the state and the proposals split the County which does not seem very compact, nor respecting communities of interest. Our County does not require multiple sets of Legislative representation - our County should stay intact. I also do not necessarily believe it makes neither Santa Cruz, Cochise, nor Pima more competitive. Yes, there have been vocal voices expressing their preference of being closer to Cochise County but it does not mean we do not care staying together. Perhaps trading DM AFB to Cochise and then keeping Santa Cruz County whole and leaving Quail Creek with Pima County could be sensible? Please reconsider.

ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 13:22:27	October 28, 2021	Avoid Partisan Gerrymandering	Hope Busto-Keyes	85743	Self	<p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>As I understand, district lines are not to be drawn with the primary goal of partisan advantage.</p> <p>LD 9.0 had the best competitive range – so why change the district lines to purposely increase partisan advantage?</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 13:26:05	October 28, 2021	Moving Patagonia into a district with Cochise County	Chuck Klingenstein	85624	me	<p>I do NOT support the new draft map that would move Patagonia and Eastern Santa Cruz County into District 19 with Cochise County. We, Patagonia specifically, belong in District 19 with the rest of Santa Cruz County. To place us in District 19 would render my vote moot for the next 10 years since Cochise County, Graham and Greenlee are Republican strongholds. I wrote an extensive letter about this previously. Please do not do this.</p>
10/28/2021 13:26:41	October 28, 2021	Mapping	Jay Simpson	85016	myself	<p>The Arizona constitutional criteria, adopted by the voters in Prop 106, do not include a requirement to create a partisan leaning district in any particular part of the state. Sharing a political party affiliation is not a community of interest and that is the only thing that the Tanque Verde area shares with Marana/Oro Valley. They do not share schools; they do not worship together; they do not shop or recreate together; they are separated by the state's second largest city and a mountain range. The only thing that ties them together is their voting preference. LD 17, as submitted by the Republican Party, is a gerrymander, pure and simple.</p> <p>Prop 106 was adopted for the express purpose of eliminating gerrymandering. The three commissioners who voted to adopt the republican gerrymander of LD 17 have set a dangerous precedent and have acted contrary to the voter's intent in creating the IRC.</p> <p>Commissioner Lemer's proposal in map 9.0 created a competitive district that could be won by either party. It is more compact and it combines real communities of interest. Let the candidates for office compete on policy proposals and constituent service and let the best candidate win. That is why I and many others voted for Prop 106.</p>
10/28/2021 13:30:25	October 28, 2021	redistricting	Cynthia Sullivan	85255	I am NOT in favor of combing 3 areas of Fountain Hills, Scottsdale, and Anthem.	<p>I live in the North Scottsdale area of Troon. I do NOT feel connected with Fountain Hills nor with Anthem. I prefer to have a state representative represent my local community which has different assets and needs from those other cities I mentioned. Please take this into consideration when attempting to redistrict my 85255 area.</p>

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 13:31:32	October 28, 2021	Grid Maps Redistricting 2021-2030	Priscilla Weaver	86514	Self	<p>I strongly oppose the way LD6 and LD7 are drawn in test maps 8.0 and 9.0/ 9.0+. These maps are not created as competitive districts, and as a result, they are not representing my community of interest (economically, racially, linguistically, and compatibly). The Voting Rights Act has been violated because my rights are not considered in neither of the grid maps. I am a first nation citizen, an indigenous individual, and a documented Navajo Nation - CIB person (CIB = certificate of Indian blood). I, nor all of the CIB people in the State of Arizona are not represented should the test maps 8.0 or 9.0 (+) be accepted. I am a resident of zip code 86514, Teec Nos Pos (TNP) or T'isnabzbas (in the Navajo language). I am thankful that I have this opportunity to share my comments with the IRC. I have testified to you before when you held a public comment forum on October 7, 2021 in Kayenta (80 miles from TNP and 160 roundtrip). I submitted my map, and unfortunately, I did not see my map as part of the grid maps today.</p> <p>Furthermore, I would like to add that the Navajo Nation must stay included with Pinetop-Lakeside/ Show Low area because the White Mountain Apache share the community of interest with me as a part of the Navajo Nation. Please do not separate us again because within the State of Arizona, we are neighbors of interest. We have a common bond as family with the same interest, spiritually and culturally for hundreds of years maybe thousands. As is we've dealt dealing with too many other boundaries and one less line will provide far better and a more fair representation for us in the next decade as Navajos and Apacheans in Arizona.</p> <p>The Congressional Districts, Prescott should remain with the Colorado River areas so that the Indigenous Nations are given the opportunity to elect the fair Congressional representatives by making CD2 more competitive.</p>
10/28/2021 13:32:02	October 28, 2021	Competitiveness	Lynne Hudson	85704	Self	<p>People tend to reside in areas with like-minded people. That isn't going to change. There are some areas in AZ that no amount of tinkering will change. I think we all need to accept that. I think the best way to honor the competitiveness criterion without minimizing the other criteria is by making competitive districts anywhere in AZ where it's feasible and then drawing remaining districts in such a way that at the State level there are equal numbers of Dem leaning and R leaning districts. You can't get to an acceptable balance by addressing one part of the State at a time without compromising other criteria. Pima County is a good example. For example, Mehl is concerned about maps that marginalize Rs in the Tucson area. But, as Lerner pointed out, the map Mehl favors to address his concern does damage to other criteria, namely it joins communities separated by the Catalina Mountains and separates COIs (Marana, Oro Valley, Casas Adobes) that have expressed strong desires to stay together.</p>
10/28/2021 13:34:20	October 28, 2021	LD Draft Map Version 9.x	Kurt Vaughn	85624	Self, Resident of Santa Cruz County	<p>As a resident of AZ's smallest county, Santa Cruz County, I urge the IRC to accept LD Draft Map Version 9.0 which would keep our county within a single district. Doing otherwise would violate AZ's Constitutional Criteria for redistricting on the basis of contiguity, compactness, dividing a community of interest as well as arbitrarily dividing our county along non-political boundaries. I urge the committee members to reject LD Draft Map Version 9.1 and 9.2 and accept a version of 9.0. Thank you.</p>
10/28/2021 13:42:31	October 28, 2021	Maps	Nancy Wexler	85745		<p>This deliberation is so clearly biased toward a foregone decision to join Tanque Verde with the north of Tucson areas, regardless of reason. Same for Splitting Santa Cruz, arbitrarily. There is hypocrisy on how the logic is being applied. These maps are being proposed based on factors other than unbiased competitiveness. Why are the constitutional criteria not being upheld?</p>
10/28/2021 13:48:34	October 28, 2021	Mapping	Ann Heitland	86004	self	<p>PLEASE look at Northern AZ today. LDs are not representative of communities of interest and they are uncompetitive. Is this because mappers do not understand geography? Because commissioners have ignored public testimony? Or just because the rest of the state matters more to you?</p>


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 13:52:04	October 28, 2021	plan summary leg map	Francis Glad	85621	Self	I am a Santa Cruz County resident, 9.1 map and 9.2 shows my county divided. It is a very small county and dividing it is like dividing a single household. If 9.2 is a starting point, please revise so that the eastern part of my county remains represented with the rest of it. I live in Nogales and work in Patagonia. My family and I use that area for leisure and mental health. The geographical definable area (Eastern Santa Cruz) is highly reliant on a nature economy. An economy that the rest of the county relies on. Together, we share common social or economic interests, and should be included within a single district for purposes of effective and fair representation in future elections.
10/28/2021 13:52:30	October 28, 2021	LD mapping review	M.E. Dunn	86303		It is unfortunate that it is always commissioner Lerner who is being asked - and interrupted in the process - to find compromise. You, Chair, may be putting your desires aside but, so far, when the chips are down, your vote has gone only to one side. And, what Com Lerner said re the fact that what is acceptable and not acceptable by the other Com brings us to inconsistencies in terms of what COIs and other factors are included and others which are not. This has to stop. Speaking louder and saying that something is completely unacceptable to one Com is not a way to move forward at all, despite the words being uttered by the Chair. And, why do we continue to accommodate the concerns of only Com? (Are you okay with these?) Moreover, spending an inordinate amount of time dealing with "his" issues is an insult to the rest of the State. Are we going to be spending a similar amount of time to deal with the ins and outs of other LDs?
10/28/2021 13:56:17	October 28, 2021	LD Map 9.2	Matt Parrilli	85637	Sonoita, Elgin et al	Once again, please consult LD0029. That plan did NOT go as far west into Santa Cruz County as what I am seeing on the 9.2 map. Commissioner Lerner's proposal for Santa Cruz is totally unwarranted from both a Communities of Interest standpoint AND Competitiveness - which is the lesser ranked rule. Our community of interest is being trashed!
10/28/2021 13:56:31	October 28, 2021	Re districting	Carla chavarria	85007	Myself	What's web x? Please have these up on YouTube for normal people can view the hearing.
10/28/2021 13:57:03	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Janet Thiesen	85614	Myself	Please keep districts as they are instead of gerrymandering. The revisions are insulting and unfair. I've lived in blue-sky-and-sunshine Green Valley nearly five years, enjoy the quality of life and friendly people, and strenuously object to the political divisiveness.
10/28/2021 13:59:01	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Sarah Klingenstein	85624	myself	I am opposed to changing legislative boundaries that would move Patagonia into the same district as part of Cochise County. Santa Cruz County should be kept intact, kept fair and competitive.
10/28/2021 14:00:11	October 28, 2021	CD Map 6.0	Linda Horowitz	85750	myself	The CD map that includes my address which is the east Foothills of Tucson puts me in a winding snakelike district with a large rural area and extends far beyond where I live. I live in a suburb of Tucson. My district should be the suburbs of Tucson. This snake looks like a gerrymandered map. The Arizona Constitution reads Congressional districts shall have equal population to the extent practicable, and state legislative districts shall have equal population to the extent practicable" "Districts shall be geographically compact and contiguous to the extent practicable" "District boundaries shall respect communities of interest to the extent practicable" "To the extent practicable, district lines shall use visible geographic features, city, town and county boundaries, and undivided census tracts" "To the extent practicable, competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals." Your map does not reflect the law.


ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 14:00:32	October 28, 2021	Draft maps discussion	Priya Sundareshan	85719	self	Commissioners, I urge you to try to get the maps as "good" as you can before finalizing the draft maps that go for public comment. Obviously there will be feedback from the public that will then need to be incorporated, but if you hold back your own changes now in reserve, they will be less likely to be addressed at a later time. The earlier you incorporate the needed changes, the better. As we have seen in this process, the earlier a proposal is made, the more it has become locked in. Some Commissioners are refusing to make changes to the map at this point that would help address identified issues, drawing arbitrary "red lines" that are unnecessary. This is not a good idea, as the longer you put off changes that you need to make, the more likely the reception will be angry and raise the legality of the maps as drawn. Therefore try as best you can to make the maps reflect the criteria BEFORE they go for 30 days of public comment.
10/28/2021 14:03:30	October 28, 2021	Congressman Mehl's proposal.	Don Cassidy	85614	Myself and my wife	Commngressman Mehl's proposal is an obvious ploy to neutralize Democratic voters. I respectfully request that it be defeated as an undemocratic move. This is classical gerrymandering and should be resisted!
10/28/2021 14:07:31	October 28, 2021	Redistricting Santa Cruz County	Linda Shore	85624	Self	Please leave Santa Cruz County intact as a voting unit. I am the president of the Sky Islands Tourism Association which is a members based business group designed to promote tourism in the county. We are headquartered in the Patagonia/Sonoita/Elgin area but are working closely with the Nogales and Tubac communities on the western side of the county on new joint marketing programs. Many of these programs will require endorsement and perhaps financial support from our elected officials. Ideally, we will be able to speak to the SAME elected officials when we are discussing our plans for promoting the county. Bottom line, splitting Santa Cruz County into factions during the redistricting process serves no practical purpose.
10/28/2021 14:08:05	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Ruth Ann LeFebvre	85637	Myself	Again please keep Santa Cruz county whole, do not split for redistricting.
10/28/2021 14:09:54	October 28, 2021	vi	Lee Nichols	85750		Commissioners, Please adjust D18 so that disparate communities are not conflated in one district. Please remove the City of Tucson from D18. Include Oro Valley and/or Tanque Verde Valley and Vail. D18 is nonsensical if you are basing your decisions on the AZ constitution. It appears you are making decisions on D18 for political and personal reasons. The district drawn in map 9.0 for the Catalina Foothills makes much more sense.
10/28/2021 14:10:10	October 28, 2021	Proposed Congressional and Legislative Redistricting Map changes for Quail Creek into Cochise County	Lisa Robinson	85614	Lisa Robinson and William Ingram	We want lived in Quail Creek for years and we want remain residents of Pima County. We do not agree with the proposed Congressional and Legislative Redistricting Map changes for Quail Creek into Cochise County. We have always voted and do all of our business in Pima County. We never go to Cochise County so this does not make any sense to us. WE REQUEST TO REMAIN RESIDENTS OF PIMA COUNTY! Please, figure out another way to re-draw the lines. Thank you!
10/28/2021 14:13:45	October 28, 2021	LD maps	M.E. Dunn	86303		I have a concern that the idea that maps, just maps, need to be adopted for timing reasons, because they can be changed in the next stage, is not a way to move forward. First, because it means that you are kicking the can down the road, but also, it means that the commission, as a group or even a majority, is not buying into a particular set of maps or even an approach to them. That would make them indefensible, in my eyes. And, it is getting really old to continue hearing objections instead of allowing the mappers to come up with a new iteration to see how it addresses some of these suggestions. Pushing back every step of the way, and doing it loudly or more often, is not productive. Unbelievable, the mapper is asking for clarification from the person who is requesting the iteration (Lerner) yet Com York interrupts to give the answer. What is going on?

ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 14:18:16	October 28, 2021	Redistricting proposal Patagonia/Sonoita	Chris Werkhoven	85637	Myself	The proposed redistricting places new boundaries there where they do not belong. Firstly, history has shown that the current boundaries are to the satisfaction of the population when it comes to voting for a fair representation opportunity. Secondly, The current boundary separates populations not only along more or less geological boundaries, ie high dessert in Patagonia/Sonoita vs low dessert in Whetstone/Huachuca City/Sierra Vista, but also along cultural ones, ie those interested and working with eco-tourism, ranching and small scale wineries vs those involved in large scale agriculture, dairy farming and supporting the large military presence. Over a long period of time a remarkable difference in the type of people and their living environment has emerged with for many, if not most, a satisfactory equilibrium that does not need to be disturbed.
10/28/2021 14:21:06	October 28, 2021	Public Comment	Emily Kirkland	85281		Please make your meetings and hearings more accessible going forward. In the future, it would be good for meetings to continue being streamed live on YouTube. In addition, hearings should be planned with accessibility in mind - that means Spanish/English translation (and other languages as appropriate), hearing times outside of standard work hours, and hearing locations that include Avondale, Maryvale, South Phoenix and more locations outside of Maricopa County. Thank you!
10/28/2021 14:21:16	October 28, 2021	reapportionment Quail Creek	Lynn Birleffi	85614	self	I am very opposed to the proposal that separates Quail Creek, Tubac and Amato from its current legislative district. Our communities are attached to the current District by services we use, businesses we need and other community representation especially that relate to public education and retirement services. Your proposal tears apart our shopping and public service base. Together the current district that you are destroying connects the retirement community and the local public service base of volunteers. This is simply not fair.
10/28/2021 14:22:37	October 28, 2021	Moving parts of Santa Cruz County into Cochise County would greatly disrupt the	Michael Stabile	85624	Moving parts of Santa Cruz County into Cochise county would take away the rights of People in Santa Cruz county. The County is mostly Democratic and Cochise County is mostly Republican. They would hold the majority and take away our rights. We care about the environment here and would have no say in what outside corporations do to our environment.	Mixing us with Cochise County would take away our representation. They are on a completely different mindset. we care about the environment and they do not. leave the districting alone, do not change it, please

ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 14:24:33	October 28, 2021	LD draft maps	Shanna Leonard	85719	self	<p>District 17 in LD map 9.2 is a joke and a gerrymander.</p> <p>There is no way to drive from Tanque verde to oro valley without leaving the district. If you wanted to go without leaving the district, you would have to drive to the top of Mt. Lemmon, grab some bottles of water and hike 8 hours down pusch ridge. But you still would not be to the edge of 17. You could then hitchhike across marana valley, and catch a ride with a trucker up the interstate to red rock. But oops, you</p> <p>I have lived in Summerhaven -it has nothing in common with Red Rock or oro valley. - its a small mountain community and actually considered a Tucson address! The commission is suggesting that the 9000+ foot high mountain range of and Summerhaven "joins" Tanque verde and Oro valley to Marana and Red rock. Excuse me?</p> <p>The commission is inconsistent with their criteria and applies it for partisan reasons. When they use an enormous mountain range to "join" far ranging areas in District 17, in order to cherypick areas and create a safe district for one political party, but then claim mountain ranges "separate" other areas and cannot be included to balance and make other districts fair and competitive, then they make it plain that their only motivation is partisanship.</p>
10/28/2021 14:26:38	October 28, 2021	Maps	Sharon Morris	85614	Myself	<p>Wow, things move and change so fast I can't always tell whether it makes sense to comment. But from what I can understand, a new map proposed by Commissioner Mehl would take my neighborhood - Quail Creek - and move it into Cochise!!! Why on earth? I haven't been to Cochise in years. It's the other side of the mountain. I live, work, shop and go to church in Sahuarita/Green Valley. This is my home. I have to be honest, that feels like partisan gerrymandering to me. Why peel a single neighborhood away from our friends and neighbors and businesses and social/religious groups? As I understand the IRC redistricting mandate, new maps are meant to reflect compact, contiguous, communities of interest. And they should respect existing boundaries. Quail Creek is in Green Valley/Sahuarita. Our zip code is Green Valley (oddly, we also have a Sahuarita zip code too, I think), I shop at Fry's which is in Sahuarita. You can't even really tell where the boundary is between Green Valley and Sahuarita and whichever one Quail Creek technically belongs to, we CERTAINLY don't belong with towns that are miles away across a mountain range. Thank you so much for all the hard work you are doing. And thank you very much for listening seriously to my views as a rural/suburban voter.</p>
10/28/2021 14:31:14	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Steven Hirdes	85614	Make no changes to impact present voting districts	<p>My wife and I moved to Quail Creek just over a year ago expecting that the present representation of voting districts would be maintained. Please do not change the present districting. Do not include Quail Creek into Cochise.</p>
10/28/2021 14:31:37	October 28, 2021	CD map 7.2	Linda Horowitz	85750	myself	<p>I have been a resident of Catalina Foothills for over 25 year. I have read the Arizona Constitution carefully. Your map includes a fingerlike reach into my area in the northeast part of Tucson. Most of your map is of very rural areas and goes up to northern Arizona. I don't understand how you can believe that you are in compliance with the law by putting the suburbs of Tucson with the other area. It is not compact, it is not respecting communities of interest or geographic boundaries. Please revise this map. Right now it appears to be a gerrymandered map in favor of one party instead of being competitive.</p>
10/28/2021 14:35:07	October 28, 2021	VI Draft Map Decision discussion A Legislature Map Drawing	Dianne Coscarelli	85718	Self	<p>As the public is aware, LD 9.1 and 9.2 maps were created with the partisan goal of creating a safe district for one party. Today support was expressed for the goal of creating a safe district in Tucson for center-right voters. But the Arizona Constitution does not have that goal as a legitimate Redistricting goal. The Constitution does require competitiveness. If we focus on creating highly competitive districts, all voters in those districts have an equal voice and we eliminate any justification to establish safe partisan districts.</p>


ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 14:41:13	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Nelson Morgan	85054	Self	<p>There has seemed to be some confusion or inconsistency in the discussion today. Several times the notion of Community of Interest has been conflated with Partisan balance. Certainly there are times when a community identifies with its political preference, but most people are not so strongly focused on this; rather, where their kids go to school, where they shop, etc. more typically identifies a community. In a related vein, one repeated argument for LD map 9.2 was that it would provide a better balance in the Tucson area to have a likely Republican district, since there would be 3 others that would likely lean Democratic. This was called "competitive." But not only have you voted in a very specific set of criteria for competitiveness (2 ranges and the vote swings using 9 elections), but more generally, competitiveness refers to the ability of people of different political persuasions to sometimes win elections within a district. Partisan balance across districts certainly is important (though not specifically one of the 6 constitutional criteria) because of public perception of fairness, but that implicit criterion is best addressed over the whole state.</p> <p>Finally, as Commissioner Lerner pointed out, it is inconsistent to assert that having a mountain range between communities in a district is fine in one case, and disqualifying in another.</p> <p>I know that you must all be getting tired at this point, and I very much appreciate what you are attempting to do. So I understand that, with fatigue, more of these inconsistencies can sometimes pop up. But as you move forward towards a final map in December, I very much hope that you will find a way to be consistent with these criteria, regardless of which "side" a particular usage favors. All of you represent the whole state, not just the "ones who bring you" (as the late Molly Ivins used to say).</p>
10/28/2021 14:45:17	October 28, 2021	Keep Verde Valley together	Vicki Jo Anderson	86326	Verde Valley	I am asking for the commission to not break up the Verde Valley (Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Jerome, Camp Verde and Sedona) and please try to keep us with Prescott otherwise we will have no voice
10/28/2021 14:51:11	October 28, 2021	IRC redistricting	Carla Schaefer	85054	District 15 as a resident	<p>IRC, Rio Verde & Fountain Hills should not be connected with Desert Ridge & 117. I live in Desert Ridge & shop in N Phoenix. The possible new district 3 is too big for any Representative to be effective in representing the people. You should split it up N/S at Scottsdale road. It's not right to wipe out 2 Republican Districts in this way!</p> <p>Please reconsider the consequences of such a redistricting change.</p> <p>Thank you, Carla Schaefer</p>
10/28/2021 14:56:35	October 28, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Merissa Hamilton	85022	Myself	Uptown Phoenix is an urban area and should be drawn into the same district as central Phoenix. Voters in Uptown Phoenix will be deprived of representation by being drawn into a suburban district. Please remove uptown Phoenix from CD1 and move to CD3.
10/28/2021 14:58:40	October 28, 2021	Mapping Considerations	Suzanne Mead	85331	Self	Draft maps should be thoroughly evaluated on all 6 criteria publicly before being adopted by the Commissioners. That gives commissioners and the public adequate opportunity to weigh pros and cons prior to a vote.
10/28/2021 14:59:01	October 28, 2021	Redistricting of Sahuarita and Green Valley	Diana Schwartz	85614	Myself	I have lived in Green Valley/Sahuarita for almost 10 years. We are a suburb of Tucson and our commerce and ability to grow and prosper requires that we remain in in same voting district. It serves no purpose to change. It is not in the best interest of our community.
10/28/2021 15:00:17	October 28, 2021	mapping	Susan Bickel	85718	self	Chair Neuberger's reasoning for voting for LD9.2 to give the republicans a chance to have representation from Pima County actually tells me that the republicans have repeatedly failed to find a candidate who speaks to the values of the people who live in Pima County. I live in a competitive LD and we have had republican representation during the past 10 years. However, when strong Democrats are on the ballot, the republicans fail to be elected. The same thing applies to the Tanque Verde area in LD10. they too have had republican legislators. But, after one term, the voters come back to the strong Democrats who seem to capture the voters wishes and values. Chair Neuberger fails to grasp that the goal of Prop 106 was to assure that voters in AZ have fair and competitive districts. When the commission allows maps that gerrymander district 17 to give republicans an advantage, this is contrary to the intent of Prop 106.


 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 15:06:24	October 28, 2021	Please don't move Patagonia into the Cochise County district! This town is in no way in line with Cochise County's interests. Such redistributing would be a disaster for us.	Anne Townsend	85624	Myself	My feeling is that redistributing Patagonia into Cochise County would be a travesty. Our interests and theirs are not compatible. I am proud to be in Santa Cruz County and feel our votes should count to strengthen THIS county's interests.
10/28/2021 15:07:24	October 28, 2021	Maps LD9.0	Elizabeth Packard	85737	Myself	The LD9.0 map is the most appropriate one as the 9.1 and 9.2 combine communities that are not contiguous in that they are disjointed. I live in Oro Valley and am well aware that my "communities of interest" do not include the Tanque Verde community, which is lovely, but about 45 minutes away from me. We don't share schools, churches, hospitals, or shopping or professional services as TV folks tend to visit midtown to do their shopping and doctors visits. Please take another look at Lerner's LD9 map and know that people who live in an area have a much better sense of where the boundaries should be in their Legislative Districts. Thanks for seriously considering our concerns.
10/28/2021 15:11:04	October 28, 2021	Proposal to include Quail Creek in Green Valley with Cochise County for a voting district	John Nicholson	85614	Quail Creek in Green Valley	Please keep Quail Creek in the same voting district as Sahuarita and the rest of Green Valley. This is where we live, shop, worship etc. I have absolutely no connection with Cochise County. I chose to live in Pima County and want to continue to vote on issues that directly impact me, my family and my neighbors. Also please honor your duty to be INDEPENDENT and ensure that comments of powerful groups have no more weight than those of groups that have been historically ignored. Thank you

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 15:11:54	October 28, 2021	LD Maps 9.1 vs 9.2	Luci Messing	85749	myself	<p>Talking point: Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 is ridiculously distended and fragmented for a district whose voters all live in relatively densely populated suburban areas. Marana/Oro Valley is a long drive from Tanque Verde down to Vail, and these two geographically distinct suburban areas don't have much to do with each other. In contrast, Lerner's D17 in LDv9.0 has all of its suburban residents grouped together in a compact and contiguous area.</p> <p>Talking point: Mehl's district D17 in LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 purposefully splits Tanque Verde from the parts of Tucson where Tanque Verde residents are most likely to work, shop, worship and socialize, in order to prioritize Commissioner Mehl's partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. In contrast Lerner's districts D17 and D18 in LD Test Map v9.0 keep local communities together.</p> <p>Talking point: Commissioner Mehl's district D17 as shown in LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 makes a mockery of the constitutional goal of using geographical features as district boundaries. They create a district that is really two geographically separate areas divided by an unpopulated and roadless portion of Catalina Mountains. This may be the only district on the LD map where you would have to leave the district and drive over 45 minutes to get from one population center to another.</p> <p>Talking point: Test Map v9.0 makes District 17 a very competitive district, and balances the statewide totals of predicted party seats relatively evenly. The map creates 7 competitive seats, defined as being within an 8 point vote margin. In contrast, Mehl's LD Test Maps v9.1 & 9.2 purposely make District 17 a safe Republican district, and only have 6 competitive seats using the 8-point criteria. The statewide balance under Mehl's maps would tilt the state totals further toward the Republicans.</p> <p>Talking point: As described in the Arizona Daily Star article, Mehl and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council have proposed LD Test Maps v9.1 & v9.2 for the partisan goal of creating a safe Republican district in the Tucson area. The Arizona Constitution does not have that as a legitimate redistricting goal.</p>
10/28/2021 15:13:40	October 28, 2021	9.1 and 9.2 Test Maps	Christine Dayton	85737	self	<p>We have lived in Oro Valley for over 40 years. We vote every single election. We do not want to be in a district with Pinal County. Oro Valley is in Pima County. Our last LD was a throw away district with over 20,000 more Republicans. We want a competitive and a representative district.</p>
10/28/2021 15:14:49	October 28, 2021	Commissioner David Mehl's (R) proposal to merge Tubac, Amado and Green Valley/Sahuarita into Cochise County.	Hazel M Barber	85614	Quail Creek Community in Green Valley & Sahuarita	<p>Commissioner David Mehl (R) has proposed that my community (Quail Creek/Green Valley/Sahuarita), Tubac, and Amado should be merged in with Cochise County as part of their legislative district. We do not want that to happen, as Green Valley, Sahuarita (Quail Creek - where I've lived for 2.5 years) Amado and Tubac are all very different from Cochise County. Please, please follow the instructions to make this a NON-PARTISAN re-districting process and let us continue to be able to elect the representative that WE want, rather than the representative that Cochise County wants!! We want this to work, but we all voted for a non-partisan redistricting commission but Commissioner David Mehl seems to be trying his best to make this a partisan issue. Please do not approve his proposal. Thank you.</p>

ARIZONA 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 15:20:59	October 28, 2021	Redistricting maps	David Osborn	86335	Myself	<p>Re: LD pre-draft map version 8; It is imperative that, whenever possible, cities/communities be kept intact rather than dividing them up on district maps. The collective voice of a community is greatly harmed when this is done and no community should be subjected to this unless it is absolutely necessary. I do not see the necessity of dividing up Sedona between districts. The city isn't that large and its identity and county representation is more closely identified with Verde Valley and Prescott/Prescott Valley with Prescott being the center for Yavapai County government. Splitting up Sedona would disenfranchise the residents of the city and create a wedge/divide among its citizens. That is just not acceptable. We want the whole city of Sedona to be incorporated into LD5 instead of splitting it in two between LD5 & LD7.</p> <p>Re: CD pre-draft map version 6; I find it important to keep counties complete/together, whenever possible. Drafting district maps along county lines as much as possible. I am pleased to see that Yavapai County is kept as a whole. However, I do believe that District 2, on this pre-draft map, incorporates too large a geographical area with too diverse populations within it. The populace would be better served/represented to have the district lines re-drawn in a manner that better reflects the regions within in it. Having district lines go to the border with Utah, New Mexico and reach down to the south side of Phoenix is ridiculous. Too many different constituencies represented in this map, making it too hard for one representative to serve well. As a result, some if not all will suffer from a lack of good or great representation. I implore you to rethink the lines for District 2.</p>
10/28/2021 15:28:35	October 28, 2021	Mapping	M.E. Dunn	86303	A tired, concerned citizen	Here I am, ad nauseum w/ this comment: once again you go into ES to discuss the very PUBLIC issue of VRA compliance. And, per usual, will come back not giving the public even a clue as to in which direction the legal teams say you are moving - closer or further away from your requirements. At some point this is going to come out, why not during the meeting. It would go a long way to have us feel that the process is transparent. Something frequently alluded to but not always practiced.
10/28/2021 15:31:32	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Angela Christensen	85713	Self	<p>AZ IRC needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Live-stream all meetings on YouTube -Provide translation at hearings -Holding hearings outside of weekday work hours -Hold hearings in Avondale, Maryvale, S. Phoenix & outside Maricopa County
10/28/2021 15:32:56	October 28, 2021	Redistricting	Leslie schupp	85624	Patagonia resident	Please do not redistrict Patagonia to add to Cochise county.
10/28/2021 15:34:05	October 28, 2021	Map discussion	Tom Broderick	86004	self	<p>LD 6 and 7</p> <p>I support the maps submitted by the Coconino Board of Supervisors for this area. The County Supervisors well understand the communities of interest in this region and their map keeps together the communities of interest of Flagstaff, Sedona, other communities in the Verde Valley and the South Rim of the Grand Canyon in what they call LD 6. Substantial economic interests link these communities, as well as transportation corridors that link them. Prescott should not be in this same district as it shares little commercial interaction with the preferred maps from the County Supervisors, due to difficult and limited transportation between Prescott and these communities.</p> <p>The County map also provides a district that they refer to as LD 7 that has substantial Native American population of several tribes and that allows them to choose a representative as required by the VRA.</p>
10/28/2021 15:35:05	October 28, 2021	public comment	Mary-Jeanne Fincher	85253	self	very disappointing that today's meeting is not also available on youtube. youtube allows one to pause and go back. much more listener friendly

ARIZONA		10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments				
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 15:36:59	October 28, 2021	Congressional and Legislative Draft Maps	Dawn Walker	85755		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Oro Valley's larger community of interest is Casas Adobes and Tucson. Oro Valley shouldn't be split into separate LDs. - Oro Valley should be in a Pima County LD and shouldn't extend into Pinal County. Mountains are natural barriers and Oro Valley's LD should end west of the Catalina Mountains. - Oro Valley shouldn't be in a sprawling district. It is suburban- urban. The proposed Legislative District 17 in LD draft map version 9.1 and 9.2 give outsized representation to rural places with which Oro Valley has little in common. - no party should be given a competitive advantage in the purple state of AZ. LD draft map version 9.1 and 9.2 give Republicans an unfair advantage due to packing Democrats into districts. Only 9.0 comes close to being representative of AZ's competitiveness. <p>equal in population - districts should stay within the 1% variance of the target population.</p>
10/28/2021 15:41:32	October 28, 2021	Discussion of maps	Tom Broderick	86004	self	<p>CD maps</p> <p>AZ should have two rural districts. It makes sense to keep Prescott in the district with the Colorado River communities in the western part of the state. This creates a rural district without including Phoenix suburbs, which really have little in common with these communities, and will have less as the Phoenix suburbs continue to grow out from the city. The other rural district should include Flagstaff and the entire region to the borders to Utah and New Mexico in the northern part of the state, and then south through the rural areas in eastern AZ, much as CD 1 does today. This provides a district with substantial Native American representation that allows them to choose a representative of their choice, as required by the VRA.</p>
10/28/2021 15:47:58	October 28, 2021	LD pre-draft map Version 8.0	Mary Tuczakov	86351	Myself	Regarding LD pre-draft Map Version 8.0, I'm happy to see all of Yavapai County has been kept together in this district. As a Village of Oak Creek resident, I'd like to see the entire Sedona community kept together in the same district; therefore, please include all of incorporated Sedona with Yavapai County in this district. Thank you for your consideration.
10/28/2021 15:50:01	October 28, 2021	Adoption of Maps	Suzanne Mead	85331	Self	A few quick observations from today: Mountains matter; compromise should work both ways, the Chair should provide input for further discussion BEFORE draft maps are adopted, and there is no transparency in executive sessions. Also, fair and balanced should ensure no voter in AZ is disenfranchised. If the majority of LD districts are not competitive within those districts, millions of voters have little opportunity to select qualified, responsive candidates of their choice.
10/28/2021 15:53:18	October 28, 2021	CD Pre-draft Map, Version 6.0	Mary Tuczakov	86351	Myself	Regarding LD pre-draft Map Version 8.0, I'm happy to see all of Yavapai County has been kept together in this district. As a Village of Oak Creek resident, I do my county business in Prescott, and am happy to be included with the rest of the county in this district. It makes sense to keep counties together in regards to their representative government. Thank you for your consideration.
10/28/2021 15:56:00	October 28, 2021	CD 6 boundary for eastern Santa Cruz County	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita, Elgin, et al	The LD plan we submitted (LD0029) did NOT have the western boundary of LD 19 going all the way to Interstate 19 in Santa Cruz County. Our plan only took the boundary to the eastern edge of the Santa Rita Mountain. Why the change? Please adjust the western boundary of CD 6 to be the same as laid in the plan we submitted - CD0052. Thank you!
10/28/2021 16:01:49	October 28, 2021	Redistricting of Mohave County	Jacqueline Mochan	86406	Myself	I am opposed to this redistricting plan voters who live in rural areas Will not have fair representation by elected officials. Gerrymandering of this nature is meant to benefit the few, not everyone as intended by the U. S. Constitution.
10/28/2021 16:08:58	October 28, 2021	Mapping	Tamar Rala Kreiswirth	85718	myself	I've lived in Tucson since the late 70's. Currently I live near Ina/Oracle Rds. Looking at the map 9.2 I am confounded as to how this is the map that you are focusing on! And the comment by Commissioner York, apparently in exasperation, provides us a sad but, clear picture of why map 9.2 is the focus... because Commissioner York doesn't want to work to create a map using one of the CONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS (as I understand is an important guide) which is; to balance the maps so that the end result is fair competitiveness. Please reconsider. This map is not an acceptable choice.

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 16:22:27	October 28, 2021	Gerrymandering of LD2	Sue Rowen	85614	Myself	I have just heard about the changes being put forth to change to LD2. I am a resident of Quail Creek and live here because it's a community I have something in common with. Moving us into Cochise Co. is a thinly veiled Gerrymandering effort to weaken the Democratic Party. I want this idea destroyed and leave us in the district we are in. I'm sick to death of the Repugnants attempting to overthrow our government at the state level. I personally, think gerrymandering should be blown to smithereens and never allowed to be used again. Either that, or we need to make it the One Person, one Vote system.
10/28/2021 16:26:53	October 28, 2021	LD Test Draft Map 10.0	Suzanne Mead	85331	Self	Please note that D3 in 10.0 is extensively non-competitive. Considerations should be made to add parts of D8 into D3 in LD 10.0 to equalize population and competitiveness. Also, please explain the communities of interest that were taken into consideration in the design of D3. It does not combine the communities along the Scottsdale, Tatum, and Cave Creek Road corridors that some of northern Maricopa County towns like Carefree and Cave Creek are heavily connected to. There is also a Mountain Range dividing Carefree and Cave Creek from the rest of the proposed D3. The map as it is presented looks gerrymandered.
10/28/2021 16:31:22	October 28, 2021	Redistricting in southern AZ	Marilyn Majalca	85624	Patagonia resident	<p>I am alarmed that the redistricting commission wants to move Tubac and Amado away from the current district into one associated with Cochise County. They should remain aligned with the area of the I-19 corridor and Santa Cruz County. There is a natural land division with the Santa Rita Mountains separating these towns from Cochise County. Santa Cruz County residents have relationships with these areas much more than Cochise County (shopping, recreation, church, schools). One wonders why you want to make changes that clearly don't follow the guidelines for redistricting.</p> <p>"Congressional districts shall -be geographically compact and contiguous to the extent practicable -respect communities of interest to the extent practicable " -use visible geographic features, city, town and county boundaries, and undivided census tracts -have equal population to the extent practicable, and state legislative districts shall have equal population to the extent practicable -[should] be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals.</p> <p>Please accept this comment as aq strong rejection of the map that moves Tubac and Amado into a Cochise County district!!</p>
10/28/2021 16:36:25	October 28, 2021	mapping	Susan Bickel	85718	self	Please maintain the COI of Marana, Oro Valley and Casas Adobes. I disagree strongly with extending LD17 from the northwest part of the Tucson area, gerrymandering down to the Tanque Verde area.
10/28/2021 16:37:25	October 28, 2021	Leg District maps	sondra duncan	85268	self	Makes no sense for D3 (Fountain Hills) to be part of Anthem!! Fountain Hills is land-locked on 3 sides by the mountains and we are connected to Scottsdale via Shea Blvd. We have similar lifestyles & shared interests as North Scottsdale and should be together in ONE district.

 10.28.21 Public Meeting Comments						
Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
10/28/2021 16:38:38	October 28, 2021	LD draft maps	Shanna Leonard		self	<p>I have lived all around the Tucson valley metro area for over 40 years I also have friends and connections everywhere from corona de Tucson to oracle. Another problem with gerrymandered district 17 is the ridiculous amount of time it would take for a legislator to cover the area.</p> <p>Just because Mehl keeps saying that Tanque Verde Valley is somehow part of Vail , or part of Oro valley does not make it so. I have friends who live in TV valley and they commute to Tucson for work, shopping and entertainment and never ever go to Vail. They are closer aligned with the bear canyon and Catalina highway area. A more natural division would be along the Tanque verde school district boundaries to the south.. The current district as proposed crosses the following school district lines: Vail, TUSD, Tanque Verde, TUSD again, Amphi and then Marana. To call this a community of interest is blatantly false.</p> <p>To reach all parts of this district which serves the South east, the east side of Tucson the North East, the North West and Marana in one day would take approximately 4 hours of driving. and over 150 miles. From Mescal to Vail to Tanque verde valley to summer haven, then to Oro valley Tortolita Marana and red rock. This link in google shows the drive: https://www.google.com/maps/dir/Mescal,+AZ+85602/E+Redington+Rd,+Tanque+Verde,+AZ+85749/Summerhaven,+AZ/Oro+Valley,+AZ/Tortolita,+AZ/32.588743,-111.4247752/@32.5685619,-111.4673926,12z/data=!4m33!4m32!1m5!1m1!1s0x86d66fbf2e3b207f3:0x5923d727934199ae!2m2!1d-110.4346561!2d31.9716776!1m5!1m1!1s0x86d6429662678403:0xc158dd4a49b05910!2m2!1d-110.6948821!2d32.2490461!1m5!1m1!1s0x86d615acad19c385:0xf25008dad7f4ce8c!2m2!1d-110.7598173!2d32.4386871!1m5!1m1!1s0x86d60c3a2501327d:0x94584f960eac7f44!2m2!1d-110.9664881!2d32.3909071!1m5!1m1!1s0x86d60bd290ae31d5:0xe5f46d6c2a5e0964!2m2!1d-111.0400795!2d32.4005302!1m0!3e0</p>
10/28/2021 16:39:34	October 28, 2021	Public Comments	Crystal Bazarnic	85085		<p>I have been watching the meeting all day and am dismayed that there has been no discussion about D28 and D3. These districts have been drawn unnecessarily uncompetitive. I live south of Anthem and we do NOT belong with Wickenburg and Wittman. We are a suburb of Phoenix and our I-17 north corridor is a very cohesive community of interest with similar goals and interests. An important note is that area Democrats and Republicans agree. We want our community together.</p>
10/28/2021 16:40:25	October 28, 2021	redistricting county lines	Dr. Patricia Watrous	85624	Myself and my community of Patagonia, AZ	<p>The proposed changes to county lines does not take into consideration the communities and their concerns that inhabit these areas. To take a portion of Santa Cruz County and include it with a part of Cochise County really makes no sense from any angle. Cities, towns, and livelihoods all go into a mix which creates a community, and these changes will have the effect of watering down voices and dividing like minded people. Please reconsider. Patricia Watrous</p>
10/28/2021 16:40:48	October 28, 2021	Mapping - LD	M.E. Dunn	86303		<p>Com Lerner. It is not necessary for you to be the only one giving in. I see that more and more of the process is a one way street on compromise. In other social contexts, what is happening could be construed as bullying. Your gentle manner and calm voice seem to be giving the impression that you can be dominated or browbeat into "submission." I find this unacceptable. Calling something Wrong, Completely Wrong, loudly, does not make it right or more worthy of consideration. "I cannot say Strongly Enough that WE (and how is this a We, Com Mehl?) have objections." Because one Commissioner objects, should not be the last word on the subject. I am in disbelief of how this process has evolved. I am saddened.</p>
10/28/2021 16:45:41	October 28, 2021	Gilbert redistricting	Carol Cherry	85296	Gilbert citizen	<p>I am a public school teacher, and have resided in Gilbert for 12 years. I respectfully request that Gilbert continue to be mostly in the same legislative district - not broken up into 5 parts as the current map shows. We are a family oriented community with deep religious roots - this map would separate portions of my and other church congregations. I urge you to follow the constitution and respect natural boundaries when making the final decision on legislative districts in Gilbert. Thank you.</p>