pretty much the 84 freeway up here until you get into Buckeye and then it cuts over and goes down the 10 freeway.

It takes a little bit to the north of the 10 freeway, if you'll notice up here on the border it doesn't follow the freeway exactly; and then it ends pretty much at the brand-new 202 loop that's in the West Valley that connects the East Valley.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just -- I can't see. I can't see.

MR. FLAHAN: 5. The lime green is 5.

And then right under 5 in the aqua color that's going out to the east, that is District 1 and that's pretty much taking most of South Phoenix, including Downtown Phoenix. As you can see it cuts up there, it sort of cuts Downtown Phoenix in half and goes out to the airport property; and then coming back down which I think is 143.

MR. D. JOHNSON: 143.

MR. FLAHAN: Yep, exactly; and then it does include the city of Guadalupe.

If we go back up to the north, D-4 is what is sitting right on top of D-5 and that includes Luke Air Force Base, probably the southern half of Glendale if you want to turn on the city layer.

You guys are getting my first read on this too.

So it is taking the southern half of Glendale, the

1.3

leg that sticks out here and below it, it is also getting probably Litchfield Park.

COMMISSIONER YORK: How far north does D-4 go?

MR. FLAHAN: It goes all the way up -- to Northern

Avenue is the top border there.

It does include Litchfield Park. It probably does include the western part of Phoenix also.

And I'll turn off Phoenix.

1.3

Yep, it does include the western part of Phoenix and the eastern border is 67th Avenue.

And at 67th Avenue, that is where District 3 picks up, it has parts of the city of Glendale, it has parts of the city of Phoenix. It does peak, it goes up to the next street which is Olive Avenue, goes across Olive to the I-17, comes down I-17 and then it takes the next major block to the east of I-17 which is 19th Avenue. It goes down 19th Avenue to -- Indian School Road is the bottom line here for District 3.

And then just south of that in the blue color is

District 2. It is a narrow east-west district, and on the

west again it is 67th Avenue; the top border is Indian

School; the southern border is McDowell until it dips down

at the Loop 202 and then it goes to Buckeye; it takes

Buckeye to 19th Avenue, comes up 19th Avenue and then cuts

across the Downtown Phoenix area on Van Buren Street, all

1 the way over to Papago Park and the zoo, and then it comes back up -- and back up to Thomas and then it takes Thomas 2 3 all the way over and that's that top border. And those are all of Phoenix. 4 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just tell us on the west --6 westernmost district, does it go over into Tolleson or 7 Avondale? 8 COMMISSIONER YORK: District 5 is in Tolleson, yes. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It is? Okay. I just didn't 10 catch that. 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: Tolleson is basically at the --12 where the Highway 202 comes into the 10. 1.3 MR. FLAHAN: The -- the red is --14 COMMISSIONER YORK: District 4. 15 MR. FLAHAN: -- Tolleson. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Thank you. 17 MR. FLAHAN: I believe it probably does include 18 some of Avondale, but I can put that on the screen. 19 MR. D. JOHNSON: I think it's going all the way to 20 Buckeye. 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's got Buckeye, it's got 22 Goodyear, it's got --23 MR. FLAHAN: Avondale. Yep. Goodyear, Buckeye. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So -- so this may be an 25 unfair question 'cause you haven't had time to really, you

know, digest the map, but what I'm curious about because this is just -- it's hard for me to absorb all of this, how do they have eight and we have seven? Where are those differences and where are those points that we maybe should study tonight to see --

MR. D. JOHNSON: Sure.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- differences, yeah.

MR. D. JOHNSON: So actually just to clarify. They have eight Latino seats, so when you add a Native American they would actually have nine compared to ours.

So ours currently has -- the current working map has probably six; one of those six actually doesn't perform on the governor's race, it only performs on the attorney general's race, so five and a half or six in the current map plus Native American; and so they -- this would essentially have two more than our current map does and one more than the exist- -- existing legislative districts that are in place now have.

So -- so there will be big changes between our map and -- and that was -- obviously, that was expected. We have not spent a lot of time trying to redraw ours in anticipation of this input coming in.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And -- and we had also talked about the fact of eight plus the two opportunity that happened last time. So this eight-plus-one would kind of

1 fit within that, right? 2 Will this be available for us, then, tonight? 3 MR. FLAHAN: Yes. It's been shared. It's actually 4 in the redistricting system and it is shared to everybody 5 that has a redistricting account, including the public. So 6 anybody that wants to take a look at these eight districts, 7 you're more than welcome to. 8 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And how are they labeled? 9 it Latino... 10 MR. FLAHAN: Oh. 11 COMMISSIONER MEHL: The Latino Coalition or what? 12 MR. FLAHAN: Yeah. Go ahead and go "open," and 1.3 it's "AZ Latino Coalition Legislator," this very top one. 14 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Thank you. 15 MR. FLAHAN: You're very welcome. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Will we be able to pull 17 demographics from it or not because it's an incomplete of 18 the whole... 19 MR. FLAHAN: You can pull demographics. Here's the 20 demographics here on the screen that you're looking at. So if we took District 1 here, it looks like the 21 22 Latino percentage for District 1 is 46.34. Just be aware 23 that there is this big unassigned district of 5 million 24 people, which is just -- just ignore the gray "unassigned." 25 But yes, the -- the percentages for ethnic groups

1 is correct per -- per district that's there. 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you. 3 MR. FLAHAN: And -- and so with the competitive 4 data before each district, too. 5 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And could you show us the 6 southern Arizona impact on this in a little more detail, 7 too? 8 MR. FLAHAN: Yes. 9 So D-6, that big southern lime green district, that 10 does come up; I do not think that it goes into Arizona City, 11 which it does not; it comes down, leaves out the city of Marana -- just to make sure I'll put the border on the 12 1.3 screen -- yep, it borders -- it just borders the Marana town 14 limits so it doesn't cross there -- yep. 15 It does cross the I-10 freeway it looks like in the 16 River Road area, that's down here; and it goes down to west 17 Roger Road and Garden Lane -- or Gardner Lane. 18 At that point it comes back to the I-10 freeway and 19 it goes back west on Grant Road and then sort of snakes down 20 the Camino Del Solo Road -- I might have just butchered 21 that, you're more familiar in the area than I am. 22 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Close enough. 23 MR. FLAHAN: And then it cuts down. 24 But we'll -- you will notice that it sort of has a 25

D-7 in the middle here. So this is D-7 and it comes across,

it takes in Ajo Highway, which is I think State Route 86, it comes across I-19 over to Tucson Boulevard -- hold on, let me -- let me turn some of this stuff off.

There we go. I can read it better.

1.3

Yep, up Tucson Boulevard and then back up to the north and sort of takes the city core of South Tucson and Tucson up to the north until it ends at Roger Road.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: So on the map I've asked for just the additional version to look at that would have Marana and Oro Valley together, I think there's actually things that we can learn from -- from this map that would help us with that.

So I would encourage you to achieve the map I've asked for, to take a look at this and see how much of it you can incorporate in. I don't think it comes in whole cloth, but I think there's some good things that actually combine this with what I was asking for.

MR. FLAHAN: And then the last district, District 8, you can see over here comes out, Davis-Monthan Air Force base is included in that; and it goes out to the east, crosses the I-10 freeway; goes south, Sahuarita is included in District 3 -- or, sorry, District 8; goes down, Green Valley is included in their District 8; and it continues to go south all the way down to Nogales out to Patagonia and out to Eagle and out to the east.

And then it's --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: For -- for my requested version map, don't -- don't take it all the way out to the east there. So I'm not too fond of that one, but...

MR. FLAHAN: It slowly goes around Sierra Vista and out to the New Mexico border.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I think those are -- I think the reason it's going all the way over there is that you've got Douglas over there which is a very high --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: You know why. Okay.

MR. FLAHAN: And that would be all eight of the districts they submitted.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: When -- when you incorporate this all in, then you'll just renumber them as they would fit into our system and so we can kind of see how -- how it all fits together, right? That's --

MR. D. JOHNSON: Well, that -- that is what we're looking for is -- is direction in terms of how much of this you really would like to see us incorporate in as we -- as we draw the next version, Commissioner Mehl talked about in the city of Tucson trying to incorporate that.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, well, I'm just kind of struggling with the populations.

The LDs are supposed to have roughly how many --

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 how many people? Two hundred, I thought. 2 COMMISSIONER MEHL: 3 I can grab that, hold on. MR. FLAHAN: COMMISSIONER LERNER: 238, 384. I have it in big 4 5 print on my paper. COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, well, I can see it over 6 7 here but this map has quite a bit more in some and quite a 8 bit less in others. 9 So maybe, I mean... 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: They're pretty close in 11 looking at it, right? 12 The numbers aren't too far off; they're not exact, 1.3 but they're pretty close. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay. 15 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just -- the challenge of -- of 16 importing this quickly, the -- the target deviation and 17 target deviation percentage it's calculating are off the 18 congressional map. So we'll fix that, so. 19 COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay. 20 They're not actually 75 percent COMMISSIONER MEHL: 21 short; they're very close. 22 COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I quess that would be my 24 question is could we -- if it's okay with everybody, can we 25 see if we can have these incorporated into map 3.2?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Version of that, yeah.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That version of 3.2, put these in there and then we can work, including Commissioner Mehl's request on Oro Valley and Marana, and then see how those look?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, and that may be several different versions. That doesn't necessarily mean that's just one -- you may have two or three ways of wanting to look at it that you'd want to show us.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Get the population balance of Maricopa County in.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ FLAHAN: I -- I reset the target deviation to be the correct deviation.

So you can see here, the biggest deviation is 4.06 for District 3. It's about 9,600 people off.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah.

MR. D. JOHNSON: So, yeah, so that sounds like consensus, am I correct, that we should incorporate these in and see how they -- the impact is we fit them into the -- the rest of 3.2 and --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would encourage you that if you -- you might want to do one version where you totally incorporate them in and then do another version where you take a lot of what they have but not necessarily all of it, and try to incorporate it with other things you think that

work well.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Because they get to eight minority districts, right?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And, I mean, plus the Native Americans, I mean, we're -- we're striving to get to eight which is what we currently have.

MR. D. JOHNSON: So this would actually be nine.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Right, no, exactly. So I guess that was what I was saying.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Along Commissioner Mehl's comment, I'm happy to go along with, you know, drawing what may, you know, happen, incorporating all of their feedback; but -- but more importantly, I'm interested in how that feedback can help us accomplish our goal and fit in with our larger vision; and -- and so I know that that does, you know, give you some responsibility in playing around with the iterations of the maps, but -- but we've created a vision and I don't want that map to drive, you know, the larger decisions, you know, emanating outwards.

But I'm open to two maps where we go with the full, you know, Latino group and see what -- what plays out; and if it's wonderful then, hey, you know, it's great.

MR. D. JOHNSON: And it is notable to -- to the earlier discussion about the congressional map, too, it's

worth noting these eight districts drawn -- doing a quick count -- six of them are not 50 percent Latino. So the question about District 7 and can we get to 50 percent, well, you know, it's more about do they perform and are they effective than it is hitting that magic number, 'cause here's, you know, eight presumably effective districts coming from the coalition, that are -- six of which are not at 50 percent but they all perform and hit our benchmarks.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, that's -- so of the Latino legislative districts that they submitted, did any -- I mean, how many of them reached the 50 percent threshold?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Two.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just two.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. Number 2 or number 7.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Or number 6, I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Number 7 is within --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, I think we're going to find that there's a lot of reason we don't need to worry about getting all the way to 50 percent as long as it performs under all these tests but we'll hear -- we'll hear from our esteemed counsel tomorrow more on that.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, especially when our aim is to be empowering the Latino community to the greatest extent possible.

1.3

So we'll see what we can do.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And there's some others that are -- are very close --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- to that as well, so they're within -- they're certainly within range. You have one which is six-tenths off, so it's -- but -- but it would be interesting just to kind of -- I think to me it would be interesting and I'm all for just kind of seeing how they worked together, that's why I was curious on the overlay and having two maps -- or at least two maps tomorrow, one with them and one where -- which incorporates some of the other things that we've been talking about and seeing how that all fits together and then -- I'm not sure of the other one, the second map.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, this is a -- this is -- I think we got a good start. We've got to reset the Maricopa County population on 3.2 and then kind of try to incorporate this and see where we land? Is that...

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes. Just summarizing I think what we've heard to make sure -- so we've got: Work from 3.2, do the population balancing as you're just saying; we'll do the Kyrene School District challenge -- change; do the Oro Valley -- put Oro Valley and Marana together in one legislative district; and then incorporate -- incorporate

1 the Latino Coalition districts and maybe incorporate them in one, very -- very likely end up needing two to show the 2 3 different ways of incorporating. Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER YORK: 5 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Can we have that by 8 o'clock 6 tonight to review? That's probably --7 MR. FLAHAN: Couldn't hear it. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Anything else that 9 would be helpful for you just in terms of decision-making 10 and -- and helping you have -- have focus? 11 MR. FLAHAN: You know, I do have a question on 3.2. 12 We had the north and south district in Phoenix following the 1.3 river. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's Tucson you're showing. 15 Tucson, sorry. MR. FLAHAN: 16 Is that something that you guys want to continue incorporating? I know this isn't 3.2, but following the 17 18 river? 19 I can bring it up. 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: I think that's a -- I think 21 that makes sense. 22 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, I think -- I think that's 23 excellent. That's one of the -- one of the things I liked 24 about 3.2 is the way that was shown, the difference. 25 And if anything, District 17 -- if 18 had to push

1 over to the -- to the east a little in order to get more stuff working for 20 on the west or whatever those districts 2 3 end up being, if 17 had to give up something in the inner 4 city and go out a little farther to the freeway past Casas 5 Adobes, that probably would be an improvement. 6 MR. FLAHAN: Okay. So that's -- that's keeping the 7 river sort of as the boundary? 8 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes. 9 MR. FLAHAN: Okav. 10 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And that's going to be a -- a 11 swing district, that's going to be a toss-up district, or --12 you know, one way or the other. Yeah. So it will be one of 1.3 the very competitive districts. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Which district again? Could 15 you give me the number again? I'm sorry. 16 COMMISSIONER MEHL: D-17. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: 17. Thank vou. 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: North of the river. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So is that one where 20 you're -- are we taking Casas Adobes -- leaving it there or 21 we putting that with Oro Valley? Because I seem to remember 22 that they wanted to be together. 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: At this point we're leaving it 24 where it is.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: But that might change at some

25

1 point? 2 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I kind of remember --4 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I think we're going to have a 5 bunch of different things to look at. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, I remember the 6 7 discussion and I thought that they were interested in being 8 further north with that district, but... 9 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I want to remind my 10 colleagues that at some point we're allowed to vote to lock 11 things in. And I'm not suggesting we do it today, but 12 tomorrow is Tuesday. And as -- I mean, I'm just saying that 1.3 I'm -- I'm starting to begin to hear some consensus of 14 general issues and -- and just if there are even just tiny 15 little areas without the broader picture, just bringing that 16 out there as an option for not today. 17 MR. FLAHAN: I -- I don't think we have anything 18 else from our side. I could go check the workroom to see if 19 they have any changes that were made this morning if you 20 give me a second to step out. 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, that -- that'd be great 22 and if there's anything on the CD front that you want us 23 to -- is that what you just said? Okay. 24 MR. D. JOHNSON: That's what he's going to check 25 on. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

1.3

And what we'll do is, after this we'll adjourn.

There is some Executive Director's report, but I believe
that we'll address that tomorrow since we addressed whatever
timely issues we had today.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I have a question.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: In terms of this -- the racial -- racially polarized data, when is it a good time to start bringing those in?

Now that we're looking at the legislative maps, should we be looking at those in the counties? Should we -- at some point we need to start bringing that information in as we're starting to narrow down our focus.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, I would say -- I would just note -- for Legal talk to you. We are using that data. Each time we check the -- those citizen voting age population numbers and the attorney general's race and the governor's race, we are -- the reason we're checking those is to ensure we're meeting those polarized voting target numbers.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And do we have those for each county?

Like, I'm thinking -- I'm looking over here at -- I'm just getting familiar with -- with map 3.2 so, but I'm

looking and we have Cochise County as pretty much almost its own district right there.

1.3

So do we have some of those other counties, are we able to look at that or you're going to look at every legislative district and kind of run those numbers? Is that what you're saying?

MR. D. JOHNSON: So the -- the nice thing of using the benchmark elections, the governor's race and the attorney general's race is that those incorporate into them the dynamics of each county, so you'll -- those reflect the changing crossover as we go from county to county.

So I don't know if Legal has anything to add to that as well.

MR. HERRERA: I mean, I would just add a couple of things.

One is we have obviously seen some of the polarized data at the county level, at least for some counties in Arizona, through Lisa Handley's presentations. I think we will be ready to do a district-by-district analysis. We have a meeting, actually, with our experts at 6:00 a.m. tomorrow to finalize that, but it looks like we'll be ready to do that tomorrow, and then we can provide that kind of information at the district level.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Great. Thank you.

MR. FLAHAN: So they are working very hard in the

workroom, they still have a little bit more to go, so we're not ready -- we don't have anything to show you right this second on the congressional districts; but we hope to get it done soon and then share it with everybody as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And in terms of process, you -- you let the staff know, the staff will text us or just let us know when -- when the maps are live?

MR. FLAHAN: Yes.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Awesome. That would be great.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And you should be able to get us the legislative sometime tonight, you think?

MR. FLAHAN: I -- I think we should have time to do the legislative. At least one, but I think there's plenty of time to do two.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. Certainly we'll try; don't be surprised if we're finishing legislative tomorrow morning while you're debating the changes in the congressional map. We may need that time, but we'll try.

One of -- one of the things -- just so you understand, the change -- one of the things that happened is when we pull the Latino Coalition proposed District 7, turns out it has a huge impact on our configuration of -- of the rural District 2, and so we've got to go back and revisit

how we implement that with -- while minimizing that district which we already have tons of direction and Commission guidance on.

So those are the complications that everything ripples around, but they're working through it.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If there's no other questions on that item, we're going to skip Agenda Item No. VIII, Executive Director's report and discussion thereof, for the sake of time and defer that to our agenda tomorrow.

Agenda Item No. IX, in-person public comments. We can skip that as well given the conversation we had the other day.

Agenda Item No. X, next meeting date will be tomorrow, October 18th, 2021, at 8:00 a.m. I look forward to seeing you again bright and early.

Agenda Item No. XI, closing of public comments.

Please note members of the Commission may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda.

Therefore pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

We get to Agenda Item No. -- oh. I -- did I say XI?

I think I have my numbers all mixed up. 1 What's the next -- I have IX but I think it's XI? I have my numbers 2 3 all mixed up. It's Agenda No. XII, adjournment. I will take a 4 5 vote. Vice Chair Watchman. 6 7 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 10 11 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: That's an aye. 14 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an 15 aye. 16 With that, we adjourn. 17 I'll see you tomorrow morning at 8:00 a.m. 18 (Whereupon the meeting concludes at 3:42 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 "This transcript represents an unofficial record. 23 Please consult the accompanying video for the official 24 record of IRC proceedings." 25

1	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA)
4) ss.
5	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
6	
7	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
9	that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
10	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
11	parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
13	requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 8th of November, 2021.
14	angile Ah W
15	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
16	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
17	* * *
18	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and
19	7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 8th of November, 2021.
20	
	William Control Department of the Control of the Co
21	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. R1058
22	
23	
24	
25	