need to set aside the time for this. If we don't want to delay the movement of all of this and we would like the legal training, you know, are we all willing to add on, you know, a chunk of time, whether it's an hour or two, to a meeting in advance?

2.3

Because, remember, it's going to get busy, we have our interviews, you know, coming up, so.

I mean, for me, I personally feel that it's a priority. I feel it's important, and I would like it. But I'm -- I'm open to, you know -- I don't want to spend other people's time.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner Neuberg, this is Commissioner York, I concur with your points you made earlier, I think also the education for the Commission on RFP process would be valuable. So I would like to see us have the flexibility to have the time.

I would guess we do that on the 16th; is that right? No, we were going to extend them a week, so probably the 23rd, I guess. Right?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I don't --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I concur. I was just wondering if we -- we don't necessarily need to wait to get the education piece, right? We can move -- move forward with that whenever. But I do concur with wanting the information.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It's actually possible, so I did speak with one of the experts in the AG's Office who has been highly involved in already kind of compiling summaries, and I -- she is thinking about potentially being ready to present as early as next week. So given that we're not going to be interviewing next week but rather just culling the list, if it's possible to get this training in next week, that might be an efficient use of time.

But we can look into that. I mean, you know, we'll see what -- what we can do with our staff.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl.

I agree with that. Because we don't want to do it the same week we're going to be doing the interviews, the interviews will be lengthy. So next -- next week would be good.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

2.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I concur.

MS. PEISER: If I could ask a question for clarification. If it's possible to bifurcate any of the procurement process, like perhaps training separate and apart from actually evaluating the RFP, would you like that to be something that's done to kind of spread out the time constraints? Or is it you want one big meeting?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So what --

MS. PEISER: What --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: When would -- Pam, when would somebody be ready to provide that training? What's the soonest they could provide that training?

2.3

MS. PEISER: I would defer to Yasmin on that. I believe I was told March 9th, but I don't -- you know, I haven't had a subsequent follow-up conversation on that either, so I don't know if she reached out to Yasmin or not.

MS. RAMOS: No, they did not. The last I heard it was that you were going to have a conversation with them, and then I never heard again from anyone.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I would imagine that we would have time. I mean, I -- I think next week if we focus on -- I mean, we've done a lot of the -- the preparing today, I think we would have time next week to do the legal training and the RFP training.

We could aim for it if the -- if the experts are capable of putting their information together in time.

Okay. Well, we'll -- we'll add this to the agenda items for Item No. XIV.

Anything else, Pam, you want to add on that?

MS. PEISER: No. I just wanted to make sure that I threw out, you know, the consideration for you guys since time sometimes gets a little tight.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes.

Okay. With that, we'll move on to Item No. XI,

1	which is discussion and poss excuse me?
2	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Neuberg, I just
3	have a quick question. I'm sorry.
4	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Sure.
5	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Did we decide to keep the
6	March 12th date for legal counsel or were we thinking of
7	extending that as part of this?
8	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, thank you. We will
9	need a motion if we want to extend the RFP as we discussed
10	if we're extending everything a week.
11	COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York.
12	I motion that we extend the RFP for the legal
13	counsel for the Commission one week from March 12th to
14	March 19th.
15	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second?
16	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Vice Chair Watchman seconds.
17	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion?
18	With that, we'll take a quick vote.
19	Vice Chair Watchman.
20	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
21	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl.
22	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
23	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner.
24	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye.
25	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Neuberg is an aye.

And with that, the motion passes.

We will move -- extend the deadline for RFPs for legal counsel a week to March 19th.

With that, we'll move on to Agenda Item No. XI, which is discussion and possible action on mapping consultant support.

That initially was slated to close March 12th, I'm guessing that we may want to extend that a week as well, and I open it up for any other conversation that anybody might have on this topic.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner.

I actually would like to suggest we extend that just a little bit longer to have the potential for the executive director maybe to participate in the process as well, since they would be working -- that person would be working closely with the mapping consultant.

So, just as a thought, maybe to extend it 'til the end of the month, the 26th, and then it would close when the executive director potentially could be involved in looking at applications and it would maximize our numbers.

So two weeks instead of one week is all I'm suggesting.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know, the only -- I'm --

I'm comfortable with this. I just want to say I only have 1 2 one urgency with the mapping consultant, and that has to do 3 with putting our entire team together and coming up with a strategy about what we can and can't do with preparing maps 4 5 in advance. But I -- I don't think, you know, extending it 6 two weeks is going to interfere with that -- that goal at 7 all. COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Is anybody opposed to 10 extending it? 11 Does somebody want to make a motion? 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: Shereen, go ahead. 13 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I'll move we extend 14 the mapping consultant closing date until March 26th. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second? 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York seconded. 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion? Okay a vote. 18 19 Vice Chair Watchman. 20 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. Commissioner Mehl. 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 22 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Ave. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Neuberg is an aye.

And with that, the motion passes. We will extend the mapping consulting support opening until March 26th.

And with that, we'll move on to Item No. XII, which is a discussion on ADOA resources and updates.

Thank you to Commissioners Watchman and Lerner for picking up your laptops.

I think additionally our website is up and running. It is bare-bones, and I want to just let the public know as well that, you know, we are going to wait until we have our executive director and our public information officer available for us to build out the website in a comprehensive, you know, thoughtful way. But for now, you know, I think it's a great resource and so it's there for --for everybody's accessibility.

Yasmin, I don't know if you have any other updates, or if anybody has questions on these items.

MS. RAMOS: No. I think we touched on human resources, which are the job postings that we have, procurement, the RFPs that are out; and the facilities we're still waiting for the auto theft authority to move out in order for us to be able to possess space.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Right.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner.

Just so the public has that address, could we just again announce so it will be in public record the address for the website so everybody is familiar. Just again.

MS. RAMOS: The website I believe it is IRC.AZ.gov.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And --

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And the --

MS. RAMOS: And the old link, the old IRC website, I believe at the top it has the link to the new website as well and vice versa.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you very much, Yasmin.

And thank you again to ADOA for all of these amazing resources to just help position us for success.

We're -- we're really truly deeply appreciative. You know, we can't -- we can't do this without you right now. So -- so thank you.

With that, we'll move to Item No. XIII, which is an update on the status of the Howard open meeting law complaint provided by our AGA legal counsel -- AGO.

MS. PEISER: Thank you. Again this is Pamela Peiser with the AG's Office.

Did you want information to be done in executive session or in the open session?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: For now the open session, and

if there's something that we need to move into executive session, we can certainly evaluate that.

2.3

MS. PEISER: All right. So just as a point of clarification, the way this was agendized, it's only a discussion. I will wrap it up, though, with some considerations for you guys for future meetings.

The Howard complaint itself was sent from the AG's Office to Maricopa County because the AG's Office is at this point providing representation to the Commission, so it wouldn't be appropriate for the AG to -- or the AG's Office to undertake an investigation when they're providing advice to you.

Maricopa County, I did make contact with them eventually; they felt that with their caseload it would need to be sent elsewhere because it wasn't something they were going to be able to get to in the time frame I think that they prefer to work in. It was sent to Pinal County; it has been assigned to a Deputy County Attorney there. I did make contact with her.

So for future consideration at the next meeting, the Commission is going to need to decide if they want the AG's Office to continue with representation on this or if they want to go with outside counsel or handle the complaint response on their own as a group. So it's something for you guys to consider, and then it can be discussed in greater

detail or voted on at the next meeting.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Will -- will somebody from the AG or you or somebody else be prepared to -- to brief us in more depth on -- on the decision-making process here?

MS. PEISER: Do you mean as to what each possibility entails?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Exactly. I mean, you know.

MS. PEISER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And -- and should --

MS. PEISER: Go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Is that something that you want to do now or next week, and is it something that should be done in executive session?

MS. PEISER: Well, it is considered legal advice, so I can certainly -- I am prepared to do it now, although there's nothing in terms of legal action that can occur today based on the agenda.

Because it's legal advice, it can be done in executive session or it can be done in open if you wish to waive that confidentiality. I can do it today or I can do it on the next meeting, whatever the preference is.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Let -- let me ask my -- the other Commissioners. Well, two questions: One -- there's two questions -- do -- do we want to her from Pam now on this?

Number two, do we feel we need to go into executive session and we don't have to decide right -- I imagine we can hear and then decide if we want to move in executive session.

And the other item is we haven't taken a break yet. We're close to the end. Does anybody want a quick break or do we want to just plow through? So those are the questions to think about.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I'm in favor of plowing through.

I'm also, this is Commissioner York, in favor of going into executive session regarding this is our first legal challenge that we have ever dealt with as a Commission, and maybe we need some ability to have that executive session so go in the future, we might be able to make a decision whether or not to have it in open meeting or not.

Those are my answers.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any other discussion?

I see Commissioner Lerner and Watchman nodding, so please speak for the transcription.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: This is Vice Chair Watchman.

I support Commissioner York's comments to go into executive session, that way we can hear more details. I think that's appropriate.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner. I concur and also that we continue through. We have -- we have the time to have this discussion today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. PEISER: Commissioners, I apologize. As I'm looking at the agenda, it says "update on status." The best practice would be to table this because it only says "update."

Although the general agenda notices provide for the ability to go into executive session for all appropriate reasons, the line item on it just talks about an update. And just so you're aware, I didn't get this information until yesterday, so it would not have been timely for updating the agenda to make it in greater detail.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Well, I think to me then, out of an abundance of caution, I prefer to table it just because there's nothing urgent and I -- I'd rather for the sake of just erring on the side of caution, you know, be responsible.

> It -- does anybody disagree with that? VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN:

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. So, Yasmin, let -let's add this to the agenda item for next week, and please note that we may go into executive session to discuss that.

No.

MS. RAMOS: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Anything else, Pam, on

that item?

2.3

MS. PEISER: No.

Oops. No.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. With that, we'll move on to Item No. XIV, which is discussion of future agenda item requests.

We just had the last one, Yasmin. I know that items number -- from today's agenda I through IV, I suggest we continue to keep in the agenda in case there are additional updates. We'll have our conversation about the executive director candidates. We may want to keep items on budget and bill paying just in case there are additional bills that, you, know incur each week.

And there's only one item that I don't see that's already been listed that we may want to talk about. So -- so in the agenda we have the next meeting date itemized, but we don't have a general schedule item, and I know that we talked briefly last week about some conflicts of schedules over the summer.

Given that we don't have an agenda item today to discuss, like, ongoing scheduling, Pam, is that something we have to table until next week?

MS. PEISER: The conversation had occurred in the past. As I recall, you guys did discuss it; I don't recall if it went to an actual vote.

The scheduling of meetings is typically done as an administrative matter, so it doesn't usually, in commissions and boards, get presented to the whole board for a vote. I think it's okay to have your announcement for the next date, but if there's going to be a big discussion on perhaps scheduling, you know, certain types of meetings, that would need to be more specifically agendized.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

2.3

MS. PEISER: General meetings I think you can go ahead and have it set.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. So let -- let's add, Yasmin -- can I suggest that Commissioners begin to think through their summer schedules, and if there are blocks of time that they would like to be able to take off over the summer or times that they know in advance they have a conflict, to submit that to Yasmin so we can begin to compare schedules and work with each other to find common times to take off.

And we could add that to the sched- -- to the agenda item next week, but I would like everybody to begin to really think through their summer schedules and be prepared to -- to discuss them.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Neuberg, can I ask a question, too, regarding schedules and meetings. If we at some point move to live -- and this may be not the

appropriate time, I recognize that, I'm always saying that now -- if we move to live meetings, which I know we would like to, if a Commissioner is not available at that time to be live, they could still potentially call in and participate.

2.3

So it may not -- it may not be as difficult if we are able to, now that we have this technology all worked out, we may be able to have people who are not in town still participate even if we're live; is that correct?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. And, in fact, we -- we explicitly discussed this as a Commission early on where we felt mutually comfortable with that arrangement.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. I don't know if this fits right here.

But we had talked about trying to do some meetings live, but right now even for the interviews, I can see everybody better on Zoom than I can if we're in the same room all wearing masks. So I think until the mask requirements are down, I would prefer the virtual meetings. I'm not sure what anyone else feels about that.

MS. PEISER: This is Pamela Peiser. If I can just interject, the way it was left at least as I recall, you guys had already discussed and voted to do virtual meetings for the time being. If there's going to be any extended conversation, it would be best to schedule this on the

agenda so that you can have a candid conversation with notice to the public and that way it could go from there.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you, Pam.

2.3

Yeah, for now our interviews are scheduled to be done virtually. It's just, you know, an unfortunate reality of the COVID world, and I look forward to hopefully within a matter of weeks that we can agendi- -- you know, put this on the agenda and talk about when we can resume in-person meetings and begin to travel. I don't think it's that far away, to be honest, where our staff is soon going to be, you know, hired and convened and, you know, not too far away.

Any other agenda items to be added?

And, again, just as a matter of process, you have a couple of days, I know, you know, the weeks are short and with the 48-hour notice to the public, we really need to get this done by Thursday morning, but if there are additional items that you think about in the next 48 hours, go to Yasmin. You know, you're welcome to add, you know, items to the agenda for our consideration.

MS. RAMOS: Chairwoman, this is Yasmin. I have a question.

Are we going to add legal training and RFP training to the next agenda, the next week's meeting?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Potentially, yes, if we can get the staff experts to present.

1 MS. RAMOS: Okay.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Anything else on future agenda items?

MS. PEISER: As a point of reminder, you guys do have the ability to put things on the agenda and then if for whatever reason it can't be done, like the person becomes unavailable or you don't have a quorum to hold the meeting, you can always table something or the meeting as appropriate; but if it's not there, you most certainly can't move forward.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So, yes, let's add it, Yasmin, please.

Okay. With that, we'll move on to Item -- Item No. XV, which is announcements.

We will meet -- let me ask, actually. We are tentatively scheduled to meet every Tuesday 9:00 a.m. I was told there might be a conflict next week. Is everybody available next week on the 2nd [sic] at 9:00 a.m.?

COMMISSIONER YORK: I have a comment, Commissioner Neuberg. This is Commissioner York.

I have a conflict in the morning, so I would like to move our meeting time 'til 12:00 -- 12:00 noon, if that's possible on this. On the 9th.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: How does that look for everybody's schedule? And by "everybody," I mean

Commissioners and staff alike.

2.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Commissioner Mehl is okay with that.

 $\label{thman: Vice Chair Watchman is okay} % \end{substitute} % \end$

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Lerner has -VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Did you say 12 o'clock or
1:00?

COMMISSIONER YORK: 12 o'clock. Or 1:00. Anything after 12:00 is good, so.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Okay. I'm fine with that.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Lerner, I have a 3:00 p.m., but I will do what I can to change that to push that back, and then I have something again at 4:00.

Hopefully by then -- that I can't change, but I'll do my best to change that -- to change the 3 o'clock, so I should be able to do this.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I -- I think with the three-hour block, I think we could get the bulk of our work done. We are -- are looking for additional training next week that that extends it a little bit. I would like to request that -- that we all collectively try to block the 9 o'clock, you know, on time on Tuesdays only because it's not just us, it's also the public. It's, you know, everybody is trying to put aside the time but, obviously,

you know, life happens. 1 So I'm comfortable with the noon to -- to 3:00 or 4 2 3 o'clock time next week for our next meeting. 4 We don't -- we don't need a motion for that, Pam, 5 do we? 6 MS. PEISER: No. Not if it's done 7 administratively. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. So we will meet next 8 week at noon on the 9th. 9 10 With that, Item No. XVI, closing of the public 11 comments. 12 Please note, members of the Commission may not 13 discuss items that are not specifically identified on the 14 agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431, action taken 15 as a result of public comment will be limited to directing 16 staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or 17 scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date. 18 19 And with that, we come up to Item No. XVII, which 20 is adjournment. 21 Do I have a motion to adjourn? 22 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: So moved. 2.3 Vice Chair Watchman. Adjourn meeting. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Second?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York. Second.

25

1	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Presuming no discussion,
2	we'll take a quick vote.
3	Commissioner Watchman.
4	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
5	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl.
6	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
7	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner.
8	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye.
9	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York would like to
11	aye. But thank you Commissioner Neuberg for your job.
12	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you.
13	And Commissioner Neuberg is an aye.
14	And with that, we adjourn, and I look forward to
15	seeing everybody next week at noon.
16	COMMISSIONER YORK: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Have a good week, everybody.
18	(Whereupon the proceeding concludes at 10:41 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA)
4) ss.
5	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
6	
7	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
9	that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
LO	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome
11	thereof.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield
13	Park, Arizona, this 15th of March, 2021.
L 4	
15	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
16	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
17	* * *
	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has
18	complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 15th of
19	March, 2021.
20	\mathcal{MCP}
21	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
22	Arizona RRF No. R1058
23	
24	
25	