ARIZONA Web Comments 12.15		.15.21	
Submitted Time	Full Name	Subject	Message
12/15/2021 - 00:24	Julie O'Kon	Redistricting	This proposal is a travesty, part of a slow-rolling coup to eliminate free and fair elections by redistricting so that many, MANY people do not have a vote, or at least a vote that COUNTS. As a native Arizonan, and a lifelong resident, I strongly object to this proposal. It is simply loading the vote for minority rule. I OBJECT strenuously to this proposal. If the party that will benefit from this by restricting the votes that count has decided this is the ONLY way to win, then they are TRULY "rigging the election". This proposal is un-American, and is the antithesis of democracy.
12/15/2021 - 00:32	Debra Seglund	Redistricting comment	I support the selection of 12.1.1 to maintain Yavapai county in one district. I feel the 12.0.1 would be detrimental to county residents, creating an unneeded division.  Please vote for 12.1.1  Thank you
12/15/2021 - 02:02	Ryan Wooddisse	Redistricting Map	I am a resident of Rimrock Arizona in Yavapai county and I want the LD map 12.1.1 because is keeps our communities of interest together, especially the Verde river watershed. Thank you.
12/15/2021 - 05:10	Geraldine M. Watts	LD map 12.1.1	I am a Resident of Sedona and I am urging you to please keep Sedona United in Yavapai County, please consider the citizens and not the politicians. It would make life so much better for us if we were all in one county Yavapai. We're also concerned about our watershed which is so important to our community. I am pleading with you to keep our Sedona United in map 12.1.1 Sincerely Geraldine M Watts
12/15/2021 - 05:32	Noel Z Jones	Redistricting	I have been a Yavapai County resident for nearly 62 years. I see no reason to divide this great County for political reasons. I say NO to your proposal to redistrict Yavapai County.
12/15/2021 - 06:08	Georgene Lockwood	Redistricting	Map 12.1.1 is what we want!!! Do what's best for Yavapai County! Represent the people!
12/15/2021 - 06:09	Georgene Lockwood	Redistricting	Map 12.1.1 is what we want!!! Do what's best for Yavapai County! Represent the people!
12/15/2021 - 06:09	kim m. grill	Redistricting	Sirs - I have lived in the Verde Valley for just under 20 years. I live and work, I pay a great deal of taxes for TWO properties I own here. I DO NOT WANT redistricting. Please listen to the majority of the citizens whom you are suppose to be representing we want to keep LD 12.1.1  Listen, PLEASE
12/15/2021 - 06:14	Charles Harmon	Redistricting	I am a resident of Cottonwood AZ in Yavapai County.  Please keep all of Yavapai county in the same district. We are all like minded individuals in Yavapai county and we would be best represented with the whole of Yavapai County in one district.  Thank You Charles Harmon Jesus Saves
12/15/2021 - 06:24	Jane Evans	Redistricting maps	Thank you for listening to those of us that live in rural Arizona. I strongly support the latest Draft Map 12.1.1. It keeps the rural communities of interest together and gives us a united voice. We have little in common with Flagstaff, an urban, collegiate city, with ties to the Native American tribes, and it would very detrimental to our interests us if we were to be put in a district with them. Please respect the voices of rural Arizona and adopt the 12.1.1 Draft Map.
12/15/2021 - 06:33	Robert A. Fearn side	Proposed redistricting	live in Prescott Valley, Az and believe that it is very important that the Redistricting Commission should not put Yavapai County in two different districts. All of Yavapai County should be included in a single district because of our unique location and similar needs. Prescott, Prescott Valley, Camp Verde, Sedona, Dewey-Humbolt, Chino Valley, Cottonwood and the other towns and villages in Yavapi County need to be included in the district represented by Map 12.1.1.  It would do an injustice to the residents of Yavapai County to be split into two districts each with different needs.
12/15/2021 - 06:39	Roger Shanley	LD 17 Map	I support LD 17 map 9.0. Map 10.0 does not meet the three desired requirements, compact and contiguous, competitive for each party, and communities of ilnterest. Arizona voters are watching carefully the work of your commission.
12/15/2021 - 06:40	David Rodgers	Yavapai County division	Please keep Yavapai County undivided and as is. It should remain in it's historical size and shape.
12/15/2021 - 07:26	Betty Stauffer	LD17	Redistricting map 10.0 does not meet the IRC guidelines. I urge you to adopt version 9.0 which does. Let's keep our elections fair.

12/15/2021 - 07:34	Charles O'Dowd	IRC	I support LD 17 9.0, NOT LD 17 10.0
12/15/2021 - 07:35	Charles O'Dowd	IRC	I support LD 17 9.0, NOT LD 17 10.0
12/15/2021 - 07:36	Michele Hamer	Keep Yavapai County Whole	This map keeps Yavapai County whole, the one we want! = Map 12.1.1
12/15/2021 - 07:38	Kevin Fowler	MAP 2 approval	Do you folks realize that across the country Dems are trying to Gerrymander long time dixtricts for the sole pupposes of consolidating power. Ever heard of "If it aint broke dont fix it"!! citizens like myself get tired of ongoing battles against those trying to change our way of life we have had for decades in Sedona and the Verde Valley. Map number two is the logical choice however I do not trust any redistricting commission to do whats right and what actual voters want as opposed to what they and special interest groups want. Note; that if you ignore the will of the people in this district and fail to approve Map 2 I will as a resident for over 34 years challenge it in court as I am an Attorney and have standing.
12/15/2021 - 07:56	Paul Messinger	District 5 map	It is important to select map 12.1.1 for LD5. Keep Yavapai county whole. Thank You.E5hdmE
12/15/2021 - 07:58	michael eaton	Redistricting Yavapai County	Do not change Yavapai County boundaries. Vote on map 12.1.1
12/15/2021 - 08:01	Douglas Laird	Redistributing map	I wanted to take a moment and tell you that I favor and I'm impressed with redistricting map 12.1.1 and how it addresses district 7. So I'm hoping this will be the final map. Also thanks for your diligence for doing a job that does not get a lot of acclaim. I do appreciate your work!
12/15/2021 - 08:07	Sylvia Fuentes	Arizona Redistricting	I am a resident of Yavapai County (Prescott) and I want you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 08:08	Lisa Grieves White	Yavapai Redistricting	Good Morning, I live in Cornville, AZ, and am concerned about a possible redistricting of Yavapai County. Dividing the County as shown in Map 12.0.1 is not in my best interest, nor in my opinion, in the best interest of my neighbors in the Verde Valley, where we share diverse lifestyles with a common goal that represents very well the people who have lived and worked here for generation, and also, all of un newcomers who have moved here recently for the sense of community, of shared values, which includes our precious watershed which benefits ALL of the Verde Valley and our interests. I am in favor of MAP 12.1.1.  Thank You, Lisa White
12/15/2021 - 08:18	Louie J Caparelli Jr	Redistricting	I am a resident of Yavapai County and live in Sedona, AZ. I want you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 08:21	Paul J Mackey	LD17 proposed map 10.0	I find the proposed redesign of LD17 map 10.0 to be nothing more than a power grab by the republicans. It's design is gerrymandering at it's worst. It must be dropped and we need to support LD17 map 9.0. This map's design is fair to the citizens living within it's boundaries and meets the competitive requirement of having voters equally divided between the political parties. Do not support map LD17 10.0!
12/15/2021 - 08:22	Rosie Rodrigues	in support of LD Map 12.1.1	Please choose LD Map 12.1.1. Keep our Yavapai County communities together.  ~ Rosie Rodrigues, Sedona
12/15/2021 - 08:25	William Scharf	LD 17 Map	It disturbs me to no end that the Map 10.0 could even be considered over Map 9.0 due to all of the obvious violations with the requirements as they are currently working. We must at least force the perpetrators to change to rules through a legislative process provided they can do that while following the current laws. They seem to ignore both regulations and laws in quest for power.
12/15/2021 - 08:26	Donald L. Cline	Map 12.1.1.	I strongly approve of Map 12.1.1. and I appreciate your work and your adherence to your mandate to keep "Communities of Interest" together.
12/15/2021 - 08:26	Peter Coston	LD 17-18 mapping	I am not in favor of the 10.0 mapping for my community, currently LD9. I prefer the 9.0 version. You need to consider district shape, geographical features, respect for communities of interest and potential competitiveness. The 10.0 version of LD17 is an attempt to create a new community of interest and is not geographically contiguous, separated by a largely uninhabited mountain range. Our Pina County residents choose to live either to the north, or to the southeast of Tucson proper for a variety of reasons. And while they may share political views with those areas, they still engage in so many other ways with their true neighbors. It is obvious to me, a Tucson resident since 1959, that the 9.0 arrangement of LDs in the Tucson region better serves to join local communities. Residents have chosen to live and work where they feel it is best for their friends and family, not because of how their vote will count.  Grouping Tanque Verde and Vail residents with Marana, Oro Valley and Saddlebrooke may make political sense for the Republican party, but not for day-to-day interaction of the constituents who live here.  Please reconsider using the 9.0 mapping for The Tucson area.

12/15/2021 - 08:47	Douglas E Williams	LD 17, map 10.0	If it becomes official, map 10.0 for LD17 will totally undermine the entire purpose and credibility of an "Independent Redistricting Commission". Map 10.0 reflects arrogant, dismissive politics and cronyism and not independence, fairness or democracy. It amounts to authorizing one prominent Republican from Pima County to (effectively) appoint those who will go to Phoenix representing the far flung citizens in the bizarre proposed map 10.0.  If independence, credibility and following the requirements of: "compact and contiguous", "community of interest" and "competitive" mean anything at all to you please adopt map 9.0. Thank you.
12/15/2021 - 08:56	Donald Lutes	Re Districtering of Yavapai County	May wife and I are residents of Prescott Valley and we ask that you DO NOT split the Yavapai county communities and Verde watershed and go with Map 12.1.1 with the future district boundaries. Splitting up our communities and county has no benefit other than dividing us as Republicans vs. Democrates which will not lead us to a better Arizona.
12/15/2021 - 08:56	Joe Fiumara	Keep Yavapai whole as a community of interest map 12.1.1	I'm a resident of Yavapai and just recently move here from CA. I came here to enjoy the outdoors and the western vibe of Yavapai County. I would like to see map 12.1.1 adopted as I believe it best suits the community as well as it's interests in the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 08:57	Irene Brown	Redistributing Yavapai	Please use map 12.1.1 and keep our areas together!!
12/15/2021 - 09:01	Diane Lange	Redistricting Mapping	As a tax paying resident of Sedona, I do not want to be separated from Village if Oak Creek, nor do I want to lose the rural/small town community environment because of redistricting by outsiders who have no clue what this small community is all about! I really feel this is a no brained by the law decision. But any attempt to divide us will be only because of a political agenda that right now is tearing America as we know it apart!
12/15/2021 - 09:19	Darrin Boyd	District 7 Maps	I am highly disappointed in the District 7 maps that includes Flagstaff, AZ and excludes the eastern towns. Why has the Commission disregarded the overwhelming input from the December 1st public hearing in Payson, AZ. At least 90% at that public hearing expressed they do not want Flagstaff, AZ in the new district. I live in Payson, AZ (rural AZ). We do not have common interests with urban Flagstaff, AZ. I strongly suggest for this commission to restore the previous 10.2 map and absorb the eastern towns into that new district. I ask that you remain focused on the big picture at hand and to hold true to your commitments to the six mandates set forth in the law for our map design. Thank you!
12/15/2021 - 09:23	Peter tylutki	Redistricting	I've lived in Tucson for 71 years, born and raised. I'm reviewing the proposal for Map 10.0 for Redistricting. I know the areas being proposed for that new district and it's very clear to me that if you wanted to Republican district you could've picked a more solid one. If that was your goal you've achieved it! I'm not sure why we have a committee to do this could've just had a Republican representatives just make that decision be the same outcome. Very biased decision terrible job.
12/15/2021 - 09:28	Michael Mulcahy	LD17 Map 10	This proposed map is gerrymandering pure and simple. It makes no sense. Please reconsider Map 9 which provides a fair and balanced approach consistent with the purpose of the commission.
12/15/2021 - 09:38	Bob Dorson	Maps	I would like to share my thoughts with David Mehl in regards to the redistributing in the Tucson area. Having been a Tucson resident for 66 years and an Arizona resident for 68 years, I think I have a pretty good idea on what should be done. Thank you
12/15/2021 - 09:38	Jan Compton	Dividing our County	Please choose Map 12.1.1 to keep our County wholewe do not want our County dividedThank You, Resident of Prescott
12/15/2021 - 09:40	Forrest Daryl Templeton	Redistricting LD map 12.1.1	I am a resident of Yavapai County living in Prescott and strongly request that the commission approve LD Map 12.1.1 to keep Yavapai county unified. It would be not in the best interest of the county to split it up. Thank you
12/15/2021 - 09:44	Barbara J Schimpf	Redistricting	I am a registered independent voter in Sedona and I believe it is imperative to keep Sedona as ONE district and not split. We are a small rural community and need our representatives to speak for all of Sedona and not just a part of it. Otherwise, it is confusing for the citizens AND the representatives as well.
12/15/2021 - 09:45	Linda J Piurek	IRC	Please keep Sedona in the same legislative and congressional districts.
12/15/2021 - 09:47	Donald G. Bixby	Arizona Redistrictin	Attn: Redistricting Commission I am a resident of Yavapai County, your commission is considering two different maps. The wife and I want you to pick LD Map12.1.1 Because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed. Thank you, Donald & Barbara Bixby
12/15/2021 - 09:59	GUY WEST	Redistricting	I am very concerned about the possibility of Yavapai County being divided for Legislative Districts. As a resident of Yavapai County, this makes no sense to me. Rural counties don't have the populations of urban counties that may require redistricting from time to time. Rural counties should be kept in tact when it comes to districts. This prevents confusion and creates a sense of pride and unity in the rural communities. Keep Yavapai County together.

12/15/2021 - 10:00	Michael Sullivan	LD MAP VERDE VALLEY	together. I am a resident of Clarkdale, Arizona. I would like the commissioners to know that many of us drove over the mountain for that Saturday meeting but there wasn't room in the library and they wouldn't let us in. I know the Commissioners heard from a lot of elected Sedona officials who deal in politics rather than in providing the folks of the Verde Valley with the representation they deserve. We wanted to tell you that our community of interest is the Prescott/Prescott Valley area. Now we see the map LD 12.0.1 which completely disregards communities of interest, district shape and geographical features in favor of what? Competitiveness? We know districts which are completely different and have no community connection can't get anything done! Their residents are just forgotten! Please don't do that to the residents of the Verde Valley! The map LD 12.1.1 gets it right! It keeps Yavapai County together. We share water, ranching and farming, libraries and Yavapai College, family, shopping, forests and friends. Please choose Map LD 12.1.1 Thank you
12/15/2021 - 10:00	carolee hayler	LD Map 12 1. 1.	I urge you to approve this map. As a resident of the village of Oak Creek we need to stay aligned with Yavapai county and Prescott and the rest of the Verde Valley communities. Travel to Prescott is much easier in all seasons than travel to Flagstaff. Verde Valley shares the same watershed. Flagstaff is not part of that so resolving water issues would be more difficult. We are part of the library system and have college satellite locations with Yavapai.
12/15/2021 - 10:02	Donna Tanzi	Redisricting MAP	I AM A RESIDENCE OF Yavapai County and RESPECTFULLY SUGGESTS THE IMPORTANCE OF KEEPING Yavapai County together for the final mapplease reference LD Map 12.1.1 that keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.  Thank youDonna Tanzi
12/15/2021 - 10:07	mary helsaple	Redistrict Maps	KEEP Sedona whole with Flagstaff and Payson and the Verde Valley. I remind you, as required by law, to comply with fair and competitive maps. It is voter-approved Prop 106. Competitive districts were favored 330 in favor and 56 opposed. Competitive districts protect against extremism, encourage bipartisan cooperation, result in elected officials being responsive to their constituents, higher-quality candidates, and a greater level of civic engagement. Stop supporting the CON Job from Washington.
12/15/2021 - 10:07	Steven H. Slaton	Future district 7	My Name is Steven Slaton; I live in Show Low Az. Like to thank Chairman Neuberg, and Commissioners, My wife and I represent the White Mountain Conservatives. We represent thousands of folks who live throughout the White Mountains of Northern Arizona Mountain communities. As for the future of Legislative District 7, By including the Northern Communities with a Southern district of Pinal County as shown in your approved Draft Map Legislative District 7, is not communities of interests or competitiveness. With the size of these districts half of our population is bound to feel unrepresented. And they are already! Districts should accurately represent communities of interest who live and work together. Being loop in with the Pinal County which main community of interest is mining, were we have nothing in common with mining. A new Legislative District 7 should accurately represent communities of interest which is required by State and Federal law. We the people of Northern Az. request you reinstate your Az. Draft Map 1.0 Legislative District 7. HOWEVER the communities of Snowflake, Taylor, Holbrook, Joseph city, and Winslow, are not part of these communities of interested of the White Mountains. These communities are made up primarily of rook and high desert plateau, with a vast valley of ranchland, and agriculture, With 2 of the largest Marijuana growing facilities in the state, as well as large wind Farms, and Helium development from Holbrook Basin through the Navajo Reservation these differences separates the two regions, and they should be included in Legislative District 6. The White Mountain Community which is outlined on the Az Draft Map 1.0 with their community of interest is commitment to bringing tourism to the region, along with common interest within other White Mountain communities. The White Mountain communities for Legislative District 7 need to be with other White Mountain. The White Mountain communities for Legislative District 7 need to be with other White Mountain communities of the same Interests

12/15/2021 - 10:08	Ruth Edwards	LD MAP discussion Verde Valley	I am a resident of Clarkdale and I've been following this process for several months. I went to the meeting in Prescott Valley at the library and was lucky enough to speak to you about the feelings of the people from Verde Valley.
			A lot of officials and important people from Sedona came to tell you that what they wanted was what the whole Verde Valley wanted and I came to tell you that there is more to the Verde Valley than Sedona.
			The residents of Clarkdale, Cottonwood, Cornville, Camp Verde and other rural areas would like to have our representation with the other rural areas of Prescott, Prescott Valley, Dewey, Chino and Wickenburg.
			The LD7 map 12.0.1 that you have put up for discussion really does a disservice to the rural communities encompassed within. It is large and unwieldy with numerous huge geographical barriers between communities which already have absolutely nothing in common.
			I'm not sure where the map came from but we really hope you do not accept it in your final decision.
			Please choose LD map 12.1.1
			The Verde Valley has waited a long time to have good representation and the opportunity to work with our close friends and neighbors within the county.  Please keep Yavapai county whole.
			Thank you!
12/15/2021 - 10:12	Gail Pate	Keep Sedona intact with Yavapai County	Sedona is part of the Verde Valley community. Not a part of the Flagstaff community which is many hard driving miles to their north and not easily commuted during snowy times. Their public services are aligned with the Verde Valley including public transport, water supplies, medical and emergency services, educational providers (excluding only NAU).
12/15/2021 - 10:12	Marti A. Burris	redistricting map	Keep Sedona whole with Yavapai County!
12/15/2021 - 10:13	France-Marie & Geoff Martikonis	Legislative District maps	Hello, As year-long residents of Sedona, we want Yavapai County to stay whole. We do not have any similitude with Flagstaff: not the same concerns, not the same priorities, not the same culture, not the same needs. We ask you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together. Thank you,
12/15/2021 - 10:15	Anne Roper	AZ Redistricting map 12.1.1	PLEASE keep Yavapai County whole! Map 12.1.1 is the plan that works best for our communities of interest, especially the Verde watershed. I am a resident of Prescott Valleyand THANK YOU for your thoughtful consideration of this request
12/15/2021 - 10:18	Tammy Bose	Please be non partisan with maps	Please be non partisan when deciding about redistricting maps. Please do not use the map that has been introduced by the Latino Coalition. The IRC should not be using the Latino Coalition maps because they represent Democratic partisan maps. Every person on this team is a Democrat, including the ex-state Chairman of the Democratic party, DJ Quinlan.  We want the IRC to listen to all the citizens who have called in and submitted maps, not just this biased Latino Coalition.
12/15/2021 - 10:20	Maral Moffitt	Redistricting the Verde Valley	The Verde Valley, as the name implies, is one community that needs to stay intact, and remain part of Prescott! Please do not tie us together with Flagstaff which we avoid like the plague. To divide us makes no sense. Thank you.
12/15/2021 - 10:20	Eugene V McCarthy	Keep Yavapai together	I'm a resident of Yavapai County, specifically atheism Village of Oak Creek. I strongly support choosing LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 10:21	Shirley Slattery	AZ redistricting	I reside in Yavapai County. I believe it is very important for the committee to choose redistricting LD map 12.1.1. This map keeps our County Communities of Interest together which is my primary concern, especially when it comes to the Verde Watershed.
			Thank you for your commitment to the IRC. In closing, I again state my support for LD Map 12 1.1.  Regards, Shirton Statton
12/15/2021 - 10:34	Robin Matteo	Keep Yavapai	Shirley Slattery  I think the local of Yavapai being kept together is best for the people of Yavapai County Too many times large counties come in and
12/19/2021 - 10:34	NODIII IVIALLEO	together	have increased pressure and changes the landscape of why people move to Yavapai County. We are rural and have different issues and are more alike in our solutions, KEEP YAYAPAI TOGETHER

12/15/2021 - 10:43	Sally Reed	Map 10.0	Map 10.0 does not meet redistricting requirements. Shame on you for this blatant piece of gerrymandering. This is part of what is diminishing our democracy. Please support LD17 map 9.0 which does meet all redistricting requirements.
12/15/2021 - 10:46	John Tanzi	Need to keep Yavapai together Vote 12.1.1	WATER! We need to keep the watershed area of the Verde Valley together with Yavapai Country. Water with be a major concern now and the years to come and it will be very important that we have these communities working together addressing thus problem now. Yavapai & Verde Valley have many common interests and it is important that we keep Yavapai whole. I vote for Map 12.1.1 John Tanzi 20 year resident of Yavapai County Elected PC
12/15/2021 - 10:54	Joseph R. Farmer	Redistricting Map 12.1.1	I want to thank the Commission for creating Map 12.1.1. I live in Camp Verde, AZ and have property in Sedona, AZ. This map allows all Sedona residents to be represented by the same elected officials and connects us to communities of interest where we frequently go for shopping, recreation, medical services and to participate in community events. Thank you again for bringing these things into map form for the Commission's consideration.  I respectfully request that Redistricting Map 12.1.1 be adopted as the final boundaries for District #3.  Joseph R. Farmer
12/15/2021 - 11:05	Lana Wilson	LD17	Please take a good look at LD17 map 9.0. It satisfies all you requirements and is a much fairer redistricting.
12/15/2021 - 11:10	Jim Millis	Legastive map	please do not divide yavapai county, we are a community of interest and do not want to be nerged with metro communities, we are mostly rural, do not water down the voice of rural arizona in the legislature, we have enough problem if were not divided with the empire of maricopa, please keep the county whole.  jim millis cottonwood
12/15/2021 - 11:19	Donna L Kole	Redistricting Map	Recently I drove to the meeting in Prescott Valley at the library but I didn't come in because there were too many people. I brought 2 neighbors with me that day.  We want to let you know that our community of interest is the Prescott area. Having a district with the Verde Valley and parts of Flagstaff put together with areas out by Show Low and then mining towns in the far south makes no sense! Please DON'T approve the map LD 12.0.1.  We think the map LD 12.1.1 is the right one!  Keeping Yavapai County together for the next 10 years will allow us to manage our aquifer and create quality social programs unique to our area. We won't have geographical divisions and the district will be a shape which makes travel and representation possible in all weather.  I am a resident of Cottonwood, Arizona and I hope you will choose LD 12.1.1  Thank you, Donna Kole
12/15/2021 - 11:33	Janice Suderman	Comment on Mapping Consultant NDC	Independent Redistcting Commission, CD2 does not seem sympathetic to the competitiveness argument, 7.8% being outside of the Commission's own standers. Mingus Mountain range is a boundary between Prescatt and the quad cities and Verde Valley. Sedona needs to be kept whole. Prop 106 eas approved by the voters. The Commission needs to have maps that are FAIR AND COMPETITIVE. Competirive districts were favored by the public.
12/15/2021 - 11:37	Joseph Fauty	LD Map Version	Please choose LD Map Ver 12.1.1 It makes no sense to change things other than for political points
12/15/2021 - 11:37	Pam Meek	LD 12.1.1 Map	I am a resident of Yavapai County and I choose LD map 12.1.1 because it keeps our county communities of in interest together. In particular the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 11:41	Richard E Sperry	redistrieting	I am voting for map #1 in Yavapai Cty
		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

12/15/2021 - 11:44	Dieter Knecht	Fair and competitive	Today's Laurie Roberts column in the Arizona Republic are very applicable:
12/10/2021	Biotor random	districts	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			https://www.azcentral.com/story/opinion/op-ed/laurieroberts/2021/12/14/arizona-redistricting-redraw-congressional-district-help-ducey-pal-juan-ciscomani/8893829002/
			"Though Arizona's commission is drawn by an "independent" panel of citizens, backroom politics still play a big role here. Both parties
			do it. It's just that this year, Republicans are doing it better.
			Ducey spent several years stacking the Commission on Appellate Court Appointments, the nominating panel that screened and
			finalized a short list of candidates for the redistricting commission.
			Stacking it with people like Laura Ciscomani, the wife of a top Ducey aide who this summer decided to run for Congress in Tucson.  Now comes Republican Redistricting Commissioner David Mehl, with a plan to take a proposed toss-up congressional district and make
			it more favorable to Ciscomani.  It's worth noting that Mehl's son, Jonah – who works as vice president of Mehl's development firm – has donated \$5,800 to Ciscomani's
			campaign.
			It's all quite cozy."
			Laurie's parting words are very appropriate, especially in Northern Arizona:
			"On what planet, Chairwoman Neuberg, would it be considered right to take a true toss-up congressional district – where voters are in charge and anybody could win – and gift wrap it for Republicans?"
			In Northern Arizona, the Commission was presented with LDF 050 that was within one percent competitive but so far has decided instead to keep LD5 at 20-30% non-competitive and in favor of the Republicans while also splitting the city of Sedona into two districts,
			but keeping all of Yavapai County (as big as some of the states in the. Union) in one highly non-competitive Republican district.
			Similarly, the Commission has so far decided to make CD2 much less competitive and if favor of the Republicans.
			Fair and competitive, this is NOT.
12/15/2021 - 11:45	Kevin Shipp	Yavapai County Map	As a life long Yavapai County resident of over 50 years I understand our demographics and issues that face this County. I currently live in Prescott Valley, but work in Cottonwood.
			I implore the commission to pick Map 12.1.1. This map keeps Yavapai County together. Both sides of Mingus mountain has its own needs, but many of the issues that both communities face are intertwined.
			Everything from water rights, to the Verde River watershed, to natural and agricultural land use. To the connections to our history. To separate this for political means could be disastrous.
			There is no better place to find how separating the county could have consequences the commission does not understand then in the Verde Valley. The Verde Valley has 3 forests that come together.
			The Tonto, the Coconino, and the Prescott. These forest are all managed by different foresters and each forest has different priorities.
			For as long as I can remember these has been tensions between these different forest managers. With blame being hurled at one another over how each manages their forest and how their management is damaging the land on the other forests.
			We do not want this same type of bureaucratic infighting to divide Yavapai County. We do not want an area to feel like they are not
			represented properly because of a decision made by this commission. I implore you to keep Yavapai County whole in its representation and choose Map 12.1.1 for us.
			Thank you for your time and consideration. Godspeed and Merry Christmas to all.
			Sincerely,
			Kevin Shipp
12/15/2021 - 11:47	Anita Christine Magers	Map 12.1.1	Please keep Yavapai County whole and approve Map 12.1.1.

12/15/2021 - 11:47	James Peter Collins	Redistricting	I am a resident of Cornville in Yavapai County and want you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly regarding the Verde watershed. Please do not split Yavapai County as you will be limiting our voice in our government, the government of the people.
12/15/2021 - 11:47	Fredi Olster	Democracy	Democracies are fragile. They require care and sacrifice. If you wish to have a lasting Democracy to pass on to your children and grandchildren, it is time to seriously contemplate the decision you are about to make. The citizens of Arizona have entrusted you with drawing up "fair and competitive" maps. They chose these requirements to ensure that our Democracy would last. Only with fair and competitive maps can we attract candidates who will have to appeal to the greatest number of voters in order to be elected. They will have to work 'across the aisle' as they say and reach consensus. ThAT is Democracy. If you choose to gerrymander our maps you will be chipping away at Democracy. You may win an election but you will almost certainly have begun to destroy the very thing that makes our country great. I sincerely hope you will think long and hard before you ignore the many pleas for "fair and competitive" districts. Democracy is at stake. Be brave. Do the right thing.
12/15/2021 - 11:48	Joseph A. Steiner	Redistributing in Pima County: Dist. 17	The latest redistricting proposal of District 17 violates the principle of having compact and contiguous districts. Let's consider the LD17 map 9.0.
12/15/2021 - 11:56	Ammon Richard Moyer	L.D.Map 12.1.1	I would like to keep this for our communities.
12/15/2021 - 11:57	Richard Miller	Maps	I urge your support for LD17 map 9.0 as the fairest way to follow your various criteria.
12/15/2021 - 11:58	Alma Jane Moyer	LD Map 12.1.1	I would like to keep this in our local communities.
12/15/2021 - 12:01	Rick Hamilton	Sedona/VOC	One of your proposed maps would cut Sedona/VOC into two districts and then stuff those two segments into other voting districts with which our community has no common interests. We shop, use the medical facilities of, and have common interests and legislative goals with Flagstaff, not with Prescott or with Payson.  Your proposed map that chops us up goes against the mandate you were assigned when you took on the task of redistricting. Please reread that mandate before you choose which maps to approve and leave Sedona/VOC whole.  I thank you.
12/15/2021 - 12:07	Thomas Doig	LD 17	When we voted to establish an IRC, Arizona voters were not voting for the status quo gerrymandering that had plagued our state for years. Why are we now in southern Arizona about to be subjected to more of the same?  The LD 17 map as proposed by Republican party operatives is a farce. I urge you to support the LD 17 map 9.0 which at least makes some sense.  Thomas Doig SaddleBrooke

12/15/2021 - 12:14	Dieter Knecht	Keep Sedona in one legislative district and with Flagstaff, but	Please keep Sedona intact in one legislative district. Currently the Draft Map splits us into LD5 and LD7 (includes Prescott and Yavapai County).
		NOT with Yavapai County district	In this regard, Sedona and the Verde Valley have not fared well with Yavapai County with respect to Yavapai Community College. As described in a recent editorial in the Red Rock News:
			http://www.redrocknews.com/2021/12/12/yavapai-college-board-member-kuknyo-fails-to-grasp-taxes-are-publics-money/
			"Due to Chevalier's tough questioning of college staff on Nov. 16, and his constant complaints that Prescott-area college staff and board members ignore, cut, short-change and cheat the Verde Valley, Yavapai College District 4 Representative Chris Kuknyo whined that Verde Valley students have access to the programs "available" in Prescott area — if they don't mind a daily 90 minute drive — then Kuknyo threatened to cut off any debate about equity of access or equality of Verde Valley programs."  "The Chino Valley representative fails to comprehend that Verde Valley tax dollars provide more than a third of his college's budget, ergo, we should have a third of the programs we pay for.  Yet, how would Kuknyo's "sudden decision" to ignore the Verde Valley's concerns be any different than the last 40 years of the community college ignoring, cheating, short-changing and stealing tax dollars and programs from the Verde Valley to benefit the Prescott side?"
			Kuknyo wants the Verde Valley to be happy with whatever scraps he tosses our way and demand no oversight of how he misspends our tax dollars.  "There's also a city government over there" — Sedona — "that now wants all the records on what's being spent in their community," a flabbergasted Kuknyo exasperated. "I never heard of [a municipality] to start showing its record on what it spends. This is getting crazy." What's crazy is Kuknyo thinks this is unusual. It's our money that he's misspending. Firstly, the Sedona City Council and every single taxpayer shouldn't have to ask. We have the legal right to know where every nickel and dime is spent. Yavapai College should be posting how every single dime, dollar, Dogecoin and doubloon is being spent."
			If Sedona is put in the same district as Yavapai County with a 20-30% Republican favorite vote, we will run into similar inequities as we have seen in the case of our fair share of Yavapai Community College resources.
			Please keep Sedona in one district, LD7 with Flagstaff and not LD5 with Yavapai County.
12/15/2021 - 12:16	Arthur Thomas Severance	Please lead to keep Yavapai County as the loving common- interest community it is, Thx!	Hi, I am a resident of Yavapai County (in Prescott Valley) I kindly request that you lead us to keep Yavapai county as the cooperative, loving, common-interest community it is today. We love it so much here. To the point Please (oh please) support Map 12.1.1 which keeps our loving Yavapai community whole.
12/15/2021 - 12:20	Becki Ross	Keep LD Map 12.1.1	I am a resident of Yavapai County, my mailing address is Sedona, although I do not live in the city limits. I prefer LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps the Verde Valley as a whole. Our voices will never be heard if we are attached to bigger cities outside of the valley.
12/15/2021 - 12:22	Noreen Conarro	LD17 Map	I do not support LD17 map 10.0. It is not good for Arizona and is extremely bias in my opinion. However, I do support LD17 map 9.0. Please do the right thing as this 10.0 map doesn't not meet the competitive requirement. This 10.0 map give an unfair advantage to Republicans. This is unacceptable.  Do the right thing for Arizona!!!
12/15/2021 - 12:24	Leonor Anderson	Redistricting Yavapai County	Please keep Yavapai county together. Please keep Camp Verde, Cottonwood, Cornville and Clarkdale together. Thanks, Leonori
12/15/2021 - 12:33	Catherine Delaney	Redistricting	I am a resident of Cottonwood in Yavapai County. I want to keep Sedona intact with the rest of Yavapai County where we are all part of the Verde River watershed. I want to keep the LD 12.1.1 map.
12/15/2021 - 12:35	Carl Shadle	Current redistricting maps	Just a note to thank the Committee on arriving at the current map 12.1.1 that maintains the integrity of Yavapai County with the inclusion of the Verde Valley.  For this vast central region of the state that share so many common interests and issues to be resolved this is the only districting configuration that allows for the citizens and our leadership to work together for the common good of the county and the state.
12/15/2021 - 12:55	Jon Heidrich	LD Map 12.1.1	I am a resident of Wickenburg Ranch which is in Yavapai county. I would like the Redistricting Commission to decide on Map 12.1.1 as it will keep those of us with like minds and values in the same district.

12/15/2021 - 12:56	Gregory Alan Lewis	LD17 redistricting map 10.0	I am appalled to learn of the of the blatant gerrymandering used to create LD17's map 10.0. What happened to Arizona's INDEPENDENT Redistricting Commission, of which we were once so proud? I urge you to reconsider and to use map 9.0.
12/15/2021 - 13:04	Lynne Hudson	IRC Process going forward	Your calendar was abbreviated from the start by census delays. To avoid potential problems and additional delays, please evaluate polarization reports on both maps you are considering before you choose one or the other.
12/15/2021 - 13:06	Nathalie Johnson	Redistricting Sedona, Flagstaff. DO The RIGHT THING	Commissioners, fair and competitive maps is what voters approved in Prop 106. Competitive districts were favored by the public 330 in favor and 56 opposed.  Competitive districts protect against extremism, encourage bipartisan cooperation, result in elected officials responsive to their constituents, higher quality candidates, and a greater level of civic engagement.
12/15/2021 - 13:11	Carla Darin	Legislative District maps	I live in Yavapai county (Sedona) and I'm writing to voice my desire for LD Map 12.1.1 Please do not divide our community!! Regards, Carla Darin
12/15/2021 - 13:29	Susie Robertson	Redistricting NO!	Please do not redistrict You have a Pike County. I am a homeowner of Yavapai County and I live in the county itself as well. I saw what redistricting did to the central valley in California. This cannot happen here! I support 12.1.1 map.
12/15/2021 - 13:30	Carol S Wilson	LD17 map	I am writing because I am frustrated by the complete disregard of the Independent Redistricting Commission to follow guidelines in the current re-districting efforts. The proposed Map 10.0 does not meet a number of guidelines including compact. contiguous, and communities of interest. I am appalled that this version is being seriously considered. Map 10.0 represents blatant gerrymandering. Map 10.0 should be removed from consideration.
12/15/2021 - 13:34	Sharon Chapman	Redistricting	I am a native of Arizona and a long time resident of the Village of Oak Creek. I urge you to vote to keep the Verde Valley together. Yavapai and Coconino counties have little in common with one another. Keep Prescott, Sedona and the Verde Valley together, we share the important watershed.  Thanking you for your consideration.
12/15/2021 - 13:38	Michael and Barbara Mullaney	LD17	My wife and I would like to support LD17 map 9.0, NOT map 10.0. It makes no sense to us to lump the Houghton corridor with Saddlebrook. Map 10.0 certainly looks like gerrymandering to us. We thought the IRC was supposed to be independent not partisan. Pretty sad.
12/15/2021 - 13:46	David Dane	redistricting	I am a resident of Yavapai County and I live at 1360 S Rio Verde Lane in Camp Verde. I want you you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together. This is very necessary due to the Verde watershed which is very important to me.
12/15/2021 - 13:54	Karen Albamont	Redistricting Yavapai County	I am a resident of Yavapai County (Prescott Valley) and after reviewing the information provided regarding the proposed changes to Yavapai County, as well as the maps provided, I am in favor of LD Map 12.1.1 which keeps our county communities of interest together as it has been since I became a resident of Prescott Valley in 2017. I trust your committee will support map 12.1.1.
12/15/2021 - 13:58	Andrea Dickey	Choose LD map 12.1.1	I am a resident of Yavapai County, living in Camp Verde, Az. Please keep our county communities of interest together, especially the Verde watershed, by choosing LD map 12.1.1. Thank you
12/15/2021 - 14:02	Connie Martin	IRC Maps	I live in Prescott in Yavapai County and am writing in support of the 12.1.1 Map. I have friends who live all around Yavapai: Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Rim Rock, Wickenburg etc. We all have similar lifestyles, interests and concerns. Yav. is mostly a rural county with a lot of retirees and we like it like that. I have not had any cause to ever go to Flagstaff (other than to get to the GC), it's like a crowed Southern Cal knockoff and the very young, with whom we have nothing in common. Our needs, activities & desires from our Representatives is also very different. Please try to keep us all together by using the 12.1.1 Map or something very similar. Thank you for listening.
12/15/2021 - 14:02	John Amato	AZ Redistricting	Leave All districts as is . Don't try to fix something that's not broken Let every district function as they have been John Amato
12/15/2021 - 14:03	lisa jackson	maps	could we please leave the maps how they are i have lived in sedona for over 40 yrs keep us with yavapia
12/15/2021 - 14:04	Nancy Higginbotham	Redistributing Yavapai County	I own 27 acres in Camp Verde. I've also lived 8 years in Flagstaff. The areas are very different in elevations, temperatures, seasons, terrain, activities, and interests. Yavapai County is rural with similar elevations, weather, values and lifestyles.Y It would be a shamble to try and coordinate regulations between our rural atmosphere and that of a college town with severe winters. I urge you to keep Yavapai County intact. Thank you for your consideration.

12/15/2021 - 14:08	Ann Pendarvis	Map for LD 5 version 12.1.1	Thank you for all your work on redistricting and for your attention to balancing ALL of the requirements - especially when some would argue that one or more of the requirements in any given area could be interpreted to conflict with other requirements.  I urge you to adopt map version 12.1.1 for LD Area 5.  Thank you!
12/15/2021 - 14:18	Julie Osborn	Latest LD map proposals	I AM ONLY INTERESTED IN THE CURRENT LD MAP 12.1 THAT KEEPS THE VERDE VALLEY WITH THE REST OF YAVAPAI COUNTY. PLEASE DO NOT DISINFRANCHISE US BY JOINING US WITH FLAGSTAFF. WE DO NOT HAVE ANYTHING OR ANY REASON TO BE JOINED WITH FLAGSTAFF. I AM BEGGING ALL THOSE INVOLVED. PLEASE, PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN.
			THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. JULIE OSBORN
12/15/2021 - 14:29	Sharon Lynn Peterson	map	I am a Yavapai resident of Sedona. Please choose map 12.1.1 because it keeps our county community together, particularly the Verde watershed.  Sharon Peterson
12/15/2021 - 14:35	David Osborn	Latest LD map	I AM WRITING TO YOU IN THE MOST COMPELLING WAY I CAN!!!!!!!!!
		proposals	MY FAMILY AND I ARE ONLY INTERESTED IN THE CURRENT LD MAP 12.1.1 THAT KEEPS THE VERDE VALLEY WITH THE REST OF YAVAPAI COUNTY!!!!!!!!
			I IMPLORE YOU!!!!!!!! DO NOT, DO NOT, DO NOT, DO NOT, DISENFRANCHISE THE RESIDENTS IN THE VERDE VALLEY BY LUMPING US IN WITH FLAGSTAFF!!!!!!! WE HAVE NOTHING IN COMMON WITH FLAGSTAFFNOTHING!!!! IT WOULD BE A HHHUUUUGE TRAVESTY ON OUR LIVES TO BE LUMPED TOGETHER WITH FLAGSTAFF!!!!!!! PLEASE, IN THE NAME OF GOD, KEEP THE VERDE VALLEY IN A DISTRICT WITH THE REST OF YAVAPAI COUNTY!!!!!!!
			I PRAY I AM MAKING IT ABUNDANTLY CLEAR TO YOU OF OUR DESIRE!!!!!! PLEASE, PLEASE PLEASE, HONOR OUR REQUEST!!!!!!!
			THANK YOU!!!!!!!!!
12/15/2021 - 14:35	Diane Hill	Redistricting	Keep Yavapai County intact.
12/15/2021 - 14:36	Diane Hill	Redistricting	Keep Yavapai County intact.
12/15/2021 - 14:40	E. Alan Normandy	Please Keep Yavapai County Intact!	Good afternoon! I am a resident of Yavapai County, living in Williamson Valley Ranch. I respectfully request we choose Map LD 12.1.1 so we can maintain our integrity as a county. Choosing this map keeps our Yavapai County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed. We sincerely request you represent us accordingly.  Thank you, and Merry Christmas to you and yours!
			Alan Normandy
12/15/2021 - 14:44	Sheri Lea	Legislative District maps - Need for LD Map 12.1.1	I am s resident of Yavapai County and live in Village of Oak Creek. I want you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 15:02	Robert Wysocki	Мар	This commission is supposed to be independent, so starting acting like it. You have no business granting requests from politicians. Stick with version 9 of the map.
12/15/2021 - 15:07	Penny I. Cooper	redistricting	To Redistricting Commission, Please accept the new 12.1.1 map because we live in a rural area in Globe. We have different needs than the Flagstaff area. We share the needs of the eastern towns in the copper corridor. My husband and I support this map for District 7.
			We thank you for all the work you have done to draft this map for the District 7. Sincerely, Penny and Leon Cooper
12/15/2021 - 15:10	Gail Barnhill	re-districting	I am against LD 17 Map10.0 because it represents the kind of blatant gerrymandering the commission was created to prevent. It does not meet the communities of interest requirement or the compact and contiguous requirement or the competitive requirement. I support the LD17 map 9.0. Map 10.0 gives a 10 point advantage to republican candidates

12/15/2021 - 15:15	Janet Ann Dorgan	Adopt LD1.1	I live in Yayapai county (Prescott). Adopting redistricting map LD 1.1 is imperative. Yayapai county should be kept intact in the interest of common interests. Protection of our water sources is of primary concern .
12/15/2021 - 15:40	Donna Hill	redistricting maps C6 and LD17	The proposed new plan called V12.1 does not meet the compact and continuous criteria. It also does not meet the communities of interest requirement, nor does it meet the competitive requirement. The proposed map gives a big advantage to Republicans and is unfairly drawn.
12/15/2021 - 15:42	Virginia La Bar	LD17 redistricting	I support LD17 map 9.0 not map 10.0
12/15/2021 - 15:43	Marilyn R Wilbur	LD 25 a personal concern for the voters there	Hello, My name is Marilyn Wilbur and my cross streets are Cactus and Reems. I have a concern about LD 25. There is a high concentration of Hispanic voters in LD 25 and the I think that the area should be more competitive so that the residents that live in that community can have proper representation of their values and beliefs.
12/15/2021 - 15:47	Michael Joseph Martinez	redistricting public comment	I live in Central Phoenix (LD 25) close to 7th Avenue & Thomas Rd. and have been following the redistricting process. I am requesting that the commission take into consideration that Latinos who live in my district should have more representation. This will only come about by finalizing new district maps that ensure competitiveness. The current iteration does not do enough to promote competitiveness and cedes an unfair advantage to white voters.
12/15/2021 - 15:48	Michael Macpherson	Keeping Sedona Together	The map known as 12.1.1 keeps Sedona together. I live in Sedona. It should be kept in ONE district.
12/15/2021 - 15:52	Anne Emerson	Redistricting Sedona, AZ	This being the last day for comments I want to extend my thoughts to the commission. Please listen to the needs of the people on this. It seems that all our efforts have been disregarded for a fair and competitive redistricting process. Do you feel that your will in this is more important than the will of the people? This is hubris on the part of the few for the well being of the many. Your actions to disregard the voters makes you personally responsible for the disintegration of our democracy. How do you feel when you look in the mirror and think you are an instrument of destruction for the wellbeing of our state's politics? Make a better choice when there is still time. Listen. Thank you.  Anne Emerson
12/15/2021 - 15:52	Maico Stravlin Olivares	LD25 Competitiveness	My name is Maico Olivares and I am a resident of Phoenix and I notice that even though West phoenix has a high concentration of latino voters I see that LD 25 is stretched out and overwhelms the latino population, I urge the IRC to make west Phx more representative of the demographics by making LD 25 more competitive so latinos have a much better chance of electing someone from their own community and are not solely outweighed by white/caucasians
12/15/2021 - 15:53	Magdalena acosta	LD 25 competitivness	My name is Magdalena Acosta live in the West Valley the Maryvale area on 75th Ave and Indian School Rd I feel my Latino community in LD 25 need more competitiveness to have their voices heard and feel represented. Competition is good to have an honest engagement with constituents
12/15/2021 - 15:56	Irma Pacheco	More Latino representation Lb25	Hello my name is Irma in my community We need more Latino representation and more competetiveness and Latino community has a better chance of being better represented when candidates run for office, and my Latino community need more opportunities to get better jobs the opportunity to better represent and meet all their demands and needs
12/15/2021 - 15:56	Tamara Blanton	Redistricting	I would like to voice my opinion that the LD Map 12.1.1 should be chosen. I live in the Village of Oak Creek and would like to see our district be the same as the Countykeeping the County together helps represent citizens who live and work in the same area including our watershed.
12/15/2021 - 15:58	Jeffrey S Tomb	Re-districting	I lhave live in Yavapai for 43 years now and believe that our county should remain one district. Map # 12.1.1  Thank You
12/15/2021 - 16:01	Anna Wayman-Trujillo	Legislative District redistrictding	I am a resident of Yavapai County located on Williamson Valley Rd just outside of Prescott. Communities of interest are imperative in this redistricting process. I support LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County's communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed. Splitting Yavapai County along the Williamson Valley corridor or any split is unfavorable to the guidelines set forth for redistricting.
12/15/2021 - 16:02	Richard Morris Westberg	Redistricting	I am a resident of Yavapai County (Camp Verde) and want you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.

12/15/2021 - 16:05	I. Paul Slevin	Redistricting	I am a long time resident of Yavapai County and reside in Sedona -23 years. I am fully supportive of LD Map 12.1.1. This keeps Sedona and the Communities in the Verde Valley, with LIKE INTERESTS together. For example, Most of us dine and shop in Sedona or CampVerde, Cottonwood, Prescott Valley, Clarkdale, Prescott which are easier and shorter to access than Flagstaff.
12/15/2021 - 16:14	Gary A Fruhwirth	Please use LD Map 12.1.1	I am a resident of Yavapai County. We want you to keep Yavapai County whole in your LD design. Please do NOT use 12.0.1 as you divide our county and merge the parts with other counties that do not share our communities of interest when it comes to a common Verde watershed and the rural, western, outdoor, active lifestyle that our average age of 50+ population cares about. Please support the numerous resident testimonials and on line comments from the actual residents of Yavapai County, not the partisan elected leaders from Coconino and Sedona.
12/15/2021 - 16:18	Doreen Slevin	Redistricting	As a long time resident of Sedona within Yavapai County, I fully support LD Map 12.1.1 which keeps all of our nearby communities together. Our interests, including concerns about water and the economy, are similar. Our dining and shopping are greatly shared with Prescott, Prescott Valley and the Verde Valley communities more so than Flagstaff. Travel within the Verde Valley and Prescott area and Sedona is easier than to Flagstaff.  Do the right thing and vote for 12.1.1
12/15/2021 - 16:25	Geneva Eads	Arizona redistricting	Stay with 12 :1:1. Please keep Yavapai county intact, do not break it up, essentially erasing us.
12/15/2021 - 16:27	Marlene Kelley	Redistricting Maps	Because I am a resident of Clarkdale, AZ. I would like to give my opinion regarding the LD 12.0.1 and 12.1.1 maps. I was unable to attend the meeting in Prescott or in Cottonwood. Flagstaff was definitely too far to travel! Map LD12.01.1 looks like a terribly poor map! Please do not utilize this map which is not in the best interest of the residents of the Verde Valley. We need representatives that understand rural problems and not larger city issues!!!Map LD12.1.1 makes much more sense and maintains the solidarity of Yavapai County. We will be represented much better and will share the issues that the Prescott area has in common as opposed to larger distant areas! Please use Map LD 12.1.1 in your decision process!!
12/15/2021 - 16:29	Roger Eads	Yavapai County Redistricting	Keep map 12.1.1 as it is. Do not break Yavapai County up, which would essentially erase us.  Thank you.  Roger Eads Chino Valley, AZ
12/15/2021 - 16:33	Darlene S. Younker	LD7 map 12.1.1	Thank you for listening to the citizens comments and concerns. It is impossible to please everyone, however your map for LD7, Map 12.1.1 is an excellent resolution. I appreciate the time and attention you have devoted to this process these many months. I wish you all a Merry Christmas.
12/15/2021 - 16:46	Shirley Bush	redistristing	Please keep Yavapai county whole. Do not split up the county!
12/15/2021 - 17:11	Michelle M Sullivan	LD 12	I couldn't go to the meeting in Prescott but I'm a resident of Prescott Valley and I'd to make a comment. I think map LD 12.1.1 is correct. We should keep Yavapai County together.  The rules say that districts should be among communities of interest. They should have a sensible shape. They should not have geographical divisions that make it hard for residents' voices to be heard. These things are all accomplished with LD 12.1.1  I don't know who came up with the LD 12.0.1 map, but they can't have the interests of the residents of the Verde Valley in their hearts. Why would their district include mining towns in the south and far away rural communities in the east but not include Prescott and Prescott Valley (their closest neighbor and where they shop and recreate)?  I have friends and family in the Verde Valley and they do not like LD 12.0.1. Please let our county be together so we can solve our problems together over the next 10 years.  Thank you,  Michelle Sullivan
12/15/2021 - 17:11	Sheena Sovich	Redistricting of Yavapai	My vote is to keep LD Map 12.1.1. I am a resident of Sedona and we need to keep our communities with the same interest together. Thank you.

12/15/2021 - 17:14	Stephen Sovich	Redistributing of Yavapai County	I am a resident of Sedona , Yavapai County and I am requesting to keep LD 12.1.1 because it keeps our like minded communities together particularly the Verde Watershed. Thank you
12/15/2021 - 17:17	Carol Sowers German	Redistricting	This is a request to keep all of Yavapai County together as in map LD12.1.1 Thank you, Carol German Camp Verde, in Yavapai County
12/15/2021 - 17:22	Ann Johnson	Comments on final maps	First of all I would like to thank each of you for your efforts these past months. It has been a long and tiring process with much thought, travel, compromise, and compassion on the part of all of those who are trying to make the state of Arizona a good and fair place to live. I hope that as you finalize your selection you will have developed voting districts that are fair and competitive for both Democrats and Republicans. Only by balancing the common good can the people of Arizona be well served and our institutions thrive. Districts that are competitive and fair should be the goal of these deliberations.
12/15/2021 - 17:25	Martin D Pangburn	Redistricting of Yavapai county	Please do not seperate Yavapai county into two voting districts. This would just be wrong. Please leave it the way it is at present. Thank you Martin Pangburn
12/15/2021 - 17:32	Paula Krainz	Map 12.1.1	It serves the residents of this county no purpose to separate Verde Valley watershed and to spread it among two or three other counties. Help us govern ourselves without needless added bureaucracy and confusion. Keep our county whole. This is a high desert county after all. Map 12.1.1 does the trick.
12/15/2021 - 17:42	Linda Miller	Independent?? Commission	Following newspaper reporting it would seem the independent redistricting commission is anything but. Republicans continue to hijack our democracy. Apparently republicans get to decide who is in LD17, no matter what the people who would be in that district want. I offer support for LD17 map 9.0, which is not what the republicans want so they can win no matter who else may be running for office.
12/15/2021 - 18:09	Kathleen Frankel	LD 24 redistricting	Hello - My husband and I live in LD24 and CD9. We urge you NOT to divide up our district into 4 parts. We have specific needs here in Phoenix, such as public transportation, that would be better served by two focused congresspeople. Thank you.
12/15/2021 - 18:11	Robert O'Connell	LD map 12.1.1	I would like to vote for LD map 12.1.1
12/15/2021 - 18:13	Jo Quintenz	LD 17	The citizens of Arizona passed an initiative several years ago to put an end to the practice of allowing politicians to pick their voters instead of the other way around. It is indicative of how far from this goal we have strayed when the Commissioner pushing Map 10 for LD17 isn't even embarrassed to state that he supports it because it gives his party a 10 pt advantage (by minimizing the number of people of color in his vision of LD17). This proposal is contrary to the spirit and requirements of the Independent Redistricting process and should be set aside in favor of Map 9. If more representation by Republicans in southern Arizona is the goal how about offering the residence of LD17 a platform of policies and proposals that they find attractive instead of gerrymandering them out of existence.
12/15/2021 - 18:16	Katherine A. Shindel	Proposed LD 17	I am an independent who is appalled that the Republicans are owed a guaranteed district. That violates the will of the citizens who established the requirement that districts be competitive. No party should be guaranteed a safe district. Each candidate should campaign on issues earning the votes of the citizens. The idea that I as an independent don't count is insulting. I personally may be caught up in that gerrymander. Does that mean my ballot is worthless because the election has been guaranteed to the Republicans? You can do better. Live up to the standards we voters established.
12/15/2021 - 18:23	Robert W Hungate	map 10	The commission should be ashamed of advancing that this map is better for democracy than Map9. I live between the two areas you propose to link. First class gerrymandering. once upon a time I voted Republican, now am a committed Independent
12/15/2021 - 18:27	Charles Harmon	Redistricting	I am from Cottonwood Az. Keep Yavapai county whole in the same legislative district. We are like minded people and we would be best represented in the same district.  Thank You Charles Harmon Jesus Saves

12/15/2021 - 18:40	Nohl Rosen	Redistricting	After looking at the redistricting maps I don't know how you can possibly propose that Wickenburg be in CD9 and LD30. We have nothing in common with places such as Lake Havasu, Kingman or any other area of Mohave County. Wickenburg needs to all stay together in CD2 and LD5 which is on the Yavapai County side of the line. Putting all in Wickenburg in LD28 and possibly CD2 or 9 would've been better if we are going to stay together rather than putting us in the same district as Mohave and La Paz counties which we have nothing in common with. The commission should've considered Wickenburg as an area of interest. The commission needs to stop basing their maps and their decisions on what the Democratic and progressive left wants. In my opinion the IRC is favoring one demographic over another. As stated previously. Wickenburg needs to be in CD2 and LD5.
12/15/2021 - 18:47	Sharon petrie	Map 12.1.1 yes	This map showing the Verde Valley in Prescott, as Central Arizona, is the appropriate location for Camp Verde's legislative district.
12/15/2021 - 18:49	David Scott Lynn	Redistricting & Sedona	I did not send it yet, but how does this sound? Does the Sheriff thing seem appropriate? I had to call the Sheriff once so I have some direct experience with that:  To Whom It May Concern, I'm writing in regards to Redistricting in the area of Sedona. I've lived in Arizona for ten years now, and Sedona for the last three years I've seen proposed Arizona IRC maps including Sedona and Cottonwood in same district as Prescott (District 5, Map 12.1.1). I've also seen maps with Sedona and Cottonwood included in District 7 with Flagstaff and Camp Verde (map 12.0) It appears to me that it makes FAR more sense to include Sedona and Cottonwood in with Prescott in District 5. Most of the people I know here in Sedona, where I have lived for three years now, relate much more to Yavapai County and Prescott than we do Coconino and Flagstaff We in Sedona like the feel of, and connection with Prescott, even though it is further away than Flagstaff. I know FAR more people who do things in Sedona and Prescott than Sedona and Flagstaff So my preference would to go with map 12.1.1 which includes Sedona in District 5 and Prescott HOWEVER, we here in Sedona would ALSO prefer for the *entire area* of Sedona (both West Sedona and "East" Sedona) to be in ONE District, rather than be split in two. Making community plans and decisions with Sedona split because of the county line has its problems & discontents, which we would like to resolve For one thing, the Sheriff Situation: If Sedona was all One Population, it would be more likely we could get a District 5 Sheriff's Station located over here. Much of the area surrounding Sedona is outside the local Sedona Police jurisdiction, so getting a Sheriff (police presence) here in West Sedona when we need one takes a LOT of time sometimes I realize the county lines were probably drawn long before it was an issue, but keeping ALL of Sedona as Feeling & Thinking and Operating like One Community is very important to a number of us living here So, to sum
12/15/2021 - 19:22	Robert P Rubens	Re-districting Yavapai County, AZ	I am a resident of Yavapai County and my home address is: 4320 Cutter Lane, Wickenburg, AZ 85390. My strong preference is that you choose map 12.1.1 because it keeps our county communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 19:41	Cathie Loretta Harris	Map 12.1.1	I am a resident of Cottonwood in Yavapai County. Map 12.1.1 is simple and keeps the Verde River communities of interest together. A single voice is imperative to assure best management of our water source and development of our rural resources. Thank you!
12/15/2021 - 19:49	Mary Beth Hunter	re-districting	Map 10.0 is not fair to LD 17. It should be replaced with map 9.0
12/15/2021 - 19:50	Kathleen DuFresne	LD Map 12.1.1	I am a resident of Yavapai County and would like to keep our county interests together by supporting the LD Map 12.1.1  Thank you

12/15/2021 - 19:53	Lisa Wolfe	Party affiliation is not a	
		community of interest	I am mindful of Comm. Lerner's comment that Comm. Neuberg is trying to keep the State's best interests at the forefront.
			But, in light of Comm. Mehl's comments and repeated statewide gerrymandering, she must try harder to maintain the integrity of the IRC.
			At the hearing on Dec. 13, Comm. Mehl told the mappers his reason for his district configuration is "strategy." He tells news reporters he is a Republican appointee, and his map requests confirm that his primary strategy is to create a Republican Legislature for the next decade even though Republican, Democratic, and Independent voters are evenly divided.
			1. In Pima County, where Republicans are a distinct minority, He is packing CD7 with Democrats and gerrymandering CD 6 into a Republican district; CD6 has for years leaned Democratic. Mehl's obvious goal is to support the election of Republicans and, specifically, the husband of a Republican on the commission's nominating committee.
			2. He is gerrymandering Pima County's LD 17 to support Leech's re-election when the District in its most natural configuration is competitive.
			3. He shoved reservations into the Flagstaff district, with the comment that their kids go to school there and they shop there. But a community of interest is not defined by shopping malls. The Commissioners need to look at the population's concerns and priorities. For example, Native populations living on tribal lands lack sufficient electricity, while Flagstaff residents take electricity for granted. The tribal communities oppose the dilution of their voting strength that would occur if they are in the Flagstaff district.
			Regardless of his political affiliation, Mehl is obligated to comply with IRC requirements, none of which include partisan gerrymandering. It is the job of the Chairwoman to enforce the IRC requirements and to make sure commissioners follow them.
			Redistricting after the census is intended to reflect population and demographic shifts so that communities of interest (those sharing priorities and concerns) can elect people to represent their particular interests. Populations sharing priorities and concerns do not necessarily agree, politically, so partisanship is not a community of interest. Competitiveness gives people of all parties an opportunity to decide whose solution they prefer.
			Please, Comm. Neuberg, stop Comm. Mehl's gerrymandering. Reject his contorted maps that seek to ensure a Republican Legislature despite geography and demographics.

12/15/2021 - 19:54	Jay Simpson	Comparing Proposed Final Maps	The Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission now faces a critical choice in finalizing its new maps drawn in the wake of the 2020 census.
			The independent Chair has expressed strong support for the draft maps that were approved in October but has allowed the partisan commissioners to instruct the mapping team to make numerous adjustments to the draft maps. This has resulted in two radically different approaches to redistricting. The republicans have put forward CD map 9.2.1 and LD map 12.1.1. the democrats have put forward CD map 9.1.1 and LD map 12.0.1.
			The Chair has indicated that tomorrow she intends to support one or the other approach and has stated a preference for the approach that most mirrors the October draft maps.
			The October draft congressional maps were population balanced down to the last resident.
			The proposed draft map 9.1.1 (the democratic proposal) has a total population deviation of 101 residents state wide; in stark contrast, the population deviation in proposed draft map 9 2 1 (the republican proposal) is more than 40,000 No federal court in the country would approve such a congressional map.
			In addition, a quick comparison of the two maps to the approved draft maps show that 9.1.1 is much closer to the approved draft map while better protecting communities of interest and still managing to draw a total of three competitive districts, two of which are highly competitive districts, CD 4 and CD 6. Again, in contrast, proposed map 9.2.1 makes more significant changes to the draft maps and, while it has three districts that fall within the IRC's broader definition of competitive, all three "competitive" districts fall outside the highly competitive definition and all three reliably elect republicans. Indeed, this proposed map all but locks in a 6 to 3 republican majority in congress for the foreseeable future. Moreover, map 9.2.1 contains a blatant gerrymander in district six in order to change the district from highly competitive district in the draft map with a 1.9% vote spread in favor of democrats to a 3.2% vote spread in favor of republicans. In other words the changes suggested to district six swing the seat 5.1% by packing portions of Tucson into CD 7 and replacing the Tucson population with conservative portions of Pinal County which are barely even contiguous with the rest of the district.
			There are similar problems with the republican proposal for the legislative districts in the state. The population balance is off by more than 91,000 residents. It is shocking given the rhetoric from the republican commissions about the need to have perfect balance in the LDs this cycle. In fact, seven of their proposed districts do not meet the five percent population variance test applied by the courts to state legislative districts. Four of the seven contain a variance of great than ten percent and one district, number 28, is more than 20% short on population.
			In contrast, the map proposed by the democrat commissioners has only four districts that are over the five percent variance and all of them are under ten percent.
			Likewise, LD map 12.1.1 has only three highly competitive districts, two of which lean heavily toward the republican party in election outcomes. And, it contains only five competitive districts even under the broader 7% vote spread definition. Four out of the five lean heavily toward republicans in terms of outcomes.
			In contrast, LD map 12.0.1 has six highly competitive districts with a seventh district that is competitive under the broader measure. In sum, there is no comparison in terms of which is the fairer LD map.
			Finally, LD map 12.0.1 removes the City of Flagstaff from proposed LD Six thereby eliminating a serious Voting Rights Act violation by preserving the Tribe's ability to elect a tribal member as their state legislator.
12/15/2021 - 19:59	Barbara K Quayle	Keep Yavapai County WHOLE	My name is Barbara Quayle and I am a resident of Sedona, AZ. PLEASE choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.  Thank You!
12/15/2021 - 20:01	Kenneth Quayle	Keep Yavapai County WHOLE	My name is Kenneth Quayle and I am a resident of Sedona, AZ. I want you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed. This is an important issue.  Thank You!

and continuous requirement. It stretches from SaddleBrooke to Vall, croisses geographic boundaries to include two mountain ranges.  2/15/2021 - 20:09 Emmett Frank Glick Gerrymandering 2/15/2021 - 20:09 Emmett Frank Glick Gerrymandering MAPS-SUPPORT AZ LATINO COALITION MAP 2/15/2021 - 20:21 Danny Ortega 1.1 Latino Coalition COALITION MAP 2/15/2021 - 20:23 Danny Ortega 1.1 Latino Coalition COALITION MAP 2/15/2021 - 20:23 Danny Ortega 1.1 Linda A. Speaman Draft Map 12.0.1 Draft Ma	12/15/2021 - 20:03	Sharon L.Shelor	LD7 map 9.0	Stop the gerrymandering. Republican advantages being forced for Republican advantages in future elections. Bullshit!  I am finding this commission's disregard of the requirements appalling.  I WANT TO BE CLEAR, I SUPPORT LD17 MAP 9.0.  CHEATERS SHOULD NEVER WIN!  Sharon L. Shelor -Democrat
map 9 0 is 100% in Its favor. Keep It fair and athere to the rules.  IRC Commissioners: This is to again inform the IRC that I and my adminy in the Latino Community continue to strongly support Arizona's Latino Collition.  2/15/2021 - 20:37  Darny Ortega Linda A. Spearman  Draft Map 12.0.1  Draft Ma	12/15/2021 - 20:03	Wanda Bailey		and continuous requirement. It stretches from SaddleBrooke to Vail, crosses geographic boundaries to include two mountain ranges. It does not meet the communities of interest requirement. There are no shared school districts, water resources, parks or recreation in
AZ LATINO COALTION MPS 9.1.1 This is to again inform the IRC that I and my family and many in the Latino Community continue to strongly support Arizona's Latino Coalition Map 9.1.1 There is no relation eason with ERC was only and many in the Latino Community continue to strongly support Arizona's Latino Coalition Map 9.1.1 Thark youPete Rios, Past Az. Senate President 1 support Maps 9.1.1 Thark you!!  Draft Map 12.0.1  Drawing lines	12/15/2021 - 20:09	Emmett Frank Glick	Gerrymandering	
Linda A. Spearman  Draft Map 12.0.1  Dear Commissioners: I am writing to encourage acceptance of Map 12.0.1. It does a good job of keeping cities/communities together and distributes the population more fairly than some of the other maps, meeting two very important objectives of this commission.  Your consideration of this map would be greatly appreciated. Linda A. Spearman  Drawing lines  Every time a line is drawn it has to be measured and fair and competitive. Lines must be clear and concise. Keep an open mindI have presented at two meetings	12/15/2021 - 20:21	PETE Rios	AZ. LATINO COALITION MAP	This is to again inform the IRC that I and my family and many in the Latino Community continue to strongly support Arizona's Latino Coalition Map 9.1.1. There is no valid reason why this VRA protected Minority Group should not be heard by this IRC
lam writing to encourage acceptance of Map 12.0.1. It does a good job of keeping cities/communities together and distributes the population more fairly than some of the other maps, meeting two very important objectives of this commission.  Your consideration of this map would be greatly appreciated.  Linda A. Speaman  Every time a line is drawn it has to be measured and fair and competitive. Lines must be clear and concise. Keep an open mindI have presented at two meetingsyou have my wishes on file.  As Roseann Roseannadanna said, "You know, it's always something; if it's not one thing, it's another."  The character usually said this in response to one of the Saturday Night Live weekend update anchors interrupting her and trying to ge her back on track. The IRC has gone well off track with draft LD 17 in proposed draft map 12.1.1.  In the latest mapping discussions, Commission Mehl has doubled down on his proposed gerrymandered legislative district 17. His original justification for the district was based on a supposed "community of interest" which was based on nothing more than partisan affiliation; this rationale fell apart during the 30 day comment period when it became evident through investigative reporting that the district was drawn for purely partisan purposes despite his insistence that there was a community of interest between two parts of Pima County that are separated by the Catalina Mountains.  Chair Neuberg appears concerned enough about this blatant gerrymander that a new rationale for the district was drawn for purely partisan purposes despite his insistence that there was a community of interest between two parts of Pima County that are separated by the Catalina draesa' where their interests diverge from the cities and towns. The implication in this rationale is that many, if not most, of the residents of LD 17 live in unincorporated areas and they deserve special representation in the state legislature because their interests diverge from the cities and towns. The implication in this	12/15/2021 - 20:25	Danny Ortega	Latino Coalition	I support Maps 9.1.1! Thank you!!
2/15/2021 - 20:37 Marlene Barrington  Drawing lines  Every time a line is drawn it has to be measured and fair and competitive. Lines must be clear and concise. Keep an open mindI have presented at two meetingsyou have my wishes on file.  As Roseann Roseannadanna said, "You know, it's always something; if it's not one thing, it's another."  The character usually said this in response to one of the Saturday Night Live weekend update anchors interrupting her and trying to ge her back on track. The IRC has gone well off track with draft LD 17 in proposed draft map 12.1.1.  In the latest mapping discussions, Commission Mehi has doubled down on his proposed gerrymandered legislative district 17. His original justification for the district was based on a supposed "community of interest" which was based on nothing more than partisan affiliation; this rationale fell apart during the 30 day comment period when it became evident through investigative reporting that the district was drawn for purely partisan purposes despite his insistence that there was a community of interest between two parts of Pima County that are separated by the Catalina Mountains.  Chair Neuberg appears concerned enough about this bilatant gerymander that a new rationale for the district has emerged in the recerdays. The new rationale for LD 17 is that the district is necessary to effectively represent residents of the state who are not residents of cities or townships but live in "unincorporated areas" where their interests diverge from the cities and towns. The implication in this rationale is that many, if not most, LD 17 live in unincorporated areas and they deserve special representation in the state legislature because their interests are different from the cities and towns. This premise is false and it is misleading to the public to even suggest it as a rationale for the proposed district lives in a city or township.  Roughly 7.5% of the residents of proposed district lives in a city or township. Roughly 29% of the population of th	12/15/2021 - 20:37	Linda A. Spearman	Draft Map 12.0.1	I am writing to encourage acceptance of Map 12.0.1. It does a good job of keeping cities/communities together and distributes the population more fairly than some of the other maps, meeting two very important objectives of this commission.
have presented at two meetingsyou have my wishes on file.  2/15/2021 - 20:37  Jay Simpson  New Rationale for Proposed LD 17  As Roseann Roseannadanna said, "You know, it's always something; if it's not one thing, it's another."  The character usually said this in response to one of the Saturday Night Live weekend update anchors interrupting her and trying to ge her back on track. The IRC has gone well off track with draft LD 17 in proposed draft map 12.1.1.  In the latest mapping discussions, Commission Mehl has doubled down on his proposed gerrymandered legislative district 17. His original justification for the district was based on a supposed "community of interest" which was based on nothing more than partisan affiliation; this rationale fell apart during the 30 day comment period when it became evident through investigative reporting that the district was drawn for purely partisan purposes despite his insistence that there was a community of interest between two parts of Pime County that are separated by the Catalina Mountains.  Chair Neuberg appears concerned enough about this blatant gerrymander that a new rationale for the district has emerged in the recerdays. The new rationale for LD 17 is that the district is necessary to effectively represent residents of the state who are not residents of cities or townships but live in "unincorporated areas and they deserve special representation in the state legislature because their interests are different from the cities and towns. The implication in this rationale is that many, if not most, of the residents of LD 17 live in unincorporated areas and they deserve special representation in the state legislature because their interests are different from the cities and towns. This premise is false and it is misleading to the public to even suggest it as a rationale for the gerrymandered district.  Here is the truth, according to the "Splits Report" on the AZ IRC hub:  "Roughly 25% of the proposed district lives in a city or township. Roughly 24% of the popu				
The character usually said this in response to one of the Saturday Night Live weekend update anchors interrupting her and trying to geher back on track. The IRC has gone well off track with draft LD 17 in proposed draft map 12.1.1.  In the latest mapping discussions, Commission Mehl has doubled down on his proposed gerrymandered legislative district 17. His original justification for the district was based on a supposed "community of interest" which was based on nothing more than partisan affiliation; this rationale fell stirs and unity of purposes despite his insistence that there was a community of interest between two parts of Pima County that are separated by the Catalina Mountains.  Chair Neuberg appears concerned enough about this blatant gerrymander that a new rationale for the district has emerged in the recerdays. The new rationale for LD 17 is that the district is necessary to effectively represent residents of the state who are not residents of cities or townships but live in "unincorporated areas" where their interests diverge from the cities and towns. The implication in this rationale is that many, if not ext. of the residents of LD 17 live in unincorporated areas and they deserve special representation in the state legislature because their interests are different from the cities and towns. This premise is false and it is misleading to the public to even suggest it as a rationale for the gerrymandered district.  Here is the truth, according to the "Splits Report" on the AZ IRC hub:  *Roughly 7.5% of the proposed district lives in a city or township.  *Roughly 42% of the population of the proposed district live in Tucson. Id.  *Roughly 42% of the population of the proposed district live in Marana and Oro Valley. Id.  There is no rationale for this district other than as a purely partisan power play. If LD 17 stands as currently proposed, it will turn the purpose of the Inde	12/15/2021 - 20:37	Marlene Barrington	Drawing lines	
days. The new rationale for LD 17 is that the district is necessary to effectively represent residents of the state who are not residents of cities or townships but live in "unincorporated areas" where their interests diverge from the cities and towns. The implication in this rationale is that many, if not most, of the residents of LD 17 live in unincorporated areas and they deserve special representation in the state legislature because their interests are different from the cities and towns. This premise is false and it is misleading to the public to even suggest it as a rationale for the gerrymandered district.  Here is the truth, according to the "Splits Report" on the AZ IRC hub:  *Roughly 7.5% of the residents of proposed LD 17 live in "unincorporated areas" See: Arizona IRC Splits report, Legislative District 17. Put differently, 92.5% of the proposed district lives in a city or township.  *Roughly 29% of the population of the proposed district live in Tucson. Id.  *Roughly 42% of the population of the proposed district live in Marana and Oro Valley. Id.  There is no rationale for this district other than as a purely partisan power play. If LD 17 stands as currently proposed, it will turn the purpose of the Independent Redistricting Commission on its head.	12/15/2021 - 20:37	Jay Simpson		The character usually said this in response to one of the Saturday Night Live weekend update anchors interrupting her and trying to get her back on track. The IRC has gone well off track with draft LD 17 in proposed draft map 12.1.1.  In the latest mapping discussions, Commission Mehl has doubled down on his proposed gerrymandered legislative district 17. His original justification for the district was based on a supposed "community of interest" which was based on nothing more than partisan affiliation; this rationale fell apart during the 30 day comment period when it became evident through investigative reporting that the district was drawn for purely partisan purposes despite his insistence that there was a community of interest between two parts of Pima
<ul> <li>Roughly 7.5% of the residents of proposed LD 17 live in "unincorporated areas" See: Arizona IRC Splits report, Legislative District 17. Put differently, 92.5% of the proposed district lives in a city or township.</li> <li>Roughly 29% of the population of the proposed district live in Tucson. Id.</li> <li>Roughly 42% of the population of the proposed district live in Marana and Oro Valley. Id.</li> </ul> There is no rationale for this district other than as a purely partisan power play. If LD 17 stands as currently proposed, it will turn the purpose of the Independent Redistricting Commission on its head.				rationale is that many, if not most, of the residents of LD 17 live in unincorporated areas and they deserve special representation in the state legislature because their interests are different from the cities and towns. This premise is false and it is misleading to the public to
Put differently, 92.5% of the proposed district lives in a city or township.  •Roughly 29% of the population of the proposed district live in Tucson. Id.  •Roughly 42% of the population of the proposed district live in Marana and Oro Valley. Id.  There is no rationale for this district other than as a purely partisan power play. If LD 17 stands as currently proposed, it will turn the purpose of the Independent Redistricting Commission on its head.				Here is the truth, according to the "Splits Report" on the AZ IRC hub:
purpose of the Independent Redistricting Commission on its head.				Put differently, 92.5% of the proposed district lives in a city or township.  •Roughly 29% of the population of the proposed district live in Tucson. Id.
2/15/2021 - 20:48 Thomas K Johnson Redistricting We want 12.1.1 map				
	12/15/2021 - 20:48	Thomas K Johnson	Redistricting	We want 12.1.1 map

12/15/2021 - 20:53	Cheryl McGovern	Redistribution of districts	Tge communities along Highway 19 south of Tucson should be within the same district as they share a sense of community. Moving Sahiarita away from Green valley does not work. I live in Green Valley.
12/15/2021 - 21:02	Thomas Reiner	Final Maps of Yavapai County	I am a resident of Yavapai County and I want you to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it keeps our County communities of interest together, particularly the Verde watershed.
12/15/2021 - 21:22	Hope Busto-Keyes	Draft LD Map 10.0 Compared to Map 12.1	Dear Commissioners,  As you continue to work on maps, it would best serve the interests of Arizona voters to include more competitive districts versus "safe" Republican/Democratic districts. District lines should encourage political candidates to appeal to the common policy interests of a diverse constituency versus appealing only to constituents who have purposely been included within district lines as a majority.  As I understand, the AZ State Constitution states that consideration of "competitive" districts is one of the six criteria – the drawing of "safe" districts is not.  Legislative Draft Map 12.1 is a step backwards, regarding LD 17. This map elevates a political 'community of interest' to the detriment of creating a competitive and compact district.  Legislative Draft Map 10.0, specifically LD 17, respects the goals set by the AZ Constitution at no significant detriment to any of the six constitutional criteria - the VRA, population, compactness, communities of interest, geographic features and competitiveness.  LD Draft Map 10.0 is a fairer map than LD Draft Map 12.1, specifically regarding LD 17. Please draw LD 17 lines as close as possible to that proposed in LD Draft Map 10.0.  Thank you.
12/15/2021 - 21:26	JoAnne Hungate	LD17 map 9.0	The independent redistricting commission should not split up districts that are incoherent. I support LD17 map 9.0.
12/15/2021 - 21:26	Peggy Ricker	keep 12.1.1	Please do not change the map - keep 12.1.1 as is
12/15/2021 - 21:28	Rose Sperry	Map preference	Map LD 12.0.1 is the map that appears to be in line with the areas I am most acquainted with an have a more interest in. Please serve those communities of interest by accepting map LD 12.0.1
12/15/2021 - 21:32	John David Arnold PhD	Support the Latino Coalition maps 9.1.1	I'am the LULAC of Arizona State Director. I hereby fully support the Latino Coalition maps 9.1.1. Some of our board members have been very active in the process including Lydia Guzman and John Mireles. I am also the President of LULAC Council #1088, the largest council in Arizona an also strongly support The Latino Coalition maps 9.1.1. John David Arnold PhD LULAC of Arizona State Director. Also President of LULAC Council 1088. Furthermore I'am Secretary of LULAC District IV and fully support the Latino Coalition maps 9.1.1
12/15/2021 - 21:42	Laurie Reiner	LD Map 12.1.1	Dear Independent Redistricting Commissioners:  I am a resident and concerned citizen of Yavapai County. We want the Independent Redistricting Commission to choose LD Map 12.1.1 because it will keep Yavapai County Communities of Interest together because of our shared interest and dependence on the Verde Watershed.  Thank you for all of your hard work through this entire process. We appreciated the opportunity to participate in various live and satellite hearings around Yavapai County this year.  Sincerely,  Laurie Reiner

12/15/2021 - 21:50 12/15/2021 - 22:10	JA McEuen  Marlene Macek	mapping for competitive maps	To create competitive maps, a few of us have incorporated popular individual district maps into the IRC draft maps. This has left room for legitimate communities of interest while allowing for flexible thinking to create more competitive districts. If the IRC would practice open mindedness and flexibility, there would be much improved maps for Arizona COIs.  The legitimate and legal need for VRA districts should set the core of the state's maps, which includes the Navajo Nation's map CD010 incorporating the majority of the AZ Tribes. There is some latitude for maneuvering how these districts are drawn and how many are made, potentially. The recent flurry of complaints about Latino Coalition maps as unadulterated democratic districts seems clearly born out of fear of safe blue maps. These can be balanced out by safe red districts (now sometimes obliquely referred to as rural or senior citizen COIs). The remainder can be made to be competitive thereby creating a fair and balanced set of statewide maps. This is not rocket science. It is challenging but doable if there is the will of the majority of the IRC to make it a priority.  Your deadline is self-imposed. Please do not rush a faulty set of maps. Go back and look for good examples of maps that provide fair and balanced districts. Look at CD0001, CD0033, CD074. Good LD maps include LD0006, 0011, LD0023, LD0012, LD0072, LD0070. These maps clearly honor stated COIs, just maybe not all the same ones the IRC majority is choosing to emphasize. COIs are already subjective. Please err on the side of good governance. Independents and history will THANK YOU.
		12.1.1	Should not be split from Prescott and the rest of Yavapai County.  There is a generational gap in age between the Verde Valley communities of interest and Flagstaff. According to the Quick Facts US Census Bureau Data, 42.2% of the population of the Village of Oak Creek is over 65 years old. In Sedona, it is 42.6%. The percentage population over 65 years in Flagstaff is 8.4%. That is a big difference. In Prescott, that percentage is 37.3%.  Water is a major issue in the Verde Valley communities. The Verde Watershed begins in big Chino Basin above Chino Valley which again is more closely aligned with Prescott and Yavapai County. Flagstaff is not part of the Verde Watershed per AZDEQ. Prescott is also an AMA (Active Management Area). It is best for all Verde Valley communities to sit at the same table with Prescott when it comes to water matters.  I respectfully request that the Commission keep the Verde Valley communities of interest with Prescott and reject the 12.0.1 map version. Instead, please accept LD 12.1.1. This map honors the Verde Valley communities of interest and keeps us together with Prescott.
12/15/2021 - 23:25	Theresa Felzenberg	Redistricting	LD 12.1.1 map keep yavapai county whole

12/15/2021 - 23:50	Michael Schroeder	Redistricting Comment regarding Sedona and the Verde Valley	Map version - 12.1.1Comment from Charles Schroeder Sedona, AZ, 86336 Yavapai County,
			Ladies and gentlemen, again, I comment, I believe this is the 4th or 5th time. 3 in person. Frankly this is getting really tiring, and I can only imagine how your committee is feeling about this process.
			It is extremely upsetting to see people, and political groups, especially our city council AND the Coconino County Supervisors ignoring their oath of office playing partisan politics.
			If a politician swears an oath to the Arizona Constitution, what part of this statement is hard to understand: "F. To the extent practicable, competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals." Article 4 part 2
			I listened IN PERSON in Flagstaff as a bunch of college kids paraded in front of your commission and read a script - only referring to item "F" and basically ignoring points A, B, C, D, E, which was what they were told to do. Minds full of mush, for the most part being led around by their ideology nose, not an original thought in their young minds.
			I listened to the testimony of the Sedona City Council members, supporting item F, and coming up with all kinds of nonsense trying to bend A thru E to make it sound like they were really trying to follow the law - the law that they took an oath to support. And at the same time violating Arizona meeting laws which restrict assembly of a certain number of public servants - but then again why do they care. The commission should. The excuses and reasons were laughable in trying to tie Sedona and the Verde to a bunch in Flagstaff that just collect Valley tax dollars and give is nothing in return. All politics - and it is NOT supposed to be politics.
			The Coconino supervisors were the biggest surprise, talk about flagrant violation of the Arizona Constitution. They have no business and no say what happens in the Valley. All about item "F"
			We are tired of being spilt, we need to act like a community and not have a bunch of politicians with ulterior motives splitting us up. Not only is it unfair to the residents, it is unfair to our representatives who are trying to represent like minded people on a state and federal level.
			It is time to do the right thing and approve 12.1.1 and call it a day. If people in Coconino are not happy with their government, they can fix it. We need to stay out of their business as they need to stay out of ours.
			Thank you Charles Schroeder