

Submitted Time	Full Name	Subject	Message
11/02/2021 - 04:10	Kate Hansen	Redistricting the Verde valley , Sedona, Village of Oakcreek	<p>I don't have eloquent words to plead with you about redistricting my community. I know there are rules regarding population you must follow . And it appears that is why you are dissectingmy community.</p> <p>The current lines you are proposing do not make any sense . Keep Sedona and the village of Oakcreek in one district!!!</p> <p>Camp Verde needs to be in one district.</p> <p>Thank you for your diligence in this effort. Kate Hansen</p>
11/02/2021 - 05:58	Nelson Morgan	Upcoming listening tour	<p>Hi. I know that you are very busy, but it is disconcerting that the list of upcoming "listening tour" dates and locations has not yet been posted, despite statements that they would be made available last Thursday. You have stated that the first one will be on this coming Saturday, but as yet the public has not been told its location. Please make this information widely available - even if you do not have the full list worked out, please tell us what you know so far. Thank you.</p>
11/02/2021 - 08:45	Shani olexa	Redistricting of Downtown Phoenix	<p>Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.</p>
11/02/2021 - 08:56	Clifford R.Hamilton	Redistricting in the Sedona area	<p>As currently proposed, the redistricting of the Sedona area, following along county lines of Coconino and Yavapai counties, will not only split the City of Sedona into two districts, but will also split the local fire district, local school district, areas with Sedona addresses and portions of our major highways.</p> <p>This makes no sense! With a little adjustment to the lines and minimal change in the number of voters in each district, this situation can be corrected just as it was in the last redistricting process. This same process of splitting the city, schools, fire district, etc. was proposed in the 2010 round but was corrected when, as the Vice Mayor of the city, I testified to the commission about the problem such splitting creates.</p> <p>Time to listen to common sense and make that correction adjustment again.</p>
11/02/2021 - 09:04	Katherine O. Bork	Sedona redistricting	<p>I am writing in support of the redistricting map submitted by Coconino County. It keeps Sedona whole (rather than divided into two districts); it also keeps Sedona in the same district as Flagstaff and the Verde Valley, with whom we share many common interests/concerns (tourism, economic growth, education).</p>
11/02/2021 - 09:11	Sheri L.Schlozman	PLEASE Keep Sedona in One Voting District Along with the Verde Valley,	<p>I'm writing to support the revised legislative district 6 map approved by both Coconino County and by the City of Sedona. This new map keeps Sedona intact in one legislative voting district even though the city happens to be split over two counties. It's very important that the city have one voice and remain part of the community of interest that includes Flagstaff and Cottonwood. These cities are very connected. We share a medical system, a transit system, housing, shopping and employment. We may live in one city or the other, but we are constantly using services among all of them. Please allow us to vote together as one for the needs that we as one community recognize that we have. Thank you so much. Sheri L. Schlozman 520 Morgan Rd. Sedona, AZ 86336</p>
11/02/2021 - 09:28	Kevin Schaefer	Redistricting Comment	<p>I live in Scottsdale and do not go to Rio Verde or Fountain Hills. It would be inappropriate to include these areas in my district. This is too wide of representation and should be split between a north and south at Scottsdale Rd.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
11/02/2021 - 09:28	Jessica Schaefer	Redistricting Comment	<p>I live in Scottsdale and do not go to Rio Verde or Fountain Hills. It would be inappropriate to include these areas in my district. This is too wide of representation and should be split between a north and south at Scottsdale Rd.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

11/02/2021 - 10:09	craig bennett	Redistricting LD23	LD 23 should not be combined with the proposed other districts like LD 3.4, etc. We do not have much in common at all. The LD 23 district is large already and is cohesive . Combining it with the other districts will dilute the district. What is the benefit of doing so anyway?
11/02/2021 - 10:25	Anne Emerson	Redistricting Sedona, AZ	To whom it may concern, I am a 36 year resident and homeowner in Sedona, AZ The map that has been drawn that cuts Sedona into two different districts is an abomination and I would like to add my concerns about this map. Sedona is a unique town in Arizona for many reasons but the one we have to deal with now is that fact that it sits in two different counties. In 1988 we voted to incorporate so that we could function as a single entity as a city, and this map destroys what we created then. Sedona needs to be a unified entity with our own independent voice in this state. To split us like this destroys unity and our voice in the politics of the state. We would be best served to stay as we are, in the same district as Flagstaff, which is more in alignment with our city character. Fix this please. Thank you, Anne Emerson, Sedona, AZ
11/02/2021 - 11:00	Kristen Jackson Banister	Redistricting	I feel that these are unfair maps intended to dilute the voices of specific people and amplifying the voices of others. I do not consent. If you continue with this action, you do so without my consent. Please open this for further investigation and work to draw fair representation.
11/02/2021 - 11:08	Tammy Latta	Redistricting	Please keep our districting the way it is, not with Pinal County!
11/02/2021 - 11:45	Katie Moore	Future LD7	I am a Cottonwood voter. I want Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale and Camp Verde in my northern Arizona LD7 district. I do not want Pinal County in my northern Arizona LD7 district.
11/02/2021 - 11:46	Brigid McLoughlin	Future LD7	I am a Jerome voter. I want Cottonwood, Cornville Clarkdale and Camp Verde in my northern AZ future LD7 district. I don't want Pinal County in my northern AZ LD7 district.
11/02/2021 - 11:46	Kevin Savage	Future LD7	I am a Jerome Voter...I want Camp Verde, Cottonwood, Clarkdale and Cornville in my northern future LD7 Arizona district. I do not want Pinal County in my northern Arizona district.
11/02/2021 - 11:46	Kathleen Trego	Future LD7	I am a Cornville voter. I want Cornville Cottonwood, Camp Verde, Clarkdale Arizona in my future LD7 district. I don't want Pinal in my Northern Arizona district.
11/02/2021 - 11:46	Kg deem	Future LD7	I am a cottonwood voter,. I want cottonwood, cornville, clarkdale, and campverde and in my northern Az future LD7 district. I do NOT want pinal co. In my north AZ LD district.
11/02/2021 - 11:47	Leslie Foshaug	Future LD7	Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarksdale, and Camp Verde needs to be in LD7. I am a Cornville voter I want Cornville to be in my Northern Az LD7 district I don't want Pinal county to be in my Northern Az district.
11/02/2021 - 11:47	Angela Loscalzo	Future LD7	I do not want pinal county in our district. I am a Cornville voter. I want cottonwood, Cornville, camp verde and Clarkdale in my Northern LD7 district. I don't want pinal county in my northern AZ LD7 District
11/02/2021 - 11:47	Faye Coakley	FutureLD7	I want the 4 Cs in LD7 I am a Cottonwood voter I want Cottonwood Cornville Clarkdale, and Camp Verde in my Northern Arizona LD7 District I do not want Pinal County in my Northern Arizona LD7 District
11/02/2021 - 11:47	Cheryl Cheek	Future LD7	I am a Cottonwood voter. I want Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale and Camp Verde in my Northern Arizona LD7 District. I don't want Pinal County in my Northern AZ LD7 District.
11/02/2021 - 11:50	Alan Nickerson	Future LD7	I am a Cottonwood voter. I want Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Cornville, and Camp Verde, in my future Northern AZ future LD7 voting district. I Do Not want Pinal County in my future LD7 Northern Arizona voting district.
11/02/2021 - 12:26	Cathie Loretta Harris	District 7	I am a resident of Cottonwood. It is important to keep Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Cornville, and Camp Verde in the new district 7. It makes no sense to include part of Pinal county in our northern Arizona districts.
11/02/2021 - 13:09	Maryann Kenney	Public meeting posting	I notice with great concern that the upcoming November 6 meeting for comment on draft maps is not listed on your website under public meetings, nor, as required, is it listed at publicmeetings.az.gov. This meeting is occurring in four days and should be widely publicized by your site. This failure to communicate when the window for public comment is only 30 days is inexcusable and should be remedied immediately.


11/02/2021 - 13:47	Marilyn Bernhardt	Proposed maps LDs 5, 6 and 7	Dear Commissioners, Your proposed maps have done a very serious disservice to those of us who live in Sedona. The communities in our proposed LDs (5 and 7) do NOT support our common interests; they do not reflect our community of interest, including business tourism, healthcare, education (NAU is our four year college), transportation (I-17 corridor), history, natural physical features, and natural resources (Oak Creek, the Verde River.) This objection is certainly true for all of Sedona, but much more so for us in the Coconino County portion. Please do not separate us from the things that make us one community. Sedona, the Verde Valley and Flagstaff belong together!
11/02/2021 - 13:49	Susan Obijiski	AZ Redistricting	I live in Sedona and my home is equidistant between Cottonwood and Sedona centers. Both cities are a part of the Verde Valley and both share common issues related to tourism, affordable housing, taxes, schools, etc., etc. Our cities (and the cities of Clarkdale, Jerome, Camp Verde, etc.) should be considered within one district in order to accommodate our shared interests
11/02/2021 - 13:52	munirih mclaren	version 9.0	This appears to be the most balanced, least partisan of the options (version 9.0). Thank you for trying to keep a logical integrity to our communities with the 9.0 version
11/02/2021 - 14:29	Robert E Oconnell	Ld7 new district	Keep Yavapai cities in the same district LD 7 Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale and Camp Verde Only Yavapai cities in LD7
11/02/2021 - 14:51	Dawn R. Kaiser	Redistricting	I have lived in Arizona for over 35 years. The proposed redistricting map again, as in 2011, seems to favor Democrats over Republicans. I can only speak for the area that I have lived in these past 35 years, which is North Phoenix, first south of the 101, and presently north of the 101. The area from the 101 to around Cactus (north to south) and from Scottsdale Rd. to I-17 (east to west) is predominately Republican (presently part of LD15, and previously part of LD7). The new map proposes to have this district include all the way to Osborn. According to the percentages shown on the proposed redistricting map, this is now going to be tilted Democratic. More importantly, there is a huge difference in social-economic lifestyles, shopping, income, etc. between the north and south. It makes no sense to combine them. Also, combining the present LD15 with LD23 makes no sense unless the goal of the commission is to reduce one Republican senator and two Republican representatives in the legislature. They are deleting the southern portion and western portion of LD15 and combining it within a much larger LD23. This proposed area is now huge, and still includes rural areas east and north of Fountain Hills, which should be lumped in with Payson. You are proposing to divide Scottsdale into 3 sections which makes no sense as again north Scottsdale from south Scottsdale are two different entities. Scottsdale Rd. has always been, and should remain, the dividing line between LD15 and LD23. It makes more sense to have LD15 have boundaries along the 101 on the south, Scottsdale Rd on the east, Carefree/Cave Creek or up to Anthem on the north, and the I-17 on the west. These are common sense boundaries. Please reconsider. Thank you.
11/02/2021 - 14:52	Jim Meade	Redistricting	Please do not break up Sedona into two districts
11/02/2021 - 15:25	Candace Greenburg	Redistricting	I am opposed to the redistricting in Pima County, Tucson Arizona.
11/02/2021 - 15:32	Mary Smoak	Re-districting of Sedona	Please keep Sedona whole! Our interests lie with the Verde Valley, not Prescott or Payson. We should only need to go to one community meeting to have our voices heard. Thank you.
11/02/2021 - 15:47	Rosemary Jensen Bell	Redistricting	Please respect communities of interest and focus on the 6 constitutional criteria and leave politics out. Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs. Thank you
11/02/2021 - 16:05	Barbara Jean Schimpf	Redistricting	I want to add my voice in the affirmative to the Coconino County redistricting plan to keep Sedona whole, the Verde Valley whole, the Yavapai-Apache Nation whole and all aligned with the city of Flagstaff in the same legislative district. This totally makes the most sense and serves its citizens in the best way. Thank you.
11/02/2021 - 16:15	William E Pumphrey Jr.	Proposed new districts in Verde Valley-Sedona area	Please do your best not to parcel up this area. The VV- Sedona area have historically shared common goals and have similar issues. The Big Park- VOC unincorporated area, where I reside (23 yrs now) is quite tied to Sedona. We already have the split of Counties to deal with, the lines run right through Sedona. Furthermore, tying the Verde Valley to Prescott is not right. Look beyond just cranking numbers and find a way to keep these areas separate. Within Yavapai County, the Verde Valley was dominated by Prescott politicians under the 3 Supervisory Districts. Not until we went to 5 Districts did the Verde Valley get their voice. I understand you need to redistrict and I appreciate the Independent Commission. However, please find rational, fair 3EaBt means to minimize the parcelling-up of the Verde Valley- Sedona area.

11/02/2021 - 16:21	Teri Grunewald	Redistricting Maricopa County	<p>We need a Pro-Freedom Congressional District! We need the Independent Redistricting Commission that will make the changes needed to represent communities of interest.</p> <p>Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.</p> <p>With the way the map is currently drawn, Uptown Phoenix will be deprived of representation by being drawn into suburban district 1.</p> <p>We need these communities properly represented. Please make the changes to reflect this. Each have their commonalities that matter.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
11/02/2021 - 16:34	Vickie L. Moak	Redistricting	I live, shop and spend 99 per cent of my time in Scottsdale. I do not want Phoenix or any part of Phoenix as part of my district.
11/02/2021 - 17:09	Jon Powell	Municipal jurisdiction boundaries on maps	<p>Can you please add Municipal jurisdiction boundaries as a layer on all your maps? This would help with determining which districts fall within our jurisdiction.</p> <p>If this layer already exists, please give me detailed instructions on how to display it. An timely response would be appreciated as I'm trying to complete my analysis quickly.</p>
11/02/2021 - 17:30	J. Mark Howell	Opposition to D17 and D19	<p>I don't see how the AZ IRC could even approve the legislative district map. D17 has nearly a (R +10) advantage and D19 has a (R +20) advantage. How is that even legal or fall within the perimeters of creating equal and fair districts?</p> <p>And how can you allow D17 to be completely separated from the rest of the district? You cant link them by the Catalina Mountains that doesn't even a road access to the rest of the district. That's questionable in itself let alone the communities of Tanque Verde, East Tucson (Houghton Corridor) and Vail are completely different regions with different kinds of issues than the NW region of Tucson. Including issues to water rights, wells, livestock zoning and our regulation of the Saguro National Monument East which has different environmental issues and rules that NW Tucson doesn't deal with. You need to fix this. This just cant be allowed.</p> <p>https://www.arcgis.com/apps/instant/media/index.html?appid=434383897f654664a4d81ef89b94e256&center=-111.8168,34.1802&level=6</p>
11/02/2021 - 18:20	Conay Huizar	Redistricting	Please remove the far western parts of new LD3 into another adjacent district in that vicinity and have new LD3 regain Scottsdale precincts to the city's current western border near Scottsdale Road and further to the south (from LD4 & LD8). This may also include removing Cave Creek and Carefree from LD 3 and having those communities join another LD to the north. This would essentially largely preserve what is currently LD23, resist much of Scottsdale being "lost" to Tempe, and allow beneficial changes in adjacent districts to our west and northwest. Scottsdale is an affluent suburb we are not a college town like Tempe; voters have distinct different interest.
11/02/2021 - 18:21	Conay Huizar	Redistricting	Please remove the far western parts of new LD3 into another adjacent district in that vicinity and have new LD3 regain Scottsdale precincts to the city's current western border near Scottsdale Road and further to the south (from LD4 & LD8). This may also include removing Cave Creek and Carefree from LD 3 and having those communities join another LD to the north. This would essentially largely preserve what is currently LD23, resist much of Scottsdale being "lost" to Tempe, and allow beneficial changes in adjacent districts to our west and northwest. Scottsdale is an affluent suburb we are not a college town like Tempe; voters have distinct different interest.
11/02/2021 - 18:31	BRUCE LINKER	Redistricting - Scottsdale as 1	Scottsdale is the Gem of Arizona. It's year-round facilities and events provide the tourism that is the growing cash-cow that fuels Arizona. The city manages gov't responsibilities such as parks and recreation, sports attractions, tourism, cultural enhancements, etc. by a City Council elected by all the citizens. It seems ridiculous to shatter 230,000 citizens into 3 divisions for the purpose of redistricting. I shop, eat, recreate, attend church in Scottsdale; have had friends for years in Fountain Hills. By contrast, my last trip into Phoenix was 2018 for our annual baseball game. This division into 3 sections should not go into effect. Scottsdale should be kept unified.
11/02/2021 - 18:40	Michael Steffens	Splitting up LD 23	There is NO logical reason for the current LD 23/CD 6 to be split up across 3 new districts. Scottsdale/Ftn Hills has very different interests vs Tempe, Anthem or especially Central Phoenix (for the CD). Have Scottsdale Rd be the boundary, or some other logical delineation.

11/02/2021 - 20:00	Kathleen Beilsmith	More compact Tucson LDs in the draft map	<p>The geometry of proposed Legislative District 21 has become progressively more tortured between Version 5 and the current approved draft maps. A long, relatively thin tendril of this district now reaches past I10 into Tucson.</p> <p>As a resident of the neighboring proposed LD 18, this has consequences for my representation in the state government. In Version 5, LD18 covered my home, my partner's employer, our doctors, the public high school a few minutes away, another local school where I volunteer, and the majority of businesses that we visit on a weekly basis. In the present draft maps, the incursion of LD21 and resulting shifts in LD18 and LD20 have resulted in these community resources being split across the domains of three different state representatives.</p> <p>When facing issues related to employment, healthcare, education, and business in my community, I will infrequently be in the constituency of the politicians representing the areas where these issues arise.</p> <p>There will always be some fraction of the state population that straddles LD borders, especially in urban areas where the population must be divided to ensure proportional representation. However, maps that needlessly increase the number of boundary intersections in densely populated areas like Tucson also needlessly inflate the fraction of people facing this situation.</p> <p>Please consider revising the LD boundaries in and around Tucson to more closely resemble those in Version 5 in order to provide a more compact and coherent districts for residents like me. Thank you for your time serving Arizona in this important work.</p>
11/02/2021 - 20:21	Debra Hendrickson	Redistributing	Redistricting is a contentious political process and should not take place in AZ. HaystaqDNA would NOT be a neutral party to draw up the districts. I DO NOT support this.
11/02/2021 - 20:27	Nancy Sippe	Redistricting	Please we don't want redistricting! It encourages manipulation of our elections by allowing the incumbent politicians to help partisan allies and choose their voters before they choose them!
11/02/2021 - 20:35	Gerry Friedel	Redistricting	Please be aware of our community of interest theme. We all live, work eat and more in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. We don't go to Anthem or the I-17. This is what we share in common that make us a distinct community of interest, as well as the wide >35 mile span of new LD3 as the reasons for moving the borders. Thanks for considering my request.
11/02/2021 - 20:47	James Roth	Redistricting	Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, and Scottsdale should continue to be in the same legislative district because this area shares a community and economic interest. This is the area where my neighbors and I live, do business, shop, dine, go to church, and participate in community activities. The current district which includes Rio Verde, Fountain Hills and all of Scottsdale accurately represents a community of interest of citizens who live and work together. The proposed inclusion of Desert Ridge and Anthem near I 17 with Rio Verde, and Fountain Hills and separating large parts of Scottsdale from Rio Verde and Fountain Hills does not reflect an actual community with shared interests since my neighbors and I rarely or never go there, do business there, go to church there, or shop there. The communities of Rio Verde, Fountain Hills and Scottsdale are distinct communities and should be represented by people from this area not Desert Ridge or Anthem.
11/02/2021 - 21:08	Beatrice J Hanks	Redistricting	I live in Sedona, and have NOTHING TO DO with Prescott. On the other hand, Flagstaff IS a community of interest to me, what with its nearness, stores and medical facilities, to say nothing of the Grand Canyon and other nearby places of great natural beauty. I therefore support Coconino's recently submitted map. which keeps Sedona with Flagstaff.
11/02/2021 - 21:49	Susan V Anderson	Marana and Picture Rocks	The new LD 17 would be better if it included the community of Picture Rocks and excluded Vail. It would make for a somewhat more compact map. Picture Rocks is much more attuned to the Marana area rather than to towns in Pinal County.
11/02/2021 - 22:03	Ellen Williamson	IRC Public Hearing Schedule publicized	The Commission should provide dates, times and locations as early as possible so the public can make arrangements to testify on behalf of their communities. Access to these public hearings is critical for engagement in the fair districting process.
11/03/2021 - 00:26	Hannah Toth	LD1 current proposal	The current proposal for LD1 is insanity, far too large and entirely intended to ensure that the non-Phoenix city areas are not properly represented. I hope that the committee will please consider the importance of ensuring that the voices of ALL Arizonans are heard when considering the redistricting, and that the committee will remember who they work for-the people of Arizona!
11/03/2021 - 03:11	Gerard Breznau	Verde Valley Redistricting proposal 2021	<p>I do not support your currently proposed plans for redistricting the Verde Valley.</p> <p>The Verde Valley is a unique geopolitical area whose needs will best be served by not approving the redistricting plan currently proposed by your office.</p> <p>Thanks for all your efforts, Gerard Breznau</p>
11/03/2021 - 07:54	Judi I Avery	Redistricting of the Verde Valley area	Please keep the Verde Valley as a whole, and do not separate us into two legislative districts. This will dilute our voice and be harmful to our sense of community.

11/03/2021 - 08:21	Laurie Foster	Phoenix Redistricting- retain communities of interest	<p>It is vital that the city of Phoenix maintains districts that represent communities of interest.</p> <p>Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.</p> <p>With the way the map is currently drawn, Uptown Phoenix will be deprived of representation by being drawn into suburban district 1.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Respectfully submitted, Laurie Foster</p>
11/03/2021 - 09:12	DESIREE S NAVARRO	Redistricting	<p>This is an attempt at blatant gerrymandering. This will place me in a new Legislative District with towns in Pinal County, no longer with Pima County. Those towns are too far away to somehow link our geographic interests together. I adamantly and vehemently oppose this proposed redistricting map.</p>
11/03/2021 - 09:56	David A Peterson	Redistricting Maps	<p>Hello, this is Dave Peterson. I live in Fountain Hills. Please fight to preserve LD23 as it currently stands. We do not want to be part of Tempe! And CD1 map please fight to remove Phoenix out of it. Thank you.</p>
11/03/2021 - 10:58	Marilyn Bernhardt	Proposed Maps - LD 5, 6 and 7	<p>To the Commissioners,</p> <p>As proposed the LD map makes a mockery of the concept of "community of interest" as well as stretching the bounds of the interlocking requirements of contiguity and political boundaries. In proposed districts 5 and 7, Sedona is split in two and divided from neighboring Village of Oak Creek. The map places most of the Verde Valley and Sedona with the more distant town of Prescott and disassociates it from its close companion of Flagstaff, it splits the Yavapai Apache Nation and generally shatters the existing relationship among all of these entities - in opposition to nearly 85% of the citizen comments made at the Commission's public hearings.</p> <p>Please adopt the LD map proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and supported by the City of Sedona which corrects all of these problems and keeps our area whole.</p>
11/03/2021 - 11:04	Phyllis Young	Redistricting	<p>Based on the information I have received, I truly believe it is wrong to split the city of Tucson itself in two. Tucson itself is an urban community of interest. It has about 600,000 people. Congressional districts this time around will have 800,000 people. Therefore it is entitled to one CD and only one for itself. Tucson is not entitled to control 2 districts representing 1.5 or 1.6 million people when it only has a population of 600K people. Tucson should be placed with South Tucson in District 7, together with Latino and Native American Minority communities of interest. CD6 to the east should expand north into rural Pinal County, and include Pima county south of Tucson from Green Valley east to the Cochise line. This makes much more sense.</p>
11/03/2021 - 11:18	Sharon Corneliuson Larson	redistricting	<p>How is it fair that the independent redistricting is so skewed toward the Republican party when they just have 34% of voters and Democrats have 32%? This does not seem like the committee is "independent" in its choices.</p>

11/03/2021 - 11:57	James Gregory	IRC Letter LD	<p>November 3, 2021November 3, 2021</p> <p>Good Morning IRC Commissioners,</p> <p>I am currently a Yavapai County Supervisor for District 2 who represents the south east portion of Yavapai County to include Camp Verde, Cornville and Beaver Creek Communities. I strongly recommend that we keep Yavapai County as a whole in the proposed Legislative District 5. It's vital to the county residents to have consistence representation across the county instead of dividing communities with fractured representation. Any division of communities in our county would cause further divisiveness especially in the Verde Valley region that I represent, and desire he county be kept together wherever possible. Taxpayers should not be aligned with another county that will not provide for their needs. The community of interest is with the county of residence, where they pay their taxes. Those taxes provide for broadband, road maintenance, enforcement, land use designa ion and other county needs and authority. Splitting the county's efforts on these issues at the Legislative level will dilute quick and reasonable solutions to problems requiring the need of a State response. We need to keep the Verde Valley together and with the rest of Yavapai County. The Verde Watershed begins in Big Chino Basin above Chino Valley which again is more closely aligned with the rest of Yavapai County, Flagstaff is not part of the Verde Watershed per AZDEQ</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flagstaff Medical Center is the only Level 1 Trauma Center in ALL of Northern AZ. All of Northern AZ uses this facility when that type of care is required. (By comparison, Phoenix has 10 Level 1 centers, and Southern AZ has 2) For most of us up here, medical care is determined by the expertise of the doctor, not the location of the doctor with the exception of primary care. • Need to point out that Sedona is only part of the Verde Valley and for bo h Cottonwood and Camp Verde it is easier to go to Prescott Valley if they need things which are not available in their own communities. • Black Canyon City should not be divided by I-17. Keep the city whole and align with Prescott/Prescott Valley with rural, western values/interests. <p>I strongly disagree with the Coconino proposal to split the county in half. This proposal is being pushed from a group in Sedona. This does not reflect the opinion in the rest of Yavapai County or the rest of the Verde Valley.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>James Gregory Yavapai County District 2 Supervisor Good Morning IRC Commissioners,</p> <p>I am currently a Yavapai County Supervisor for District 2 who represents the south east portion of Yavapai County to include Camp Verde, Cornville and Beaver Creek Communities. I strongly recommend that we keep Yavapai County as a whole in the proposed Legislative District 5. It's vital to the county residents to have consistence representation across the county instead of dividing communities with fractured representation. Any division of communities in our county would cause further divisiveness especially in the Verde Valley region that I represent, and desire he county be kept together wherever possible. Taxpayers should not be aligned with another county that will not provide for their needs. The community of interest is with the county of residence, where they pay their taxes. Those taxes provide for broadband, road maintenance, enforcement, land use designa ion and other county needs and authority. Splitting the county's efforts on these issues at the Legislative level will dilute quick and reasonable solutions to problems requiring the need of a State response. We need to keep the Verde Valley together and with the rest of Yavapai County. The Verde Watershed begins in Big Chino Basin above Chino Valley which again is more closely aligned with the rest of Yavapai County, Flagstaff is not part of the Verde Watershed per AZDEQ</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flagstaff Medical Center is the only Level 1 Trauma Center in ALL of Northern AZ. All of Northern AZ uses this facility when that type of care is required. (By comparison, Phoenix has 10 Level 1 centers, and Southern AZ has 2) For most of us up here, medical care is determined by the expertise of the doctor, not the location of the doctor with the exception of primary care. • Need to point out that Sedona is only part of the Verde Valley and for bo h Cottonwood and Camp Verde it is easier to go to Prescott Valley if they need things which are not available in their own communities. • Black Canyon City should not be divided by I-17. Keep the city whole and align with Prescott/Prescott Valley with rural, western values/interests. <p>I strongly disagree with the Coconino proposal to split the county in half. This proposal is being pushed from a group in Sedona. This does not reflect the opinion in the rest of Yavapai County or the rest of the Verde Valley.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>James Gregory Yavapai County District 2 Supervisor</p>
--------------------	---------------	---------------	--

11/03/2021 - 12:09	Stan Caine	Draft LD version 10 map	<p>I am surprised at the obvious gerrymandering going on with LD 17 boundary concerning my address. Looks like the Arizona Land Developers who are running for office have loud voices as they dipped south of Cortaro Farms Rd (east of I-10) to scoop up the few blocks of my neighborhood and include it in LD 17. That is disgraceful, put me back in LD18 and stop the wild gerrymandering! Cortaro Farms Road is a natural geographical boundary. Stop playing games with my neighborhood.</p> <p>I have submitted a revised map for approval that passed the integrity check for LD 17 and 18 boundaries that is fair (I called it version 10.4, but I do not know what the IRC will refer to it).</p> <p>Me and my neighbors will not be used as political pawns!</p> <p>Thank you- Stan</p>
11/03/2021 - 13:01	Eric W. Harding	Redistricting	It's a fact and truth (Biden) hat the 2020 election was stolen...we demand fair and full representation of our deep red veins here in our state!
11/03/2021 - 15:02	James Meade	Redistricting	Please do not divide Sedona into two legislative districts.
11/03/2021 - 15:10	Peter Bernhardt	Redistricting Map	I live in the small Coconino County portion of Sedona next to the Village of Oak Creek in the Verde Valley and a little over half an hour from Flagstaff. Your legislative map removes me from association with ALL of those entities - Sedona, the Village of Oak Creek, the Verde Valley and Flagstaff and puts me in LD7 with communities with which I have no relationship whatever. This makes absolutely no sense. I feel totally abandoned by the current proposal. Please revise your divisions of this area to comport with the map proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and supported by the City of Sedona.
11/03/2021 - 15:59	Ernest Carl Strauch Jr	NORTHERN AZ Maps	<p>To: Chair and Commissioners, Independent Redistricting Commission</p> <p>I have listened all day to the last 2 IRC Meetings, awaiting some mention/discussion of the Northern Arizona Maps. You are all totally aware of what I didn't hear, particularly having attended and spoken at both satellite sessions in Sedona and Flagstaff during your listening tour.</p> <p>I am a former Sedona City Councilor and Vice Mayor. I am also currently a member of Keep Sedona Beautiful and the League of Women Voters, N.AZ. I also reside in the Coconino County portion (1/3) of Sedona.</p> <p>Every organization mentioned... the City of Sedona, County, KSB and LWVNAZ, all support one and the same Legislative Map of Northern Arizona. Very specifically, that is the map submitted and supported by all Supervisors of Coconino County. It was drawn to meet all Constitutional requirements. I (and we all) urge you to support his map.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Ernest C. Strauch  Sedona AZ 86336</p>
11/03/2021 - 16:28	Grace Wu	Future LD7	I am a Sedona voter. I want Sedona, Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, and Camp Verde to remain in my northern Arizona future LD7 district. DO NOT include lower Gila county or any of Pinal county in my northern AZ future LD7 district!
11/03/2021 - 16:28	Samantha A Hindman	Redistricting LD23	LD23 is a specific area currently made up of Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde, this is fine the way it is. Scottsdale should not be split up into 3 districts, one of which encompasses parts of Phoenix all the way to the I-17. That is ridiculous. As a resident of Scottsdale, my representative needs to represent my values and needs and those are VASTLY different than the needs and values of Phoenix residents. Scottsdale is geographically close to and similar to Fountain Hills and residents in all three cities share similar ideals and as such our district should remain AS-IS! This is an insane proposal hat will destroy the beautiful city I live in as we are over-run with policies that don't benefit Scottsdale or any of it's residents. Stop this now!
11/03/2021 - 16:33	Karyn Riedell	Maps	I support the map submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors. Please keep Flagstaff together with Sedona, the Village of Oak Creek, Camp Verde, and Cottonwood. They are communities of interest with strong economic and geographical ties, including the second largest tourism economy in Arizona.
11/03/2021 - 16:41	Karyn L Riedell	Maps	Flagstaff, Sedona, and the Verde Valley should be together in one district. As a Flagstaff resident, I am less than 30 minutes away from Sedona, and we have easy access through I-17 and 89A.
11/03/2021 - 16:42	Rodney A Smith	District 23	Do NOT change the district boundaries. I understand this is a Dem strategy and I'm a life long tax paying, school supporting small business owner that votes GOP. Leave the boundary alone!!
11/03/2021 - 17:15	kali baldwin	redistricting	what insane people thought this up

11/03/2021 - 17:57	John Neville	Use Coconino County Map Submission - 10/26	The current LD map under consideration is inadequate and violates the charter of the IRC to create competitive legislative districts that combine communities of shared interest. The map submitted by Coconino County keeps the town of Sedona intact. It keeps the Verde Valley intact. It combines the like communities of Flagstaff and the Verde Valley and Sedona. It also creates perhaps the most competitive district in the state. We expect you to honor your charge and use the appropriate district policies and not be swayed to political interests. You are to be INDEPENDENT.
11/03/2021 - 19:06	Celia Dubauskas	North Scottsdale redistricting	We want a north/south divide at Scottsdale Rd, which is a natural divide and works well today. It doesn't make sense for Phoenix to be combined in one district as Phoenix is more urban and Scottsdale is more suburban.
11/03/2021 - 19:08	Catalina Hall	Map 10.0	I would like to protest the acceptance of Map 10.0 for Legislative Districts. Specifically the proposed LD 16 takes a relatively small section of Pima County and then places it with Pinal County without any regard to natural features and paved roads. To drive from Pima in the south to the northern reach takes over two hours. From Tucson to Phoenix only takes one and a half hours. It would be far better to keep that section of Pima, Avra Valley and Picture Rocks, with Tucson and surrounding communities.
11/03/2021 - 19:09	Catalina Hall	Map 10.0	I would like to protest the acceptance of Map 10.0 for Legislative Districts. Specifically the proposed LD 16 takes a relatively small section of Pima County and then places it with Pinal County without any regard to natural features and paved roads. To drive from Pima in the south to the northern reach takes over two hours. From Tucson to Phoenix only takes one and a half hours. It would be far better to keep that section of Pima, Avra Valley and Picture Rocks, with Tucson and surrounding communities.
11/03/2021 - 19:13	Ronald Greig Mohney	redistricting sedona	I am completely opposed to splitting up Sedona as part of the redistricting plan.
11/03/2021 - 19:36	Valerie Shannon	Redistricting of Scottsdale	The proposed "routine" redistricting for Scottsdale is blatant and opportunistic gerrymandering. There is no legitimate purpose in dividing Scottsdale into THREE different legislative districts with differing representation. It's sheer lunacy, and a desperate attempt to pack voting power. A district that spans 35 miles wide from Rio Verde to the I-17 is too wide for a representative to understand all of those different communities. Consolidate the district so that we can be represented by people who actually live in our neighborhoods, shop, play, and often work in the same community, and attend the same schools and places of worship. The proposed map must be changed to maintain community unity/cohesiveness and not reflect a desperate attempt to insert partisan politics into Scottsdale's unique community.
11/03/2021 - 21:00	William Read	Legislative Redistricting	<p>Article 4 Part 2 Section 1 of the Arizona Constitution (14)</p> <p>C. Districts shall be geographically compact and contiguous to the extent practicable – Creating a gerrymandered district that starts at Marana, runs through Oro Valley, leaving out Casas Adobes, and around Tucson's northern and eastern edge to take in Tanque Verde and Vail solely for the purpose of creating a Republican leaning district violates this requirement</p> <p>.D. District boundaries shall respect communities of interest to the extent practicable;</p> <p>E. To the extent practicable, district lines shall use visible geographic features, city, town and county boundaries, and undivided census tracts;</p> <p>F. To the extent practicable, competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals. – David Mehl has stated (Arizona Daily Star, Oct 28 2021) that there needs to be at least one district with a Republican edge. This map clearly does not create a competitive district. By Mr. Mehl's own admission, it specifically creates a Republican district.</p> <p>Casas Adobe, along with the Flowing Wells area, should be included in LD17, keeping Amphi and Catalina Foothills school districts in the same political district.</p> <p>Vail and the Tanque Verde valley should be included in LD21 to make that district more competitive.</p> <p>Voter registrations in Pima County are about 40% Democrats, 28.5% Republicans and 30.4% independents, plus a handful of Libertarians, so the legislative districts would naturally tend to lean Democratic.</p> <p>Follow the Arizona Constitution and create competitive districts as much as possible.</p> <p>If Ms. Neuberger is truly an independent, she should strive to fairly create legislative districts that do not favor a specific party. Agreeing to create a district to give accountability to the right of center folks, as she has stated, is not an independent point of view.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>William Read</p>
11/03/2021 - 21:06	Patricia Kraft	Redistricting	I live, shop, work, go to church, and play in Scottsdale and it makes no sense for Scottsdale to be divided. It should not be split into three different districts with three different representatives.

11/03/2021 - 21:47	Rita Day	Draft maps	<p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>There are several instances of Commissioners making "so called" factual statements that are NOT SUPPORTED BY ANY FACTS! For Example:</p> <p>"The Verde Valley is divided in its desire to be included with either Flagstaff or Prescott." This statement is NOT ACCURATE! During the Prescott and Sedona Community of Interest (COI) hearings in July, 2021, 85% favored having Verde Valley/Sedona being included with Flagstaff. Those in Flagstaff who commented during the COI hearings, only one out of 20 or more preferred to NOT have Verde Valley/Sedona included with Flagstaff. So 95% preferred to be included with Flagstaff.</p> <p>So the statements by Commissioners that "Verde Valley is divided in its desire to be included with Flagstaff is drastically misleading or just plain FALSE. When 85-95% of Verde Valley citizens who provided input to the Commission prefer to be included with Flagstaff, that is very decisive. It is clear from this input to the Commission that Verde Valley citizens want to be included with Flagstaff. 20 to 1 is divided, but nowhere near evenly divided. These statements from these commissioners were drastically misleading, so much so that they could be considered FALSE statements!</p> <p>Another example of misleading statements or statements not supported by facts is: A statement by Commissioners York and Mele proclaiming that there is "no consensus among COI in Northern Arizona. Then Chair Neuberg "there is not a consensus on keeping Verde Valley separate from Prescott." Review of the public comments & testimony in the COI hearings in July revealed that 85- 95% preferred Verde Valley to be included with Cottonwood/Sedona/Flagstaff, NOT Prescott. See 2nd paragraph above for how 85-95% was determined.</p> <p>Furthermore, these communities of interest were determined by environmental, commercial, and geographic descriptions and facts to support their desire to keep certain areas together. These environmental, commercial and geographic features are the reason Verde Valley should remain separate from Prescott and remain with Sedona/ Flagstaff area.</p> <p>This reasoning has been provided in the numerous public comments from Prescott and Coconino Counties, explaining why their COI should be grouped as they currently are.</p> <p>Commissioner Neuberg has provided nothing to backup her statement that "there is NO consensus on keeping Verde Valley separate from Prescott." The overwhelming majority of public comments during the hearings support the exact opposite: that the consensus is to keep Verde Valley, with Flagstaff/Sedona/Cottonwood.</p> <p>These examples of ignoring the facts seem to be coming from the Republican members of the commission. I include Chair Neuberg with the Republicans, as even though she claims to be an Independent, her political donations are significant and they are primarily to Republicans. So, at heart, I feel she is a Republican and she has proven to vote with the Republican commissioners on all issues that aren't a unanimous vote.</p> <p>Respectfully, Rita Day</p>
--------------------	----------	------------	---

11/03/2021 - 21:50	Rita Day	Draft maps	<p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>I am concerned about the misleading statements being made by some Commissioners that the Verde Valley is divided in its desire to be connected with either Prescott or Flagstaff. This statement is DRASTICALLY MISLEADING, so much so that it should be considered blatantly FALSE!</p> <p>At the Prescott and Sedona Community of Interest Hearing in July, the "split" was approximately 85% in favor of the Verde Valley/Sedona area being part of what is now LD6, remaining with Flagstaff. In Flagstaff, of the 20+ people who spoke, only 1 was in favor of a connection to Prescott. Cottonwood, Verde Valley, Sedona and Flagstaff should be separate from the rest of Yavapai County for the following reasons: They are separated geographically, economically, agriculturally, and socially by the virtue of the Mingus Mountains. There is even a separate county administration building in Cottonwood where the County Supervisor from that area, District 3, has her office. They also have their own newspaper, radio station, etc. They also have their own separate Hospital and Healthcare systems.</p> <p>There are 2 separate Communities of Interest (COI): Verde Valley, Sedona, Flagstaff versus Prescott Quad City Area. These 2 COI are distinct from each other and should NOT be included as one!!!</p> <p>Please listen to the residents of these areas who want these 2 COI to be separated from each other. Let me remind you again of what was said at the the Prescott and Sedona Community of Interest Hearing in July. The "split" was approximately 85% in favor of the Verde Valley/Sedona area being part of what is now LD6, remaining with Flagstaff. In Flagstaff, of the 20+ people who spoke, only 1 was in favor of a connection to Prescott. So please listen to what citizens living in these areas are saying!</p> <p>Respectfully, Rita Day</p>
11/03/2021 - 21:53	Rita Day	Draft maps	<p>Commissioners,</p> <p>The city of Sedona submitted a revised Legislative District map proposed by Coconino County and approved by the Sedona City Council on 10/27/21. The map is of Proposed Legislative District 6 & 7 and it keeps the city of Sedona WHOLE in one legislative district. Furthermore, they meet the constitutional requirements of competitiveness, keeping communities of interest whole, equal population and geographical compactness. Also, the data of Coconino County shows that the District numbered as LD6 is one of the most competitive legislative districts, if not the MOST competitive district in all of Arizona! I request that the IRC give this proposed map highest priority for approval.</p> <p>Many comments were submitted by writing and in person at the AZ IRC listening tours that reflect the desire of the citizens of Sedona, Flagstaff and Verde Valley that these communities be kept together. The maps submitted by the Sedona City Council incorporate this into their maps.</p> <p>During the AZ IRC listening tours, the statements of the Northern Arizona citizens indicated their strong support for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keeping cities whole, including the city of Sedona, 2. Allow maps that enable Native American & Hispanic communities to elect candidates of their choice, 3. Keep communities of interest whole, while adhering to Prop.106 to establish competitive districts, to the extent possible, 4. Keep the Verde Valley whole as a community of interest and keep Verde Valley with Flagstaff, as reflected by the overwhelming majority of comments during the AIRC listening tours made by citizens of Flagstaff, Verde Valley, Sedona & Cottonwood. <p>Therefore, these maps submitted by the City Council of Sedona should be given highest priority as they are the preference of not only the City Council of Sedona and the County of Coconino but also the majority of citizens of the Verde Valley, Sedona, Flagstaff and Cottonwood, as evidenced by the overwhelming majority of comments made during the listening tours. Furthermore these maps are highly competitive and meet the constitutional requirements. I highly recommend the Commissioners give these maps highest priority.</p> <p>Respectfully, Rita Day</p>

11/03/2021 - 21:56	Eric Day	Draft maps	<p>Commissioners, I am concerned that several Commissioners are stating that "the Verde Valley is divided in its desire to be connected to either Prescott or Flagstaff." THIS STATEMENT IS SIGNIFICANTLY DISTORTED FROM THE FACTS. At the Prescott and Sedona Community of Interest Hearing in July, the "split" was approximately 85% in favor of the Verde Valley/Sedona area being part of what is now LD6, remaining with Flagstaff. In the Flagstaff COI hearings, of the 20 or more people who spoke, only 1 was in favor of a connection to Prescott! So it is a complete distortion for some of the Commissioners to indicate that the residents of Verde Valley are divided in their desire to be included with Flagstaff or Prescott. The Truth is that the overwhelming majority prefer to be included with Flagstaff and NOT Prescott. Keep the Verde Valley/Sedona area part of former LD6! These are just a few of the reasons that Verde Valley should remain with Flagstaff:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">They are separated geographically, economically, agriculturally, and socially by the virtue of the Mingus Mountains.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">There is a separate county administration building in Cottonwood where the County Supervisor from that area, District 3, has her office.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The YC Sheriff's Office has an eastern Yavapai County command(Cornville, Lake Montezuma, Oak Creek, Rim Rock, Beaver Creek, Verde Village and the Village of Oak Creek), with stations in Camp Verde and the Village of Oak Creek.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">There is a separate detention center in Camp Verde.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">They also have their own school districts, newspaper, radio station, etc.</p> <p>So, Commissioners, please STOP STATING that residents of Verde Valley are divided in their desire to be included with Flagstaff or Prescott, when the overwhelming majority clearly stated their preference to be included with Flagstaff at the COI hearings!</p> <p>Respectfully, Eric Day</p>
11/03/2021 - 21:58	Eric Day	Draft maps	<p>Commissioners, The City Council of Sedona voted to approve a map and submitted his map to AIRC on 10/27/21. It includes a proposed map of LD6 & LD7. It is very competitive, in fact possibly the most competitive of the maps submitted. It should be given highest priority by the Commissioners.</p> <p>When Arizona voters amended the Constitution to create an Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC), the only purpose stated at the TOP of Prop. 106 was to create a Commission to "oversee the mapping of FAIR & COMPETITIVE districts." Only later, buried in section 14, did the proposition lay out the 6 criteria to be used in creating "fair and competitive districts." The purpose of the constitutional amendment creating the IRC was to CREATE COMPETITIVE DISTRICTS. Furthermore, this constitutional amendment creating the IRC came into existence due to the will of the people!</p> <p>Considering all the modern mapping tools available today, there are infinite possibilities to arrive at an infinite number of maps. Thus, it would seem the mapping consultants should be able to draw maps as competitive as those drawn by the City Council of Sedona! The map submitted by Sedona City Council is much more competitive than those drawn by the mapping consultants. Therefore, these maps approved and submitted by the City Council of Sedona should be given highest consideration!</p> <p>Furthermore, the maps submitted by the City Council of Sedona keeps Sedona whole; these maps keep Verde Valley whole; and these maps keep Yavapai-Apache Nation whole. These maps also align Sedona and the Verde Valley with Flagstaff in the same legislative district. During the AIRC listening tours, it was made very evident that the majority of those commenting from Sedona, Verde Valley, Cottonwood & Flagstaff wanted to be included together as one Community of Interest.</p> <p>Therefore, I recommend the map submitted by Sedona City Council be given the highest priority in approval of draft maps. It's highly competitive and it meets all constitutional requirements. It includes features requested by a vast majority of citizens of these communities.</p> <p>Respectfully, Eric Day</p>
11/03/2021 - 22:37	Ginger Niesen	redistricting North Phoenix	<p>It is responsible to ask residents to be politically represented when their district is scattered over many miles of land. It is imperative that residents have representation available to them that is in reasonable boundaries. Please consider more local boundaries.</p>