

Submitted Time	Full Name	Subject	Message
10/26/2021 - 00:12	Christina La Motte	Tucson as a community of interest	<p>I don't like the way the Tucson area is fragmented under the Southern Arizona Leadership Council's preferred legislative districts.</p> <p>Considering that I would be a resident within the proposed District 17, I feel that I would be lost in this district as a person living in a southeast Tucson neighborhood. I'd effectively be removed from Tucson, even though I don't live in Oro Valley or Marana or Vail.</p> <p>It fragments the Tucson area into five legislative districts that join small pieces of Tucson neighborhoods with broad rural sections extending to the Mexico or New Mexico borders. It's absolutely not a representation of our Tucson neighborhoods. This map is basically splitting Tucson apart intentionally without respect for this community.</p>
10/26/2021 - 00:13	shanna leonard	Why does SALC get special access to the "Independent" commission?	<p>SALC is not a community organization. They do not represent a community of interest, (geographic, cultural, social.)</p> <p>They don't 'advocate for the people of Pima County.' They advocate for their members' business interests. That's what a business league is. They don't take input from just plain "people." Virtually everyone in their group is a CEO of a large company or heads a large business or organization.</p> <p>Example: They oppose the ballot initiative to raise minimum wage - Is this for the 'economic interests' of the people of Pima county, whose mean income is lower than Maricopa?</p> <p>So why do they get special access to Commissioner Mehl? Where is the Chair? Does she think this is proper? If I send an email to another commissioner, can I get my map included as a starting point?</p> <p>This all seems highly improper and the Chair should put a stop to this.</p>
10/26/2021 - 00:15	Christina La Motte	Proposed maps should use visible geographic features	The LD Test Map v8.0 District 17 splits the cities of Marana and Oro Valley and ignores a mountain range, the Catalina Mountains, separating east Tucson and Tanque Verde from Oro Valley and Marana.
10/26/2021 - 03:49	Barbara Ann Miller	Redistricting Phoenix	Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.
10/26/2021 - 04:37	Marilyn Wolske	A Request for Fairness from Arizona's Independent Redistricting Commission	Like all Americans and Arizona residents, I understand population demographics change. I realize redistricting affects political power, determines which party controls Congress as well as our state and local governments. I also understand also redistricting affects whether our state's diverse communities are represented in its legislative bodies. What I pray you all (legislators and governor) consider is, if you decide to "redistrict", that districts be reflective and representative of ALL Arizonans and not favor any particular political party. ALL OF US want to be able to benefit from common representation in our government.
10/26/2021 - 05:34	Robin Snyder	Redistricting	Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.
10/26/2021 - 05:34	Robin Snyder	Redistricting	Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.
10/26/2021 - 06:06	Liz Crump	Redistricting	I am asking all on the committee to keep politics out of their decision in drawing the maps and stick to the 6 constitutional guidelines. Thank you for doing the right thing not the political thing. Liz Crump
10/26/2021 - 06:13	Suzanne Mead	Compliance with Six Criteria	Please review all districts in all maps regarding their conformity with all six criteria and inform which criteria in each individual LD and CD districts are NOT met, and, if the Commission is not going to change the non-conformity, please explain clearly and fully why not. For instance, Why has the Commission dismissed protests of the Latino and Native American Community but immediately allowed a last-minute submission of the SALC-maps into official draft maps? Or why the east-west mountain range dividing the southern half of LD17 from its Northern half is not acknowledged?
10/26/2021 - 07:06	Lain Ehmann	Redistricting	This map makes no sense. I live and work in Scottsdale. Why are you grouping Scottsdale in with districts that are not aligned in terms of interest? Please do better.
10/26/2021 - 07:13	Jacob Dodson	New District Maps	Districts must represent communities of interest. With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective. The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.
10/26/2021 - 07:17	Millie Hollandbeck	Redistricting LD1	The current districting LD1 does not make sense in that rural areas are included in the north Phoenix suburb. The needs and issues are very different. Having lived in Cottonwood before moving to Phoenix (Tramonto), I am keenly aware of the vast differences in communities. That my representative resides in Prescott is not a good representation for my area.

10/26/2021 - 07:19	Holly Pendleton	District 3 draft map	<p>To The IRC:</p> <p>Thank you for serving in this most difficult and yet the most important part of our voting process.</p> <p>In viewing the draft map for District 3, it is concerning. With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective. It does not meet the definition of "compact". Instead, this district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.</p> <p>Thank you for incorporating this feedback on the next draft map.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:20	Steve Marks	Tanque Verde and Catalina/Rural	<p>The Catalina Mountain area separates my community in and east Tucson/Tanque Verde from Oro Valley and Marana and a current mapping does not take in the fact of this "visible geographic feature". District 17 includes rural parts of Pinal County that share little with my community in Tanque Verde which is part and parcel of Tucson community--sure there are some horse properties in Tanque Verde but we are not a rural community. Please keep every district area competitive and reflecting the facts of community and geography thank you for working to get this right and keep my community fairly represented.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:21	Steve Marks	Tanque Verde and Catalina/Rural	<p>The Catalina Mountain area separates my community in and east Tucson/Tanque Verde from Oro Valley and Marana and a current mapping does not take in the fact of this "visible geographic feature". District 17 includes rural parts of Pinal County that share little with my community in Tanque Verde which is part and parcel of Tucson community--sure there are some horse properties in Tanque Verde but we are not a rural community. Please keep every district area competitive and reflecting the facts of community and geography thank you for working to get this right and keep my community fairly represented.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:27	Barbara Dickerson	District 3 proposal	<p>I live in Scottsdale at Thompson Peak and Want Scottsdale to remain n the same district with Fountain Hills and Rio Verde. we have common interests.</p> <p>We do not shop, dine or have reason to be connected to Desert Ridge and Anthem.</p> <p>It's far too large a district to have adequate representation from one Congressman. I'll feel abandoned.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:27	Roger Owers	Proposed LD3 Needs to be split into smaller LD's	<p>Dear Redistricting Commission, I am a resident of Fountain Hills, AZ, and I appreciate the work you are doing. I have reviewed the proposed map for my community (proposed LD3) and believe it is far too vast of an area for anyone to represent effectively. I ask you to consider splitting that area into smaller additional LD's.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:29	Suzanne Blanck	REDISTRICTING	<p>The new DISTRICT 3 will not represent communities of interest! This new map combines Rio Verde, FH, Desert Ridge and Anthem. All separate communities covering a LARGE distance area. Its too large for any representative to be effective! It needs to be split between N and S at Scottsdale Road!</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:38	Roger Owers	CD6/CD1 - Keep Uptown Phoenix with Central Phoenix	<p>Current CD6 (Future CD1): Uptown Phoenix is an urban area and should be drawn into the same district as central Phoenix. Voters in Uptown Phoenix will be deprived of representation by being drawn into a suburban district.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:44	Chelsey Yeats	New district 3 draft map	<p>With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective.</p> <p>The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:50	Carol Stines	Redistricting don't have LD 3 combine current districts	<p>Please change the draft redistricting map. On the draft, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. That would make it too huge for authentic representation.</p> <p>The district should be split between North and South Scottsdale Rd.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:52	Michael Neely	Current CD6 (Future CD1)	<p>Splitting Scottsdale into 1/3 sections, with the north 1/3 section joining Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, North Scottsdale/Phoenix all the way to 1-17 makes no sense. This needs to be address before the next draft.</p> <p>I live in Desert Ridge, I don't shop in Fountain Hills. I don't go to church in Rio Verde. Why would my district be joined with theirs. The main requirements for grouping the districts is that district boundaries must respect communities of interest. This certainly does not. Make the change!</p>

10/26/2021 - 07:52	Jacqueline Mesa	District 3 completely absorbed LDs 1 and LD15?	<p>Good morning, I am writing as a resident in North Phoenix and member of LD15. I Was shocked to see the proposed changes which effectively eliminate LDs 1 and 15 by lumping them into a geographically inaccessible mass, and expelling the southern part of LD15 into the more liberal metro Phoenix area.</p> <p>This makes no sense whatsoever unless there is a deliberate effort to weaken the ability for the northern folks to be represented and to gather, which is our duty, right, and responsibility! Everyone in these 3 LDs are not only losing their truly local representatives, consolidating these communities eliminates the overall REPRESENTATION INTO A FRACTION of what it is today. However, the population in these areas hasn't shrunk, if anything it's increased.</p> <p>For me personally, cutting off the southern part of LD15 and lumping it in with metro Phoenix washes out me and my strongly conservative neighborhood which sat far more appropriately with those neighbors to the north. PLEASE PUT THOSE LDs BACK!</p> <p>Had this been suggested for a more left-leaning demographic there would be OUTRAGE over suppression! What's bad for the goose is ALSO bad for the gander. Again there are plenty of people in these areas, it is a VASTLY growing area with new river being build and about to see far more population, these lines will be even more heavily inappropriate before the next 2 years have even passed. If anything there should be MORE LDs to represent the increasing populations of these areas. The proposed changes are making the maps LESS representative of the reality of our neighborhoods.</p> <p>I am looking forward to seeing the new revision and hope WE TOO are considered Fairly in the coming steps.</p> <p>Sincerely, Jackie</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:52	Marla E Neely	Current CD6 (Future CD1)	<p>Splitting Scottsdale into 1/3 sections, with the north 1/3 section joining Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, North Scottsdale/Phoenix all the way to 1-17 makes no sense. This needs to be address before the next draft.</p> <p>I live in Desert Ridge, I don't shop in Fountain Hills. I don't go to church in Rio Verde. Why would my district be joined with theirs. The main requirements for grouping the districts is that district boundaries must respect communities of interest. This certainly does not. Make the change!</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:54	Alexander Kolodin	District 4	<p>Scottsdale, from Old Town (i.e. Osborn) north, is probably the most distinct and well known community of interest in the entire state. Indeed, it is famous around the world. This is a community of interest that I would strongly encourage the IRC keep together, especially as this area has just the right population for a legislative district. Scottsdale south of old town is more like Tempe in most ways.</p>
10/26/2021 - 07:58	Mary Ann Johnston	District 3	<p>Please reconsider District 3 mapping. District 3 is much too large of an area for any representative to be effective in providing the duties of their position. Splitting the the district North & South at Scottsdale road would make the district much more manageable.</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:02	Deborah M. Pringle	Redistricting	<p>Good Morning, I have just previewed the new redistricting map and find huge issues with the size of the newest proposed district. If we are not to become another CA, then we need to be very aware of how our districts need to remain small enough for those in office to be effective and properly manage their constituents in their districts. This is not the time to be testing new theories. Less is More. Please manage appropriately.</p> <p>Thank you for your time. I'm hoping our voices still matter.</p> <p>Deborah Pringle</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:02	Michele Fitzmaurice	Draft Redistricting of District 3	<p>I want districts to represent communities of interest. District 3 should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Road. Thank you!</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:04	Jacqueline M Mesa	Please do not Consolidate these LDs	<p>Hi again.. I just noticed LD28 essentially disappears as well. I cannot imagine how growing areas can be consolidated into larger - and so naturally less representative - districts. Having new districts that also reach from the east side of 51 to the west side of 51 also completely changes the communities that are limited in representation, making it completely inappropriate for one group or the other. Again, it was truer to our communities as it was before. Again, please put them back. This is so inappropriate for those of us who live here.</p> <p>Thank you Jackie</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:06	Therese Kilgore	New District 3 Issues	<p>The IRC by its very title is supposed to be Independent, Not personal. Your personal opinions and personal agendas are not allowed or permitted in this important task! Your job is to consider the communities and their values. Communities should not be redistricted according to your agenda, feelings and selfish political goals. Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are Separate communities and you need to respect and honor those communities. Combining those two communities into one giant community is wrong, irresponsible and ineffective. Too large to be fairly represented by one individual. And, you know this too be true. Put your hidden agenda aside and evaluate the broader picture for ALL people residing in those areas. The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale RD. Fair and honest district representation is required. Thank you.</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:25	Jaqueline Marsha Newlove	Redistricting Map	<p>I am very concerned about the redistricting map the commission is considering today. There are many ways in which the current map is violating the Arizona constitutional requirements. The one that is of most concern to me is the combining of Oro Valley and Marana. This is a clear example of gerrymandering and should not be accepted.</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:26	Nancy Russell Plencner	Redistricting Meeting	<p>I have been trying to submit a comment form for the October 26 th meeting going on right now. I do not have a Google account and do not know how to get one, frankly I DO NOT WANT ONE, how else can I comment to this meeting. I have left a message today October 26 at 8:37 am. MY COMMENT WOULD BE THIS: PLEASE SEE THAT THE COMMISSIONERS RECEIVE IT: I live in Fountain Hills, go to church in Fountain Hills, Shop in Fountain Hills and a bit in Scottsdale East of the 101. The one and only time I venture West was ONCE in the last 20 years to get my Vaccination at Cardinal Stadium. It is a 40 mile drive from Fountain Hills to West of the 101. Rio Verde and Fountain Hills need to be districted contiguous with Scottsdale, not Cave Creek or Glendale. Thank you, Nancy Plencner 40 year voter in Arizona.</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:26	Stephanie Czopp	Redistricting	<p>Good morning, As a Fountain Hills resident the proposed districts seem disruptive. The natural flow of community and events is keeping more in line with Scottsdale, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills borders. I have no reason to drive to Anthem or Desert Ridge. Perhaps a more logical boundary would be to include the area of Phoenix on west Scottsdale border that has Scottsdale addresses.</p>

10/26/2021 - 08:26	Marlene Leatherwood	Redistricting	<p>I have seen the plans for redistricting, and I object. PLEASE do not JOIN Scottsdale, Rio Verde, North Phoenix, Desert Ridge and west to I-17 I to one huge District. I live at 56th Street and Shea, an I shop in Scottsdale, but not Desert Ridge or anywhere west. I moved to Scottsdale in 1983 because it is a very UNIQUE community. It has NOTHING in common with the other two current areas, and I have family and friends who travel here just to visit "Scottsdale." My friends And family are VERY unhappy with your proposal!!!</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Marlene</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:33	Leslie L Hunten	Make redistricting fair!	<p>Dear IRC, where is the serious consideration for the maps submitted by the Navajo Nation and the Latino Coalition? You're ignoring them; meanwhile you're bending over backwards for Commissioner Mehl and his special-interest real estate cabal, SALC. This is blatant partisan manipulation, and goes against your own rules. Commission Chair Neuberger is supposed to be the independent moderator, and yet she's yielding to a Republican game-player. I'd like to see her yield to a Democrat for once! Your charter is to create fair and competitive districts, not gerrymandered districts. I charge you to hold to your charter and fulfill your duty to the state as a whole.</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:36	Yossi Czopp	Redistricting Map	<p>Seems odd to extend district to the west when natural city of Scottsdale is running North/South. Fountain Hills and Scottsdale and Rio Verde residents seem to have more common interests and needs. Fountain Hills Resident</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:41	Therese Kilgore	Redistricting Uptown Phoenix	<p>When redistricting, your sole responsibility is to consider the communities and their shared values and needs. It appears you have nefarious reasons for combining or splitting up communities to further a hidden agenda. This is wrong and unethical in the important tasks you are assigned with. Keeping Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central and South Phoenix will keep these similar communities intact with fair and appropriate congressional representation. This is what will continue to best represent the needs of these communities. There is NO legitimate reason to remove Uptown Phoenix and merge it with district 1! You must consider the needs of the people in these communities at all times and remove your personal goals or biases from the decision making process as it is unethical to do otherwise. Thank you.</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:42	Steven Osmundson	(LD-3) Redistricting	<p>Greetings to the Honorable Members of the "IRC"</p> <p>I am here as an Arizona Citizen and voter to offer my protest for the current proposal to split Scottsdale into three Legislative Districts. My primary disapproval is in the proposed plan for (LD3) which will extend the boundaries into rural areas that include the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde all the way to the I-17. This proposal does not consider compliance to the requirements of the laws, most especially "communities of interests".</p> <p>The total area of the Desert Ridge to Cave Creek and west to the I-17 have little to no similarities to the areas east of the McDowell Mountain regions. The later regions do not participate in similar community, political, religious associations or business visitations and purchases. Carefree and Cave Creek are island communities that represent an even more separate dissimilarities as these communities are more of an economic island geared for tourism. The Tribal communities are even more dissimilar than even all as they rely primarily on gaming and rural services.</p> <p>To enlarge the mapping into such a vast area does not comply with the requirement of "communities of interests", I give my resounding disapproval for this plan change to (LD3).</p> <p>Sincerely Steve Osmundson</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:43	Betts Putnam-Hidalgo	Map 8.0, a partisan intro to a supposedly non partisan process	<p>As a resident of Pima County LD3, I am outraged by the acceptance at the last minute of a map by SALC, a well known business and partisan body that does not represent the majority of the voters of Pima County in any way. This type of obvious manipulation of the process is exactly why we need a REAL non-partisan redistricting team—it will completely undermine the whole point of the process! Map8 follows NOT ONE of the criteria established to prevent gerrymandering, in its effort to establish an all-white district encompassing oro valley, stonybrook and marana, highly republican areas. No mountain ranges or requirements to follow the Constitution, nor voting rights seem to be able to impede this effort, which makes a mockery of the Commission and the Commissioners who agreed to consider it. Please reject this bald faced attempt to undermine the process of the Commission..</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:47	Brandon LaBorde	Redistricting	<p>Hello, Please do not combine Anthem and Desert Ridge with Fountain Hills and Rio Verde. It is too large an area, too disparate in demographics for any representative to do it justice. Please split current proposed District 3 into two, separating North and South areas by Scottsdale road. Thanks</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:48	Allison Barkley	Maps	<p>Please reconsider your proposed maps. Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The proposed District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective.</p> <p>The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
10/26/2021 - 08:51	SHAWN HOFFMAN	DISTRICT 3	<p>The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.</p> <p>With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective.</p>

10/26/2021 - 08:51	Heidi Leverant Nov	redistricting Scottsdale	I live in Gainey Ranch in Scottsdale. I do almost all my many activities in Scottsdale. I do NOT want my city lumped as 1 with other cities. The NEW district 3 is too broad. I do not want outlying cities like Fountain Hills, Rio Verde, Anthem and Desert Ridge thrown into this. The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd. Heidi Leverant Nov
10/26/2021 - 08:53	Barbara Jean Schimpf	Sedona Redistricting	What the heck!! You cannot possibly think that splitting Sedona into separate legislative districts is fair to its citizens. You are basically stating that Sedona is not worthy of representation. It will also be confusing to each of the representatives that will be tasked with the job. How do they represent half of a city???? In the end, the path of least resistance will be taken which means Sedona will be neglected. Sedona needs to remain as ONE with the Flagstaff district in which we already utilize its health care, shopping and university programs/events.
10/26/2021 - 08:57	Shara Brinkley	Redistricting	Please use common sense and keep Uptown Phoenix with the rest of the urban core--Midtown, Central and South Phoenix. It makes no sense to remove that portion of Phoenix. Keep it together, those with mutual interests should be in the same district.
10/26/2021 - 09:02	Kathy Nolte	Redistricting	I live in Desert Ridge and shop in north Phoenix. You have the Desert Ridge and North Phoenix area lumped with Fountain Hills and Rio Verde, which is way too large of an area for a representative to handle. It's also too different of an area, Desert Ridge and North Phoenix are very urban. We need to be separate and have our own representation.
10/26/2021 - 09:04	Shirley Lamonna	LD11	I attended the first Redistricting Listening Tour in Tucson. It was clear that our community of interest did not include the City of Tucson. The communities of interest included Oro Valley, Marana, Saddlebrooke, Saddlebrooke Ranch, & Caalina. We are suburbs - not cities. Therefore, the area of Phoenix/Maricopa County included in LD11/CD1 should be excluded also. Please remember that "Competitiveness" is the last thing to be considered. The last IRC blatantly disregarded that & I have had no representation in the House or Senate since then. Please do not deprive me of that for another 10 years. Thank you.
10/26/2021 - 09:20	sherri conley	Redistricting	With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective. The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.
10/26/2021 - 09:29	Maratea Jill	Fairness in redistricting	Please keep voting fair
10/26/2021 - 09:39	Robert Robles	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 09:42	Rev. John Mireles	Arizona Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting	Arizona League of United Latin American Citizens supports all Arizona Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting Maps, the Coalition developed these two Majority Latino Congressional Districts, maintaining our current number of districts and strengthening the Latino voting percentages. Arizona currently has seven Majority Latino Legislative Districts. Our Coalition worked together with Latino communities around the state to develop a map that grows that number to eight, to help ensure our growing Latino population is reflected in our legislature.
10/26/2021 - 09:44	Joan Colson	redistricting	The current proposed map for redistricting is woefully disproportionate for the new LD 3. This is a huge area that encompassed many different types of communities with different interests and needs. The area is too large for one representative to accurately and fairly represent the people living within its boundaries This area needs to be broken down into two or three districts as to offer just and fair representation! Please split this district between north and south Scottsdale and/or include Anthem as its own district!
10/26/2021 - 09:48	Nora Flores	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:48	Karen Wilkison	VI Draft Map Decision Discussion A	VISIBLE GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES, CITY, TOWN AND COUNTY BOUNDARIES, AND UNDIVIDED CENSUS TRACTS" District 17 is a spectacular failure on this criteria. First, Commissioner Mehl has emphasized that his most important priority was to combine the cities of Marana and Oro Valley. Setting aside the fact that these are two very different communities that share little in common that would justify joining them in a single legislative district, the SALC map excludes a portion of Marana and it excludes a portion of Oro Valley. SALC excluded these portions of the two cities for purely partisan purposes. The northern parts of Marana and Oro Valley, which tend to be Republican, are combined in proposed LD 17 and the southern parts, which tend to be more Democratic, are excluded. This is gerrymandering pure and simple. To achieve its gerrymander, city boundaries are disrespected; both Marana and Oro Valley are split into two districts. Moreover, there is a mountain range, the Catalina Mountains, which separates east Tucson/Tanque Verde from Oro Valley and Marana. Consequently, the map also fails to account for "visible geographic features." Finally, District 17 also includes rural parts of Pinal County that share nothing in common with suburban Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 09:50	Dianne Coscarelli	Tucson Mayor Romero Suggestions	Chair Neuberg, I appreciate that at today's meeting (October 26) during the discussion of the recently-received letter from Tucson Mayor Romero as to Congressional Districts, instead of deferring that letter until the public comment period as initially suggested by Commissioner Mehl, you encouraged that the Mayor's suggestions be looked at during the October 28 meeting. Thank you for your leadership in ultimately bringing a collegial consensus to that approach.
10/26/2021 - 09:51	Cathy Lee	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:52	FRANK OTERO	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:53	Doris Marie Provine	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:54	Renee Rule	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:55	Mary Stone	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:55	Sarah Hernandez Byrne	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.

10/26/2021 - 09:57	Steve Robinson	SALC-proposed map	Commissioners, the map recently submitted by Commissioner Mehl on behalf of a single-interest group he founded is simply unacceptable, and is a repudiation of all the standards the Commission must lawfully adhere to. As submitted, the map draws a winding-shaped district that seeks to connect Tanque Verde, east of Tucson, to Marana, on the far western side of the county. This is being done for no other reason but to create a salamander-shaped electoral district that is affluent, white and suburban or semi-rural. These districts are only contiguous in the narrow view of Republicans. To adopt the SALC-proposed map would be to forfeit any responsibility to create fair, balanced and competitive districts. The map proposed by the SALC should be taken off the table.
10/26/2021 - 09:57	Katherine M Rowe	Arizona Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 09:57	Stephen W. "Steve" Christy	Re-Districting	It is absolutely critical that Pima County is fully represented at the State Legislature and this can only take place if we have comprehensive representation by Pima County based Representatives including both major political parties.
10/26/2021 - 09:57	Stan Delahoyde	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:57	Maura H Brackett	Redistricting	I am a voter living in Tanque Verde and oppose the gerrymandering proposed for my district.
10/26/2021 - 09:59	Robert Conway	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 09:59	Maura H Brackett	Redistricting	I am a voter living in Tanque Verde and oppose the gerrymandering proposed for my district.
10/26/2021 - 09:59	Claudia Bloom	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 10:00	Frank Jarvie	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 10:01	Janis Sherick	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 10:02	Margaret Miller	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 10:03	Jeremy	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 10:04	Elizabeth A Faller	Fair redistricting	Hello- Thank you for redistricting so there is fair representation in Arizona. This includes supporting the Coalition for Latino Fair representation proposal. Make sure that communities of color are included- follow Victoria Grijalva Ochoa and One Arizona recommendations. Prioritize competitive districts so majority of voters decide races in the general election. Give all Arizonans an opportunity to have their voice effectively represented in our legislative and congressional delegations. Reflect the makeup of Arizona's voters and don't give one Party an unfair advantage. Your service today is huge in the wake of our country's current conflicts. Fairness is the only way forward. Thank you!
10/26/2021 - 10:06	L. Anthony Fines	Legislative Map V8	Dear Commissioners, I am a resident of midtown Tucson. I have read with interest news stories about the current proposed legislative map as it pertains to the Tucson area. It is remarkable to me that all interests and needs of the Tucson communities appear subservient to some commissioners' goal of keeping Marana, Oro Valley, Saddlebrook, and parts of Pinal county in one legislative district. To serve that goal, your most recent proposed map splits Tucson into 5 separate districts, stretching all the way to New Mexico, Mexico, and the Phoenix area. I understand that community of interest is important, but why should you protect the community of interest in proposed district 18 at the expense of the communities of interest of every other Tucson legislative district? For me personally, in your most recent proposed map, my community of friends and close acquaintances are split into four districts. The proposed map might nevertheless make sense if it served some other more important goals. But it doesn't. The districts are not "geographically compact." The proposed districts don't use "city, town, and county boundaries" In midtown Tucson they do the opposite. The districts aren't "competitive" either. Assuming the independent vote will split evenly, only District 16 seems competitive. The rest appear to be safe for either democrats or republicans. If somehow you had managed to make make two or more latino majority (or close to majority) districts in the Tucson area, maybe this would be justifiable. But your proposed map doesn't do that either. The only thing it arguably does is to protect the community of interest in Marana, Oro Valley, Saddlebrook, and parts of Pinal county. Why is that more important than anything else?
10/26/2021 - 10:06	Ronald Kovatch	Redefining Legislative districts	I am AGAINST the remapping proposal of the Tucson Legislative districts as proposed by the redistricting committee. This puts my neighborhood in a non residential context that is inappropriate.
10/26/2021 - 10:24	Channa Gonzalez	Redistricting	Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs. With the way the map is currently drawn, Uptown Phoenix will be deprived of representation by being drawn into suburban district 1.
10/26/2021 - 10:27	Monica Surfaro Spigelman	Maps	I could not get through to today's (Oct 26) meeting link- so I am submitting my comment here, with continuing concern that the IRC is not being mindful of your fifth constitutional criterion to use "VISIBLE GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES." With regard to District 17: The Catalina Mountains form a natural feature that fits this geographic criterion...These mountains naturally separate east Tucson/Tanque Verde from Oro Valley and Marana. The partisan SALC map fails to account for this. The proposed SALC mapping also includes rural parts of Pinal County...which share nothing in common with suburban Tucson. Do not abandon other thoughtfully-drawn proposed District 17 maps for the SALC map which is so obviously partisan.
10/26/2021 - 10:28	Monica Surfaro Spigelman	Maps	I could not get through to today's (Oct 26) meeting link- so I am submitting my comment here, with continuing concern that the IRC is not being mindful of your fifth constitutional criterion to use "VISIBLE GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES." With regard to District 17: The Catalina Mountains form a natural feature that fits this geographic criterion...These mountains naturally separate east Tucson/Tanque Verde from Oro Valley and Marana. The partisan SALC map fails to account for this. The proposed SALC mapping also includes rural parts of Pinal County...which share nothing in common with suburban Tucson. Do not abandon other thoughtfully-drawn proposed District 17 maps for the SALC map which is so obviously partisan.
10/26/2021 - 10:37	beth borozan	Pima County representation	It is critical that Pima County be fully represented in the state legislature. This can only be achieved if there is comprehensive representation by Pima County-based legislators, including both major political parties.

10/26/2021 - 10:41	Barbara D'Angelo	Map version 6.3	I strongly oppose the continued consideration of map version 6.3. This map was created by a pro-business council, not the Commission itself. Further, the Redistricting Commission's own lawyers have told the commissions to take the map down from the official website as it is in violation of constitutional criteria. It is further pro-Republican gerrymandering rather than serving the interests of the people of Arizona. The AIRC should heed the advice of their own lawyers and remove the map from the site and from consideration and reject Chair Mehl's motion.
10/26/2021 - 10:45	Michelle Thompson	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 10:46	Michelle Thompson	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 10:47	Joseph DePinto	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 10:48	Jon Goldstein	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 10:49	Juan González	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 10:49	Patricia Kelly	Map 6.3	There is excellent evidence that Map 6.3 was written by the anti-consumer, pro-business Southern Arizona Leadership Council (SALC). While the group claims to promote the Arizona economy, it does so by opposing consumer and labor protections. I urge the supposedly "independent" commission to ensure that consumer and labor protections are not completely trampled upon by redistricting to ensure a majority of Republican districts.
10/26/2021 - 10:50	Katharine Overall	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 10:51	Katharine Overall	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 10:53	Charles Nugent	Redistricting	Split Scottsdale North & South.
10/26/2021 - 11:03	Carol Allen	Redistricting	I want districts that represent communities of interest! With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective. The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.
10/26/2021 - 11:06	Saher Afzal	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 11:07	Steve Potosky	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 11:07	Ronald R Kovatch	Redistricting/Gerrymandering	The redistricting proposal up for review is not representative of my neighborhoods concerns and needs. It remaps my district in a box store, light industrial and rural area and away from the residential area and concerns I have come to enjoy and identify with. Therefore, I am against this remapping proposal. Thank you.
10/26/2021 - 11:08	Patrick Emerson McCormick	Redistricting	I oppose Map 6.3. Districts should be drawn compact and respect other boundaries, including city limits, county lines, and natural boundaries like mountain ranges. Map 6.3 ignores all of that in Pima County and gerrymanders the region by cracking it into multiple districts that will not represent the actual desired representation of the area.
10/26/2021 - 11:10	Wayne Hyatt	REDISTRICTING	I live in North Phoenix and shop in N Phoenix, I do NOT want Fountain Hills and Rio Verde be in my district when they are not part of my community? Rio Verde and Fountain Hills do not resemble my community. In addition, the new District 3 is too wide for any representative to be effective.
10/26/2021 - 11:31	Carrie Cox	Redistricting draft map	I live in the east side of Scottsdale, one mile from Fountain Hills. I shop in Fountain Hills, golf in Fountain Hills and walk daily in Fountain Hills. We are a suburban community. The District 3 draft district is extremely large and includes city life, suburban life and rural life styles. How can any person represent three different types of communities? I would like to see district three map joined with communities that match the suburban style of living. Scottsdale is known for it's unique communities...let's keep it that way.
10/26/2021 - 11:31	Kinsey McKinney	2021 Redistricting Map	I support Shauna McIsaac's comments: Redistricting is an extremely important process, and it is essential that it is accomplished by IRC members who are willing and able to suspend personal agendas. The process must be fair and accessible to all -- not just the well-connected. The proposed map promotes personal agendas.
10/26/2021 - 11:36	Peden Fuller Carol	Stop the gerrymandering now.	Districts should not zigzag all over for certain voters. Stop it now.
10/26/2021 - 11:42	Meri Thomason	Redistricting	Sedona should be in a district with Cottonwood and the Verde Valley. The concerns of this area are very similar.

10/26/2021 - 11:42	Christa Leong	Redistricting Map - District 1	<p>To Whom it May Concern,</p> <p>I am writing to comment on the Congressional Map currently proposed by the Redistricting effort. We live in the Biltmore Corridor/Arcadia Lite Area (cross streets of 32nd St and Indian School Rd). My children go to school in Arcadia. We generally shop in the Biltmore Corridor. We are involved in sports leagues or activities in the Biltmore Corridor or in Arcadia. We attend church in Scottsdale.</p> <p>The current District 1 map is so large that I can't imagine that things that matter to individuals/families in Fountain Hills or in Desert Ridge will have the same concerns and priorities that those of us who live in the Central Phoenix area.</p> <p>I think District 1 needs to be redrawn to ensure that the concerns of the community in Central Phoenix will be heard and not diluted to the large area as is currently drawn for District 1 which includes these large suburb areas.</p> <p>Please advise if you would like more information.</p> <p>Kind Regards, Christa Leong</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:00	Damon Rich	New District 1 (currently District 6)	<p>Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:01	Patricia Scott	Redistricting	<p>I object to the redistricting map being proposed. There is obviously bias in this plan, and a concerted effort to unfairly influence elections, depriving voters of their voice in elections. The Commission is not supposed to be gerrymandering, and that's what this map is.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:03	Laurie E Foster	Redistricting of current LD 1, LD 15 and LD 23 into proposed new LD 3	<p>I am a resident of LD 15. The proposed redistricting map as of 10/26/21 combines above LD's into a vast, sweeping west to east district of completely unrelated areas, separated by geography, cities, multiple school districts, shopping areas and other areas of business and recreation. The needs and interests of these communities are unique and varied. At minimum this proposed map should be divided by Scottsdale Road. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:04	Loren Rattlief	Buckeye Farming Communities - LD 16	<p>My name is Loren Rattlief and I have lived and or farmed in Liberty, which is an area of the Buckeye Valley, for my entire life. I appreciate all of the work that the Independent Redistricting Commission has been doing to ensure redistricting is done in a way that honors communities of interest.</p> <p>One thing that I have noticed, that should be improved, is the inclusion of the Buckeye farming community in a district that shares similar agriculture interests. It would seem clear to me that the proposed Legislative District 16 would be the best fit for us as it would include the similar communities of Stanfield, Eloy and Casa Grande. Those of us in these communities know each other, work with each other and have ties that run generations deep.</p> <p>The Liberty community of Buckeye has historically been in the area between Watson and Perryville Roads (going east to west) and Broadway to Lower Buckeye Roads (going from north to south). I would request that this area be placed in the proposed District 16.</p> <p>Our residents share a common sense of community and devotion to agriculture, an industry reliant on the water policy discussions at the state legislature, with the residents of Maricopa, Stanfield, Casa Grande, Eloy and their surrounding farming areas in Pinal County. As an industry, agriculture has become more and more underrepresented at the Capitol as Arizona has diversified its economy. By grouping our farming community with the urban areas of west Phoenix, Tolleson and Avondale, our collective voice in legislative discussions will be even further diluted.</p> <p>In the current legislative district 13, our farming community has been aligned with other farming communities in western Maricopa County and Yuma County. This has worked well as our communities are certainly communities of interest. We request similar representation by unifying our community of interest with the farming communities in Pinal County.</p> <p>Thank you, Loren Rattlief</p>

10/26/2021 - 12:07	Lynne Hudson	IRC Process going forward	<p>Arizona is no stranger to divisive and coercive hyper-partisanship. It is, after all, what catalyzed the citizens ballot initiative that prompted Arizona voters to create the Independent Redistricting Commission in 2000. But partisanship need not be nasty, in your face, or even conscious. In fact, unconscious bias has been researched extensively. It often manifests as a rush to judgment that short-circuits the time needed to process information fully and engage in collaborative problem solving. I believe that is what we saw last week.</p> <p>It began with Commissioner Mehl preempting control by making a motion almost before the discussion had even begun. When that tactic met with criticism, it was followed by a period of relatively collaborative give and take. The Commissioners welcomed input from minority groups and even adopted the Latino Coalition maps. But this return to what I would characterize as normal procedure was short lived. Late Wednesday afternoon Commissioner Mehl introduced a totally new map provided to him by the Southern AZ Leadership Council, a group he founded. That map was accepted, but then removed from consideration the next morning after consultation with the legal team. But it, or a close facsimile, resurface the same afternoon, this time with Mehl as submitter. At that point my head was spinning.</p> <p>As a consistent attendee at IRC meetings, the redistricting process is starting to resemble a chess match, a boxing match, or a debate. None of these is appropriate because the goal is not for one side or the other to win. The goal is for the commission to produce fair maps. In my view, the best way to do that is to for everyone to keep laser focused on the six Constitutional criteria.</p> <p>Bias, both conscious and unconscious, are real. For this reason, personalities and parties should not be center stage. Let the data speak. Have the mapping team prepare two reports, one showing how the Southern AZ Legislative map proposed last week by Commissioner Mehl would impact the six criteria and the other report showing how Commissioner Lerner's proposed changes would impact the six criteria. These results provide a clear, transparent, and unbiased basis for decision making. (And should Commissioner Mehl propose yet another LD map for Southern Arizona on Thursday, the mapping team could do the same analyses, though obviously this could delay a decision.)</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:07	Carolyn Kavanagh	Redistricting	<p>I have lived in Arizona since 1974. The independent redistricting committee was created to establish more competitive legislative districts. My district, LD 6, needs to keep Sedona, Flagstaff, and the Greater Verde Valley together as we have built coalitions around water (Verde River and Oak Creek), non profits that benefit the homeless (Sedona Homeless Alliance), and various civic organizations like Keep Sedona Beautiful and Northern Arizona Climate Alliance. I ask the committee to keep this in mind when drawing maps.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:08	Barbara Tellman	Southern Arizona maps	<p>In all the discussions about Oro Valley and Marana, including Ms. Lerner's excellent ideas, virtually no account is taken of the Tohono O'Odham and Yaqui tribes and their neighbors in the lower Avra Valley. These groups have been alternately split in various map versions, associated with distant Yuma or Pima County where they all have strong commercial and historic interests. Sometimes the Yaqui tribe goes with the TON to Yuma and other times to Pima County. The Avra Valley neighbors between San Xavier and the main Nation are split differently in every map. These people all have no connection with Yuma, a 4 hour drive away through miles of sparsely occupied or vacant government land. Whatever you do to the rest of the Tucson area, please keep at least the lower Avra Valley and the Yaqui tribe in a district associate with the Tucson Metro area, not Yuma.</p> <p>Two TON tribal members testified passionately about their connection with Metro Tucson, not Yuma. Even if you cannot keep TON with Tucson, please make every effort to keep the lower Avra Valley and Yaqui with Metro Tucson. The Avra Valley folks have declared their Community of Interest to be connected to Tucson, a 15 minute drive, not Yuma, an area they never visit except on their infrequent way to California. Since parts of the rapidly developing Avra Valley lean Republican, this would help with the overpacking problem.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:08	linda karl	Redistricting	<p>I don't understand prioritizing communities such as Oro Valley and Miranna as special interests rather than keeping Tucson districts contiguous and contained as they have been it violates both common sense and guidelines in the Arizona constitution.</p> <p>I share Commissioner Lerner's concerns about the inclusion of Southern Arizona Leadership Council's (SALC) proposed districts, those proposals were submitted late Wednesday and there is no information on the process that SALC used and it is a 'special interest group'. This is most concerning to me and smells of gerrymandering.</p> <p>I'm also concerned that the Arizona Latino communities and the Navajo nation input has not been taken into consideration seriously.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:14	Diane Bristow	LD draft map 8.0 version	<p>Writing to beg you to REJECT the LD draft map 8.0 version.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It ignores the fact the Tucson is the hub for Oro Valley, Marana, and Casas Adobes 2. LD maps should be competitive and representative. This version of neither. 3. Oro Valley does not belong in a primarily rural homogeneous district as Oro Valley is urban-suburban and quite diverse. 4. Marana and Casas Adobes are quite diverse and do not belong in a primarily rural homogeneous district. 5. Due to the two mountain ranges within this version and the distant places, this version would make outreach to communities virtually impossible. <p>REJECT the LD draft map 8.0 Thank you.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:14	Sara Moher	Redistricting	<p>Scottsdale, Rio Verde, and Fountain Hills should remain in the same legislative district for many reasons. They share the same interests, concerns, and legislative agenda.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:17	Donald Bristow	LD draft map 8.0 version	<p>Writing to request you REJECT the LD draft map 8.0 version.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This version ignores the fact the Tucson is the hub for Oro Valley, Marana, and Casas Adobes 2. LD maps should be competitive and representative. This version of neither. 3. Oro Valley does not belong in a primarily rural homogeneous district as Oro Valley is urban-suburban and quite diverse. 4. Marana and Casas Adobes are urban-suburban areas of Tucson and are quite diverse. They do not belong in a rural homogeneous district. 5. Due to the two mountain ranges within this version and the distant places, this version would make outreach to communities virtually impossible. <p>REJECT the LD draft map 8.0 Thank you.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:24	Steve Potosky	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	<p>I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:25	Mark Floor	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	<p>I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.</p>

10/26/2021 - 12:25	Monica Brinkerhoff	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 12:29	Randi Sue Buslik	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:31	Elizabeth Marie Rimsza	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:32	Melissa Rios	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:33	Linda Horowitz	Redistricting of Catalina Foothills Legislative District	My name is Linda Horowitz and I have been a resident in Catalina Foothills for over 25 years. I have seen the map of the Legislative District 17 that you are proposing for my area. I leave on the east side near Sabino Canyon. Geographically I am nowhere near the district in Oro Valley and have nothing in common with the voters through rural Pinal County. I have studied the Arizona Constitution and my area should be in the same district with my community of interest. This is TUCSON. We are a suburb of Tucson. The district you are drawing reminds me of the gerrymandering snake. The Constitution says that the district should be as compact as possible. The district should also be as competitive as possible. That is not the case with this district. Please redraw this map to reflect the community and protect my voting rights.
10/26/2021 - 12:38	Carole Boling	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:38	Linda Horowitz	Redistricting in Catalina Foothills	My name is Linda Horowitz and I have been a resident in Catalina Foothills for over 25 years. I have seen the map of the Legislative District 17 that you are proposing for my area. I leave on the east side near Sabino Canyon. Geographically I am nowhere near the district in Oro Valley and have nothing in common with the voters through rural Pinal County. I have studied the Arizona Constitution and my area should be in the same district with my community of interest. This is TUCSON. We are a suburb of Tucson. The district you are drawing reminds me of the gerrymandering snake. The Constitution says that the district should be as compact as possible. The district should also be as competitive as possible. That is not the case with this district. Please redraw this map to reflect the community and protect my voting rights.
10/26/2021 - 12:38	Jesus Mora	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 12:39	Wade C. Sherbrooke	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:40	Cynthia Cohen	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:40	LeFevre	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:41	Shaelyn Lander	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:42	Bruce Vacey	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:43	James H. Ward / Retired School Teacher	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:45	DOUGLAS S AMENDA	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:45	Diana Austin	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:46	Rachel Brown	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:46	Susan Stumpf-Hebert	IRC LD Test Map v8.0	<p>The division of metro Tucson into small chunks, to be included with other, more rural, legislative districts is so wrong it boggles the mind and is divisive in the extreme, putting communities of like-minded people in situations where their choice of representation will be over-ridden by the more extreme conservative members of other towns who then outnumber them--and that would include the small neighborhood, largely progressive, mixed-race, heavily Latino in which I live.</p> <p>The immediate embrace of a map submitted late in the process by the Southern Arizona Leadership Council, a special interest group, and the Republican Commissioners' attempt to immediately force those district configurations into the official draft maps, was alarming and completely counter to the intent of independent redistricting.</p> <p>I don't like the way the Tucson area is fragmented under the Southern Arizona Leadership Council's preferred legislative districts.</p> <p>I share Commissioner Lerner's concerns about the inclusion of the Southern Arizona Leadership Council's proposed legislative districts.</p> <p>Those districts were submitted to the Commission late Wednesday, and there is no information on the process that SALC - a special interest group - used to draw them.</p> <p>I echo Commissioner Lerner's question: Did they consult the southern Arizona Latino communities when drafting these districts? Did they intend to break up the heavily working class sections of Tucson and include them with wealthy rural, conservative towns that lean Republican and are anti-Latino/Native/immigrant? This is a question that must be addressed.</p> <p>The voting power of Native American, Latino and other communities of color should be protected by the Independent Redistricting Commission. To dismiss the proposals from the Navajo Nation without serious consideration and analysis, and to completely reject the legislative districts submitted by the Latino Coalition with little justification, were decisions completely counter to this duty.</p>
10/26/2021 - 12:47	Frank Jarvie	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 12:47	Sherri Conley	Redistricting	Please keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.
10/26/2021 - 12:47	Iain Douglas Hamp	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.

10/26/2021 - 12:48	Neil Gershman	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:49	Morgan Ohlin	Buckeye Needs to be in District 16	Commissioners - I was raised in old Buckeye, went to school in Liberty and now live in Palo Verde. Other than Buckeye, not much is known outside of our community about Liberty and Palo Verde but we make up the farming communities of Buckeye. I have lived here all my life and it's where my husband and I raise our two daughters. We are heavily involved in our school and community organizations like 4-H. Because of our deep ties to our community, I was saddened to learn that we will no longer be in a legislative district that reflects our rural, agricultural values. I felt well represented in Legislative District 13 because I believe we were closely aligned with other communities of interest, such as those in Wellton and the Yuma area. After looking at the latest legislative draft map, I think it would make most sense to place the farming communities of Buckeye with the proposed Legislative District 16. The reason for this is because our interests and community ties run deeper with the communities of Casa Grande and Eloy than they do with Goodyear and Avondale. This would also help provide for representation at the Capitol that better understand the issues we face on water, agriculture and many other rural issues. Honoring communities of interest is a critical component of redistricting and I humbly ask that our community can be placed in a district, such as District 16, that reflects our interests, community ties and rural focus. Thank you, Morgan Ohlin
10/26/2021 - 12:50	Shawn Smith	Redistricting Maps	Hello, I am emailing today regarding the redistricting maps that are being put forth for our district maps in Arizona. It is a struggle to understand why there are proposed changes to these maps in a very odd way. First of all, the redistricting maps should be done as communities of interest. It is frustrating to see that we have to fight so hard to have the districts be represented so that their legislators can effectively represent them. There should not be a combination of communities that clearly have separate interests. In regards to district 3, this is too vast of an area for any representative to be effective. Please split this district between North and South at Scottsdale Road. Please cease from trying to gerrymander the maps to support a particular outcome by some, keep the communities of interest together and carry on for the good of Arizona. This should not be politics! Thank you in advance for your time and efforts in this very essential and important matter. Shawn Smith
10/26/2021 - 12:52	David harwell	Public comments-Redistricting Plan	Please do NOT approve the current plan you have under discussion. Dems and Ind voters deserve better!
10/26/2021 - 12:53	Iain Douglas Hamp	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:54	Neil Gershman	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:55	Carol Schloff	Tucson Communities of Interest Map 8.0	D. The fourth constitutional criterion is that proposed maps should respect Communities of Interest. District 17 fails to respect Communities of Interest. With all due respect to Commissioner Mehl and SALC, there is nothing that ties together the east Tucson/Tanque Verde region with Marana and Oro Valley. They do not share the same schools. In fact, there are at least two and maybe three school districts in between the Tanque Verde area and Oro Valley. These communities do not worship together. Residents of Oro Valley/Marana do not share the same economy or employers with east Tucson. No one in east Tucson regularly shops in Oro Valley or Marana. In fact, the only time east Tucsonans would visit Marana would be driving through on the way to Phoenix. Similarly, no one in Oro Valley or Marana visits or shops in east Tucson/Tanque Verde unless they are taking a day trip to Mount Lemmon. The SALC map has naturally created a spillover effect in other districts around Tucson. Portions of midtown Tucson neighborhoods have been joined into Districts 21 and 19 which seem incredibly forced, and certainly not in the interests of those communities.
10/26/2021 - 12:57	Blake Sacha	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:58	Paul Golab	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:59	Desiree Ruiz	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 12:59	Sandra Stock	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 13:00	HolliChristensen	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:00	ERIC JOHNSON	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:02	Barbara Carpenter	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:13	Sally Reed	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.

10/26/2021 - 13:16	Rosemary Agneessens	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:17	Shelby D Maguire	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:17	Amanda Lutha	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:18	Brian Medendorp	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:19	Karyn Rie	Maps	LD Test Map 8.0 fails the competitiveness test.
10/26/2021 - 13:19	Brian Medendorp	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:20	Kathleen Rushinsky	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:24	Kathleen Rushinsky	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:24	Jerryl S. Jones-Florman	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:25	Alexia Ferranti	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 13:25	Elizabeth A Faller	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:26	Andrew Z. Colvin	Arizona Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:28	Kathryn Diehl	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:29	Terri Abalos	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:29	Sarah Garrett	Arizona Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:30	Elizabeth Enright	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:31	Matthew J Ziegler	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:31	Kathy Jacka	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:32	Dora Martinez	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:32	Karey Knapp	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:33	Samuel abbott	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:34	Norma Iiven	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:34	Jeff Hey	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:36	Laura Miss	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 13:37	EDWARD W CHRISTY	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:37	Patricia Ellsworth	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:38	Katie Baker	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:38	Courtney Hough	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:39	Liliana Hutcheson	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:39	Vicki Simer	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:40	Aubrey Sonderegger	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.

10/26/2021 - 13:40	Laurel E Bellante	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:42	John Declerck	The new Legislative District 3 is too vast for any representation.	The new District 3 combines three existing pro-liberty districts into one, causing several communities of interest to lose their legislators. With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. I want districts that represent communities of interest. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective. The new District 3 should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.
10/26/2021 - 13:43	Deanna Watts	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:43	Ellen MacPherson	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:45	Atenas Rodriguez	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:45	Shannon Brayton	No more	No more gerrymandering! No more... The mapping is ridiculously done.
10/26/2021 - 13:47	Christine Lee Oler	AZ Independent Redistricting	Hello, Below are my comments for the AZIRC. I have looked at map 8.0 and don't believe this map follows the guidelines. Competitive Districts have been ignored. The SALC recommendation does not fulfill the requirements of the Commission. Communities of Interest have been ignored. More study needs to be done. Undivided census tracts to be redrawn. Parts of southwest Tucson does not belong in the Yuma district. Thank you. Christine Lee Oler
10/26/2021 - 13:50	Deanna Watts	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:51	Atenas Rodriguez	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:52	Georgina	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:53	Christy McCoy	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:54	Margaret Cawley	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:55	Grant Colby	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:56	Sally Datria	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 13:56	Cynthia Jo Paster	Redistricting	My family moved to Sedona in 1989. We have always been in a legislative district with the Verde Valley communities and with Flagstaff. We get our medical care in Flagstaff, our car dealership is in Flagstaff, and we do our major retail shopping in Flagstaff and Cottonwood and recreate in many areas of the I-17 corridor in between. The trip to Prescott is long and one we rarely make. It makes no sense to think that our representational needs should be grouped with those of Prescott. Please do not redistrict with communities we have nothing in common with, please. Please keep our district intact. Cynthia Paster
10/26/2021 - 13:57	Gavin Rittenhouse	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:57	Siobhan Ulreich-Power	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:58	Eric Arnett	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 13:58	Robert Guignard	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 13:59	Somerset Elizabeth Gallmeyer	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 14:00	China Young	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from South Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 14:01	Hope Wiley	Redistricting	This attempt at redistricting is illegal as it is purely a political move .
10/26/2021 - 14:01	Catherine Tunget	Redistricting	With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective. The district should be split between North and South at Scottsdale Rd.
10/26/2021 - 14:02	Pauline Malcolm	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 14:02	Jon Ruterman	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.

10/26/2021 - 14:05	Kelly Benbow	Redistricting	Please put Buckeye in district 16. Thank you
10/26/2021 - 14:05	Kelly Benbow	Redistricting	Please put Buckeye in district 16. Thank you
10/26/2021 - 14:11	Pauline Malcolm	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual.
10/26/2021 - 14:12	Jon Ruterman	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting the AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting map as an individual from West Valley.
10/26/2021 - 14:12	Andrea Wargo	Redistricting Proposal	In our opinion, Scottsdale should remain in the same district as Fountain Hills and Rio Verde because our communities have common interests and values. We do not have them, ostensibly, with Desert Ridge and Anthem. Also, we believe a new humongous district would be too large for one congressperson to represent adequately. People over Politics!
10/26/2021 - 14:15	Sherry Tierney	New map	I am totally against the proposed new map showing unfair divisions in the state of Arizona. This should not be allowed to happen in America. This will not allow fair and equal voting in Arizona.
10/26/2021 - 14:17	Diana Hayes	Re- Districting	We live almost in Rio Verde(136 th and Dynamite) and shop and play in Scottsdale. I absolutely NEVER go into Anthem or Desert Ridge and DO NOT want to be a part of that district. Thank You
10/26/2021 - 14:17	Eric Kadel	Legislative Maps 8.0	As a retired voting constituent I respectfully request that the new districts be made as competitive as possible. I believe that in each precinct, each political party should have a 50/50 chance of winning. In making it competitive I believe that democracy stands the best chance of survival. Please go back to the LD 7.0 map as a reference to achieve this.
10/26/2021 - 14:20	Richard Estrada - LULAC National VP for the Far West - Arizona Resident	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I represent an organization supporting this map. I am the LULAC National VP for the Far West Regional.
10/26/2021 - 14:21	Margaret Rinegar	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 14:22	Margaret McBride	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 14:23	Eve Shapiro	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 14:23	Barbara Sickles	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 14:27	Stephen D. McGovern	Gerrymander	You should be ashamed and serve time if you approve this proposal!!
10/26/2021 - 14:37	Nana M Nicoll	Current Draft Mode Legislative Maps	The current LD maps as referenced above do not support communities of interest in District 3 because the district is way too large putting so many into one district that should be divided. One representative simply cannot be effective in so large an area. Please consider dividing District 3 into halves; one being north and one being south of Scottsdale Rd. This would create a district that could be handled by two representatives and be in accordance with the intent of protecting communities of interest.
10/26/2021 - 14:40	Doris Hinton	Redistricting District 3	With the way the map is currently drawn, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills are combined with Desert Ridge and Anthem. These are separate communities of interest covering a large distance of area. The new District 3 is too vast for any representative to be effective. The district should be split with a line North to South at Scottsdale Rd.
10/26/2021 - 14:47	Brad Cole	Proposed LD7 Redistricting Map	Please leave Payson the same as we are now, we Payson voters want to remain with Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, and Camp Verde. We DON'T want to be with Pinal County.
10/26/2021 - 14:51	Caroline Anderson	Mapping of District 17	The Catalina Mountain, which separates east Tucson/Tanque Verde from Oro Valley and Marana fails to account for "visible geographic features". District 17 includes rural parts of Pinal County that share nothing in common with suburban Tucson.
10/26/2021 - 14:58	Karen Wilkison	Commissioner Lerner's Concerns re: SALC proposed districts	My District, Legislative District 9, has been competitive so I am used to this very workable and essential quality. The most recent map which divided Tucson into FIVE legislative districts does not contain competitive districts. In fact, due to the last minute proposal submitted by the SALC, a powerful non-profit, the districts have become gerrymandered. Please don't divide Tucson into five pieces so that there is no competitiveness within each district and communities of color, which are often not included in the decision making process, are dismissed. Follow the U.S. Voting Rights Act! Let's keep our Independent Redistricting Commission!
10/26/2021 - 15:04	Brandon Keeber	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 15:05	Michele Moschel	Redistricting	I am hoping that the Republicans in this state do not coop the voting rights of Arizona citizens! I have been appalled at the machinations of this party. I've lived here for 20 years and have never been afraid that my vote would not be counted . After this summer I have no faith in our legislators!!! Out of control pols. Corruption is rife and I'm ashamed to say that Arizona is my home.h
10/26/2021 - 15:05	Monica Gonzales	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 15:06	Judith Keagy	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 15:10	Laurie Brunson	Payson Votes	"The same as we are now, we Payson voters want to remain with Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, and Camp Verde. We DON'T want to be with Pinal County."
10/26/2021 - 15:11	Jonathan Patrick Wisner	Proposed LD7 Redistricting Map	The same as we are now, we Payson voters want to remain with Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, and Camp Verde. We DON'T want to be with Pinal County.

10/26/2021 - 15:13	Andre Richard Newcomb	redistricting maps	Why not make the maps as though honoring the Arizona State flag? Make Tucson as though a star. Make Phoenix as though a star. Go to Douglas and start there as a star with district lines extending west, and north, and northwest as though rays of light. Honor the future as though the Douglas star is the nucleus and the Tucson star and the Phoenix star are an electron and a proton. Honor Kitt Peak, Intel, Taiwan, Buckeye battery factory, universities, Palo Verde, etc.. No more blobs. Rays of light like the flag of India. Compel candidates and voters to vote issues not neighborhoods.
10/26/2021 - 15:17	Brian Brunson	Payson Votes	"The same as we are now, we Payson voters want to remain with Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdate, and Camp Verde. We DON'T want to be with Pinal County."
10/26/2021 - 15:19	Jennifer L Rozo	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 15:19	Mary Stone	AZ Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting: Drafting Decisions	I am supporting this map as an individual
10/26/2021 - 15:20	Karen Wilkison	VI. Draft Map decision discussion A	IRC's Deference to Republican Commissioner Mehl regarding the Tucson area: Mr. Mehl does not speak for most Tucsonans. When he urged combining Oro Valley with Marana it caused a negative quality to the draft districts. As a Catalina Foothills resident, I along with many other similarly situated people, consider Oro Valley aligned with Casas Adobes and Tucson, not Marana. The competitiveness for District 17 seems to have gone from 50/49 competitiveness to 54/44 in favor of Mr. Mehl's Republican party. Please don't continue to allow Commissioner Mehl to make changes to please him and his friends that are not in the interest of Southern Arizona.
10/26/2021 - 15:27	Andre Richard Newcomb	redistricting maps	Why not make the maps as though honoring the Arizona State flag? Make Tucson as though a star. Make Phoenix as though a star. Go to Douglas and start there as a star with district lines extending west, and north, and northwest as though rays of light. Honor the future as though the Douglas star is the nucleus and the Tucson star and the Phoenix star are an electron and a proton. Honor Kitt Peak, Intel, Taiwan, Buckeye battery factory, universities, Palo Verde, etc.. No more blobs. Rays of light like the flag of India. Compel candidates and voters to vote issues not neighborhoods. The Capitol building being center to the Phoenix Star. The U of A Admin building being center to the Tucson star. And the rays of light from Douglas extending on the other sides of the Tucson & Phoenix stars to Lake Mead, Yuma, the Grand Canyon, the Navajo Nation, etc..
10/26/2021 - 15:39	Karen Wilkison	VI Draft Map Decision Discussion A	Please allow AZ to keep its Independent Redistricting Commission by continuing to adhere to the U.S. Constitution and the Voting Rights Act (VRA). Commissioners have made changes saying, without any analysis, that the the proposed SALC LD maps strengthened the VRA LDs in Southern AZ when actually the opposite is true. The Commissioners should be mandated to compare the southern AZ VRA (Latino) LDs in map 4.0 (containing the Latino Coalition proposed districts) to the SALC LDs. It will show that the Latino districts were weakened. The VRA districts went from eight districts to six districts. It is evident that these maps were drawn without any input from the Latina community--no surprise! Adopting the SALC proposal creates strong litigation risk for the AIRC because it weakens the So. AZ VRA districts.
10/26/2021 - 15:41	Sherry Thomas	Legislative Redistricting	I want to encourage that the Buckeye area be placed in District16. Many farms, dairies, feed lots and rural areas have much more in common with Casa Grande, Maricopa, Eloy. Water rights, agriculture and rural issues are of much importance to Buckeye.
10/26/2021 - 15:47	Rachel Sulkes	redistricting meetings in current LD 20	I wanted to make sure that there will be more public in-person meetings scheduled in the current legislative district 20. I'm hearing that my current district may be really changing, and I fear that people are unaware of what those changes might be. I have lived here for over 15 years (at 35th Ave and Thunderbird), and want to make sure that I and my neighbors are able to see and react to the changes. Our district has changed a lot since the last maps were drawn.

10/26/2021 - 15:50	Nancy Scott-Rogers	Redistricting	<p>1. The first criterion which must be followed by the AIRC is the United States Constitution and the Voting Rights Act ("VRA"). Commissioner York announced, without any analysis, that the proposed SALC LD maps strengthened the proposed VRA LDs in southern Arizona. Actually, the opposite is true. The mapping consultants should be requested to compare the southern Arizona VRA LDs in map 4.0 (containing the Latino Coalition proposed districts) to the SALC LDs. When that comparison is made it will be evident that the SALC map weakened the VRA districts. For example, map 4.0 had eight districts with a Hispanic citizen voting population over 43%; map 8.0 only has six such districts. Evidently these maps were drawn without any input from the Latino community so perhaps this is no surprise. Nevertheless, adopting the SALC proposal creates significant litigation risk for the AIRC because it weakens the southern Arizona VRA districts.</p> <p>2. The second constitutional criterion which must be followed by the AIRC is Equal Population. Commissioner Mehl is especially fond of this specific constitutional criterion but the SALC maps fail to meet it. Ironically, Commissioner Mehl mused in passing on the record that a population deviation might be justified in order to sustain SALC's partisan maps. Legislative District 16 is under population by 11,029 or 4.6%; LD 17 is under population by 6839 or 2.87%; LD 18 is over population by 4668 or 1.96% and LD 19 is over population by 4935 or 2.07%.</p> <p>3. The third constitutional criterion is that districts must be Compact and Contiguous. The SALC proposed Legislative Districts may be contiguous but they are plainly not compact, especially district 17. For a senator or representative (or candidate) to drive from the Tanque Verde section of east Tucson to Marana could take over an hour even in light to moderate traffic. And the driver would not even be in his/her own legislative district during the trip.</p> <p>4. The fourth constitutional criterion is that proposed maps should respect Communities of Interest. District 17 fails to respect Communities of Interest. With all due respect to Commissioner Mehl and SALC, there is nothing that ties together the east Tucson/Tanque Verde region with Marana and Oro Valley. They do not share the same schools. In fact, there are at least two and maybe three school districts in between the Tanque Verde area and Oro Valley. These communities do not worship together. Residents of Oro Valley/Marana do not share the same economy or employers with east Tucson. No one in east Tucson regularly shops in Oro Valley or Marana. In fact, the only time east Tucsonans would visit Marana would be driving through on the way to Phoenix. Similarly, no one in Oro Valley or Marana visits or shops in east Tucson/Tanque Verde unless they are taking a day trip to Mount Lemmon. The SALC map has naturally created a spillover effect in other districts around Tucson. Portions of midtown Tucson neighborhoods have been joined into Districts 21 and 19 which seem incredibly forced, and certainly not in the interests of those communities.</p> <p>5. The sixth criterion in the Arizona constitution is that maps should favor competitive districts. The SALC maps appear to have been drawn in order to gerrymander a Tucson based district for the republican party. District 17 went from a partisan split slightly in favor of Democrats to a partisan split strongly in favor of Republicans. Moreover, the change dramatically impacted the partisan balance in the rest of the state. Solid Democratic districts were reduced from 13 to ten (competitive analysis pdf for Map 6.0 vs. Map 8.0); solid Republic districts were increased from 13 to 15 (id). And, all of this was done under the rubric of uniting the cities of Marana and Oro Valley even though it did no such thing.</p> <p>In sum, this was not about uniting the cities of Marana and Oro Valley. It was about abandoning four days of mapping where the commissioners were trying to draw fair maps in favor of an unvetted partisan map designed to achieve partisan goals. In doing so, this turns the purpose of the AIRC—which is to put a stop to gerrymandering—on its head.</p> <p>Even worse, if the SALC maps are accepted, it could create a road map for partisan groups in the future to corrupt the AIRC mapping process. The game plan could be used by either side. First, organize partisans to present community of interest testimony that is made up of purely partisan talking points when there is no real community of interest, only a desire to pack a district to elect a candidate of their partisan leaning. Next, ask one of their partisan commissioners use that testimony to contend that a real community of interest exists even though groups are separated by multiple school districts and a mountain range. Finally, arrange for a non-profit affiliate to submit an unvetted, gerrymandered map late in the process with a claim that it advances the supposed communities of interest. This style of partisan gambit could effectively put an end to independent redistricting in Arizona.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:50	Kellen Wilson	Grid Map Consideration - Public Comment	<p>Hello! My name is Kellen and I live in Phoenix at 14th Street and Virginia Ave. The commission seems to have accepted the Southern Arizona Leadership Council maps without much trouble. But the Latino Coalition and the Navajo Nation's maps did not seem to carry as much weight. The indigenous community in Arizona has been put aside by the government in the past and it is an injustice to have their input dismissed at this critical moment. Please give the maps submitted by the Navajo Nation equal weight to the maps submitted by professional organizations. All of the voices of our community need to be heard in this process. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:51	Rachele Smith	Please hold more meetings in Phoenix	<p>There was only one meeting held in Phoenix for the community to attend and comment on the grid maps. Phoenix is the most populous city in the entire state and there should be more meetings held here when it comes time for the public to comment on the draft maps. I work with a lot of folks who have issues accessing technology. In person meetings are critical for all voters to have their voices heard, especially those who will be severely impacted by redistricting. We deserve the chance to talk to the commissioners about what we think about the maps.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:52	Marisela Xittali Mares	Redistricting Public Comment	<p>There were no grid map hearings in my area of Phoenix. I live at Priest and Washington St and when the IRC conducts their state-wide tour to hear from the public about the draft maps, I would like their commitment to holding meetings in the Phoenix metro area, specifically for the City of Tempe, at varying times that allow working people to participate.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:52	Marisela Xittali Mares	Redistricting Public Comment	<p>There were no grid map hearings in my area of Phoenix. I live at Priest and Washington St and when the IRC conducts their state-wide tour to hear from the public about the draft maps, I would like their commitment to holding meetings in the Phoenix metro area, specifically for the City of Tempe, at varying times that allow working people to participate.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:52	Valeria Urquiza	Redistricting - public comment	<p>I live at 31st Ave and McDowell and I ask that the commission accept the Latino Coalition's draft maps. The map software can be difficult for the average person like me to use and I'm glad that the coalition has put the effort in to create maps that take the spanish-speaking community into account. The commission has a responsibility to make sure that communities like mine are heard and considered in this process.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:53	Marilyn Lindell	Redistricting concerns	<p>I believe the most important criterion to consider are the Voting Rights Act and Competitive Districts!</p>

10/26/2021 - 15:53	Laura Perez	Redistricting Public Comment	<p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>There were no grid map hearings in my area of Phoenix. I live in central phoenix and when the IRC conducts their state-wide tour to hear from the public about the draft maps, I would like their commitment to holding meetings in the Phoenix metro area at varying times that allow working people to participate.</p> <p>I have a full time job, but would still like to make sure I can participate in this process and make sure I and my community are represented.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:54	Maico Stravlin Olivares	Redistricting Suggestions	<p>I have no issue with the Southern Arizona Leadership Council submitting maps and getting approved however I find it odd that a member (David Mehl) is also on the IRC. In the name of fairness. I don't think that someone who is apart of any group should also be apart of the IRC as I clearly see that as a possible conflict of interest.</p> <p>I think the nation is fed up with these types of things happening. If we are to be truly independent, unbiased, and fair this should not be allowed. David Mehl is free to submit and organize folks outside of his current position.</p> <p>from a TRUE ARIZONA independent. ENOUGH OF THIS BEHAVIOR. BE FAIR, BE RIGHTEOUS, BE AMERICAN.</p> <p>I will not stand for this on either side.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:54	Mari Yopez	Redistricting	<p>There were no grid map hearings in the area of Phoenix. I work in Phoenix Metro area and when the IRC conducts their state-wide tour to hear from the public about the draft maps, I would like their commitment to holding meetings in the Phoenix metro area at varying times that allow working people to participate.</p> <p>I work in Phoenix and there was only one meeting held in Phoenix for the community to attend and comment on the grid maps. Phoenix is the most populated city in the entire state and there should be more meetings held here when it comes time for the public to comment on the draft maps. As a Latina our community deserve the chance to talk to the commissioners about what we think about the maps.</p> <p>I am writing to ask that the commission hold more meetings in the metro Phoenix area. There was only one meeting in this area during the grid map phase. It can be difficult for many to access virtual meetings and my community deserves the chance to participate and have our voices heard. Please schedule more in-person meetings in Phoenix for the draft maps.</p> <p>I am a member of the Latino community and work in Phoenix with other latino community members. I ask the Commission to take my community into account when they are drafting the new district maps. The Latino Coalition and the Navajo Nation's maps did not seem to be taken as seriously as the Southern Arizona Leadership Council's maps. The purpose of having an independent commission and allowing the public to submit our own maps is to ensure that everyone has an equal opportunity to use their voice and participate in the process. I don't feel like this is being done as well as it could be.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:54	Michael Joseph Martinez	redistricting public comment	<p>I support the Latino Coalition's maps. The IRC accepts maps from the community and it is only right that they are given equal consideration as maps submitted by business councils like the Southern Arizona Leadership Council. I live at 7th Avenue & Thomas Rd. and I believe that the coalition's maps deserve serious consideration by the commission.</p> <p>I live at 7th Avenue & Thomas Rd. and I ask that the commission accept the Latino Coalition's draft maps. The map software can be difficult for the average person like me to use and I'm glad that the coalition has put the effort in to create maps that take the Spanish-speaking community into account. The commission has a responsibility to make sure that communities like mine are heard and considered in this process.</p> <p>I live at 7th Avenue & Thomas Rd. and would like the commission to accept the maps drawn by the Latino Coalition. It seems as if the maps drawn by the Southern Arizona Leadership Council were accepted without much argument. The Latino community in Arizona has grown and our voices deserve to be heard - by this commission and when it comes time to vote. Please accept these maps and listen to our input.</p> <p>The Navajo Nation's maps deserve to be considered more seriously. The commission seems to have accepted the Southern Arizona Leadership Council maps without much trouble. But the Latino Coalition and the Navajo Nation's maps did not seem to carry as much weight. The indigenous community in Arizona has been put aside by the government in the past and it is an injustice to have their input dismissed at this critical moment. Please give the maps submitted by the Navajo Nation equal weight to the maps submitted by professional organizations.</p> <p>Also, I am really displeased to learn that a group founded by one the re-districting commissioners was accepted before the indigenous community's. It seems racist and opportunistic - maybe even an abuse of power.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:55	Joshua Wells	Listen To Our Community When Making Grid Maps!	<p>I live near the 31st Avenue and Encanto intersection in Phoenix, Arizona. I respectfully ask that the commission accept the Latino Coalition's draft maps. The map software can be difficult for everyday Arizonans to use, which stops many of us from being actively involved in the process. As a partner alongside my wife in leading a bilingual household, I am thrilled that the coalition has put the effort in to create maps that take the Spanish-speaking community into account. Our community has been historically left behind and I urge you to ensure this is not the case moving forward. The commission has a responsibility to make sure that communities like ours are heard and considered in this process.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>
10/26/2021 - 15:55	Alexander Rosado Byrne	Redistricting Hearing	<p>I live in the Arcadia neighborhood of Phoenix off Thomas Rd and 48th St, and there has not been any hearings in my area regarding the Redistricting of my district without the community's input. This is also ridiculous given that Phoenix is the largest city in Arizona, this feels like we are being punished and are being left out of the conversation on purpose.</p>

10/26/2021 - 15:56	Rhoda Gamber	Redistricting Public Comment	There were no grid map hearings in my area of Phoenix. I live at Hunt Hwy & Mountain Vista Blvd, and when the IRC conducts meets for public meetings for the draft maps, I would like their commitment to holding meetings in the Phoenix metro area at varying times that allow working people to participate.
10/26/2021 - 15:59	Brendan Walsh	Draft Maps	<p>I live at 35th Avenue and Thunderbird in Phoenix (currently in LD 20). When the IRC conducts their state-wide tour to hear from the public about the draft maps, I would like their commitment to holding meetings in the Phoenix metro area, particularly in the more racially-mixed and working-class area of northwest Phoenix where I live.</p> <p>Ours has been one of the few relatively competitive districts on the current map. While there are MANY people in the district that share values and interests deeper than mine, there are many who share those interests. I believe this diversity is key to having a real voice in policy matters -- since our representatives must reach out and understand all of our interests as opposed to catering to a more uniform majority community.</p> <p>It would be easy to pull more people of color from the southern part of my current district and put them in a more solidly 'progressive' district. And it would be just as easy to pull more people from north of our district and make this a much less competitive and much more 'conservative.' I really hope that the final draft maps will not take either of these two actions, thereby making it hard for my voice to be heard in the district.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Brendan Walsh</p>
10/26/2021 - 16:07	Beatriz Topete	Redistricting	I am a member of the Latino community and I live at E Western Star Blvd. I ask the Commission to take my community into account when they are drafting the new district maps. The Latino Coalition and the Navajo Nation's maps did not seem to be taken as seriously as the Southern Arizona Leadership Council's maps. The purpose of having an independent commission and allowing the public to submit our own maps is to ensure that everyone has an equal opportunity to use their voice and participate in the process. I don't feel like this is being done as well as it could be. Also, I am really displeased to learn that a group founded by one the re-districting commissioners was accepted before the indigenous community's.
10/26/2021 - 16:09	Patrick Flynn	Redistricting	Do NOT FountainHills Rio Verde into a district that does not come close to our boundaries but also have NO common concerns! Leave us alone Thanks
10/26/2021 - 16:10	Patrick Flynn	Redistricting	Do NOT FountainHills Rio Verde into a district that does not come close to our boundaries but also have NO common concerns! Leave us alone Thanks
10/26/2021 - 16:16	Linda Tucker	Redistricting	No on proposed new districting of District 3. Scottsdale & Fountain Hills have nothing in common with Anthem and Desert Ridge. My doctors and hospital care is near my house in the E valley. I dine, shop, and use local Scottsdale municipal libraries and museums. My lifestyle flourishes E of Scottsdale Road. District 3 should be divided North & South at Scottsdale Road.
10/26/2021 - 16:16	Linda Tucker	Redistricting	No on proposed new districting of District 3. Scottsdale & Fountain Hills have nothing in common with Anthem and Desert Ridge. My doctors and hospital care is near my house in the E valley. I dine, shop, and use local Scottsdale municipal libraries and museums. My lifestyle flourishes E of Scottsdale Road. District 3 should be divided North & South at Scottsdale Road.
10/26/2021 - 16:22	Magdalena Acosta	Redistricting public comment	I live at 71st Ave and Indian School Ave and ask that the commission accept the Latino coalitions draft maps. The map software can be difficult for the average person like me to use and I'm glad the coalitions put the effort. To create maps that take the Spanish speaking community into account. The commissioner's responsibility to make sure that communities like mine are heard and considered in this process.
10/26/2021 - 16:28	Catherine Castaneda	Public commentedistricting-	I am a member of a community that is to often underrepresented and now it seems that while southern areas of this state have submitted maps that have been given due consideration, those submitted by the Navajo Nation and Latino communities have not been given the same consideration. I sincerely hope that this redistricting committee will give the communities that my family, friends and myself live in the same attention given to that of other communities and that more public hearings will be held before maps are finalized. Thank you for your time and consideration.
10/26/2021 - 16:34	Sue Baird	Map 8	I object to Map 8. Tucson should have 4 legislative districts within Pima County and not be combined with so many other counties.
10/26/2021 - 16:34	Rivko Knox	Fulfilling Your Constitutional Duties = NO to Draft Map 8.0!!	I am not a staff person paid by any organization; thus following the IRC is NOT my full time job. I am a long time resident of Phoenix,AZ; interested in public policy issues including redistricting; a mother & grandmother; member of various organizations that are following the IRC closely and sharing information with me. Draft map 8.0 seems to violate your constitutional duty to create 'fair and competitive' districts that comply with the Voting Rights Act!! It creates 17 districts that range from 57-80% White!!;it divides Pima County into SEVEN districts that cross 6 county lines; it violates city boundaries & allows districts to be split by a major mountain range; it creates 15 solid Republican voting districts (up from 13) and shrinks solid Democratic districts to only 10 (down from 13) thus not reflective of the very small difference between registered R's & D's!! So I say NO to 8.0!! Please listen to ALL Arizonans including the Latino community, AZ's largest minority population group!! Back to the drawing board!!!
10/26/2021 - 16:53	Jason Fontana	Redistricting	Please stop with the games the stress should be equal and not spit to make the republicans have an edge. Both parties need to work with the constituents of the area and be elected by the majority with out the games.
10/26/2021 - 17:03	Patrick Camunez	Map 8.0	Why are you changing the map to make it less competitive? Please do not approve this map
10/26/2021 - 17:16	Marilyn Wilbur	Experience in the meetings and maps	I attended the meeting that was held in Surprise. I was one of the only black people that was there and only one that spoke for the African American and the African communities. Before I spoke there was a gentleman that spoke that presented a lot of racial undertones and comments. When the crowd became upset when the committee members tried to stop him, a lot of members in the audience became upset and angry. The atmosphere of the auditorium became very hostile and uncomfortable for me. By the time I spoke no of them were hardly there, but the fact that the place became so hostile put me on high alert. As a Veteran and black woman I was very concerned about this. I was not shocked by this behavior which is sad to say. I live in Surprise and this is something I encounter weekly now that I don't wear my military uniform. I knew this would happen I was just having a little bit more hope in people to actually shut him down instead of cheerful and happy about what he said. There were no grid map hearings in Phoenix. I live in Surprise, but when the IRC conducts their state-wide tour to hear from the public about the draft maps, I would like their commitment to holding meetings in the Phoenix metro area at varying times that allow working people to participate.
10/26/2021 - 17:20	Lauriena M Lenhart	Proposed LD7Redistricting Map	Please leave Payson the same as we are now. Payson Voters want to remain with Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale and Camp Verde. We don't want to be with Pinal County!
10/26/2021 - 17:55	Carlos Ramos	Redistributing	I'm opposed to any redistricting. As suggested by a Democrat to do so on the basis of balance? I'm not surprised. This is clearly not one of the SIX criteria for consideration. The board has done a good job of fair representation thus far. Let's keep things as they are, non-partisan. Thank you.

10/26/2021 - 18:17	Julie Kaili	Redistricting	Do not combine Fountain Hill with Anthem etc. This redistricting is ridiculous and must have been the product of someone who has never driven the distance between these areas they are looking to combine! Proper representation cannot be made for these areas with this change. The district should be split between the North & South at Scottsdale Rd.
10/26/2021 - 18:26	Ashley McCally	Redistricting maps	Why is the independent committee favoring republicans in Pima County with their new maps? These boundaries are gerrymandering pure and simple. Splitting oro valley and marana in two, a contorted district 18, grouping white voters into white majority districts in 17 cases, district 21 stretching from Broadway in tucson, including green valley and Nogales? This Sora not accurately represent Pima county or our town/city boundaries and is clearly meant to favor republicans in a part of the state that does not. Please try again.
10/26/2021 - 18:34	Joan Knipe	Too many non-competitive districts in latest LD test map	I have lived in Scottsdale and North Phoenix for 22 years and have never been able to elect the candidates of my choice for the state legislature or U.S. Congress. Congressional district 6 & Legislative district 15 have been non-competitive with as much as 35% disparity in favor of Republicans. I urge you to understand our community of interest and draw maps that create a better balance in D6 and LD15. In LD Test Map 8.0, there are 11 legislative districts that favor Democrats, 14 that favor Republicans and five that fall within a competitive range. This does not fulfill the intent of fair and competitive districts as established in Prop 106. This limits the possibility of a fair and balanced legislature
10/26/2021 - 18:45	Alicia Klassen	Maps	Our state legislature has been controlled by Republicans for decades. As Arizonans vote for more Democrats in federal elections, it makes no sense to increase the Republican stranglehold over our state legislature through redistributing. If the following statement is true, it is unconscionable to lessen the voices of our state's Dems. "It creates 15 solid Republican voting districts (up from 13) and shrinks solid Democratic districts to only 10 (down from 13)."
10/26/2021 - 18:52	Mac Parker	Gerrymandering Map 8.0	Please stick to the proposed plan to make Map 8.0, developed during this most recent gerrymandering process, of the Tucson district. Currently, the City of Tucson, is controlled by Democratic politicians and policies This is not representative government and this new districting map helps us get closer the more representative government.
10/26/2021 - 19:06	Richard L Colson	Proposed District 3 Legislative Version 8	The proposed boundaries of the version 8 map would result in a District far too large and unwieldy for any candidate to properly represent. This proposed boundary map would lump Anthem, Desert Ridge, Rio Verde, and Fountain Hills together. Each of these areas are distinct communities with distinct interests and characteristics and should not all be lumped together. The geographic area is also immense and untenable for anyone to properly represent. At a minimum this district should be subdivided by a north and south boundary at Scottsdale Road. Thank you for your willingness to hear my input. Sincerely, Richard L Colson
10/26/2021 - 19:06	Crystal Bazarnic	CD test map 6.0	Hello, I am reviewing CD test map 6.0. I live south of Anthem. We appreciate not being in a CD with Yavapai County, but D1 should shift west, losing Tortilla Flat and the rural areas east of Scottsdale (we have nothing in common with these areas)
10/26/2021 - 19:19	Michael Thompson	Redistricting	keep Uptown Phoenix with Midtown, Central, and South Phoenix so these similar communities of interest can have representation that best represents their needs.
10/26/2021 - 19:46	Jared Hood	redistricting map	I object to this proposed redistricting map. It is absurd to split Pima county as suggested. As one of AZ population centers it should indeed have multiple districts and these should not all be shared with neighboring counties whose needs of representation are vastly different. Tucson and Pima county are highly progressive and our districts should reflect that and be drawn in a way that makes them competitive by not lumping together conservative strongholds in s blatant attempt at the worst kind of gerrymandering - DO BETTER!
10/26/2021 - 20:04	Keith Lierman	Redistricting Map	The new maps are not only unfair but biased to exclude minorities and prompt the privileged. Need to push back on this!!
10/26/2021 - 20:15	Sherrylyn Young, MD	NO on 9.0 map, YES on 9.1 or 9.2	As a 36-year resident of the Tanque Verde Valley area, I have watched representation for my community in the past 10 years be completely overtaken by issues in the city of Tucson. Over and over in public testimony the Commission has heard from voters on the outskirts of the city saying they DO NOT want to be included in communities that encompass large areas of the city of Tucson! The 9.0 map is an insult to the residents of Tanque Verde. The new LD 17 is almost an exact replica of the old LD 10 that we have protested, and which has resulted in practically NO Republican representation for Republicans in 10 years--hardly the "competitive district" that the Democrats are calling for. In all of Pima county, there is only one Republican legislator. I, and many others, find the 9.1 and 9.2 maps much more acceptable and much more representative of our communities of interest. I favor 9.1 and hope to see the outlying areas more fairly represented in this decade's redistricting. Thank you for your attention to our concerns, Sherrylyn Young
10/26/2021 - 20:52	Jacqui Bauer	defining communities of interest	Of all the criteria the IRC is tasked with considering as it redraws AZ's voting maps, the one that is the most difficult to define is "communities of interest," and I'm worried it has become a vulnerability in the process. Could the commission take steps to define what this means more clearly in the interest of institutionalizing a more robust redistricting system? What qualifies as a "community of interest" in the eyes of the IRC? A community of interest could be based on a school district, municipal borders, tribal affiliation, or the much more loosely defined "like-minded people," among so many others. It is this last definition that most concerns me - in much of the early public comment, it seemed people were defining their community of interest as "the people who vote like me," and I see this approach continuing to influence the process. Defining a community of interest in this way has the potential to derail every other intention and requirement behind our exemplary independent redistricting process. However, when we look at the origin of the voter-adopted amendment that created the IRC, it is clear that the intent was to increase citizen engagement and participation by ensuring that districts were competitive to the extent possible (not "safe" for any party) and that representatives were responsive to their constituents. If we allow "communities of interest" to be defined in a way that violates that intent, we've failed AZ voters.

10/26/2021 - 20:54	Dieter A Knecht	Keep Sedona in one legislative district and with COI Flagstaff	<p>It is hard for the residents of Sedona to understand how the draft redistricting map could show our city to be split into two Congressional Districts just by artificial county lines. What benefit for the Sedona residents would be achieved by splitting us into two different congressional districts? Or is the proposed split mainly meant to separate and dilute some like-minded political interest into two different congressional districts? This seems like the underlying reason to us.</p> <p>Wouldn't it be easier to draw the CD boundary on one side or the other of the city of Sedona, rather than down the middle? Otherwise, did all the discussion by the Redistricting Commission on keeping Community of Interest have any meaning?</p> <p>Please keep Sedona in one Congressional District and keep it in the same District as our nearest neighboring city, Flagstaff, with which we have many common commercial and cultural interests.</p> <p>Sincerely, Dieter A Knecht Sedona</p>
10/26/2021 - 21:02	Kelly	Gerrymandering	I think you are exaggerating just a little bit and having a Republican state would be the best thing for it!!!!
10/26/2021 - 21:58	Kari E. Johnston	Redistricting	The proposed redistricting map appears to be gerrymandering districts in favor of the GOP. Please protect free and fair elections in AZ.
10/26/2021 - 22:33	FREDERIQUE P DELHAYE	redistricting	I have looked at the proposed map by commissioner Mehl (SALK) I currently am in PC 220, LD 10 and according to this proposal would be in LD 19 .This would put my precinct in a district composed of rural area and Sierra Vista, more that an hour away. I live in a Tucson suburb with TUSD school, it makes no sense to cut us off from Tucson, which is our center. The same can be said for precincts 179, 199, 243 and 131.
10/26/2021 - 23:38	Anita Rodriguez	Redistricting of District 1	<p>The District 1 map currently being proposed is too vast, covering various distinct communities, both urban and suburban. These individual communities deserve their own separate representation rather than being thrown into a one large district where concerns from unique communities will be diluted.</p> <p>My family lives near the Biltmore on Camelback and I shop mostly in this area or in Arcadia or Scottsdale. Our church is located in central Scottsdale. I attend family and community functions mostly in the Arcadia district.</p> <p>I have little knowledge or interest in the affairs of Fountain Hills or Desert Ridge or their surrounding suburban areas, since I rarely, if ever travel to these areas. I'm sure their concerns and priorities are quite different from those we have in the Central Phoenix area.</p> <p>District 1 should be redrawn to reflect the individual identities of each community, so that the voices of Central Phoenix will be heard and not be drowned out by the concerns of large suburban areas.</p> <p>I trust you will act in a way that values each distinct community by giving them their own distinct representation.</p> <p>Thank you, Anita Rodriguez</p>