

Submitted Time	Full Name	Subject	Message
11/16/2021 - 04:32	Margaret A Cottle	Redistricting	The Legislative D4 should be "geographically compact & contiguous", "RESPECT communities of interest" and "shall use geographic features, city, town boundaries". Those being the directives for LD 4 shouldn't the boundaries be Highway 51 on the west to Highway 101/Pima Road on the east, Camelback Road north all the way through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain, Fountain Hills on the north boundary. This area is COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS, has SIMILAR INTERESTS, AND USES GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES as boundaries. A true grid pattern map.
11/16/2021 - 05:54	Linda Rizzo	Redistricting Map	Why will you not comply with the Guidelines? Redistricting Maps are supposed to adhere to the following guidelines; "US Constitution, Districts geographically compact & contiguous, District boundaries should RESPECT communities of interest, and District lines shall use geographic features, city, towns, boundaries".
11/16/2021 - 05:55	Linda Rizzo	Redistricting Map	Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!
11/16/2021 - 06:06	Vicki G Kaplan	Redistricting Map	I live in Scottsdale. Following are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit: North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4. The purposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills. With regard to Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51 and Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN! Vicki Kaplan
11/16/2021 - 06:28	Laureen Pratt	Redistrict LD23	"I am an attorney and I live in north Scottsdale. I live, shop, work, go to church and play in Scottsdale. It makes no sense for Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport to be in my district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the city line, which is Scottsdale Road, not I-17!" "Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western cities, north Phoenix and I-17 are filled with young families, our communities are different and shouldn't be in the same district." "South Scottsdale and Tempe are young, arts and entertainment sections; they belong together. As a recent ASU grad in south Scottsdale, I visit Tempe, not Paradise Valley."
11/16/2021 - 07:07	Joanne DiMino	Redistricting map	The Legislative D4 should be "geographically compact & contiguous", "RESPECT communities of interest" and "shall use geographic features, city, town boundaries". Those being the directives for LD 4 shouldn't the boundaries be Highway 51 on the west to Highway 101/Pima Road on the east, Camelback Road north all the way through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain, Fountain Hills on the north boundary. This area is COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS, has SIMILAR INTERESTS, AND USES GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES as boundaries. A true grid pattern map. The purposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills
11/16/2021 - 07:08	Meri Thomason	Redistricting	I am Opposing the Sedona Redistricting currently being considered. I am a member of the Sedona community for the past 15 years. I am writing to express my strong opposition to the redistricting plan to split Sedona on county lines. Sedona and the greater Verde Valley is a "community of interest." To my knowledge, respecting communities of interest is one of the six criteria in the Arizona Constitution that the IRC must follow when drawing congressional and legislative districts. It appears that the current proposal is in clear violation of this mandate and I'm requesting that the plans be reconsidered to respect our community. I REMIND YOU OF YOUR DUTY IN DRAWING THESE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS AND IMPLORING YOU TO KEEP SEDONA, THE VERDE VALLEY, FLAGSTAFF AND THE YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION AS ONE DISTRICT.

11/16/2021 - 07:28	Julie Pindzola	posting public comments	<p>There seems to be a delay for getting the public comments up for all to read. I don't see any comments up since 10/28/21, including last week's business meeting. The public would like to see what you are seeing.</p> <p>I'd also like to say that our public records requests need to be honored and that the requested information needs to be made available. IN some cases it has been over four months since the requests were submitted to the IRC.</p> <p>Thanks very much</p>
11/16/2021 - 07:41	Ronnie Kiefer	Scottsdale redistricting	<p>"I am a resident living in north Scottsdale. I live, shop, work, go to church and play in Scottsdale. It makes no sense for central Phoenix to be in my Congressional district."</p> <p>"Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western cities, while central Phoenix is a young, urban area. These two diverse areas don't belong together in Congressional District 1. Please remove central Phoenix."</p> <p>"Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western cities, while midtown Phoenix is a young, urban area. Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and surrounding communities are suburban, family-oriented communities. It makes no sense for them to be represented in Congress together. By combining them, it deprives both of us of representation. Please remove midtown Phoenix from CD1."</p>
11/16/2021 - 07:59	Lyle E. Brown	redistricting initiative opposition	<p>The most recent iteration of the proposed US Congressional District map folds Prescott and Payson into the same district as the Verde Valley. We of the Verde Valley and especially many Cottonwood residents oppose this change as you will see today as we show up at city council!</p>
11/16/2021 - 08:01	Marilyn Bernhardt	Redistricting: Sedona-Verde Valley-Flagstaff	<p>As proposed, the LD map makes a mockery of the concept of "community of interest" as well as stretching the bounds of the interlocking requirements of contiguity and political boundaries. In proposed districts 5 and 7, Sedona is split in two and divided from neighboring Village of Oak Creek. The map places most of the Verde Valley and Sedona with the more distant town of Prescott and disassociates it from its close companion of Flagstaff, it splits the Yavapai Apache Nation and generally shatters the existing relationship among all of these entities - in opposition to nearly 85% of the citizen comments and testimony at the Commission's public hearings. Please adopt the maps proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and supported by the City of Sedona which corrects all of these problems and keeps our area whole.</p>
11/16/2021 - 08:16	David Patchen	D3 Does Scottsdale a Disservice	<p>I'm proud to have lived in the McDowell Mountain Ranch section of Scottsdale for several years. My volunteer work is focused on North Scottsdale and frequent businesses there for services. I shop in Downtown Scottsdale. I eat at South Scottsdale restaurants. My doctor is located in Scottsdale. I take my out-of-town visitors to Scottsdale. Scottsdale is recognized around the world as a top tourist destination.</p> <p>Scottsdale is, in fact, one entity with its own economic base and its own set of values. Yet the current maps divide the city into three different districts, which will greatly dilute our legislative "voice." I was especially distressed to see that major portions of the city are lumped in proposed D3 with outline areas that have entirely different sets of values.</p> <p>So needless to say, I was dismayed (to put it mildly) to see that my new neighborhood was being placed in the D3, which will be dominated by FH and other semi-rural communities.</p> <p>Please consider LDF049. As I understand it, this proposed district satisfies the IRC's constitutional criteria.</p> <p>Thank you for keeping all perspectives in mind. I've attended two of the "listening tour" stops so far and left impressed each time by the professionalism and dedication exhibited by the commission.</p>
11/16/2021 - 08:33	Julie Pindzola	your public comment portal is not open during current biz meeting	<p>We cannot submit any public comments as you run through your justifications for current draft maps. Please correct this problem now</p>
11/16/2021 - 09:10	Theresa Bays	Redistricting	<p>I am a member of the Sedona community living in West Sedona.</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the redistricting plan to split Sedona on county lines.</p> <p>Sedona and the greater Verde Valley is a "community of interest." To my knowledge, respecting communities of interest is one of the six criteria in the Arizona Constitution that the IRC must follow when drawing congressional and legislative districts.</p> <p>It appears that the current proposal is in clear violation of this mandate and I'm requesting that the plans be reconsidered to respect our community.</p>
11/16/2021 - 09:14	Sandra Morrison	Redistricting Map	<p>I am retired and have lived in Maricopa County for nearly 20 years. The districts should be represented by communities of interest and should not be divided. I never travel south or west and shop in my community. Please respect the Constitution and do not divide our legislative district to include rural areas, which have very different needs and interests from Scottsdale. Thank you.</p>

11/16/2021 - 09:35	Esther Zack	Redistricting A Mistake	Please reconsider your redistricting proposal as it is not correct to have Desert Ridge and Deer Valley in our district here in Scottsdale.
11/16/2021 - 09:49	Margaret Connery	Sedona is a community	<p>I live in the village of Oak Creek. But when people ask me where I live, and when I write my address on a document, I say Sedona. I am a member of the Sedona community. West Sedona, Sedona, village of Oak Creek - This is a community. Your proposed plan to split our community to create new legislative districts will split this community of interest. That is not what you are supposed to be doing.</p> <p>The community of Sedona cares about development, water, climate change, and self rule. Splitting our community means we have less ability to make our community the place we want to live in.</p> <p>You propose to put the village of Oak Creek and West Sedona in a legislative district with Prescott. Because this will give a 30% majority to Republicans in your proposed district, you are basically disenfranchising every Democrat and independent in The Sedona community. I have voted in every election for over three decades, but if you put me in Prescott district, I need never vote again. My vote will never count again, there will never be another Democrat or another independent elected from this district for the next 10 years. Like splitting communities of interest, this is not what you were supposed to be doing.</p> <p>Keep the Sedona community whole. Do not disenfranchise us. Take a look at the Coconino board of supervisors proposed maps, these maps make much more sense.</p>
11/16/2021 - 10:18	Laura Lee	redistricting	I'm writing this to ask you to please follow the guidelines set up by the constitutional amendment that specifies keeping communities of interest together. Do not split Sedona and the Verde Valley up to include them with Prescott and Payson. I know this bit of gerrymandering is to keep our votes from counting and it is very upsetting! Please uphold our democracy and allow my vote to count!
11/16/2021 - 10:21	Blair Tarman	Community of Interest Tour - Recordings from 7.23.21 and 7.29.21 Hearings	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I noticed that the Community of Interest Tour Hearings from 7.23.21 and 7.29.21 were not posted to the Commission's YouTube page. Could those recordings be uploaded?</p> <p>Thanks!</p>
11/16/2021 - 10:26	Michele Meyer	Redistricting Map	The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.
11/16/2021 - 11:34	Sandra Morrison	REDISTRICTING MAPS	Redistricting Maps are supposed to adhere to the following guidelines: "US Constitution, Districts geographically compact & contiguous, District boundaries should RESPECT communities of interest, and District lines shall use geographic features, city, towns, boundaries".
11/16/2021 - 11:38	Karen James	Final Draft Map: Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I have been citizen of Scottsdale for over a quarter of a century. The final draft map divides Scottsdale into 3 LDs., tearing apart existing precincts and putting various parts of Scottsdale Unified School District into different LDs. The LD proposed for the area where I live (LD 3), consists of bits of several towns, the Fort McDowell Community and a large amount of open space. It is difficult to see what "community of interest" is represented here, other than the fact that it is heavily slanted toward one party. Lacking clear and coherent community interests a legislator elected in proposed LD 3 might easily be at a loss as to what goals to advocate. Because the LD is so slanted to one party and without clear community goals, legislators would likely gravitate to party issues and primaries might gravitate to establishing party purity rather than community issues. The LDF049 "Keep Greater Scottsdale Together" Map is coherent, following existing Scottsdale and Salt River boundaries, represents communities with clear economic and geographic interests, is competitive, and allows my community coherent representation. Thank you for your work and please consider the LDF049 Map.</p>
11/16/2021 - 11:45	Mary Reitz	Map Redistricting	I live in Fountain Hills. I am retired, all my daily activities are in the Fountain Hills and Scottsdale area. Scottsdale north of Camelback all the way north through Cave Creek and Carefree are "considered communities of interest". South of Camelback, the Scottsdale arts and entertainment should be with Tempe. Thank you for your consideration of my request.
11/16/2021 - 11:49	Mary Reitz	Map Redistricting	I live in Fountain Hills; below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4. Thank you for your review of this request.
11/16/2021 - 11:53	Kathleen DuFresne	Redistricting	Hello, I am a resident of Yavapai County and reside in the Village of Oak Creek. I am in agreement with the current district maps and draft maps are right for my district (CD2 and LD5) but not the entire State. Sedona should be kept together and not fractured. We align ourselves here with the Verde Valley and Prescott area since these areas best represent our communities of interest. Most of our business is conducted in these areas with easier travel to Prescott Valley especially in winter.

11/16/2021 - 11:57	Jeannine Reno	The Current LD6 and CD1 is a competitive district	The draft maps do not allow the people of the Verde Valley and Flagstaff to have comepetive districts as we do now. Keeping counties whole is not a constitutional requirement. There is currently a 28 point difference in the proposed maps verses less than one point in the current LD6. There have been substantial testimonies of why the Verde Valley is a social and economic community of interest. LD6 is the largest generator of tourism in the state, and visitors not only visit Sedona, they also visit nearby towns. Our economic workforce and affordable housing is impacted by the decisions you have presented. We deserve to have a district where all points of view have a voice. Please make our community of interest correct. I support the Coconino County Supervisors maps LDF 50 and LDF 51. Thank you for consideration of my request.
11/16/2021 - 11:58	William James	Final Draft Map, Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	Dear Commission Members, I have been a citizen of Scottsdale for 26 years. During that time I have lived in a highly noncompetitive LD, which as far as I know has only ever been represented by members of one party. The final draft map again places me in an LD which is in no way competitive (LD3). More than that. It is in no way representative of my community, Scottsdale. LD 3 consists of bits of Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, bits of some other unrelated towns, the Fort McDowell Indian Community and large areas of open space. It is hard to see what how a legislator would figure out what "community of interest" to represent, given various competing town and rural needs. The LDF049 "Keep Greater Scottsdale Together" Map presents a more coherent area which largely follows existing Scottsdale and Salt River Community boundaries. It is representative of my community. Scottsdale and the Salt River Community have a longstanding economic and geographic relationship and represent an existing "community of interest". And LDF049 is competitive. Please reconsider breaking up my community of interest and please pay attention to the competitiveness of LDs. Thank you for your work.
11/16/2021 - 12:03	Raeann Pellegatti Lewis	redistricting opposition	My family and I have been residents of Yavapai county and now Clarkdale for a combined total over 60 years. We oppose any redistricting and violating our community in such a way that is as unlawful and disrespecting us. I understand you are in clear violation of the 6 criteria in the Arizona Constitution. And regardless WE OPPOSE any redistricting.
11/16/2021 - 12:11	Karen James	Final Draft Map	Dear Commissioners, I live in Scottsdale; it is my community of interest. Unfortunately, the final draft map places me in LD 3, which contains highly diverse and unrelated land usages and communities. The "community of interest" here is not clear, and LD 3 does not seem to reflect my community Scottsdale. It is also very heavily loaded toward one party. It is difficult to see in the final draft map how Scottsdale's interests would be represented in any coherent way, since it is divided among 3 different LDs. Scottsdale Unified School District is divided among 3 different LDs. The LDF049 "Keep Scottsdale Together" Map is much more coherent (largely follows existing Scottsdale city boundaries), represents a clear community of interest (Scottsdale and the Salt River Community have longstanding strong economic and geographic common interests) and is competitive. I want my community, Scottsdale, to be represented in a coherent way in the legislature. Please consider shifting to the LDF049 map in order to preserve the natural community of Scottsdale and the Salt River Community. Thank you for your work.
11/16/2021 - 12:20	Nancy Harris Robertson	Redistricting Map	I live in Scottsdale below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4. The purposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.
11/16/2021 - 12:28	Barbara Hollway	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	I moved to the Valley in 1985 for my job with the Bureau of Land Management. After retiring in 2005, I moved to Scottsdale because of the amenities and lifestyle and have lived here ever since. I am concerned about the draft Map D3 as it does not completely meet the criteria of the IRC. I am recommending Map #LDF049 as it more fairly represents both political parties as well as keeps the most of the City of Scottsdale in one legislative district. It does a better job of meeting the IRC criteria. Thank you to of you for serving on this very important commission. And thank you for considering my comments.
11/16/2021 - 12:35	Liz Gildersleeve	Redistricting for Fountain Hills and Rio Verde	I live, work, and mostly play in Fountain Hills. Rio Verde and Fountain Hills should not be connected with Desert Ridge and I-17. These are very distinct communities. Residents from these areas should be represented by people near to these areas and who understand these communities. The redistricting changes being proposed for these areas do not make any sense at all. They would be unfair to the residents as well as to any elected officials who would have to represent these areas. Please reconsider these changes in the interest of protecting the voices of residents who live in these areas.
11/16/2021 - 12:44	Deborah Buskey	Redistricting Map	The Legislative D4 should be "geographically compact & contiguous", "RESPECT communities of interest" and "shall use geographic features, city, town boundaries". Those being the directives for LD 4 shouldn't the boundaries be Highway 51 on the west to Highway 101/Pima Road on the east, Camelback Road north all the way through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain, Fountain Hills on the north boundary. This area is COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS, has SIMILAR INTERESTS, AND USES GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES as boundaries. A true grid pattern map.

11/16/2021 - 12:45	Deborah Buskey	Redistricting Map	The Legislative D4 should be "geographically compact & contiguous", "RESPECT communities of interest" and "shall use geographic features, city, town boundaries". Those being the directives for LD 4 shouldn't the boundaries be Highway 51 on the west to Highway 101/Pima Road on the east, Camelback Road north all the way through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain, Fountain Hills on the north boundary. This area is COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS, has SIMILAR INTERESTS, AND USES GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES as boundaries. A true grid pattern map.
11/16/2021 - 12:49	William James	Final Draft Map	Dear Commissioners, I have lived in Scottsdale for 26 years and have served on a Scottsdale advisory commission. Scottsdale is my community of interest. The Final Draft Map divides Scottsdale into 3 different LD's, leaving the Scottsdale Unified School District and the Scottsdale City government to deal with 3 different sets of legislators. Because the 3 LD's are not competitive, it is less likely that the legislators are going to be working together for the good of Scottsdale. The area I live in is in proposed LD 3, which is composed of parts of unrelated towns and very rural areas, as well as the McDowell Community. It is difficult to see what the "community of interest" here is and the LD is highly non-competitive. The LDF049 "Keep Greater Scottsdale Together" Map presents a coherent LD with, for the most, part existing boundaries. Scottsdale and the Salt River Community have longstanding economic and land interest ties. The LDF049 map is competitive and since most of Scottsdale would be represented by one set of legislators, here would seem to be a higher likelihood that the legislators would work with the LD communities constructively. Please consider keeping Scottsdale together, as in the LDF049 map. Thank you for your work.
11/16/2021 - 12:51	Susan Constance	redistricting	Public records on redistricting
11/16/2021 - 13:00	Michael and Helen Abrahamson	Redistricting map- Sedona	We are writing regarding the proposed redistricting maps which would divide Sedona into two districts, and separate Sedona from Flagstaff, with which we have important ties. The proposed new districts would not be politically competitive. Isn't the idea of fair and equal representation to ensure that all voices are heard? The proposed districts seem to be outright gerrymandering. We ask that you honor the request submitted by the city of Flagstaff which would keep Sedona whole, honor Native American requests and align our city with those who share our economic and infrastructure needs. Thank you.
11/16/2021 - 13:02	Janet Montague	redistricting objection	Please do not put Rio Verde into the Scottsdale district. It should not be included as I shop, eat and work in Scottsdale. I feel that this redistricting is political! Janet Montague
11/16/2021 - 13:10	Catherine Delaney	Redistricting in Yavapai County	Thank you for the map that puts Sedona and the Verde Valley together in one district with the Prescott area. Most of us who live in this area go to Prescott to do shopping for items that we can't find in our little towns. It's easier to go there than Flagstaff which might as well be in another state. The city is hard to get around in, bad signage, crumbling sidewalks, potholes, very hard to get to and from with a 3000' + altitude change and impossible in winter. Do not include Flagstaff with us! We have much in common here in the rest of Yavapai County, lake and river tourism and user friendly towns. Much easier to address problems of infrastructure here locally, like road problems, law enforcement, etc. We should be together with people in the same county for taxation purposes so our concerns can be addressed by legislators or congressmen representing our county. It makes no sense to live in Yavapai County and vote for legislators, congressmen, and supervisors there, only to have our specific needs lumped together with Coconino County. That would result in a fractured response in law enforcement, road conditions, etc. This would be an impossible situation, especially in winter with icy roads and lots of snow. Thanks for all your hard work in sticking to the constitutional requirements for redistricting.
11/16/2021 - 13:36	Gayle Earle	REDISTRICTING	I am a small business owner and live in Fountain Hills south of Shea Blvd. I shop, work and live my life in Fountain Hills and nearby Scottsdale. This is my community. I don't go to Desert Ridge, Deer Valley airport, go near I-17 and the Indian Reservation. Those areas are miles away and should not be in the same district. Fountain Hills, Scottsdale and Rio Verde are suburban towns. The Indian Reservation is a community unto itself, North Phoenix and the I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and should not be in the same district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not I-17!
11/16/2021 - 13:42	Barbara Dickerson	Redistricting CD6	I live in Scottsdale. I am retired, all my daily activities are in the Scottsdale area. Scottsdale north of Camelback all the way north through Cave Creek, Carefree and Fountain Hills are "considered communities of interest". South of Camelback, the Scottsdale arts and entertainment should be with Tempe. I don't go to Anthem to see the Christmas tree. It's the other side of the world for me. I don't even go to Desert Ridge, and rarely anywhere west of Scottsdale.
11/16/2021 - 13:44	Captain George USAF Burk USAF Ret	AZ Redistricting	The western boundary for Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde District should be the western border of Scottsdale which is at Scottsdale Road, NOT I-17!"

11/16/2021 - 13:44	Cynthia L Buckner	Redistricting of LD 15	<p>I am a retired widow who lives in north Phoenix near the Deer Valley Airport. My neighborhood is made up of working-class, family-oriented Americans living in older single-family homes. It is a moderately safe, quiet neighborhood near shopping and schools.</p> <p>At present I am located in Legislature District 15 which lays across the north Phoenix area between Scottsdale Road and 67th Avenue. It has the shape of a rectangle. The southern boundary for the most part is the 101 Freeway except the southeast corner dips to Cactus Road and the southwest corner dips to Bell Road. Its northern boundary is mostly the 303 Freeway and Right Away except the northeast and northwest corners reach Carefree Highway. Therefore it is a neat compact Legislative District so I am amazed at the redistricting changes you are proposing.</p> <p>The new district northern boundary goes all the way to the Yavapai County line and the eastern boundary goes to the Gila County line. Therefore this new district will include parts of the western Tonto National Forest and Fort McDowell Indian Community. These areas have nothing in common with my neighborhood. The area north of Carefree to the Yavapai County line is 99% in the Tonto National Forest. It is a very rural area with a few ranches and cabins. And since it is in the National Forest here will be no development of future communities. My family has property north of Carefree which goes into Yavapai County so I am very familiar with this area. The new District should have a northern boundary closer to Carefree Highway or the Tonto National Forest Southern Boundary. The Eastern Boundary should be Scottsdale or Pima Road.</p>
11/16/2021 - 14:04	Karyn Riedell	Mapping	<p>Arizona citizens voted to establish the IRC in order to create more competitive districts than would occur if the state legislature continued to control this process. The current map being considered does not provide the majority of Arizonans with competitive districts. I urge the Commission to maximize competitiveness in as many districts as possible.</p>
11/16/2021 - 14:10	MiMi Paris	Redistricting Maps	<p>The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.</p>
11/16/2021 - 14:42	Laneya McCullough	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	<p>I have been a resident of Scottsdale in the McCormick Ranch neighborhood for more than 3.5 years. My daughter attends school in SUSD, and I have both worked and volunteered at Scottsdale Schools.</p> <p>We believe strongly that the best LD map will keep greater Scottsdale together, as reflected in Map #LDF049. This map is consistent with all six of the criteria for drawing a district, and is our best option because it recognizes the unique relationship between Scottsdale and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian community.</p> <p>My husband works in the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian community. Many of the children in our neighborhood attend school there, and my daughter attends sports camps at Scottsdale Community College. Our two communities are solidly interconnected, and it makes sense to put us together in one LD.</p> <p>The current draft map does a disservice to the residents of Scottsdale. It splits the city up into three LDs, and does not adequately address the problems created with the previous redistricting. The current draft map attaches my neighborhood to D4, which is largely a region I do not feel part of; it separates my family from the community we identify with.</p> <p>By keeping greater Scottsdale together we could have a politically competitive district. The City of Scottsdale has shown that political parity works here - both the Scottsdale City Council and SUSD School Board are made up of centrist candidates of both parties. Scottsdale deserves to have a legislator that truly represents the community.</p> <p>We hope that the IRC will keep greater Scottsdale together, and the best way to do that is represented by Map #LDF049.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration. Laneya McCullough</p>
11/16/2021 - 14:52	Catherine Moore	Redistricting	<p>PLEASE keep all of Sedona and the Village of Oak Creek in the same district as the Verde Valley!!!</p>
11/16/2021 - 14:58	Richard Eugene Minor	Redistricting Boundries	<p>The Western Boundary of the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde District should be Scottsdale Road NOT I17!!</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:00	Miriam Sterk	REDISTRICTING	<p>I live in Scottsdale. I am retired, all my daily activities are in the Scottsdale area. Scottsdale north of Camelback all the way north through Cave Creek and Carefree are "considered communities of interest". South of Camelback, the Scottsdale arts and entertainment should be with Tempe.</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:01	Sam Sterk	REDISTRICTING	<p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p> <p>The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills</p>

11/16/2021 - 15:02	Miriam Sterk	REDISTRICTING	<p>Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:14	Bradford D Drown	Redistricting Maps	<p>My name if Brad Drown, I'm retired and live in Rio Verde. It makes absolutely no sense to move the western boundary for the Rio Verde, Fountain Hills and Scottsdale district west of Scottsdale Road. Mixing two different types of communities goes against the idea of a contiguous community district.</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:30	Laura Norton	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	<p>Dear Commisioners,</p> <p>After reviewing the draft maps I am very concerned that the city of Scottsdale is going to be split into three legisla ive districts. I am a resident of Scottsdale for 17 years and feel a strong allegiance to the city as a whole. It is for this reason that I support the map LDF049. Although I live near Old Town Scottsdale, my routine takes me to all parts of the City of Scottsdale for recreation. My routine includes weekly hikes in the McDowell Mountain Preserve and frequent visits to restaurants in the Kierland and Scottsdale Quarter developments. Recently I have taken up mountain biking and have been riding along the bike trail system throughout the city as well as onto the canal in he Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community and onto desert trails. When I vote for state legislatures I want to vote for candidates that understands the links that exist from north to south across the City of Scottsdale. Keeping Greater Scottsdale Together will allow Scottsdale to be represented with a strong voice at the state level for economic support of recreation..</p> <p>Thank you for your service to our state.</p> <p>Laura Norton</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:37	Kriss Sprinkle	Redistricting	<p>I urge the Commission to revise its proposed maps in accordance with the proposals of the Coconino County Board of Supervisors, which are supported by the City of Sedona as well as the great majority of citizens who have commented at IRC public hearings.</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:54	Jackie L Ulmer	Redistricting - LD23	<p>I am a North Scottsdale resident, bordering Cave Creek, Carefree and Phoenix. Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban ci ies/towns. North Phoenix and I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district. Prior comments to the IRC indicated that people in the area of North Phoenix and I-17 agree with this. PLEASE re-work our area and keep us with Scottsdale, and these other communi ies.</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:57	Beatrice J Hanks	Redistricting	<p>I live in Sedona, and have nothing to do with Prescott- no business, no shopping, no entertainment, never been there. In other words, Prescott is NOT my community of interest. Cottonwood and Flagstaff are.</p>
11/16/2021 - 15:58	Sandra Immerso	IRC DRAFT MAPS	<p>My message is a request for answers. My 3 questions are</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why do you want to dilute Democratic voters in Sedona? 2. What other ra ional do you have for doing this, honestly? 3. Why are you trying to defy the IRC vote of 2000?
11/16/2021 - 16:00	Beatrice J Hanks	Redistricting	<p>The proposed redistrict ing map makes no sense. Sedona, the Verde Valley, Cottonwood and Flagstaff- a real community of interest- should be together.</p>
11/16/2021 - 16:01	Beatrice Jones Hanks	Redistricting	<p>I demand that the map be changed so hat Sedona is in the same district as Flagstaff and Cottonwood- NOT lumped in with Prescott.</p>
11/16/2021 - 16:07	lauren wiener	REDISTRICTING MAP	<p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p> <p>The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and con iguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.</p> <p>Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>

11/16/2021 - 16:08	STEVEN H wiener	REDISTRICTING MAP	<p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p> <p>The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and con iguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.</p> <p>Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>
11/16/2021 - 16:08	sydney l wiener	REDISTRICTING MAP	<p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p> <p>The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and con iguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.</p> <p>Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>
11/16/2021 - 16:09	marie claire obadia	REDISTRICTING MAP	<p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p> <p>The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and con iguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.</p> <p>Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>
11/16/2021 - 16:19	Mary Margaret Monillas	Redistricting map	<p>As I study the proposed new map, I see Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport linked to me in Scottsdale. These are miles away and don't represent my community nor the places where I worship, shop, recreate and seek medical care. These areas should not be linked on the new map.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>
11/16/2021 - 16:20	Stephen Hanks	Redistricting	<p>Sedona's community of interest includes Cottonwood and Flagstaff, NOT Prescott. Please change the proposed redistricting map.</p>
11/16/2021 - 16:25	Beatrice J Hanks	Redistricting	<p>Please put Sedona back with Flagstaff, not with Prescott. We in Sedona are NOT in any way a community of interest with Prescott. Also, Flagstaff and the Navajo Nation belong together, NOT with Payson. The Map as proposed is severely GERRYMANDERED.</p>

11/16/2021 - 16:30	Rebecca Merritt	REDISTRICTING MAP	I AM RETIRED AND LIVE IN SCOTTSDALE. MY DAILY ACTIVITIES, WHICH INCLUDE MEDICAL APPOINTMENTS, SHOPPING, ENTERTAINMENT, ATTENDING CHURCH EVENTS ARE ALMOST ENTIRELY WITHIN THE SCOTTSDALE AREA NORTH OF CAMELBACK TO CAREFREE AND CAVE CREEK. LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT 4 SHOULD REFLECT THESE BOUNDARIES. PLEASE LEAVE THE DISTRICTING MAP AS IT CURRENTLY IS DRAWN. THANK YOU.
11/16/2021 - 16:34	Sam Sterk	REDISTRICTING	Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The proposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN! I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.
11/16/2021 - 16:38	joan berry jaynes	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together Map LDF049	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together Map LDF049 This map to Keep Greater Scottsdale Together meets all six of the constitutional criteria for drawing a district which the IRC maps do not. We know the works of the City Council and the Scottsdale School Board. We have many connections with the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian community. We share many businesses that are located on their land as is the Scottsdale Community College. I am a 43 year resident of Scottsdale who cares about her community.
11/16/2021 - 16:52	Christopher W Hoyt	REDISTRICTING MAP	I live in Scottsdale. I am retired, all my daily activities are in the Scottsdale area. Scottsdale north of Camelback all the way north through Cave Creek and Carefree are "considered communities of interest". South of Camelback, the Scottsdale arts and entertainment should be with Tempe. The Legislative D4 should be "geographically compact & contiguous", "RESPECT communities of interest" and "shall use geographic features, city, town boundaries". Those being the directives for LD 4 shouldn't the boundaries be Highway 51 on the west to Highway 101/Pima Road on the east, Camelback Road north all the way through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain, Fountain Hills on the north boundary. This area is COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS, has SIMILAR INTERESTS, AND USES GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES as boundaries. A true grid pattern map. Thank You!
11/16/2021 - 16:55	Nancy Sansom Reynolds	Redistricting	To the IRC: please keep the Verde Valley with the regional area of Flagstaff, Sedona and VOC. We are a region working together on issues of mutual interest including medical care and medical facilities, shopping, roads, bus lines, airports and trains, housing issues, places of employment, arts and culture, the economy, tourism, recreation and the care of our forests. We are a like minded community and interconnected region. Thank you.
11/16/2021 - 16:59	JOHN "JACK" FIENE	Sedona Redistricting	I moved to Arizona in 1971, and have lived and worked throughout the state since that time. Getting acquainted with the demographics, social and political forces, economics, workforce and employment, and physical influences, have been important elements of my work. My wife and I purchased our home in Sedona in 2013, and retired here in 2016. Although changing somewhat over time, most similar influences for the city remain within the Verde Valley, and including the Village of Oak Creek. Under the presumption that the Commission is, in fact, independent, I am shocked that our property would be included within the Legislative District that also includes Payson. Meanwhile, west Sedona and the Village of Oak Creek would fall within the legislative boundaries with the City of Prescott. Dividing our city and including the remnants with cities that share few, if any, similar influences seems not only partisan, but also punitive. Since 2018, the State has taken away our home rule. Our neighborhoods have been wrecked by Senate Bill 1350, and now the AIRC is recommending splitting us between two separate legislative districts. For the sake of the fulltime residents of Sedona, please consider a revision that keeps Sedona together, along with our Verde Valley influences.
11/16/2021 - 17:27	Vikki Raskin	Redistricting	I live in Paradise Valley. I work, shop, go out for dinner, doctors, children went to the neighborhood schools, and we go to a local synagogue in Scottsdale. All of our everyday activities are in Scottsdale. Paradise Valley has similar interests, concerns and needs as those living in Scottsdale north of Camelback Road up through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain. Should be in the same Legislative District.
11/16/2021 - 17:31	Joyce Haver	Redistricting Map	The Legislative D4 should be "geographically compact & contiguous", "RESPECT communities of interest" and "shall use geographic features, city, town boundaries". Those being the directives for LD 4 shouldn't the boundaries be Highway 51 on the west to Highway 101/Pima Road on the east, Camelback Road north all the way through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain, Fountain Hills on the north boundary. This area is COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS, has SIMILAR INTERESTS, AND USES GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES as boundaries. A true grid pattern map.


11/16/2021 - 18:16	Lois C Sugar	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	As a thirty year resident and educator in Scottsdale, I am writing to implore you to use map LDF049 for redistricting. This map is compact, contiguous, respectful of communities, adheres to geographic features, compliant with the US Constitution and the Voting Rights Act, and importantly is fairly competitive. In the last decade, there has been no possibility of competitive races and this is undemocratic and un-American. As we continue to grow as a city and state we need to ensure inclusion and respect for all. Thank you for your careful deliberations regarding Map LDF049. Sincerely, Lois Sugar
11/16/2021 - 18:18	Larry Smith	Redistricting	I live in Paradise Valley. I work, shop, go out for dinner, doctors, children went to the neighborhood schools, and we go to a local synagogue in Scottsdale. All of our everyday activities are in Scottsdale. Paradise Valley has similar interests, concerns and needs as those living in Scottsdale north of Camelback Road up through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain. Should be in the same Legislative District.
11/16/2021 - 18:33	Laura McFarland	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	I am a registered voter and Scottsdale resident for last 7 years. I love my community and am retired but am active in the community as a volunteer. Commenting on map #CDF009 which I think should be replaced with Map #LDF049 for the following reasons: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is compact, contiguous, and compliant with voting rights act. 2. It keeps greater Scottsdale together in one legislative district. 3. By including the SRPMIC land it serves the shared economic interests of both communities. 4. It follows geographic features and city borders, which makes common sense. 5. Scottsdale should not be split into 3 different districts which places parts of my community in districts that are in other cities which do not share the same economic interests. Please consider and honor the vision that so many of us have in wanting to keep greater Scottsdale together.
11/16/2021 - 19:01	Beth M. Horowitz	6 criteria	I am wondering if in drawing the maps, you first drew up fair maps for each individual criteria then overlaid them to find the best map. What emphasis was given to competitiveness? Competitiveness protects democracy by encouraging engagement. You should have few safe districts and it seems that you have too many.
11/16/2021 - 19:01	Beth M. Horowitz	6 criteria	I am wondering if in drawing the maps, you first drew up fair maps for each individual criteria then overlaid them to find the best map. What emphasis was given to competitiveness? Competitiveness protects democracy by encouraging engagement. You should have few safe districts and it seems that you have too many.
11/16/2021 - 19:39	Robert Sampieri	Redistricting Map	I have lived in Scottsdale since 1991. I have reviewed the proposed "Scottsdale Redistricting Map" and see a blatant effort to Gerrymander via "cracking" the Congressional District which would alter the political balance and integrity and would also achieve a false political change to our present composition. I am totally opposed to these changes and respectfully request that the status quo in our present boundaries be maintained. Do not move forward with this blatant proposal to gerrymander our current Congressional District so that it is not reflective of our current boundaries.

11/16/2021 - 20:04	Fredi Hamilton	Northern Arizona Redistricting	<p>A functioning democracy requires the push and pull of opposing points of view if it is to remain healthy.</p> <p>Proposition 106 was put in place to ensure that we would have competitive voting districts.</p> <p>Such districts encourage candidates to debate the issues, and hopefully find a middle ground that will attract the most voters to their point of view.</p> <p>That is the glory of democracy.</p> <p>But your proposed redistricting maps for Northern Arizona are weighted to favor only one side of the debates.</p> <p>It is for that reason that I implore you to reconsider the lines you have drawn...and instead follow the LDF 50 and 51 maps...so that we might guarantee robust debates...and encourage candidates representing all sides of the issues to run for office in our districts.</p> <p>Otherwise, we risk cutting the very heart out of our democracy...and then...we could all find ourselves traveling down some very dangerous paths.</p> <p>I thank you.</p>
11/16/2021 - 20:06	Ann Kelley	LD5 Competitiveness	<p>Yavapai Area 5 has a Democrat for the Board of Supervisors although it is a majority Republican district. So good Democrat candidates are competitive because Republicans are interested in finding the best candidate not just electing the Republican.</p>
11/16/2021 - 20:44	Nicole Gurley	Redistricting LD5	<p>I am a business owner in Cave Creek which has been part of LD1 comprised of Anthem and Yavapai County. Cave Creek, Carefree, North Scottsdale and Fountain Hills form a more appropriate group of communities of interest and boundaries. These communities are where I shop and dine and where my service providers are located. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>
11/16/2021 - 21:20	Marie Wenzel	Keep Sedona Intact and I Support LDF 50 and 51	<p>Dear IRC Members,</p> <p>Thank you for taking public comments. My name is Marie Wenzel and I live in the Chapel neighborhood of Sedona. To avoid gerrymandering, the mandate that Arizona voters gave to the IRC is that lines should be guided by district competitiveness, compactness and keeping communities of interest together. Splitting Sedona along county lines achieves none of these goals. Sedona has common interests with our immediate neighbors, the Verde Valley and Flagstaff, NOT Payson (83 miles away and light years away in common interests) and Prescott, 63 miles away and over the Mingus Mountains. The draft maps for Northern Arizona are uncompetitive, unfair and unwise. Please consider the fairer and more competitive maps LDF 50 and 51 submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors.</p>
11/16/2021 - 21:31	Karen Garrett	Scottsdale Legislative Districts - LD 3	<p>My name is Karen Garrett. I live north of the 101 near Pima and Pinnacle Peak Rds in the new LD District 3 that is now +20 Republican. I do most of my shopping, I work, I go to church...south of the 101 which is part of District 8. Putting any part of Scottsdale south of the canal/FLW into a +20 Democrat district with Tempe is (respectfully) ludicrous. To live in a +20 R Far North Scottsdale area and do all of my shopping, business, etc. in a +20 D North Scottsdale area isn't abiding by the rules of community of interest. Within a few miles there is a 40 pt spread!</p> <p>Also, I don't shop, work, go to school in, or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near I-17. Those areas are miles away & should not be in the same district of LD 3.</p> <p>The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not I-17!</p> <p>Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district. Prior comments to the IRC indicated that people in the area of North Phoenix and I-17 agree with this.</p> <p>Please FIX SCOTTSDALE!</p>
11/16/2021 - 21:38	Karen Garrett	Scottsdale CD 1	<p>Please remove Central Phoenix from the currently drawn CD 1. The CD drawn as an urban, suburban and rural MASSIVE district in Maricopa County is not a community of interest. Living at Pinnacle Peak and Pima I have nothing in common with Central Phoenix and rarely visit the city unless it is to attend an event.</p>
11/16/2021 - 22:45	Ursula Newman	redistricting	<p>I am a piano teacher in Scottsdale, AZ. I love my students in Scottsdale. I chose to live in Scottsdale for the ideals this town represents to me. My children have all had schooling in Scottsdale, my dry cleaners, my local deli, my library, my friendly supermarket. Please keep Scottsdale as Scottsdale is. You do not have the right to change my demographics.</p>

11/17/2021 - 06:04	Julie Pindzola	Public Testimony Cottonwood 11-16-21	<p>Public Testimony - Cottonwood Community Clubhouse Nov. 16, 2021</p> <p>Hello IRC Sadly, the draft maps are a bit of a failure. They grossly ignore the pleas for more and truly competitive districts in Northern, Central and Southern Arizona. Focusing on the North, you have taken us from having 2 Congressional districts in Yavapai County, one safe and one highly competitive, and reduced us to one Red safe district, to what end?</p> <p>Draft CD9 and CD2 both badly need to be reworked. Put Central Yavapai County in with CD9's Mohave County so the like-minded can be together. Doing so enhances their compactness and COI. Let the Verde Valley contribute to a competitive district that also honors the Effective VRA needs of Navajo, Hopi and other Tribes. They have asked for this via their CDF-010. Use this map and fix his travesty. With competitive districts we can have a more engaged citizenry, and a more responsive and responsible set of elected officials. This is what we want. This is what you should want too.</p> <p>LDs 5, 6, 7, and 30 need to be reworked as well. Competitiveness is not a bonus, rather it is key to why the IRC was created in the first place. It is not right to continue to treat this important criteria as an afterthought. Again, put Central YAVAPAI County in with LD30's Mohave County, leaving the independent Verde Valley with a competitive LD7. This makes LD30 more compact, enhances their COI with like-minded people, and reduces the need to include West Valley populations. Also by allowing Flagstaff to rejoin LD7, it helps clean up LD6 which is badly over packed with a whopping 42% vote spread. See LDF 50 and 51 for guidance.</p> <p>Your adherence to Yavapai County LD5 is inconsistent with several criteria: it flies in the face of neighboring COIs, also significant geographical boundaries, compactness, fairness and competitiveness in the region. Further, to keep Yavapai whole you split up Apache and Navajo counties. Please rework these maps to eliminate too many safe districts.</p> <p>Thank you for keeping an open mind.</p>
11/17/2021 - 08:36	Lauren Bernally	Request to speak 11/17/21 hearing	Please add my name to the list to speak at the IRC meeting on 11/17/21. Thank you.
11/17/2021 - 08:44	Deborah L. Kay	Redistricting map	<p>Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The proposed map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale. Below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>
11/17/2021 - 08:53	Bonnie Capaul	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	I have studied your proposed redistricting map and strongly disagree with the splitting of Scottsdale and Salt River districts. Both communities share a strong economic concern.
11/17/2021 - 09:02	Terry Bahr	Redistricting map	I live in Scottsdale below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.
11/17/2021 - 09:15	Terry Bahr	Redistricting map	I live in Scottsdale below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.
11/17/2021 - 09:30	David Patchen	Proposed Maps Make It Much Harder for Scottsdale Officials	<p>I've got several concerns about the way the IRC proposes to divide Scottsdale. Among those as the fact that city should be considered one entity. As I and others have pointed out, residents share common interests in sustainable land use,, a culture of citizen involvement, environmental stewardship and open space preservation.</p> <p>But one more thing I hadn't considered as the impact this proposal would have on how our city officials deal with the state legislature. Imagine having to deal with six house reps and three state senators?</p> <p>Please consider proposed map LDF049, which keeps Scottsdale as one entity.</p> <p>Thank you for your hard work. It's greatly appreciated.</p>

11/17/2021 - 10:10	Jan Dubauskas	LD3 & CD1	<p>Please reconsider LD3 as currently drawn. A 35 mile wide district is a disservice to the constituents.</p> <p>As a resident of North Scottsdale, my children go to school in Scottsdale, we go to church in Central Scottsdale and my husband works in Central Scottsdale. We do not go to Desert Ridge or Deer Valley airport.</p> <p>Scottsdale should not be split in 3 LD's.</p> <p>We request that the Scottsdale city boundary be the eastern border of LD3, with a southern border of Camelback Rd.</p> <p>CD 1 includes largely suburban areas, yet also includes the highly urban area of Central Phoenix. From Scottsdale, we hardly ever go to downtown Phoenix - maybe once a year for a ballgame. Please remove Central Phoenix from CD1.</p>
11/17/2021 - 10:27	Karyn Riedell	Mapping	<p>Please consider the maps submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and endorsed unanimously by the city councils of both Flagstaff and Sedona.</p>
11/17/2021 - 10:33	Tommy Andrews	Redistricting LD8	<p>I have lived in McCormick Ranch for 18 years. When you have McCormick Ranch combined with South Scottsdale, Tempe and the Reservation you are clearly gerrymandering in order to achieve an unnatural outcome.</p> <p>Our community of interest is from Camelback Rd. on the South, Scottsdale Road on the West, Cave Creek on the North and East through Shea Corridor and including Fountain Hills/Rio Verde.</p> <p>This community shares common interests and should be represented by a legislator who is not conflicted by having to appeal to at least three very different communities!</p> <p>Please redraw the proposed LD 8 borders to include McCormick Ranch with its natural community boundaries.</p>
11/17/2021 - 10:40	Kathleen Andrews	redistricting Scottsdale	<p>Back again Chairman Neuberger, November 17, 2021</p> <p>Scottsdale is an incredible dog loving community and any morning or evening is a glorious time to visit with other neighbors who are enjoying being out and about with their dogs. It really is the main reason we moved into our McCormick Ranch house 20 years ago. On our walks we have been discussing the redistricting plans and all agree that we seldom if ever do business or pleasure in the area between Camelback and Thomas Rd, and much less Tempe. The only reason to go out past Desert Ridge is to get on the 17 to the mountains. We vote for our district 8 borders to be the following: North to Cave Creek, South to Camelback Rd, West to Scottsdale Road and East to Fountain Hills, and to also include Carefree, and Rio Verde. Thanks for all of your very hard work. We appreciate you all.</p> <p>Kathleen Andrews – Independent- McCormick Ranch, Scottsdale</p>
11/17/2021 - 10:58	Carolyn Anderson	Redistricting	<p>I have lived in Green Valley for 16 years and I have never gone to Sierra Vista for any reason. All of my shopping, medical offices and restaurants are in the Sahuarita area. Splitting up Sahuarita makes absolutely no sense. Splitting up Tucson makes no sense. There is only one reason you would split up our communities, and that is obviously political. I thought you were supposed to be an independent group. Please do not redraw the lines for our state legislature and congressional districts.</p> <p>Carolyn Anderson</p>
11/17/2021 - 12:14	Peggie Jo Vincent	Pima County Redistricting proposal	<p>Dear Commission members,</p> <p>I am very concerned about the process taking place with the Redistricting commission when I hear that the process seems to limit input from the communities. In Pima County it appears that it is being controlled by partisan politics instead of being fair to all groups represented.</p> <p>Please make use of the proposed plans that are in compliance with the Voting Rights Act.</p> <p>Thank you Peggie Jo Vincent</p>
11/17/2021 - 12:28	Elaine Bomkamp	Redistricting in Northern Arizona	<p>My name is Elaine Bomkamp, and I live in Cornville, AZ. Northern Arizona does not have a single competitive district. These districts have been gerrymandered to not meet the criteria of competitiveness, compactness, and communities of interest. There is a fair alternative if you use the maps submitted by the Coconino Board of Supervisors which are LDF050 and LDF051. These maps have been endorsed by the city or town councils of Clarkdale, Flagstaff, and Sedona along with the Mayor of Jerome. Deference needs to be given to these officials as well as to the citizens of the Verde Valley who have expressed their desire to be with Flagstaff, not with Prescott. Sedona needs to be kept whole and not divided into two districts. LD6's current map has worked well for us—it is competitive, compact, and meets the communities of interest criteria.</p>
11/17/2021 - 12:29	David W Harris	REDISTRICTING MAP	<p>I live in Scottsdale below are the areas which I travel on a daily, monthly or yearly basis to shop, dine, educate, doctors, visit. North boundary Cave Creek to Fountain Hills, South boundary Camelback Road, East boundary Pima Road/Highway 101, West boundary Hwy 51. These are my communities of interest, what I see as geographical features, city/town boundaries, and my natural boundaries of where I and my friends and neighbors go. This should be my state Legislative District 4.</p>
11/17/2021 - 12:30	Barbara E Harris	REDISTRICTING MAP	<p>The proposed Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.</p>

11/17/2021 - 13:23	Maria Vecchio	Redistricting	I have been a supervisor at a Scottsdale business for 11 years. I live, shop, work, go to church and play in Scottsdale. I am confused why we would include Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport in my district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the city line, which is and should stay Scottsdale Road, not I-17!"
11/17/2021 - 13:33	Maryellen Modrzewski	Redistricting	I don't understand why we need to be redistricted. Weren't the districts functional the way they were. Does some special interest or political group gain from the redistricting?

11/17/2021 - 13:59	Richard Wayne Truelick	Redistricting	<p>To members of the IRC.</p> <p>I attended the IRC meeting at the Residence Inn on Tuesday, November 16. I was surprised to learn that one of the parameters for determining the new districts is the desire to have population representation of the two leading political parties that are no more than 7% difference from each other. Who came up with that required parameter? My understanding is that the Voter's Right Act of 1965 (which includes Redistricting) is that redistricting cannot be used to deny minorities the opportunity to vote for a favored candidate.</p> <p>That Act says nothing about redistricting in such a way that the political makeup of a district must be determined by a certain percentage of representation of the various political parties.</p> <p>The National Conference of State Legislatures put out a synopsis of the Redistricting Act. The following link will take you to the posting. https://www.ncsl.org/research/redistricting/redistricting-criteria.aspx</p> <p>That link contains the following guidelines:</p> <p>These traditional districting principles (or criteria) have been adopted by many states:</p> <p>Compactness: Having the minimum distance between all the parts of a constituency (a circle, square or a hexagon is the most compact district).</p> <p>Contiguity: All parts of a district being connected at some point with the rest of the district.</p> <p>Preservation of counties and other political subdivisions: This refers to not crossing county, city, or town, boundaries when drawing districts.</p> <p>Preservation of communities of interest: Geographical areas, such as neighborhoods of a city or regions of a state, where the residents have common political interests that do not necessarily coincide with the boundaries of a political subdivision, such as a city or county.</p> <p>Preservation of cores of prior districts: This refers to maintaining districts as previously drawn, to the extent possible. This leads to continuity of representation.</p> <p>Avoiding pairing incumbents: This refers to avoiding districts that would create contests between incumbents. These emerging criteria have been considered and adopted in a few states since 2000:</p> <p>Prohibition on favoring or disfavoring an incumbent, candidate or party. The prohibition in a given state may be broader, covering any person or group, or it may be limited to intentionally or unduly favoring a person or group. Details on these prohibitions are included in the state descriptions below.</p> <p>Prohibition on using partisan data: Line drawers, whether they be commissioners (California and Montana), nonpartisan staff (Iowa), or legislators (Nebraska), are prohibited from using incumbent residences, election results, party registration, or other socio-economic data as an input when redrawing districts.</p> <p>Competitiveness: Districts having relatively even partisan balance, making competition between the two major parties more intense. This criterion typically seeks to avoid the creation of "safe" districts for a particular party. For instance, the Arizona constitution (cited below) states that "to the extent practicable, competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals."</p> <p>This future criterion has been adopted by Ohio and Missouri for legislative districts beginning in 2021:</p> <p>Proportionality: The statewide proportion of districts whose voters, based on statewide state and federal partisan general election results during the last ten years, favor each political party shall correspond closely to the statewide preferences of the voters.</p> <p>The Arizona guideline for Competitiveness should only be used when it does not create a significant detriment to the other goals. But, the 7% parameter used by the IRC does create a significant detriment to the goals of Contiguity, Preservation of Communities of Interest and Preservation of Cores of Prior Districts.</p> <p>It is a function of human nature that a person moves to a geographical area where he or she feels most comfortable, generally among persons with similar interests or beliefs. To use politics as a guideline is just plain wrong as it would mean that the IRC has accurate knowledge of how each person or groups of people will vote. No one has that knowledge.</p> <p>Please just set the districts based upon population numbers, contiguity and community.</p> <p>Thank you. Richard Truelick </p>
--------------------	------------------------	---------------	---

11/17/2021 - 14:34	Marilyn Wurzburger	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	I believe that it is essential to keep greater Scottsdale together and that it is in keeping with criteria for drawing a district. that includes the features of an urban, suburban and rural area and which comes close to achieving a balance between the two major political parties, with a slight advantage to Republicans. The act of keeping this greater Scottsdale area together has worked well for the Scottsdale Unified School District, which has candidates from both parties which govern as "citizen centered" rather than politically oriented. Scottsdale is unique and it's essential that our community not be divided as we move forward in community building and decision making. MAP LDF409 shows the plan I'm referring to here. Thank you for your consideration of this proposal. Marilyn Wurzburger, a Scottsdale resident for more than 50 years.
11/17/2021 - 14:38	FILLMORE, JOHN	LD7	This district would be according to mapquest the shortest route to travel the cities would be 874 miles and take 16 hours, With so many cities and towns how can my Representative or Senator visit the municipalities they represent when they all meet every other day or week?? it would be impossible. What is common ground between Sedona and Apache Junction and Eager and Globe besides they aren't the same State. There is mining in Globe yet None in AJ, Farming in Eager, but none in Sedona, It looks like this was just "the leftovers" when the state was divided up.. I will have no representation as I would never see my Senator as they could not travel the few roads to get to each other.??? What is compact in this?? There are districts with 10,000 and 9000 more than needed in Maricopa but the rural parts of Arizona are all under counted ??
11/17/2021 - 14:45	Mayor Cathy Carlat	CD 8	Please extend Congressional District 8 to the west of Surprise. We are not communities of interest with the rural areas of the far western Arizona communities. Thank you for your serious consideration of his change.
11/17/2021 - 15:55	Diane Thomas	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together Map #LDF049	My name is Diane Thomas. I'm a resident of Scottsdale and my home resides in zip code 85255 in the current district LD23. Upon moving to AZ, I was very pleased to learn that state maps are drawn by an independent committee who is tasked with ensuring that fair and competitive boundaries are drawn in conjunction with the AZ state constitution and its 6 criteria for drawing maps. I am a former deputy registrar in Illinois and have participated in voter registration events here in conjunction with the League of Women Voters and the AZ National Council of Jewish Women. I virtually attended the Nov 16 IRC public meeting regarding the proposed new maps and agree with most all of the many points made about the concern of the maps the IRC is proposing. My specific concern pertains to the IRC changes proposed from LD23 to LD3. The final draft does not meet several criteria outlined in the AZ Constitution, the most obvious is the competitive advantage given to a specific party with a 14 point advantage. Voters should choose their elected officials, elected officials should not choose voters. The current proposed map of LD3, violates his democratic ideal and the Voters Rights Act. Another concern which is apparent is the map of LD3 does not adhere to compactness and contiguous boundaries of a community. Keeping greater Scottsdale together would be the obvious standard to follow. Nine precincts in the current map of LD23 become discombobulated with the IRC's proposed LD3 map which suggest splitting Scottsdale into 3 legislative districts. That's clearly not in alignment with the criteria outlined in our constitution. Splitting Scottsdale into 3 different districts diminishes our power as Scottsdale residents and dilutes the power of the Scottsdale mayor and city council. City officials would be forced to deal with 6 House reps and 3 Senators on matters between the city and the legislature. Why would this ever be considered? I understand there is a proposed map, #LDF049, which meets all six IRC criteria for drawing a fair district and keeps greater Scottsdale together. This map is respectful of retaining communities of interest and joins two interdependent entities, the city of Scottsdale and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa tribal community, sharing common interests in sustainable land use, environmental stewardship and open space preservation. Keeping greater Scottsdale together by using Proposed Map #LDF049 comes very close to an even split between the two major parties, which reduces the potential for extremism (which has become dangerous in our state) and benefits a majority of voters. Respectfully I ask for the IRC to please consider Proposed Map #LDF049 to replace proposed LD3. Using this map will keep political parties focused on public policy and meet all IRC Constitutional criteria in drawing a district. Thank you for the opportunity to give input. https://api5.ilovepdf.com/v1/download/7mj6mfsydqzbz9cwwdl5dydld9kz3vvsfmvzqrgkzl750l2p34plcx26xqym31jrxzghgtd3fl7cbfjbt14dbnwmzy7c11dmkqmfA7myx4ktcx11A75sA8zmy6c2dcmc6blmkx1z5gc347g3m4nxl1ptn8p2wjlA5vyrAzdsldzsz80vc2q
11/17/2021 - 16:19	Kristen Boilini	District Boundaries for LD 4	First, thank you for the mindful deliberations you have given with respect to recent changes for the maps in Maricopa County. However, I believe there is an opportunity to improve the communities of interest north of Camelback mountain. I ask that the Commission consider adding the entirety of McCormick Ranch to the proposed LD4. The entirety of the McCormick Ranch area is far more aligned with Scottsdale and Paradise Valley, sharing a residential community of interest. The issues facing this area are more closely aligned to those in Paradise Valley than they are to the arts and entertainment areas south, where the lines are now drawn. Thank you for your consideration of his request

11/17/2021 - 16:26	Margaret Barnes	Redistricting	I live in Paradise Valley, AZ. I shop, recreate, go out to dinner and use the Scottsdale Public library. All of our everyday activities are in Scottsdale. Paradise Valley has similar interests, concerns and needs as those living in Scottsdale north of Camelback road up through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain. We should all be in the same Legislative District. Please note my concerns.
11/17/2021 - 16:58	Darrinda James	Redistricting	Please do the sensible thing...the RIGHT thing, and do not split Sedona apart. Sedona should be kept whole, in one district, along with the Verde Valley and Flagstaff.
11/17/2021 - 17:04	John Calbom	Redistricting	We wanted to attend the Scottsdale redistricting meeting 11-18 but have a conflict. I'm therefore making my voice heard here. I urge you not to split up Scottsdale. I live, work, social, exercise, support restaurants and arts and entertainment in Scottsdale. I rarely go outside this area for other things. Scottsdale is a unique community. It's different from Desert Ridge and Phoenix. I ask that you not split up Scottsdale and attach parts of Scottsdale and Fountain Hills, where we also go often, to other areas. We want representation to reflect our unique area. Please reconsider the current proposed map.
11/17/2021 - 17:39	Joyce A Winston	Scottsdale redistricting	Please change the map so that the greater Scottsdale area is together. The Way it is proposed will split up neighborhoods etc. doesn't make sense
11/17/2021 - 18:02	James P Collins	Redistricting	I live in Cornville and am a concerned citizen. I agree with the draft maps for CD2 and LD5. I also ask that Sedona should be kept together in one legislative district, LD 5. I do not want fractured representation. Taxpayers should not be aligned with another county that will not provide for their needs. The community of interest is with the county of residence, where they pay their taxes. Those taxes provide for broadband, road maintenance, enforcement, land use designation and other county needs and authority. Splitting the county's efforts on these issues at the Legislative level will dilute quick and reasonable solutions to problems requiring the need of a State response. Verde Valley communities should be kept together with Prescott and Prescott Valley since they best represent our communities of interest and this is what our community wants. Keeping communities of interest together has priority over competitiveness according to the AZ constitution. I oppose the Coconino Board of Supervisors proposed map as it dilutes the voice of the Verde Valley. There are many reasons for keeping the Verde Valley aligned with Prescott besides Prescott being our county seat. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The median age of Sedona is 61, median age of Flagstaff is 25, median age of Prescott is 58. median age of Village of Oak Creek is 63. * Fair Maps Arizona promotes that communities of same age stay together. * Students at the 3 Verde High School campuses take college courses from Yavapai College which is located in Prescott with satellites in the Verde Valley. * The Verde Watershed begins in the big Chino Basin which again is more closely aligned with the rest of Yavapai County. Flagstaff is not part of the Verde Watershed per AZDEQ. * Sedona is only part of the Verde Valley and for both Cottonwood and Camp Verde it is easier to go to Prescott Valley if they need things which are not available in their own communities. Please consider the needs of the Verde Valley when drawing the final district boundaries. Thank you for your diligence and concern for representing all Arizonans.
11/17/2021 - 18:06	Joan J Mason	Competitiveness	I am concerned that the criterion set out in Proposition 106 that requires "fair and balanced" districts be created is not being applied by the AIRC. I believe that the intention is that, as far as possible, all districts be balanced and competitive. Asserting that creating an equal or near-equal number of "safe" Republican districts and "safe" Democratic districts constitutes competitiveness is disingenuous. The overall lack of competitiveness within districts creates a race to the extremes and dysfunction; it undermines our Democracy. I want to have healthy debate among candidates of all parties before I decide whom to vote for. It should not be a foregone conclusion that a certain party will win. Thank you for considering my concerns.
11/17/2021 - 18:33	Laura Terech	Competitive districts	I value competitive districts so all Arizonans have an opportunity to be represented by the person with the best ideas. Please draw fair maps.

11/17/2021 - 19:14	Gail Derscheid	Redistricting Map	<p>To Members of the IRC:</p> <p>The proposed Congressional District 1 goes way beyond communities of interest and isn't compact and contiguous. The purpose of redistricting is to ensure the people of those districts have True representation from their elected representatives by keeping the area contiguous and compact with communities of common interest.</p> <p>The new D1 places voters in Phoenix, west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202, with voters in mid-Scottsdale, North Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain, and Fountain Hills. This redistricting in no way is contiguous and compact and places people of different interests in one District. I shop, dine, hike, see doctors, etc in Mid-Scottsdale, North Scottsdale, PV, Care Free, Cave Creek, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde.</p> <p>In addition the upper part of District 4 should be added to District 1. I frequent the area south of Shea and Hayden with many activities including the church I attend. The people in that area truly represent communities of interest with people of Mid and North Scottsdale versus South Scottsdale who better represent the people of Tempe as many are educators of ASU and workers of Tempe. Therefore, the new north District 4 better represents District 1 and would be more contiguous and compact with District 1.</p> <p>Likewise, LD4 is more geographically compact, contiguous, and represents communities of interest with boundaries from Hwy 51 to the 101/Pima Rd, north boundaries of Desert Ridge, Cave Creek/Care Free, and south boundaries to Camelback Rd. Scottsdale grew along the north/south boundary of Scottsdale Rd and extended west. The communities of interest for Mid and North Scottsdale largely extend north and east with some extension west to the 51, Desert Ridge, and Cave Creek. How can an elected representative from the boot portion of west Phoenix represent the people of Scottsdale??</p> <p>In the redistricting process please be mindful of the voters to be given a genuine voice from those they elect to represent the values and interests of their communities.</p> <p>Respectfully, Gail Derscheid</p>
11/17/2021 - 19:46	Denise J, Lockwood	Redistrict	<p>I have worked and live in North Scottsdale for about 25 years. I am now retired and everything I do, from dining out to shopping to meetings, Bible Studies and church all center around a 10 mile radius in Scottsdale. I don't go to Desert Ridge and seldom travel the I-17, which is really far from my home. Those Phoenix locations should not be in my same district. The furthest west I usually shop is Scottsdale Road—not I-17.</p> <p>Scottsdale, Rio Verde & Fountain Hills are suburban/western. North Phoenix and the I-17 are urban. Our district should not extend to those urban areas. Thank you.</p>
11/17/2021 - 20:48	Mary Boncher	Redistricting	<p>The western border for Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde should be Scottsdale Road, not I-17. We live, dine and shop where we live, not miles away at I-17.</p>
11/17/2021 - 20:56	Conner Teich	Congressional redistricting	<p>I am a small business owner and have lived in Scottsdale and Fountain hills for over twenty years. I understand the importance of the congressional redistricting and I have recently seen the proposed drawn maps for congressional district one. There are several criteria for determining the districts and it seems like competitiveness is at the top of the list, which it is not supposed to be. Congressional district 1 includes uptown Phoenix which is concerning as that part of town is a highly dense and urban area and is not closely related to Scottsdale, fountain hills, Rio Verde, cave creek which are mostly suburban/rural area. I would like to see the map drawn differently to exclude uptown Phoenix which is situated, north of the 10, east of the 17, west of the 51 and include part of the north Phoenix around the 101 and the 17 near the Norterra shopping center. This would make more sense as that area is more geographical similar to the rest of District 1.</p>
11/17/2021 - 21:07	Conner Teich	Legislative redistricting	<p>I have lived and worked in Scottsdale for several years. I love the community that I have grown up in and currently living in. I believe our legislative districts should makeup where I work, eat, and entertain. The current legislative makeup does not do that. The splitting up of Scottsdale simply does not work. The current proposed D3 map should not include the area around the 17, and desert ridge. It should include the areas around Scottsdale and Shea and continue south along Scottsdale road. South Scottsdale is an arts and entertainment and highly dense area and is not geographically similar to central and mid Scottsdale. The southern area of district 8 in Tempe, should be included in district 11 or 9 as it is more closely aligned. Also, using canals as markers does not make sense. In 2021, there are many bridges and folks do not think about the canals when deciding where to work, live or eat.</p>

11/17/2021 - 21:18	Antonia F. Hansen	Issues with proposed LD5	<p>I am a resident of Sedona, AZ and writing to express displeasure with the IRC maps as drawn for LD5.</p> <p>The splitting of Sedona makes no sense. City government is already burdened by being located in two counties and splitting the legislative district means we now need to petition two districts for resources and to have our collective voices met.</p> <p>Keep Sedona together.</p> <p>Other issues with your proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Large parts of the VV would be placed in a district with Prescott which does not meet the requirements of -Splits Sedona and the VV from Flagstaff, which collectively are a community of interest -Sedona is not a community of interest with Prescott. We use medical services and visit larger stores 45 minutes away in Flagstaff, not 15 minutes away in Prescott -Fails to keep VV together as a community of interest <p>What's odd about the process is that every proposed district has 794,610 or 794,612 residents. This need to pursue such precise numbers in each district without providing an acceptable percentage of flexibility forces you to make sub-optimal decisions, which I have highlighted above.</p> <p>Please reconsider these maps, keep Sedona together and partner us with our community of interest in Flagstaff.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
11/17/2021 - 21:26	Robert B Jensen	Independent Redistricting Commission	<p>I request that I be included in email communications regarding the Independent Redistricting Commission, as it appears I live in the area affected by this "adjustment."</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
11/17/2021 - 21:28	Donna Jensen	Independent Redistricting Commission	<p>I request that I be included in email communications regarding the Independent Redistricting Commission, as it appears I live in the area affected by this "adjustment."</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
11/17/2021 - 22:59	Jessica Kitterman	IRC Draft maps	<p>The current Draft Maps drawn by the IRC fall far short of the mandate to be competitive. Only 4 of the 9 congressional districts are competitive. And of the 30 legislative districts, only 6 are competitive. The other 24 are safe districts (13 Republican, 11 Democrat)! A full 80% of the legislative districts are dysfunctional. Balancing safe districts between the parties and calling that competitive is a gross misunderstanding of Proposition 106 and does not justify using them. The health of our democracy must be defended by insisting the IRC eliminate as many safe districts as possible – regardless of the party they prop up. All the northern districts (D30, D5, D7, D6) are outside the Commission's own acceptable range of competitiveness by very wide margins. D7 in the Draft Map is not compact to the extent practicable. The community of interest evidence is overwhelmingly against the Draft Map of D7. Economic, public safety, transportation, cultural, and partisan relationships tie Sedona and the Verde Valley with Flagstaff and its unincorporated communities and separate them from the rest of Yavapai County.</p> <p>I support any of these good alternatives to the current IRC Draft Maps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coconino Supervisors Map for LD6 Coconino Supervisors Map for LD7 Navajo Nation Congressional District Map