

Submitted Time	Full Name	Subject	Message
11/12/2021 - 05 27	Linda & A. George Vensel	Redistricting	We live 9 miles out of Sonoita and 3 miles out of Patagonia. We would like to be included with the Sonoita, Elgin group and Cochise County in the redistricting maps. We are not represented politically as we currently are. We are Conservative Republicans along with many in Patagonia, and hope to be removed from the Liberal current district. Thank you.
11/12/2021 - 07 02	David A Peterson	Redistricting Maps	My wife and I live in Fountain Hills, I'm retired and I don't want LD23 stretching out all the way to I-17. This LD3 area is way to big and to far away! This will effect my community in a negative way. My wife and I don't go to Desert Ridge, or Deer Valley. We support local shops, restaurant's, involvement in community activities, parks, entertainment and much more. WE DO NOT AGREE WITH LD3!!
11/12/2021 - 07 53	Randy Smith	Redistricting	As a resident of Sedona I would like to see our city in one district with Flagstaff and Camp Verde.
11/12/2021 - 08 03	Kathy Flaccus	I support Coconino County Supervisors legislative district map	I live in Flagstaff and I support the legislative map proposed by Coconino County Board of Supervisors. The city of Flagstaff should NOT be split into two separate districts. Flagstaff is a community with characteristics that set it apart - the university, Native population, forest and the threat of fires, observatories, and the mountain character of the town all these factors together make Flagstaff a unique community that should have representation.
11/12/2021 - 08 04	Kathy Flaccus	I support Coconino County Supervisors legislative district map	I live in Flagstaff and I support the legislative map proposed by Coconino County Board of Supervisors. The city of Flagstaff should NOT be split into two separate districts. Flagstaff is a community with characteristics that set it apart - the university, Native population, forest and the threat of fires, observatories, and the mountain character of the town all these factors together make Flagstaff a unique community that should have representation.
11/12/2021 - 08 06	Christopher Flaccus	Redistricting map for Flagstaff	To whom it concerns,  I am a longtime resident of Flagstaff, and was alarmed to see the proposal to split our town into two separate districts. I support the proposed district map put forth by our Coconino County board of supervisors, which keeps Flagstaff in a single legislative district.  Respectively, Christopher Flaccus Flagstaff
11/12/2021 - 08 27	Mary Margaret Monillas	Redistricting	As I view the proposed changes to the redistricting, I see little value in joining Scottsdale and Fountain Hills with Central Phoenix. Urban and suburban areas have differing needs and hence solutions to problems/issues. Please reconsider this arrangement so as to better serve the communities involved.
11/12/2021 - 08 30	Franklin J Gambino	Redistricting Map	"I am a retired resident and I live in Rio Verde. I live, shop, go to church, go to school, and live my life in North Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde. This is my community. I don't shop, work, go to school in, or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near 1-17. Those areas are miles away & should not be in the same district" "The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not 1-17!" "Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and the 1-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district." Sincerely, Franklin J Gambino
11/12/2021 - 08 36	sharon gambino	Redistricting	"I am a retired resident and I live in Rio Verde. I live, shop, go to church, go to school, and live my life in North Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde. This is my community. I don't shop, work, go to school in, or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near 1-17. Those areas are miles away & should not be in the same district" "The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not 1-17!" "Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and the 1-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district."

11/12/2021 - 09 04	Warren Fox	Redistributing Scottsdale, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills	<p>I am a retired resident and I live in Rio Verde. I live, shop, go to church, go to school, and live my life in North Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde. This is my community. I don't shop, work, go to school in, or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near 1-17.</p> <p>These areas are miles away and should not be in the same district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not the 1-17.</p> <p>Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and the 1-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Warren Fox  ██████████  Rio Verde, AZ 85263</p>
11/12/2021 - 09 05	DAVID HARWELL	Redistricting Plan	Please reject the current plan-it is not a fair and balanced plan. This plan seems to be pushed by GOP members to keep control of the AZ legislature and is not an independent plan based on fair census population.
11/12/2021 - 09:47	Debbi White-Wojtysiak	Re zoning our District	Fountain Hills is our town, a community we have loved for decades. Our business is in AZ, our children have grown & worked & raised our grandkids in this community. We have invested ourselves in our community & in the people & businesses & churches. We go to the gym & restaurants in our town. We don't want to be part of some obscure plan to extend the District to the 117 nor do we want to be a part of other Districts. Our leaders know what our District needs. Please keep it that way.
11/12/2021 - 10 01	Janice Cosand	Congressional District 9	This is to express my concerns regarding the current (draft) maps for redistricting. I live in Lake Havasu City which is more of a rural area. You have redesigned the lines so that District 9 includes the urban area of parts of Maricopa. Their issues are not similar to our issues. If this map stands, as is, the voices of Maricopa which represents 70% of our district's population which will give them a louder voice than ours. I urge you to reconsider these lines. Yavapai would more closely align with our concerns. I ask that you gather the additional numbers for our district from that area.
11/12/2021 - 10 08	Peggy Natiello	Redistricting maps	Many of us are severely disturbed with the redistricting maps. Our votes are empty if this process goes through. It makes a mockery of the right to vote!!!Pleas reconsider the redistricting in accordance with the wishes of Sedona's council and citizens! Peggy Natiello, Phd

11/12/2021 - 10 27	Ann Heitland	Legislative Draft Map: Northern AZ	<p>The Commission is required to accommodate the competitiveness goal while taking into account whether greater competitiveness would cause significant detriment to the other goals. There is no reasonable basis to believe that the northern districts created in the Legislative Draft Map have been created using this constitutional standard. Indeed, the northern districts seem to have been gerrymandered out of compliance with several of the constitutional criteria, specifically, competitiveness, compactness, and communities of interest.</p> <p>First, all the northern districts are outside the Commission's own range of competitiveness by very wide margins. Yet, alternative maps have been submitted which show this is not necessary. The maps submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors are one example. (LDF050 and LDF051). Another is LD0023 (LDF008). These show that at least one competitive district is possible in northern Arizona. There is no rational reason for the Commission to brush by the constitutional directive to favor competitiveness; it can be achieved in the north without detriment to the other goals.</p> <p>Second, D7 in the Draft Map is not compact to the extent practicable. The Coconino County Supervisors' alternative to D7 performs much better under all the Commission's compactness measurements. LD0023 performs well compared with the Draft Map as well. In contrast, D7 in the Draft Map is like a distorted starfish stretching across five counties.</p> <p>Third, the community of interest evidence is overwhelmingly against the Draft Map of D7. Economic, public safety, transportation, cultural, and partisan relationships tie Sedona and the Verde Valley with Flagstaff and its unincorporated communities and separate them from the rest of Yavapai County. Sorting through the mass of testimony on all sides is hard but one finds that those opposing this definition of community of interest disagree among themselves about an alternative community of interest and oppose the north-south definition based largely on apparent partisan preference without supporting facts grounded in the economy, lifestyles, geography, and government relationships. A minority of Verde Valley and Flagstaff residents have commented that the Verde Valley should be with the White Mountain region in Navajo and Apache Counties but not with Flagstaff, and their reasons seem to be partisan and are non-specific. Those same folks don't want to be with Pinal. Meanwhile, some self-described "White Mountain Conservatives" have asked to be with Verde Valley communities AND with Flagstaff. Other Verde Valley residents have commented that they should be with western Yavapai County, keeping Yavapai County whole (for invalid reasons addressed below). Many Verde Valley residents have commented they want to be with Flagstaff. The city or town councils of Clarkdale, Flagstaff, and Sedona have all voted unanimously to support the maps of the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of Jerome has endorsed those maps. Deference should be given to such broad, unanimous support by leaders elected by their communities as well as to the rationality of facts offered for the community of interest they define in their testimony and submissions.</p> <p>While two Yavapai County supervisors, speaking on their own behalf, argued for the whole county concept, the Yavapai County government has itself recognized that the two sides of Mingus Mountain have disparate needs that cannot be served by a single community college location or a single jail or single sheriff's office. There are separate ones of each on opposite sides of Mingus Mountain. So, too, should the two sides of the mountain be in separate legislative districts because that split is necessary to accommodate the other constitutional criteria while Section 14(E) is honored by using the mountain divide.</p> <p>Those opposing the Coconino County Supervisors' maps place great weight on keeping Yavapai County whole, as the Draft Map does. While using county boundaries where practicable is one of several factors – along with visible geographic features, city and town boundaries, and undivided census tracts -- mentioned in criteria E of Section 14, the Yavapai county boundary should be no more sacrosanct than the boundaries of other counties that have been split in the Draft Map for practical purposes. And, pursuant to the constitutional language of Section 14(E), the Yavapai County boundary line deserves no more weight than the huge geographic divide created by Mingus Mountain, which slices the Verde Valley on the eastern edge from the remainder of the county.</p> <p>The Arizona Constitution does not give any county sovereignty to have its very own legislators. Overall, the Draft Map acknowledges this principle. Yavapai uniquely has its own legislative district in the Draft Map. It is one of only four counties that is not split in the Draft Map and the other two – Cochise, Greenlee, and LaPaz – are combined with other counties rather than standing alone. Yavapai is a very large county compared to Santa Cruz, Graham, Gila, and Pinal, all of which are split in the Draft Maps. And, larger or similar-sized counties are also split, like Coconino, Navajo, Apache, Yuma, Pima and, of course, Maricopa. The Commission should apply Section 14(E) with Mingus Mountain rather than a boundary line; this is more rational in the context of the other constitutional criteria, more practical in that it divides the county in the same way Yavapai County government has treated the two regions separately by providing separate services on each side of the mountain, and honors the weight of community of interest testimony.</p> <p>Finally, during the Draft Map deliberations, Chair Neuberg expressed the desire to give voice to the "right of center" population in the Tucson area. Surely, the "left of center" population in Northern Arizona deserves similar recognition. All we are asking for is a competitive district without detriment to the other five constitutional criteria. There are clear ways to make a competitive district while meeting all the other constitutional criteria. Failing to do so has no rational basis and would be unconstitutional.</p>
--------------------	--------------	------------------------------------	---

11/12/2021 - 10:47	carissa sipp	Unconstitutional Mapping: Released Draft Maps	<p>First and foremost, thanks to the members of Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission for working hard to meet the standards set by the voters created in 2000 with the passage of Prop 106. The goal for fair and I stress competitive legislative and congressional districts throughout AZ is something we need to not loose focus on and be proud to be a part of.</p> <p>My name is Carissa Sipp and from the current draft map proposal, I live in LD18, work in LD21 and my daughter attends school in LD20. We have friends and relatives that we visit in LD16 and LD17. Our elderly relatives in LD17 are perplexed at their new mapping and have lived in AZ for 50years. They now would be part of the new chimera shaped LD17.</p> <p>In looking over the released map, the bad haircut we gave Tucson and the summary from the IRC touting the Princeton Gerrymandering Report Card I wanted to review how this is graded to see why this may have happened to LD17. I would expect 'A's across the board given this is a dedicated commission. This is not what I see however. While we receive an A in to the 'non partisan' advantage the devil is in the details. The competitiveness of the districts came out to almost an F grade. This means maps could be and should be much better to encourage and promote competitive districts. The report card even indicated there are better proposed maps out there to lean on from this year specifically LD test map 9.0. In addition to this low grade the released draft maps we are reviewing today almost got an F grade on geographical features and compactness of the districts. We fail considerably the approach to reasonable and compact district mapping and county crossing. Our shapes on not close to high Reock scores keeping districts as compact as possible for optimizing representation. For example I will use the new LD17 shape. This new district seem to use a snaking method to grab the I-10 traffic areas west of Catalina State Park through Oro Valley then crawls over the Mountains and south to grab the east side of Tucson, Tanque Verde area and then south to Vail. This shape is an F in the grading for the report card. The more compact and centralized regional districts are encourage in the review and analysis and there is great guidance for the committee here to strive to be better.</p> <p>I would like to request the committee strive for A's. Strive for competitiveness in BOTH the LD and CD districts! Currently southern AZ is at risk for this objective and we can do better. We have a great opportunity to not just be better than the low bar of 'not partisan' but to really have competitive districts that strive for fair representation of the community not just a few. I appreciate the effort that would be involved to update this draft map but believe these maps already exist in the may submissions and this is exactly why the committee was established. The voters of AZ deserve the effort and democracy depends on it.</p>
11/12/2021 - 11:07	Dean Michael Reker	Redistricting	<p>Dear Commission:</p> <p>Please preserve our democracy by establishing fair and competitive districts. Our democracy is dependent on fair elections that represent the will of the people without bias. Rigging districts toward partisan bias and results is not democratic and spits in the face of our constitution and democratic principles. It's easy to be fair and impartial. Please do your job.</p> <p>Thank you. Dean M. Reker Vietnam Veteran, Patriot</p>
11/12/2021 - 11:23	Denise Casanova	The zoning	<p>I live in Fountain Hills.. my husband and I DO NOT want a refining of our areas.. Fountain Hills, Rio Verdi &amp; Scottsdale, Belong together</p>
11/12/2021 - 11:24	Linda Burreson	REDISTRICTING	<p>My name is Linda Burreson, I currently live in Tanque Verde Valley, Pima County, Arizona, 85749, located in LD 10 for the last 34 years. Tanque Verde Valley is its own community where we have raised a family, shop, attend church and socialize with friends that share our same values, interests and lifestyle.</p> <p>Our current legislative and congressional districts cater to those living within the City of Tucson and do not represent us in the suburbs. Draft map 9 is simply more of the same, so I respectfully ask that you please do not approve that map. We have always had more in common with Marana, Oro Valley, Saddlebrooke and the Houghton Corridor due to our rural lifestyle.</p> <p>It is my understanding the Pima County is one of the largest counties in Arizona. It is not fair or equitable that we do not have adequate representation of Republican views and interests. The current maps do not honor the Constitution with regard to the criteria set forth therein, so that everyone feels represented. For the reason's I have stated, I strongly support the draft map 10.0 that relates to LD17. Unincorporated Pima County and small towns should be able to provide a much needed check and balance on the City of Tucson. Please consider LD17, as it finally gives Republicans in Southern Arizona a voice, which we have clearly not had in years.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to be part of this process.</p>
11/12/2021 - 11:35	Judith Gogolin	redistricting comments	<p>I am a resident of Scottsdale but my home is just over the hill from Fountain Hills. I have lived in this area of Scottsdale for 15 years. Prior to that I lived at Hayden and Thunderbird for 20 years. Please re-evaluate the lines drawn for Scottsdale, Rio Verde and Fountain Hills. The current lines are quite good. These are communities that I frequent. I travel up and down the 101, Pima, Hayden and Scottsdale Road from North to South. But, I do not shop, recreate, go to movies or out to eat in the new area map that is at the northern part of the new map that includes areas to the West in North Phoenix and Cave Creek. Our neighborhoods are not similar. The area is huge--way to big to feel like part of my neighborhood. Scottsdale is unique and should be treated as such--it is a world class destination that tourists from around the world come to visit. Please take another look at this.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

11/12/2021 - 11:38	Marianne Scotto	Redistricting of Rio Verde	<p>"I am a retired resident and I live in Rio Verde. I live, shop, go to church, go to school, and live my life in North Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde. This is my community. I don't shop, work, go to school in, or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near 1-17. Those areas are miles away &amp; should not be in the same district"</p> <p>"The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not 1-17!"</p> <p>"Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and the 1-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district."</p>
11/12/2021 - 11:40	Allen Scotto	Redistricting	<p>"I am a retired resident and I live in Rio Verde. I live, shop, go to church, go to school, and live my life in North Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde. This is my community. I don't shop, work, go to school in, or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near 1-17. Those areas are miles away &amp; should not be in the same district"</p> <p>"The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not 1-17!"</p> <p>"Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and the 1-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district."</p>
11/12/2021 - 11:45	Jessica Williamson	redistricting	Please keep Sedona and the Verde Valley together. Don't divide our small town. The maps submitted by the Coconino Board of Supervisors meets the objectives of the IRC and keeps Sedona whole. Please adopt those maps.
11/12/2021 - 11:51	patricia Hennessy	redistricting maps	<p>why use new maps to divide existing communities statewide???</p> <p>is this political maneuvering??</p> <p>I call it gerrymandering!!!!</p>
11/12/2021 - 12:02	Beatrice J Hanks	Redistricting	I live in Sedona and have NOTHING to do with Prescott. DO NOT lump me in with those folks in the new legislative districts. We in Sedona have a community of interest with Flagstaff, not Prescott.
11/12/2021 - 12:19	Nancy Fitzpatrick	Redistricting covering Santa Cruz County	The approved Draft Maps divide Santa Cruz County between Leg. District 19 and Leg. District 21. I urge the IRC to reconsider their decision. Splitting this county into two legislative districts is in direct conflict with the IRC's requirement to respect geographic compactness, visible geographic features including important watersheds, county borders, and communities of interest. But perhaps even more importantly, this decision potentially violates the IRC's requirement to be in compliance with the Voting Rights Act, specifically because it threatens the political status quo. That discourages voter turnout, which is in direct violation of the VRA. There must be a better way to create an equal population dynamic than by dividing this county.

11/12/2021 - 12:46	Lee Stanfield	comment on redistricting maps	<p>The IRC, is legally mandated to create "fair and competitive congressional and legislative districts." So LEGALLY the IRC cannot choose to implement EITHER MAP 9.1 OR 9.2, since both VIOLATE THE FUNDAMENTAL LEGAL MANDATE FOR PRECISELY WHY THE IRC WAS CREATED!</p> <p>t was publicly, expressly stated that the reason for creating what is drawn as District 17 on these two maps, was to create a "safe Republican district".</p> <p>The goal of making a district "safe" for any political party is the exact opposite of the RC's legal mandate, because "safe" means non-competitive. Therefore, maps 9.1 &amp; 9.2 (now apparently combined into one called 10.0) are both in blatant violation of the IRC's mandate.</p> <p>In addition, 9.1 &amp; 9.2 (10.0) also divide up Santa Cruz County in such a way that it will allow the Patagonia Mountains and the Coronado Forest there to be part of LD 19. This would allow the strongly pro-mining LD 19 legislators to give the go-ahead to the mining interests' plan to REMOVE 1.6 BILLION GALLONS OF GROUNDWATER EVERY YEAR FOR 4 YEARS... just to uncover the minerals below the groundwater!!! This is the WATERSHED that serves all of Santa Cruz County and Green Valley!</p> <p>PLEASE KEEP SANTA CRUZ COUNTY AND ALSO PINAL COUNTY WHOLE AND UNDIVIDED AS DRAWN IN MAP 9.0.</p> <p>So please choose map 9.0.</p>
11/12/2021 - 12:58	Kelly Taylor	Legislative District 16, Version 10	<p>This map fails the constitutional requirements for: 1) compactness - this is a huge, sprawling district, spanning three separate counties. It is not compact. 2) contiguous: The small piece of PIMA county included in this map seems like an afterthought. It is not contiguous with Maricopa County, and is separated from population centers in Pinal County by great distances. 3) it is not competitive. The citizens in PIMA County would not be well represented by this map. Please provide maps that are fair and competitive. Thank you.</p>
11/12/2021 - 13:23	Lee Stanfield	comment on redistricting maps	<p>The goal of making districts "fair and competitive" was and is the PRIMARY MANDATE OF THE IRC. In the AZ Constitution, all other goals (including "keeping communities of interest together" are clearly secondary to the PRIMARY MANDATED goal of "FAIR AND COMPETITIVE".</p> <p>In addition, unfortunately, many of residents speaking to the IRC are describing common PARTISAN interests as being what is meant by "communities of interest". This is clearly NOT accurate, since "FAIR AND COMPETITIVE" is the FUNDAMENTAL REASON FOR THE IRC'S EXISTENCE, which was created specifically to PREVENT THE GERRYMANDERING THAT THESE REPUBLICAN SPEAKERS ARE SUPPORTING!</p>
11/12/2021 - 14:00	Lee Stanfield	comment on redistricting maps	<p>The very foundation of the IRC... the REASON the IRC was created was to STOP PARTISAN GERRYMANDERING! That is why the IRC was created! It is therefore illegal for the RC to choose a map with districts like district 17 of map 10.0, which was publicly stated to have been created specifically to "give Republicans a safe district".</p> <p>Draft map 10.0, which has been claimed to be created by bipartisan, was actually CREATED BY REPUBLICANS and it does grave disservice to the Latino and Native American interests, whose voiced requests have been ignored in this draft map.</p> <p>The ONLY map that is truly in legal compliance is draft map 9.0. Please pass map 9.0!</p>
11/12/2021 - 14:01	Fredi Hamilton	Redistricting Sedona/VOC	<p>I hope it is due to an oversight and not intentional undermining of our Democracy that Sedona/VOC has been split into separate legislative districts. If the proposed map is allowed to stand our voices will be muted. Certainly that cannot be the intention of the IRC. I sincerely hope the current redistricting map will be altered to reunite our town and give us a say in our future.</p> <p>Thank you for allowing us this opportunity to be heard.</p>
11/12/2021 - 14:06	Audrey Murray	Redistricting	<p>I am a business owner and resident of Rio Verde Arizona. I work live and shop in Scottsdale Fountain Hills and Rio Verde. All my needs are in these areas and this is my community. I do not shop work or go to school in Desert Ridge Deer Valley or ever go near I-17. Those areas are out of my travel and should not be in the same district. Scottsdale Fountain Hills and Rio Verde's Western border should be at Scottsdale Road not the I-17. Scottsdale Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are western suburban communities not urban communities like North Phoenix and the I-17 area.</p>
11/12/2021 - 14:12	Jesse R Bryant	Eastern and southern AZ virtual hearing	<p>Hello, while many of us try to be patient and understanding of the difficulties of your task, you as a commission are becoming more and more isolated from the concerned public. These virtual meetings are prohibitive and too easily selective on who gets to speak. We continue to advocate for the voice of the unrecognized AZ minority, rural AZ citizens. You barely will make a presence in our region. Internet is challenging in this region, as well as travel, and the great number of seniors who are not so electronically literate or mobile. This growing dismissive behavior is deeply troubling. I come to you with the voice of only 238,000 which fills a whole quarter of our state! We demand our Rural voice be heard! 5+ Eastern Counties proposal, solely Rural and undivided! The competitiveness we care about is rural AZ vs the urban/suburban/metro populations. You may not fully appreciate our position, but how could you when you so passively disregard the voices of our region?</p>
11/12/2021 - 14:14	Ruth Denny	proposed District 7 Legislative Map	<p>I live in Gold Canyon and just learned of the proposed map for my current district. I am Very concerned that it will be too huge and disconnected for those of us who like to be made aware of government legislation.. How can our representative serve us if they have such a fractured and spread out area.. They will be on the road half the time instead of working for us all. Also, most of us go to Apache Junction for services we cannot get here in Gold Canyon including the library, post office, shopping and taking part in the Parks and Rec activities. We are communities that strive to work together.. Please fix this map.</p>

11/12/2021 - 14:15	George Ellis	district 9 draft map,	<p>As a resident of Havasu City I am concerned with the inclusion of Maricopa County as part of the congressional district. I have just driven through Maricopa and it is largely populated by either residents of Phoenix our agri businesses that draw from Gila River. Just to the north is Yavapai County, with the town of Prescott which has much in common with Havasu. The object of having competitive district is more consistent with including Yavapai rather than Maricopa which is western Phoenix, Mohave County and Yavapai are largely rural and tribal.</p>
11/12/2021 - 14:28	Wainwright Evsns	Draft proposal for legislative districts LD21 and LD19	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft changes being proposed for LD19 and LD21. Although new-comers to the area in general and Tubac in particular, it is clear, after talking with several of our neighbors and long-time residents that for most of them the proposed legislative district realignments are a great disappointment; a disappointment that the IRC allowed such a one-sided proposal to reach the "draft" stage, and fear that having done so, the IRC has the votes to enact it regardless, and will do so no matter what we have to say about it.</p> <p>The factors that attracted us to this area 5 years ago included a strong environmental awareness and a multi-cultural community pride exhibited by most of our acquaintances and topped off with an easy going open friendliness. We are Democrats who much to our surprise found a home in a semi-rural area (Tubac) in a largely Democratic county (Santa Cruz) in what at the time was a heavily Republican state (AZ). Since then we've discovered most of our friends see Tubac not in isolation, but as part of a greater whole that includes the Santa Cruz Valley from Nogales to Tucson; a self-contained unit rimmed by mountains on both sides and within which these people are comfortable with each other and their surroundings and feel at home --- and most importantly --- want to keep it that way. From our viewpoint, it seems these people's general satisfaction with their quality of life is one aspect of what the IRC is here to achieve --- and having so conspicuously succeeded there is nothing more to gain by putting it at risk. So why do it?</p> <p>As you must be aware, the answers become obvious in the details of the draft proposal, but before we get into that we must first clarify our nomenclature. We consider LD19 and LD21 as the IRC approved draft reconfigurations of LD14 and LD2, two of the legislative districts approved by the first IRC in 2012 and that remain in effect today almost 10 years later. So in today's terms, it is the proposed reconfiguration of our current home district (LD2) to make the new future district (LD21) that is so disturbing.</p> <p>The RC draft proposal for the creation of the future LD21 calls for a partial dismantling of LD2 (a largely Democratic district) by removing various chunks along with their occupants (including Tubac, Sonoita, Elgin, Patagonia, Quail Creek, and Amado) by extending the boundary lines of LD14 (a largely Republican District) to create the proposed future LD19. In exchange, the LD21 proposal calls for extending the LD2 boundary lines to include Davis Montana Air Force Base (another Republican dominated area, if the exchange is confined to only the Air Base). To summarize, the draft proposal is being interpreted by many here as a political attack on us, the residents of LD2, by drawing elective district boundaries in a way intended to seriously weaken, if not eradicate, the Democratic majority residing here ... gerrymandering: one of the various partisan transgressions the IRC was put in place to stop.</p> <p>So stop it. That is what we are asking you to do. Just keep the current LD2 boundary configuration as is and call it LD21, and on into the next decade we go (don't worry, we know it isn't that easy).</p> <p>Arizona and its legislature has been much in the news lately and while a lot of it is negative, there are two spots that are universally positive, the courage of AZ's 2020 election officials of both parties, and the role of the IRC, the constitutionally protected commission sworn to provide nonpartisan redistricting every ten years. Relatively few states have taken this step and AZ has been applauded Nationally for doing so. Thank you for taking on the task.</p>
11/12/2021 - 14:42	Carolyn Shafer	Redistricting Santa Cruz County	<p>I have not only resided in Santa Cruz County for 26 years; I have lived an actively involved life in working with many people across the county who are focused on protecting and enhancing the true wealth of this county: our public lands, our diversity, and our cultural history. As the co-owner of a retail business for 15 years in Patagonia, I know first hand that this area is a world-wide destination for nature based activities.</p> <p>Santa Cruz County is the smallest county in Arizona based on geographic size and is one of the smallest based on population and per capita income. It is also reported that Santa Cruz County has the the highest quality of life. I believe that quality of life reflects the residents being actively engaged in co-creating a thriving community for all.</p> <p>Based on my experience, I implore you not to divide Santa Cruz County into different legislative districts. All of Santa Cruz County is a community of interest with visible geographic features: the headwaters of the Santa Cruz River are in San Rafael Valley and the Sonoita Creek Watershed is a major tributary to the Santa Cruz River. A significant part of Santa Cruz County is identified as a Global Biodiversity Hotspot recognized by many scientists as one of the top regions in the world most in need of protection for species survival. The Patagonia Mountains on the southeastern Santa Cruz County border are key to Santa Cruz County's future well being.</p> <p>In order to best protect this CommUNITY of interest, it is critical that all of Santa Cruz County continue as one district rather than being divided in two and assigned to other districts.</p>

11/12/2021 - 14:48	Lorraine Backman	Redistricting	<p>I have lived in Tubac, AZ for over 15 years. There have been many changes in that time but also many things have remained the same. The most significant is the cohesion of our north/south corridor, stretching from Green Valley to Nogales &amp; over to Patagonia. Not only do we do 95% of our shopping &amp; travel in this direction but our history, culture, &amp; communication are all tied together. Most importantly, we have few connections nor do we face the same issues as the folks in the areas to our east.</p> <p>I strongly encourage the members to be true to the mission of not making this about politics but rather about maintaining our unified community &amp; interests, &amp; therefore placing Tubac, Green Valley, Patagonia, the I-19 corridor, the Santa Cruz River Valley, &amp; Santa Cruz County including Nogales, into LD21. It's just common sense that promotes solid future progress if we can be allowed to continue to work together.</p> <p>Thank you for giving this serious consideration. It means a lot to the residents who live and work here.</p>
11/12/2021 - 14 55	Betty Summey	redistricting congressional district 9	<p>I am a resident and property owner in LK. Havasu City since 1990. The basic nature of the development of Mohave, La Paz and Yuma counties has remained the same over all these years, agricultural and some development for recreation. Whereas Maricopa Co. has become even more metropolitan and industrial, not to mention the universities and medical services. Therefore, I must request that the Redistricting Committee reconsider including Maricopa Co. in District 9 because of these very different populations and enterprises. Thank you for your service.</p>
11/12/2021 - 15 03	Peter Bengtson	LD17	<p>In my view LD 17 in the adopted legislative district is definitely NOT compact. The LD test map v9 0 proposed by Commissioner Lerner created a much more compact, contiguous and competitive district 17. I understand that Commissioner Lerner's map was rejected on the grounds that it failed to create a safe Republican district. The Arizona Constitution sets out 6 goals for redistricting. Creating districts which favor either Republican or Democratic parties is no where in the list of the 6 criteria.</p>
11/12/2021 - 15 04	Amy Gaiennie	Tucson Area Maps	<p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to comment today.</p> <p>I've been a Tucson resident for more than 37 years. I'm a retired teacher and National Park Service Ranger and currently volunteer with Sabino Canyon Volunteer Naturalists and Pima County.</p> <p>My husband and I live off Speedway, between Pantano and Kolb and have easy access to a Sprouts, Frys, Safeway, Walgreens, Home Depot, Lowe's and many other businesses as well as restaurants. My husband can walk to his Doctor's office.</p> <p>These features are especially important to us as seniors so we can save on time and the price of gas by choosing efficient routes when we do errands. We have family members, friends and neighbors we can easily get together with for socializing, volunteering and, so importantly, for caretaking.</p> <p>In the current Draft Map v.10, my community will be in LD18, which stretches to the edge of Oro Valley and cuts off everything east of Harrison. I only occasionally drive to Oro Valley. It's 45 minutes to an hour away depending on traffic! On the other hand, I use the Houghton Rd. corridor frequently for shopping, restaurants, and access to parks.</p> <p>Draft Map v9 0, on the other hand, strengthens my community of interest, and provides boundaries that are more compact, contiguous, and competitive. Please support Draft Map v9.</p> <p>Thank you for listening! Amy Gaiennie Tucson, AZ 85710</p>
11/12/2021 - 15 05	Kristie Blackman	District 7	<p>Snowflake, Taylor, Showlow, Pinetop, springerville, Eager, and Heber do not have anything in common with Flagstaff. Please do not take our voice away!! We are so tired of Flagstaff dictating us.</p>
11/12/2021 - 15:11	Cholla Nicoll	Dividing SC County	<p>I strongly oppose dividing Santa Cruz County. This county functions as a whole and the diversity of its people both politically and in other ways only forces us to learn from each other and become stronger and better human beings. As a local of southern AZ and a resident of Santa Cruz county for 3.5 years I urge you to keep Santa Cruz county intact. Thank you</p>
11/12/2021 - 15:15	Christopher Brill	Shape Files for Publicly submitted maps	<p>Hi, i had a question. Is it possible to acquire the actual shape files that are associated with the maps that are submitted at this location: <a href="https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/8f52379691024a1785395aea7d2ae05b">https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/8f52379691024a1785395aea7d2ae05b</a> and does that require a public records request?</p> <p>Thanks!</p>
11/12/2021 - 15 20	Marynell Spicer	Santa Cruz County to remain as one legislative district	<p>I am requesting that you "Do Not" divide Santa Cruz County into different legislative districts. All of Santa Cruz County interests and geographic features need to be protected as a whole community not divided.</p> <p>I believe it is critical that all of Santa Cruz County continue as one district rather than being divided in two and assigned to other districts. We function as one community.</p>
11/12/2021 - 15 21	Donald Joel Nicoll	Division of Santa Cruz County	<p>To whom this may concern.</p> <p>It is my very strong opinion that Santa Cruz County should not be divided. This would not benefit anyone and would only lead to our county being even more poor, under served and more divided. I have been a resident of Santa Cruz County for over 3 years but I am not a stranger to Arizona or small communities and I know without a doubt that this new division would only have a negative effect in the short and long term. Please do not proceed with this.</p>

11/12/2021 - 15 24	Scott Oldendorph	11-12-2021 Redistricting Testimony for Pima County	<p>My name is Scott Oldendorph and was registered to speak on Nov 12 but was not called upon to give my testimony and the meeting was just closed. I am a PC from Precinct 187, a SC from LD9, a retiree from Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation and Chair of the Wastewater Advisory Committee to the Pima County Board of Supervisors, living in unincorporated northwest Tucson. My community of interest is northwest and north Tucson up into Marana, Oro Valley, Catalina and Saddlebrook but NOT Tucson south of the Rillito River.</p> <p>Regarding your proposed draft CD Map 7.1, CD6 should include Green Valley to the west and not Eloy and Casa Grande to the northwest. But now, I am supporting the W Beard map CD0063 which balances the competitive numbers.</p> <p>Regarding your proposed draft map LD Map 10.0, LD18 should include Oro Valley and Marana to the northwest and definitely NOT the City of Tucson south of the Rillito River. But now, I am supporting the W Beard map LD0051 which balances the competitive numbers and definitely NOT the LD Map 9 0.</p> <p>We need to keep our military communities connected at Davis Monthan Air Force Base and Fort Huachuca and pray that these fair maps give us Republican representation to the State of Arizona for Pima County. The grid lines for the last 10 years has favored the Democrats and divided the Republican population allowing the Democrats to dominate the elections. You have seen what they have done, that is NOT my community, it needs to be compact, connected and continuous and in line with our Constitution and voting rights laws. Do the right thing for our future is all based upon your decisions. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p> <p>Scott Oldendorph, PC Precinct 187, SC LD9  ████████████████████  Tucson, AZ 85704  ████████████████████</p>
11/12/2021 - 15 24	Amy Gaiennie	Tucson Area Maps	<p>I've been a Tucson resident for more than 37 years. I'm a retired teacher and National Park Service Ranger and currently volunteer with Sabino Canyon Volunteer Naturalists and Pima County. I strongly support Draft Map v.9's version of LD17.</p> <p>My busy sister is a teacher in Vail. As Vail has grown, she can conveniently shop there, but she does need to travel west to Tucson to meet her larger needs at the great diversity of service and retail businesses here. She would never consider driving to Oro Valley or Marana for errands because it's at least an hour or more away. Those towns are not part of her community of interest because they're separated for all practical purposes by a mountain range!</p> <p>And yet, the currently proposed Draft Map v10 places Vail, Tanque Verde, Oro Valley and Marana in one huge district divided by the Catalina Mountains.</p> <p>How does this LD17 arrangement adhere to the constitutional requirements of being a community of interest, compact, or contiguous? In creating a safe haven for one political party, how does it fulfill the requirements of being a competitive district?</p> <p>Proposed Draft Map v9 much more closely adheres to the RC's constitutional requirements creating an LD17 that more closely represents a community of interest and is more compact, contiguous, and competitive than in the current Map v10.</p> <p>I urge the Commissioners to adopt Map v9.  Thank you for listening!</p>
11/12/2021 - 16 24	Susan Anderson	School Districts are Communities of Interest	<p>I have lived in Pima County Precinct 40 for over 30 years. My home is in the Marana Unified School District attendance area.</p> <p>I have followed the IRC proceedings with great interest. There is a constitutional mandate for legislative districts to be Compact, to be Contiguous, To Respect Communities of Interest, and to be Competitive. I would be in the new LD 17, and that district fails on all four counts. It is quite large and there are no direct transportation corridors between Marana and Vail. I've listened to hours of testimony. It is clear that Vail doesn't want to be with Marana, and Marana does not want to be with Vail. I suggest the IRC liberate Vail from the LD 17.</p> <p>I have noticed that the IRC has split some important Communities of Interest specifically the Marana Unified School District and the Amphitheater School District. Once Vail is liberated from LD 17, it would be possible to add the communities of Picture Rocks and Avra Valley. Map 10.0 places those communities in a sprawling Pinal County District. Picture Rocks and Avra Valley they belong in the same LD as Marana because they are right next door, they're in Pima County, they are in MUSD.</p> <p>As I study the boundary lines, I am struck by the fact that only the most wealthy, and posh areas of MUSD and Amphitheater Districts are in the new LD 17. I have to assume these boundaries were chosen on purpose rather than by happenstance. It is troubling. Our state elected officials are charged with looking after the needs of ALL Arizona residents. We need to bring balance to the situation. Legislators should NOT be able to choose their constituents. The districts should be drawn in a way that they have to vie for the votes of the young and the old, women and men, and both the advantaged and disadvantaged populations.</p> <p>Thank you for considering these issues.</p>

11/12/2021 - 16:32	Representative John Kavanagh	Comment on District 3	I wish to object to the current formulation of district three. It cuts the city of Scottsdale in half and joins its upper half with northern Phoenix. This results in a disrespect of political boundaries and joins people without common community interests. I would request that the boundary be similar to the existing district twenty-three boundary, which includes Scottsdale north of Thomas Road, Fountain Hills, the Fort McDowell Native American community and Rio Verde.  Thank you..
11/12/2021 - 16:39	Kevin Brown	Maps	My name is Kevin Brown, and I have lived in Tempe for the last 30 years and lived in Arizona my entire life except for my military service. I do volunteer work and am active in my neighborhood association. Tempe is only about 200,000 people and shouldn't be divided between four different legislative districts. I live close to ASU and consider my area of interest: North Tempe, South Scottsdale, East Mesa, and East South Phoenix. That area, not Scottsdale, north of Thomas, is more in keeping with Tempe. Keep our cities together, please split them less. Divide Tempe into 2: one urban where I live and one suburban. Move the Honda district to District 9 and the Mesa school district where most kids in that district go to school. Also, keep Scottsdale in just 2 or 3 districts, not 4 as you have now. Make districts as competitive as possible so we get better representation and not just whatever person gets through the primary. We need competitive districts so we get representatives that actually represent their constituents. Thank you for your time and consideration. Kevin
11/12/2021 - 16:40	Julie	Legislative District Maps	City of Tempe (204K population) is split four ways (into districts 1, 8, 9, 12) The IRC should try to keep the small city of Tempe in just two districts, representing the divide between its urban and suburban communities. Move the Honda precinct from District 8 to District 9 so that the Salt River Pima community can have a larger voice in the district in which their children attend school (Currently only hundreds of residents live in the Scottsdale Unified portion of this precinct, while nearly 6,000 live in the Mesa Unified areas)
11/12/2021 - 16:42	Denise J. Lockwood	Redistricting	I have worked and live in North Scottsdale for about 25 years. I am now retired and everything I do, from dining out to shopping to meetings, Bible Studies and church all center around a 10 mile radius in Scottsdale. I don't go to Desert Ridge and seldom travel the I-17, which is really far from my home. Those Phoenix locations should not be in my same district. The furthest west I usually shop is Scottsdale Road—not I-17.  Scottsdale, Rio Verde & Fountain Hills are suburban/western. North Phoenix and the I-17 are urban. Our district should not extend to those urban areas. Thank you.
11/12/2021 - 16:45	Mark S Johnson	Congressional redraw	Surveys and interviews show consistently that many people don't know which Congressional District they are in and even more don't know their State Senate and House Districts. In my opinion, splitting cities along demographic lines will only add to the confusion. I believe it would be easier for voters to know their district information if Sahuarita were all in one contiguous district and Tucson in another. I agree with the Sahuarita Council's letter to the Commission which asks for this idea to be considered.
11/12/2021 - 17:01	Carolyn M. Clark	Redistricting	I am very disappointed in the current redistricting changes. The new districts have no rhyme or reason. It looks as if you have taken one district and made it smaller and in different directions so that the office holder has a better chance of winning next year. We are all sick of him and do not want him representing Green Valley. Fitzpatrick was invisible and so will the next one. We have had no representation for years. It is disgusting and disappointing.
11/12/2021 - 17:03	Susan Crabbe	Redistricting Santa Cruz County	A hearty NO from our household in Patagonia. Keep this unique, small county whole. We are wholeheartedly against the proposed redistricting.
11/12/2021 - 17:10	Crystal Cavanaugh	REDISTRICTING	I live in Fountain Hills & I can't believe you're trying to manipulate my district. I am perfectly happy to have Fountain Hills/Rio Verde/Scottsdale in the same nearby group. Ridiculous to include Phoenix at the 17 & Desert Ridge. Leave us the way we are. Please!!!! Thank you.
11/12/2021 - 17:20	BRENDA WALSH	Redistricting the east valley of Phoenix	Hello. My name is Brenda Walsh and I live in the current LD18 in the Ahwatukee Foothills in Phoenix. I have a child in the Tempe Union High School District. The LD12 that is currently drawn has kept the Kyrene School District together which is great. The map should not include the arm/panhandle that runs across Chandler and into Gilbert. This section of the map does not share a school district, city or community of interest. I think it is important to keep communities of interest together as much as possible. It would be good to keep retirees together in the LD12 map to include Sun Lakes, Friendship Village and those in Ahwatukee. That would create a community of interest in one legislative district. Thank you for your hard work in creating these maps.
11/12/2021 - 17:29	Lois Sugar	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	As a thirty year resident of Arizona and Scottsdale, and as a participant in the economic, social, cultural, educational and political opportunities therein, I am asking that you please take this once in a decade opportunity to correct what is a completely uncompetitive legislative district. We need all of greater Scottsdale in one district which would support our economic and educational communities. Referring to submitted map, LDF 049, is fair and contiguous and respects all of SUSD and our economic interwovenness with the Salt River Community. This map appears to satisfy all criteria required: population, compact and competitive, Voting Rights, communities of interest, visible geographic features, and competitive (finally!). Thank you for your serious consideration of LDF 049. Lois Sugar
11/12/2021 - 18:48	Tache Rachel	New maps	Stop Slicing and Dicing Our East Valley Cities" – use your own words and follow our basic commenting guidelines.
11/12/2021 - 18:55	Margaret Bowerman	Redistricting	It's essential that legislative districts are drawn fairly and with significant community input. Cities should not be divided unless there is a compelling reason to do so. We should never see gerrymandering to benefit one political party over another. It's important that our representatives know and understand the community they represent. Arizona should add safeguards in the process to prevent partisan bias in the redistricting process. Thank you!

11/12/2021 - 19:14	Kimberly Tomlinson	Re districting	I live in Scottsdale and don't not understand why you would change my district to The reservation and Tempe district. I live work go to church in Scottsdale. Why would you change this I have nothing in common with those areas.
11/12/2021 - 19:16	Lori Ann K Harnish	Redistricting	I do not connect with any areas west of Scottsdale Road and north of the 101. I feel it would be a mistake to enlarge my district in areas I don't have anything in common.
11/12/2021 - 19:16	Libby Settle	Legislative District Redistricting Comments	As a homeschooling mom who has lived in Fountain Hills for 16 years, I live, shop, play, and dine in Fountain Hills and Scottsdale. I have nothing in common with Anthem & Deer Valley. They are over 30 miles away from me. Thank you!
11/12/2021 - 19:16	Mary Reitz	Redistricting	I am a business broker and I live in Fountain Hills. I shop, work, play and go to church in both Fountain Hills and Scottsdale. It is not logical or make sense for Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport to be in my district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Tio Verde district should be the city line which is Scottsdale Rd, not I-17. Thank you kindly for considering this change.
11/12/2021 - 19:16	Ann Dermanelian	Redistrict	I live in mid Scottsdale and my community is mid scottsdale and northern Scottsdale, southern Scottsdale should be with Tempe and mid scottsdale and northern scottsdale should be together with fountain hills. Thank you for your time.
11/12/2021 - 19:17	Laura Holgate	Redistricting	I am a nurse and I live in North Scottsdale. I live, shop, work, and play in Scottsdale. t makes no sense for desert ridge and deer valley airport to be in my district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the city line- which is Scottsdale Road, not I-17. Thank you so much for your consideration and time!  Laura
11/12/2021 - 19:18	Yvonne Cahill	Keep Scottsdale together -redistricting	I live in McCormick Ranch. I do not have anything in common with South Scottsdale and Tempe. I work in Scottsdale, go to church in Scottsdale. I want the bottom border to be Osborn and keep the natural border north of Scottsdale . North border needs to go higher. We do not have anything in common with Desert Ridge. The former cd6 you are putting it to far west. We have nothing in common with central Phoenix. We have more in common with north Phoenix.
11/12/2021 - 19:18	Janet L. Perry	REDISTRICTING	I am a retired teacher therapist. I live in nort scottsdale. I live, shop, go to church in scottsdale. t makes no sense for desert Ridge or airport to be in my district. , nor line be, I 17. Never. South Scottsdale is younger arts, entertainment areas,. Thank you.
11/12/2021 - 19:19	Joe Junker	Protect our community, keep us close	I'm a client executive with an IT consulting firm as well as a licensed realtor. My church, home, offices, doctors, grocery stores, entertainment and just about every other aspect of life are in Scottsdale. Keeping city of scottsdale whole is important to me. Likewise, a compact district is important to me and my family. Associating sections of Scottsdale with west/central Phoenix is unacceptable and violates two of the standards I understand your design should consider, community of interest and compactness.
11/12/2021 - 19:19	Adolyn Melczer Kahn	Redistributing Maps	I live in Paradise Valley. It needs to be kept as one district Keep it together do not divide it into 4 separate districts.
11/12/2021 - 19:19	Robert LETT ERI	Redistricting	I believe the the commision has lost sight of the basic concept of representative government. Live in Scottsdale I don't share same interests and priorities with people that live in Phoenix. The map for the new CD 1 should not include Phoenix.
11/12/2021 - 19:19	Douglass Ebstyne	Redistricting maps	I live in Scottsdale and want to see its boundaries maintained. The Scottsdale city line on the west. I live north, but am all over the city. Scottsdale quarter is a frequent place I shop. Costco is another haunt along with auto dealers. My church, which I love is on shea near Hayden. Keep my community in tack.
11/12/2021 - 19 20	Bob Hathorne	Public comment	I am retired and I live in North Scottsdale. I live, shop, work, and play in Scottsdale. It makes no sense for Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport to be in my legislative district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fointain Hills, Rio Verde legislative district should be the city line.  Also, it makes no sense for Midtown Phoenix to be drawn in with Phoenix for the congressional district. Scottsdale and Midtown Phoenix are totally different - one is urban and the other suburban. Please separate it into a different district.
11/12/2021 - 19 21	Conner Teich	Legislative district comments	I am a small business owner in fountain hills. I have lived in scottsdale and fountain hills for 24 years. I regularly go to restaurants and appointments in the Scottsdale area. I saw the new proposed legislative districts and they do not make sense for my community. I rarely travel along the 17 north or to desert ridge or Norterra area. Those areas do not encompass my community that I frequently travel to. Right now I am satisfied with my current legislative district but using scottsdale road as a boundary to my community, East and west would make the most sense to me. I want myself and my community properly represented however the current proposed maps do not do my community justice. I hope my comments will be well received and taken into consideration. Thank you for you time. Conner Teich
11/12/2021 - 19 21	Tim	Redistrict maps	PLEASE KEEP MY COMMUNITY TOGETHER! Revise the proposed map "ld3" to have its western border be the same as Scottsdale. That is my community where I live work and worship, I am there 23 out of every 24 hours just like my neighbors! It is my HOME and I want to keep it that way. PLEASE . Thanks, Tim Horn
11/12/2021 - 19 21	Conner Teich	Legislative district comments	I am a small business owner in fountain hills. I have lived in scottsdale and fountain hills for 24 years. I regularly go to restaurants and appointments in the Scottsdale area. I saw the new proposed legislative districts and they do not make sense for my community. I rarely travel along the 17 north or to desert ridge or Norterra area. Those areas do not encompass my community that I frequently travel to. Right now I am satisfied with my current legislative district but using scottsdale road as a boundary to my community, East and west would make the most sense to me. I want myself and my community properly represented however the current proposed maps do not do my community justice. I hope my comments will be well received and taken into consideration. Thank you for you time. Conner Teich
11/12/2021 - 19 22	Greg Wodynski	Redistricting comments proposed CD1 LD3	Referecing proposed LD3 CD1 I live, work attend church and use medical services in the Fountain Hills. Scottsdale Tonto Verde area. The proposed map boundary do no reflect my community of interest. The proposed LD3 area spans 30 plus miles to the I-17. This is not a community of interest and does not represent my community.

11/12/2021 - 19 22	Vickie L Moak	Redistricting	I have lived in Scottsdale 43 years and spend 99 per cent of my time in Scottsdale. My shopping, medical, dining and entertainment are spent in Scottsdale. I want Scottsdale to remain my community of interest.
11/12/2021 - 19 24	Stephanie Czopp	Redistricting	Thank you all for working on this important task. As a Fountain Hills resident and business owner I see more value in maintaining the true boundary of Scottsdale on the west perimeter as it naturally ebbs and flows. I have service area focused to include cave creek, carefree, Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. The circles we work and play typically stay within 20 miles or less .Thank you.
11/12/2021 - 19 25	Mary Reitz	Public Comment	Please remove midtown Phoenix from Scottsdale. Thank you for considering this request.
11/12/2021 - 19 30	Teich Valerie	Legislative District comment for Scottsdale	I am a real estate agent, mom, grandma, wife, business owner have lived in Scottsdale for 35 years where we raised our 4 children. We live around Shea east of the 101. We live and shop in this area around the 101 and down to the Fashion square mall. We don't understand why the LD would be cut off at Shea blvd or include Phoenix all the way to the 17. We are asking that the IRC keep our community together. Camelback north to the 101 with a border of the city of scottsdale to the west. We don't have commonality with Phoenix residents. We are a suburban community. We go to church south of Shea, shop & live and go to parks from Chaparral to north of the 101 & east of the 101 and south of Shea as well. Scottsdale, fountain Hills, rio Verde cave creek & carefree are should all be kept together. Please be respectful of our community. We thank you for your time and for your consideration.
11/12/2021 - 19 32	Claudia Campos	RC TESTIMONY	As a 15 year resident and co-owner of an Ecotourism business in Santa Cruz County, I urge the Commissioners to keep Santa Cruz County as one district rather than divide it in two and assign it to other districts. Please keep these like-minded Sky Islands Communities of Interest grouped together, as we share critical environmental and policy concerns and would greatly benefit from being maintained in a single, compact, contiguous, and competitive district. Eco-tourism and Birding constitute a vital economic and environmental link throughout this area - interests not shared by the towns in Cochise County. Therefore as a Santa Cruz County business owner, I urge you not to separate and disperse our communities, as that will certainly negatively impact the financially and environmentally beneficial efforts that we have collectively established here over many years. The Santa Cruz Valley contains several stretches of natural river flow, important riparian and grassland habitats, unfragmented wildlife migration corridors, and diverse plant and animal communities - including numerous endangered species. Given global warming and an ongoing 20+ year drought, preserving and protecting our watershed is more crucial than ever. The headwaters of the Santa Cruz River are in San Rafael Valley and the Sonoita Creek Watershed is a major tributary to the Santa Cruz River. Both the Santa Cruz River and Sonoita Creek, remarkably, still hold perennial stretches of water - something that is now vanishingly rare in all of Arizona. Hence, they play a key role in drawing in Ecotourism revenue. Furthermore, significant parts of Santa Cruz County are identified as Global Biodiversity Hotspots - recognized by many scientists as top regions in the world most in need of protection to ensure the survival of a broad spectrum of flora and fauna. These areas include: The Santa Rita Mountains, the Patagonia Mountains, Las Cienagas National Conservation Area, the San Rafael Grasslands, and a number of other biodiversity hotspots. A large percentage of residents in Santa Cruz County value such areas not only for recreation, but also for their inherent conservation values. The critical issues facing the communities along the Santa Cruz River corridor are best served by working together to choose legislative and congressional representatives.
11/12/2021 - 19 39	Aaron Katz	LD23 Redistricting	I am an IT professional living in N Scottsdale. I work, shop, kids go to school, worship and live my family life in N Scottsdale. This is my community! I don't shop, work, and my kids don't go to school or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley Airport or go near I-17. Those areas are miles away and should not be in the same district. The Western boundary for Scottsdale, Fountain Hill, and Rio Verde district should be the western boarder of Scottsdale - which is at Scottsdale Road. Not I-17! Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are western/suburban town/cities. N. Phoenix and the I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same! Our communities of interest are completely different and shouldn't be in the same district!
11/12/2021 - 19 39	Mary L Rothschild	Change the projected map for new LD 12	I live in the "old" LD 18 and I'm extremely disappointed with the new map, which would put me in the new LD 12. It cuts up Chandler, Tempe and even includes a bizarre "pot handle" across to Gilbert. It would be far better to pay attention to school districts and keep Kyrene together as well as keeping retirees from Ahwatukee, Friendship Village and Sun Lakes in one clear district. I hope this current map is not the final one and that the new map makes a clearer, more compact district.
11/12/2021 - 19 53	Christine Katz	Redistricting	Hello, I am a resident of North Scottsdale and I am concerned about the new proposed boundaries that could drastically change many legislative districts. My family lives, works and goes to school on North Scottsdale. I-17 as a western border makes no sense. Desert Ridge and Deer Valley are completely different communities with different interests and should not be in the same district. The western boundary for Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde should be Scottsdale Rd. Please reconsider these district boundaries.
11/12/2021 - 19 55	Diane Allen	Redistricting	Stop gerrymandering and keep Green Valley and Sahuarita in one district.
11/12/2021 - 20 28	Ann Dermanelian	Rrdistricting	I am a professional, I live in Scottsdale and my community of interest is mid scottsdale, north Scottsdale and fountain hills, please keep this area as a district. South Scottsdale and Tempe has a different community of interest. Thank you for listening.
11/12/2021 - 20 32	Isabelle Main	Redistricting	As a Mesa resident for the last 50 years and a teacher/professor in Mesa Public Schools, MCC and ASU, I urge the Arizona Redistricting Commission to keep children/students from the same area of residence in the same school district, i.e. the Reservation children who live largely in the Mesa School District, for instance, or the MCC as well as the ASU areas to each stay in its area of influence as far as their district is concerned. Thank you for your attention
11/12/2021 - 20:43	Annette T Fields	Fairness	I think there should be a equal number of democratic and republican districts

11/12/2021 - 20:43	Daniel Hunt	Unconstitutional Mapping: Released Draft Maps	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I am writing regarding the constitutional bound objectives of the commission and how they are not being met currently in the draft map for Southern AZ specific to the LD17 creation at the bidding of a partisan map submittal illegally disguised as a public submittal. The creation of this politically safe district for the party submitting the map unfairly impacted other districts (LD21, LD10, CD2)</p> <p>The objectives of the drafts maps are as follows (in no particular order):</p> <p>A. Districts shall comply with the United States Constitution and the United States voting rights act;</p> <p>B. Congressional districts shall have equal population to the extent practicable, and state legislative districts shall have equal population to the extent practicable;</p> <p>C. Districts shall be geographically compact and contiguous to the extent practicable;</p> <p>D. District boundaries shall respect communities of interest to the extent practicable;</p> <p>E. To the extent practicable, district lines shall use visible geographic features, city, town and county boundaries, and undivided census tracts;</p> <p>F. To the extent practicable, competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals.</p> <p>These criteria absolutely all matter. Competitiveness as ruled by the AZ Supreme Court in 2008 is absolutely critical to the survival of AZ democratic practices and choice of leaders. The court rules that the competitiveness goal cannot be considered less mandatory than the other goals, ignored, or relegated to a secondary role, yet in shifting LD17 from a competitive to a non-competitive district in service of partisan outcomes, the commission is doing exactly this- drawing this district into a safe district for one party - the party that submitted the map. As a result of this non-competitive, party-leaning Southern AZ mapping of LD17 you have impacted LD21 into a gerrymandered district that separates core Tucson neighborhoods from the rest of Tucson. It also shifts much of this area from a previously competitive district (LD10, CD2) into a safe Dem district and makes LD17 a safe Rep district. We need competitive districts that are drawn responsibly in accordance with the constitution - not across party lines.</p> <p>The best way to represent right-leaning voters in left-leaning areas, or left-leaning voters in right-leaning areas, is to give them a competitive district where their voices might actually be heard. Creating 3 safe Democratic legislative districts and 1 safe Republican district in Pima County isn't balance - it's a disservice to voters. Rs, Ds, and independents all lose. We're asking you to quit sidelining competition in Pima County and everywhere in the state.</p> <p>Thank you for your time- let's do better for all of Arizona and ALL of the citizens.</p>
11/12/2021 - 21 03	Ronald Harnish	Redistricting legislative district 23	<p>The change in districts would put different neighborhoods in the same district. Different neighborhoods have different needs and should have different representation in our legislative body.</p> <p>Grouping different neighborhoods will lead to problems with one representative trying to please two different groups.</p>
11/12/2021 - 21 09	Lina F. Hatch	LD & CD proposed maps	<p>To members of the IRC,</p> <p>It is evident that you are not considering the voice of the East Valley as you construct LD &amp; CD maps. Despite being home to roughly 1.4 million people (more than 25% of the population of the Phoenix Metro area), the IRC has not scheduled even one public meeting in the East Valley. I respectfully request that you schedule several meetings for the large East Valley population so that our voices will be heard.</p>
11/12/2021 - 21:16	John Hassett	redistricting	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I live in CD6 &amp; LD15. I am near 40th St &amp; Bell. I am not happy with the current proposed maps. The proposed change to LD4 goes too far south. Instead it should go north at least to Lone Mountain to group us with like minded individuals where we live, eat &amp; shop.</p> <p>For the same reason proposed CD1 should not include so much of central Phoenix or go as far east as it does and instead have more of North Phoenix in it. Thank you for taking the time to review and consider everyone's comments!</p>
11/12/2021 - 21:17	Thia Hassett	redistricting	<p>Hello,</p> <p>We live near 40th St and Bell Rd. As it stands we are in proposed Legislative District 4. Our boundaries are going too far south into south Scottsdale, and should expand more north past Desert Ridge at least to Lone Mountain or the Cave Creek area. This is the area in which we live, shop, exercise and go to church.</p> <p>The proposed Congressional District 4 should not include the central Phoenix area. That is primarily high density housing, where we live in single family home. That southern boundary should be moved further north.</p> <p>Thank you and we appreciate you time and effort in reviewing the comments to help determine our state's new boundaries.</p>
11/12/2021 - 22 26	Margaret R Tinsley	redistricting maps	<p>I have lived (and voted) in Tempe for over 50 years. While I appreciate that my Kyrene School District has not been divided between legislative districts, I would prefer that the city of Tempe not be divided between four (!) legislative districts. This could significantly diminish the impact of Tempe citizens. Please reconsider this division and do not slice and dice my city.</p> <p>Thank you!</p>
11/12/2021 - 23 29	Eugene Samson	Redistricting Feedback	<p>I am a current resident living near the Paradise Valley area. I have lived here since 2015. This area is predominately residential area where folks can live, attend religious services, send kids to school - all in a close - knit neighborhood environment. We hold Community functions to instill a neighborly environment. It makes very little sense to break us into four legislative districts. Our proximal neighbor is Scottsdale so it makes absolutely no sense to include us with Tempe or Glendale. We are a residential community. Please keep communities of interest together as mandated by the law.</p> <p>Thank you!</p>

11/13/2021 - 05:48	Tommy Andrews	Legislative District Redistricting	I am a business executive living in the Scottsdale/Fountain Hills LD23. I recently became aware of the proposed new boundaries being set by the commission and was very upset. My legislator should represent my community of interest which is bordered by the Scottsdale city line on the West, Camelback and the Reservation on the South, Fountain Hills on the East, and Cave Creek on the North. This is where my neighbors live. This is with whom my children have friends and with who I go to church. Please do not divide my community as currently proposed!
11/13/2021 - 06:11	Tommy Andrews	Congressional Redistricting	I am an 18-year resident of Scottsdale AZ. When reviewing the proposed changes to the Congressional districts in AZ I was appalled to see you were including central Phoenix and parts of Scottsdale below Camelback as part of the same Congressional District. This makes no sense. Central Phoenix is not part of my community of interest, which I understand is an important criterion for drawing district boundaries. The residents of Central Phoenix chose to live there for very different reasons than I chose to move to the Scottsdale/Fountain Hills community. Additionally, having the Southern boundary of my congressional district should not be Camelback Road. Below Camelback is much more closely aligned with ASU and the Tempe community. Do not divided us as proposed. Please respect our communities of interest and align our representation accordingly.
11/13/2021 - 07 00	Sandi Bartlett	Redistricting meetings	When will you be offering a meeting in the East Valley of Phoenix?
11/13/2021 - 07:40	Claire Bickel	redistricting	1-Keeping greater Scottsdale together is consistent with all six of the constitutional criteris for drawing a district. At roughly 240,000 people, it is within the margin of error for equal population. 2-Keeping greater Scottsdale together achieves compactness and contiguusness. t incorporates visible geographic features. The geographic footprint of Scottsdale remains virtually in tact as does the Salt River Pima-Maricopa community. It includes all the unique features of urban, suburban and rural. 3-It comes very close to creating parity between the two major political parties with the advantage slightly favoring Republicans. 4-Keeping greater Scottsdale together offers the opportunity for legislative candidates to run and govern from the middle. Thereby serving the majority of voters in the proposed Scottsdale district.
11/13/2021 - 09 05	Shannon Cardea	Gerrymandered LD17	My name is Shannon Cardea. I am a resident of Pima County & an Arizona native. I strongly oppose the proposed LD17 in the Adopted Legislative Draft Map.  Having LD17 extend from Marana to west of Redington makes no sense in a dense urban-suburban area. Vail isn't remotely on our transportation corridor. Pima County, the town of Oro Valley and Amphitheater Unified District boundaries should be respected.  Oro Valley should be kept together with Casas Adobes and northern Pima county. We share similar demographics and interests with a median age just over 40 years, a balance between age groups, diverse housing, urbanization, and economies. We don't shop, worship or work in SaddleBrooke, Reddington or Vail. Tucson is our hub.  Oro Valley doesn't share the same concerns as Redington, SaddleBrooke and Vail. Casas Adobes and northern Pima County share the same water and land concerns. Our voices would be muted in a primarily rural homogeneous district. Vail, SaddleBrooke and Redington get their water from groundwater. Oro Valley's water comes from diverse sources including the Central AZ Project.  LD17 creates a manufactured and solidly Republican district. Left of center voices would be stifled and extremist representation encouraged. As the Republican Party has veered into extremism, I am completely flabbergasted by their attempts to thwart Democracy.  The Adopted Legislative Draft Map is partisan and clearly favors one party. According to Planscore, the adopted LD Map favors Republicans on every measure & disregards the voices of the Communities being impacted.  Avoiding this gerrymandering was the very reason an Independent Redistricting Committee was created. It has allowed Republicans to use the process in an unethical manner to the exclusion of other maps and interests.
11/13/2021 - 10 09	paul riedell	north Scottsdale area re-districting	I think that the current district borders are quite good. Scottsdale is pretty unified and is reasonable size for its representatives to understand the views of the residents.
11/13/2021 - 11:02	michael stabile	Do not beak up Santa Cruz County, Please	SCC is a multi-racial community that functions exceptionally well Take for example the exceptionally high rate of people who have been vaccinated, people wear their masks. If you split the county and connect it with parts of Cochise county. You will disrupt this great unity as Cochise is mostly white and have their own way of doing things Please leave the boundaries the way they are now.

11/13/2021 - 11:11	Sarah T Evans	Map version 9.0 most accurately represents my community	<p>My name is Sarah T Evans and I live at 8635 E Innovative Drive, Tucson Arizona, 85710. I have been a resident of the City of Tucson, Arizona since 1980 and currently reside in LD10.</p> <p>I have reviewed the proposed Legislative district maps 9.0 and the approved map 9.2. Frankly, the approved LD9.2 does not respect my community of interest as an east side Tucson resident. I would like to request a return to the proposed LD map 9.0 instead of 9.2. Here are several reasons why:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The adopted 9 2 map divides my neighborhood. My immediate community extends to Freeman road and LD 9.2 divides the East side community between LD18 and LD17 at Harrison Road, which is just 1 mile east of me.</li> <li>•LD 9.2 places me in district LD18 that extends north as far as Thornydale, La Cholla and La Canada on the far NW side of town. I have much more in common with my neighbors east of Harrison than I do with those who reside NW, such as in the Tucson National Estates. t takes more than one hour to drive to NW Pima County, and I rarely have reason to do so. I can drive as far as Freeman in less than 10 minutes, and do so frequently.</li> <li>•The adopted 9 2 map places many nearby friends and neighbors in a different district (LD17). Those neighbors share community and cultural interests with me. We all frequent the same East Side businesses and restaurants, and many of us share the common denominator of living in City Council Ward Two. Ward Two unites us whereas the adopted LD map 9 2 does the opposite—it divides us and does not fairly represent the East Side issues we are concerned with.</li> </ul> <p>Thank you for your consideration,</p> <p>Sarah T Evans</p>
11/13/2021 - 11:18	Michael Dermanelian	Redistricting	<p>I am a medical professional in Scottsdale. Please keep Scottsdale from Camelback north with fountain hills in the same District. This is our community of interest where we shop, entertain etc. Thank you for consideration.</p>
11/13/2021 - 11:34	Jean C Nemer	Legislative District Maps	<p>Dear Committee,</p> <p>I am a six year Scottsdale resident living in the DC Ranch community where I am an elected member of the HOA board and am also very active at the Pinnacle Peak Presbyterian Church as a deacon. Although I am retired, I worked for many years as an Executive Director of the YWCA in Illinois and California.</p> <p>I appreciate the work and effort of volunteers such as yourselves, and I know you are committed to drawing fair and balanced legislative districts that will impact our lives over the next ten years.</p> <p>I am respectfully asking that you consider keeping the greater Scottsdale area together by approving the LDF049 map. It seems to me to have those geographic features and boundaries that make sense encompassing, in particular, the Salt River and Scottsdale city boundaries.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my request. I believe LDF049 is in compliance with the outlines of the Arizona Constitution.</p>
11/13/2021 - 11:38	Carol Lettieri	Boundry Lines	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I live in Scottsdale, I work in health care in Scottsdale, shop, dine, love my neighborhood in which I spend most of my time when I am not working. Please keep the original boundries of Scottsdale.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read my request, your time is valuable and appreciated.</p> <p>Carol</p>
11/13/2021 - 12 01	Carol Lettieri	Boundries in Scottsdale	<p>Please keep the original boundries of Scottsdale. My life is spent mostly in Scottsdale. I am a health care worker in Scottsdale, I shop in Scottsdale, and see no need to change the boundries. We share a community of interest in Scottsdale.</p> <p>Thank you for your time,</p> <p>Carol</p>
11/13/2021 - 12:11	Althea Baca	RC Mapping and GOP Gerrymandering	<p>Please consider drawing the boundaries to reflect the people that live inside those boundaries to do the work of the people and for the people in those boundaries. What we have seen is deliberate gerrymandering to protect the politicians and NOT the people, this is not governness but politics. Democracy is supposed to be for the PEOPLE not the Politicians to hold on to their power and make money.</p> <p>Please reflect the people and do the right thing.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p>
11/13/2021 - 12:18	Lynn kahn	Redistricting maps	<p>I have lived in Paradise Valley for the last 20 years. To include PV with Phoenix all the way to highway 17 in no way represents our distinctly two different populations.</p> <p>Any representation cannot address the dramatically opposing issues and needs of the widely DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES!</p>
11/13/2021 - 12 25	Adolyn Kahn	Redistricting Maps	<p>The entire town of Paradise Valley MUST be in the same 2 redistricting maps. Paradise Valley's community values, concerns, needs are in line with North Scottsdale, Cave Creek and Carefree.</p> <p>Please do the right thing and aline PV with other common community like interests and concerns AND NEEDS.</p>

11/13/2021 - 12:42	Vickie L. Moak	Redistricting	I am a retired small business owner who has lived in Scottsdale for many years, settling in Arizona in 1978. I live in Scottsdale, shop in Scottsdale, socialize in Scottsdale. I don't want to see Scottsdale split up into thirds and join it with other communities. Scottsdale is well known nationally as a unique community and splitting it up will destroy its special flavor. Thank you
11/13/2021 - 12:44	Richard W Truelick	Redistricting	<p>To the Redistricting Committee:</p> <p>I am a business person and long-time resident of Scottsdale. My wife, Barbara, and I love this community as we share similar interest to our neighbors.</p> <p>I have just learned that the Redistricting Committee is thinking about including sections of the Greater Phoenix area in determining the new voting districts in such a way that the likely representation will not reflect the interests or values of the Scottsdale community. If the suggested redistricting is allowed to go forward, I believe that the Scottsdale community will effectively lose its voice in determining legislation that affects our lives.</p> <p>I pray that you will not quash the voice of Scottsdale by diluting our community with sections of Phoenix that appropriately belong in other districts which share their interests and values.</p> <p>All the best to the members of your committee.</p> <p>Dick Truelick  ██████████  Scottsdale, AZ 85258  ██████████</p>
11/13/2021 - 12:44	Richard W Truelick	Redistricting	<p>To the Redistricting Committee:</p> <p>I am a business person and long-time resident of Scottsdale. My wife, Barbara, and I love this community as we share similar interest to our neighbors.</p> <p>I have just learned that the Redistricting Committee is thinking about including sections of the Greater Phoenix area in determining the new voting districts in such a way that the likely representation will not reflect the interests or values of the Scottsdale community. If the suggested redistricting is allowed to go forward, I believe that the Scottsdale community will effectively lose its voice in determining legislation that affects our lives.</p> <p>I pray that you will not quash the voice of Scottsdale by diluting our community with sections of Phoenix that appropriately belong in other districts which share their interests and values.</p> <p>All the best to the members of your committee.</p> <p>Dick Truelick  8408 E Del Camino Dr  Scottsdale, AZ 85258  truelick@cox.net  480-748-5928</p>
11/13/2021 - 12:47	Lynn Melczer Kahn	Redistricting Map	<p>I have lived in 3 areas of the valley since 1950; Central Phoenix 30 years, Tempe 13 years and Paradise Valley 20 years. I know the communities well.</p> <p>Paradise Valley does not share commonalities with Tempe or Phoenix.</p> <p>Paradise Valley has common interests, concerns and needs with Scottsdale north of Camelback all the way north through Cave Creek, Carefree and Fountain Hills. O</p> <p>Paradise Valley is the "bedroom community of mid and North Scottsdale NOT PHOENIX or TEMPE or the South Scottsdale art &amp; entertainment area.</p> <p>Please do the necessary action to properly redistrict the maps for community interests, concerns, needs.</p> <p>PV residents do there shopping, education, religious affiliations in Scottsdale north of Camelback.</p>
11/13/2021 - 12:55	T. Baggin K. T. Hobbs	Catch It	If Democrats like to each it, try catch it. It stings the nostrils. Also, limit Regina Romero to 1 taco per day.
11/13/2021 - 13:03	Vickie L. Moak	Disapprove of Redistricting of Scottsdale	I live in Scottsdale because it is my community of choice where I shop, have my doctors, restaurants and social clubs. I don't want to see Rio Verde and Fountain Hills connected with Desert Ridge and I-17! Thank you.
11/13/2021 - 13:08	Marilyn Bernhardt	Redistricting Northern AZ	<p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>I am very concerned about the proposed maps affecting (new) LDs 5, 6 and 7. You have spent hours discussing the details of redistricting southern Arizona, but in the north, with very little discussion you have proposed maps which make a mockery of the key factors of competitiveness, community of interest and contiguity in our legislative and congressional. We urge you to revise your proposed maps of LDs 5,6,and 7 in accordance with the proposals of the Coconino County Board of Supervisors, which are supported by the City of Sedona. The Coconino Board alternative is and has been supported by the great majority affected citizens in comments and testimony at IRC public hearings.</p>

11/13/2021 - 13:13	Jill Seymour	LD 8 and LD 12	I live in the Optimist precinct. I have lived in Tempe for the past 26 years. I do most of my shopping and have most of my social interactions with people who live in the area south of Guadalupe. Thus, I consider my neighborhood to be centered around the intersection of McClintock and Guadalupe. I was dismayed to see that the current draft of my home places it outside of the southern Tempe district LD 12, but within LD 8. Since the bulk of the current draft of LD 8 is centered around the Scottsdale-Pima-101 corridor, this places me outside the realm of any of the activities that I engage in currently. Thus, I would be a "fish out of water" in LD 8. I would like to encourage the commissioners to shift the border of LD 12 to encompass the area north of Guadalupe. Thank you for your consideration.
11/13/2021 - 13:38	Vickey Finger	Proposed Legislative District Map for LD 6 and 7	<p>My name is Vickey Finger. I have lived , worked, played, shopped, volunteered and received health care in Flagstaff for over 25 years. Flagstaff serves as a hub for all of these services for the greater Flagstaff area as well as Sedona and the Verde Valley. I know this because as a private practice therapist many of my clients came from the outlying areas.</p> <p>In the Legislative District Draft Map 10.0 all the spokes which connect to that hub are cut off. Our healthcare system's services (current and proposed) would be divided from many of their patients; many of our students and their parents would be divided from the schools they attend; our businesses would be cut off from many of their customers; our workforce would be cut off from its place of employment. Working together legislatively as a community of interest would not be possible because part of us would be in Legislative District 6 and part in Legislative District 7.</p> <p>If the draft map is adopted, Our voices stand little chance of being heard by legislative candidates or legislators because neither seat is anywhere near competitive. In fact, none of the 3 proposed Northern Arizona districts would be competitive. IT DOES NOT HAVE TO BE THIS WAY to meet the other important requirements as well. You have been furnished alternative redistricting maps by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors which are competitive and still meet the other criteria. All parties would have a chance to elect people who would be willing to listen to all sides of the issue as they would need the votes of not just one party to be elected. Once elected they would need to be responsive to ALL as they would need votes from all side to be reelected. Our legislators would tend to be less extreme and polarized because of this too and could work together for the good of our wonderful state.</p> <p>I ask you to adopt the maps proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors for Legislative Districts 6 and 7. Maps LDF5050 and LDF50051.</p> <p>Thank you for your service.</p>
11/13/2021 - 13:41	MiMi Paris	No No No No No No a thousand times no!	In no uncertain terms should Scottsdale be broken into three separate districts! A City divided is not a City UNITED! Keep Scottsdale whole!!!!
11/13/2021 - 14:08	Vickey Finger	Congressional draft map 7.1	<p>The current Congressional District 1 in Northern Arizona is competitive. It also contains a majority minority of Native Americans who have a chance to elect one of their own or someone who understands their values and life style so that their voice is heard in congress.</p> <p>In the draft map 7.1, the many tribes of Arizona are packed into. CD2. The way this map is set up, the native voice no longer will have a chance to be represented in Congress. They will have little chance to be represented by someone of their choice who understands their issues. I am aware the number of Native peoples does not meet the Voting Rights minimum for a congressional district so others must be included. However, in Map 7.1 the Prescott area has been added to make up that population. This has set up a situation where those in the Prescott area , who predominantly vote Republican, will decide because of the numbers of added Republicans, who will represent the Native American tribes who predominantly vote Democratic. The Native peoples will be disenfranchised.</p> <p>I ask that you remove the Prescott area from the proposed CD2. There have been other areas in the past which have been found that are more in line with the Native American interests and if added would make the CD once more competitive. Native Americans are an important part of Arizona. They must be heard at a national level.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration and your service.</p>
11/13/2021 - 14:34	LAURA HOLTGATE	Redistricting	<p>I am a nurse and I have lived in North Scottsdale for 21 years. I have moved a few times and always chose to stay in the same area. I live, shop, eat in restaurants, go to doctors in Scottsdale. It makes no sense for Desert Ridge and Deer Valley Airport to be in my district. The western boundary for Scottsdale, Fountain hills, and Rio Verde district should be Scottsdale Road, not I-17. Thanks you for your service.</p> <p>Laura</p>
11/13/2021 - 15:55	Dee Peterson	Proposed Redistricting	I am strongly opposed to the proposed redistricting that would divide Sedona and separate us from communities with similar values and interests.

11/13/2021 - 16 29	J. Michael LaFargue	Arizona LD Redistricting	<p>I am J. Michael LaFargue, residing and registered to vote at 107 Sutter Pl. Tubac AZ 85656. I have viewed the redistricting draft map 10.0. I would like to make an argument for including Tubac, Green Valley, Patagonia, the I-19 corridor, the Santa Cruz River Valley and Santa Cruz County in LD 21 along with Nogales, rather than in LD 19 according to this draft map.</p> <p>One argument concerns natural boundaries formed by mountain ranges to the East of us, such as the Santa Rita and Huachuca ranges. This means for example that, although the city of Sierra Vista is not too far away as the crow flies, it is hard for us to get to because we have to make a long detour to the north to get around mountain ranges. For this reason we hardly ever go to Sierra Vista, never for routine shopping or for things like doctor or dentist visits. We do go fairly often to the city of Nogales for restaurants, shopping, and doctor visits, and have a natural personal concern for what goes on there.</p> <p>The Santa Cruz River Valley is also a natural feature that, together with Interstate 19, unites us and gives us common interests with other communities running along a north/south axis. The Anza Trail running through Tubac along the Santa Cruz River attracts hikers and birdwatchers. Artist shops in Tubac attract tourists from Tucson to the North and other cities in this corridor to the South. Workers in the produce industry bringing produce up I-19 from Nogales often reside in our community, as well as Customs and Border Patrol agents working in this area to our South.</p> <p>We just don't have that many natural interests in common with areas across mountain ranges to our East that would give us common concerns with people living in those areas. So it seems unnatural to create a special western carve-out expanding LD 19 just to include our community and others close by in Santa Cruz County to which we have a more natural affinity J. Michael LaFargue, residing and registered to vote at 107 Sutter Pl. Tubac AZ 85656.</p> <p>I have viewed the redistricting draft map 10.0. I would like to make an argument for including Tubac, Green Valley, Patagonia, the I-19 corridor, the Santa Cruz River Valley and Santa Cruz County in LD 21 along with Nogales, rather than in LD 19 according to this draft map.</p> <p>One argument concerns natural boundaries formed by mountain ranges to the East of us, such as the Santa Rita and Huachuca ranges. This means for example that, although the city of Sierra Vista is not too far away as the crow flies, it is hard for us to get to because we have to make a long detour to the north to get around mountain ranges. For this reason we hardly ever go to Sierra Vista, never for routine shopping or for things like doctor or dentist visits. We do go fairly often to the city of Nogales for restaurants, shopping, and doctor visits, and have a natural personal concern for what goes on there.</p> <p>The Santa Cruz River Valley is also a natural feature that, together with Interstate 19, unites us and gives us common interests with other communities running along a north/south axis. The Anza Trail running through Tubac along the Santa Cruz River attracts hikers and birdwatchers. Artist shops in Tubac attract tourists from Tucson to the North and other cities in this corridor to the South. Workers in the produce industry bringing produce up I-19 from Nogales often reside in our community, as well as Customs and Border Patrol agents working in this area to our South.</p> <p>We just don't have that many natural interests in common with areas across mountain ranges to our East that would give us common concerns with people living in those areas. So it seems unnatural to create a special western carve-out expanding LD 19 just to include our community and others close by in Santa Cruz County to which we have a more natural affinity</p>
11/13/2021 - 20 38	Alissa Dubai	Redistricting	<p>Good evening, my name is Alissa Dubai. I have been a North Scottsdale resident for 7 years. I am a Registered Nurse and I've worked through out the Valley. My family and I have chosen North Scottsdale as our home because all of our favorite shopping, dining and leisure activities are with in 10 min. We love our schools for our children and our local parks. We have chosen to commute to our jobs because we feel North Scottsdale represents us and our lifestyle best. The boundaries should stop at the Scottsdale city limits to the west at Scottsdale Rd, areas of north Phoenix and Anthem do not represent us or our lifestyle. Thank you for your time.</p> <p>Alissa Dubai</p>
11/13/2021 - 20:49	Fred Olster	Sedona/VOC redistricting	<p>I live in the Village of Oak Creek. The proposed redistricting map would lump us in with Prescott. We share neither economic interests nor social values with Prescott. Our voices...our needs...our values would be lost in what would be a totally non-competitive district. Please follow the guidelines offered by the Sedona City Council and allow all our voices to be heard.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
11/14/2021 - 05 29	William Ring	Legislative District #6	<p>I reviewed the final draft Legislative District Map and observed District 6 and it's demographics. It is rather obvious that the District packs the Native American community into one District with 58% of that population. It is a majority minority District which, were it necessary to obtain Section 5 pre-clearance from the DOJ, would probably result in a rejection because the packing dilutes the effectiveness of that community. An ideal design for this community would be one that presents a near-majority across two or more Districts. That design would provide for more competitiveness by reducing the concentration of NA individuals to something just less than 50%, again spread across two or more districts. This would allow for a stronger possibility of cross-over votes that can result in NA victories on Election Day. This is the only district in Arizona that is so comprised. I am not sure how this District came to be, who might advocate for such a map, and how it could survive legal challenge as a violation of the Voting Rights Act. I am merely pointing this out and suggest to you it is an error of constitutional magnitude and there are better, more competitive designs.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>

11/14/2021 - 07:17	Suzanne Mead	Chair Neuberger Comments about LD3 in Draft 10.0	<p>This is in response to Chair Neuberger's comments Tuesday, Nov. 9 about LD3. She said that she believes that LD3 "fulfills the six criteria nicely" its continuous, unites communities of interest, it's respecting geographic boundaries. And again, it's hard to achieve competitiveness without compromising communities of interest."</p> <p>On the contrary, LD3 doesn't unite communities of interest and it runs us roughshod through mountain ranges and national forests. It is not "nicely" competitive at +20% R. And it is incongruous to say that competitiveness is hard to achieve without compromising COI when LD3 is severely compromised by linking Cave Creek with Lake Roosevelt, which is 100+ miles away through the Tonto and Sitgreaves National forests, the McDowell and Matzatzal Mountains.</p> <p>I thank the commission for separating Cave Creek and Carefree from Yavapai County, but this map does not serve our community of interest any better. Please respect our true community of interest and realign our area with Phoenix. Thank you.</p>
11/14/2021 - 07:41	Olena Thompson	Unity	Don't split Green Valley and Sahuarita. Keep us together!
11/14/2021 - 08 23	Carlie Androus	REDISTRICTING	I am a registered voter in Yavapai County- PLEASE leave us (CAMP VERDE-VERDE VALLEY) with the Prescott district. I t is a treacherous drive to Flagstaff for older people in the winter (74/87) and the paring there is so bad. Many of us old (ole) timers are established with Yavapai College in Prescott, the shopping is easier there for us. Please consider these things when making your decisions - they will AFFEST US GREATLY. Communities should NOT BE SEPERATED. Thank you for all your handwork, I sure don't envy you.
11/14/2021 - 08 39	David Hassell	Opposing the Sedona Redistricting	<p>I am a member of the Sedona community living in West Sedona.</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the redistricting plan to split Sedona on county lines.</p> <p>Sedona and and the greater Verde Valley is a "community of interest." To my knowledge, respecting communities of interest is one of the six criteria in the Arizona Constitution that the IRC must follow when drawing congressional and legislative districts.</p> <p>t appears that the current proposal is in clear violation of this mandate and I'm requesting that the plans be reconsidered to respect our community.</p>
11/14/2021 - 08 57	Laura F Huenneke	defining communities of interest for priority consideration	Thank you for the public hearings you have been holding to hear reactions to your draft maps. I want to speak to some of the many comments we have been hearing about communities of interest – for example, about how communities of interest might support, or on the other hand contradict, the concept of keeping Yavapai County whole in your legislative map. There is no formal definition of "community of interest" in the Arizona constitution, so the commissioners, the mapping consultants, and the public are free to make their own arguments about what constitutes such a community and about which communities might merit priority for consideration. Many of the residents of Yavapai County who spoke in Flagstaff recently used shopping and recreation, as well as the payment of property taxes to the county, to define their communities of interest. However, I would argue that shopping patterns do not constitute a sound basis for determining boundaries for state legislative districts. Instead, areas linked by similar impact of issues commonly regulated or debated by the state legislature would be "communities of interest" that ought to be given priority in drawing boundaries. A partial list of topics that have been recently regulated or debated by the state legislature, where Flagstaff and Sedona and the Verde Valley (eastern portion of Yavapai County) have demonstrably different interests from those of Prescott and Yavapai County west of Mingus Mountain: 1) dark skies protection legislation, 2) groundwater regulation, 3) local regulation or management of vacation rentals and second homes, 4) local minimum or livable wage levels that address high local cost of living, 5) the Four Forest Restoration Initiative and local investments in forest health in the Coconino and Kaibab National Forests. Other topics that link the Flagstaff – Sedona – Cottonwood – Clarkdale – Cornville – Camp Verde communities include: 6) partnerships with The Nature Conservancy and other conservation-oriented non-profits aimed at watershed health, springs, and in-stream flow from the San Francisco Peaks through Oak Creek Canyon to the lower Verde River; 7) heavy influence of national and international tourism visiting national parks and monuments; 8) long-time collaboration in northern Arizona's Sustainable Economic Development Initiative (SEDI). These are all issues on which Arizona voters ought to be able to engage their state representatives and to count on their distinctive needs being heard. Please favor these kinds of communities of interest, linking people and businesses with common goals and challenges, over more simplistic patterns based on shopping locations.
11/14/2021 - 08 58	Claire Bickel	redistricting	<p>Keeping greater Scottsdale together offers the opportunity for legislative candidates to run and govern from the middle. Thereby serving the majority of voters in the proposed Scottsdale district.</p> <p>We know this works in the City of Scottsdale the City Council and Scottsdale Unified School District Governing Board are composed of centrist candidates from both parties and govern as citizen-centric.</p> <p>This greater Scottsdale district also complies with The Voting Rights Act.</p> <p>The proposed greater Scottsdale district has a compelling economic community of interest that includes tourism, local business interests on the 101 corridor south of 90th street, along with Scottsdale Community College – all of which are on Tribal lands. Putting these two communities together preserves their mutual interest in sustainable land use and respect for the individual character of diverse and distinct neighborhoods. Citizen involvement, environmental and open space preservation and focus on planning, community building and decision making.</p> <p>Scottsdale and the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian community value their unique place. The RC should value that and keep greater Scottsdale, together.</p>
11/14/2021 - 08 59	Peggy Natiello	Redistricting maps	Il am pleading the redistricting commission to reconsider the lines drawn for voters in Coconino County and Yavapai County. The present maps will make some of our votes - mostly democratic - useless as the present maps are drawn. Please do NOT disempower our votes!!! t is totally against the right to vote determination in this country.

11/14/2021 - 09 05	David Hassell	Opposing the Sedona Redistricting	<p>I am a member of the Sedona community living in West Sedona.</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the redistricting plan to split Sedona on county lines.</p> <p>Sedona and and the greater Verde Valley is a "community of interest." To my knowledge, respecting communities of interest is one of the six criteria in the Arizona Constitution that the IRC must follow when drawing congressional and legislative districts.</p> <p>t appears that the current proposal is in clear violation of this mandate and I'm requesting that the plans be reconsidered to respect our community.</p>
11/14/2021 - 09 26	patricia Hennessy	RC	<p>hello commission- here's how you can gerrymander northern Arizona in plain sight :--)</p> <p>first: split sedona along county lines..then take coconino portion of sedona and add it to payson...</p> <p>no one will notice these communities have little in common.</p> <p>next: take the Yavapai portion of sedona, west sedona and the village of oak creek and add to Prescott...</p> <p>no one will notice that Prescott and sedona communities have little in common.</p> <p>all in all you can make sure there will be safe seats for legislators for years!!</p> <p>no more need to be accountable to diverse constituents. the favored party can rest easy.</p> <p>mission accomplished!!</p> <p>and don't worry about lawsuits challenging you decisions... or should you???</p>
11/14/2021 - 09 50	Robert Melikian	Districts 2 and 4	<p>Thank you for the work you do. You've created two competitive districts, please do not undo them. Both 2 and 4 are drawn competitively and the people share common problems and have common geography. The School Districts are less divided. So please do not change them. Thank you,</p> <p>Robert</p>
11/14/2021 - 09 50	Johnnie Edward Vanlandingham	Redistricting	<p>Keet Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, and Camp Verde in our new district 7. We don't want Pinal County in our northern Arizona district.</p>
11/14/2021 - 09 54	Janet Hagstrand	Redistricting	<p>I am a resident of Cornville Arizona voter and I want to keep Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, and Camp Verde in our new district #7. We do not want Pinal County in our northern Arizona district.</p>
11/14/2021 - 10:40	Tommy Andrews	Scottsdale Redistricting	<p>Chairman Neuberg</p> <p>We appreciate the challenge you have in creating the redistricting maps. With that said, as an 18 year resident of McCormick Ranch, I can assure you dividing our legislative district heading East on Shea Blvd is ridiculous. The Shea corridor should include those homes on the North and South side of Shea Blvd.. The logical boundary is the Reservation boundary to the south. The Shea Corridor families all attend Dessert Mountain High, go to the same Churches and shop in the same stores! They are all part of the same "community of interest" along with McCormick Ranch, DC Ranch, Stonegate, and Fountain Hills.</p>
11/14/2021 - 10:41	Kristine Villa	Keep Verde Valley with Prescott Valley	<p>As a concerned citizen please vote to keep Verde Valley with Prescott valley not Sedona and a Flagstaff. Sedona and Flagstaff already have a high level of tourism from everywhere and our money would be more beneficial for Prescott alignment. Thank you for hearing the people from Verde Vallet!</p>
11/14/2021 - 10:48	Michael Smith	Scottsdale District	<p>I am a resident of Scottsdale and a local real estate agent in Scottsdale. I work, live and sell in Scottsdale and I can see the proposals to split up the district. This is wrong and should not happen. You can not realistically compare the proposed areas outside of Scottsdale as comparable the same way you can't compare the real estate from areas to the east and west of Scottsdale. Its apples and oranges. It make no sense to split up Scottsdale into more districts.</p>
11/14/2021 - 10 54	Kathleen Cooley	Redistricting	<p>I am a member of the Sedona community living in West Sedona.</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the redistricting plan to split Sedona on county lines.</p> <p>Sedona and and the greater Verde Valley is a "community of interest." To my knowledge, respecting communities of interest is one of the six criteria in the Arizona Constitution that the IRC must follow when drawing congressional and legislative districts.</p> <p>t appears that the current proposal is in clear violation of this mandate and I'm requesting that the plans be reconsidered to respect our community.</p>
11/14/2021 - 10 55	Tommy Andrews	District 4 and District 3 Boundaries	<p>I have lived in Scottsdale for close to 20 years. My children have been in our schools and we have started businesses in Scottsdale. To include McCormick Ranch in the same legislative district as Tempe and ASU is nonsensical. People who live in South Scottsdale and Tempe do so for a very different reason than living in those areas North of Camelback Road. McCormick Ranch, DC Ranch, Shea Corridor, Fountain Hills are closely linked communities of intertest and should be represented by people who share their concerns. Tempe is a very different community! Please do not divide us as proposed!</p>

11/14/2021 - 10 59	Kay Klimczak	Redistricting	We are happy with our current district and don't want to be changed. We are closer to Prescott Valley and Prescott and prefer traveling there in the harsh weather months and the traffic is so so much easier to cope with. We are also concerned with splitting the district in different counties. The allocation of funds will be a most difficult and possibly long process. As we live in Camp Verde we are happy with the district as it is. We actually don't see any advantage for any of the communities to be part of the redistricting proposed. Thank You, Phyllis Kay Klimczak
11/14/2021 - 11:51	Vickie L. Moak	2021 Arizona Redistricting	As a resident of Scottsdale I wish to implore the Commission to keep Scottsdale as Scottsdale, my community of interest. I believe that Rio Verde and Fountain Hills should NOT be connected with Desert Ridge and I-17. The new District 3 is too wide for any representative to be effective. You should place the western border at/near Scottsdale Road (the current western border of Scottsdale). It isn't right to split up Scottsdale into thirds and join it with other communities -- Scottsdale is nationally known as a unique community and splitting it up this way will destroy it special flavor. Thank you!
11/14/2021 - 12 24	Marie Wenzel	Please Keep Sedona Intact and With Flagstaff and Verde Valley	Dear IRC,  Isn't your mission to avoid gerrymandering, a constitutional amendment specifies criteria upon which an Independent Redistricting Committee should draw the lines guided by district competitiveness, compactness, and keeping communities of interest together?  Yet, your proposed LD6 and LD7 maps do not serve ANY of the above purposes.  I live in the Chapel neighborhood of Sedona but will be pushed into a district that does not include my fellow Sedonites, Flagstaff or Verde Valley citizens, all of whom are a knitted to a community of common interests and values. We have zero in common with rural Gila county and The White Mountains.
11/14/2021 - 13:11	Derek Helbert	Redistricting of Scottsdale	I am an engineer. I live in north Scottsdale. I live, shop, work, go to church, go to school, and live my life in Scottsdale. This is my community. I don't shop, work, go to school in, or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near I-17. Those areas are miles away & should not be in the same district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not I-17! Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are suburban towns. North Phoenix and I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district. Prior comments to the IRC indicated that people in the area of North Phoenix and I-17 agree with this.
11/14/2021 - 13:15	Carole Bell	Redistricting	I respectfully request that you accept the maps, BOS LDF 0050 and LDF 0051 that the Elected City Councils of Sedona and Flagstaff and the Coconino County Board of Supervisors have submitted and support for drawing the Legislative Districts. Keeping Sedona together is critical for shared services including government, school, fire and library. Keeping Sedona together  along with Flagstaff and the Verde Valley honors our shared economies and facilities including infrastructure, healthcare, natural resources and culture as a community. In addition, voters passed Prop 106 to create fair and competitive districts. Northern Arizona Districts as drawn by the RC do not meet these standards. Competitive districts keep voters engaged and turnout high, and all voices are heard, including Independents. This is critical for the health of our democracy.
11/14/2021 - 13 30	Catherine Rose	Redistricting as it affects the SE Valley in particular	I would like you to know that I STRONGLY object to the approved draft maps for redistricting, particularly in the SE Valley. And I want to know why there has been NO public meetings regarding this in the SE Valley. This is UN-DEMOCRATIC and I would like you to please reject these draft maps and also arrange for a lot of meetings to inform the public in the SE Valley about this. Please forward to everyone who is representing me in this matter. Thank you.
11/14/2021 - 13 30	Donnalynne Doglione	Redistricting of LD23	Hello, I'm a North Scottsdale resident & Realtor. I have lived in the North Scottsdale area for more than 50 years, since 1972. I eat, shop, worship within a 5 mile radius. This is my community & I know it well!!! I heard that the Desert Ridge, Deer Valley Airport, and locations by the I17 are to be included with Scottsdale for LD23. These areas are not my community & are not in Scottsdale. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western board which is Scottsdale Road, not I17! Our communities are 2 different cities and are communities that are totally different, they should not be in the same district. Thank you. Donna Doglione
11/14/2021 - 14 01	cathykury	redistricting	Please keep Scottsdale together in one district.
11/14/2021 - 14 30	Arnie Leibovit	Keep Sedona, VV, Cottonwood Flagstaff in the same legislative district	I am a resident of Sedona, Verde Valley for over 30 years. Sedona, Verde Valley, Cottonwood, and Flagstaff need to be in the same legislative district and govern what's happening here. We don't believe it is in our best interest to have Prescott govern what we do here. Thanks, Arnie Leibovit
11/14/2021 - 14 55	Cherie Calbom	Redistricting --Scottsdale	I am an author of 35 books, a nutritionist, and am known as The Juice Lady. My husband and I live, shop, work, exercise, support restaurants and arts and entertainment in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills. It makes no sense for Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport to be in our district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the city line, which is Scottsdale Road, not I-17! Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are established cities with retired people, self-employed, and working families with children, north Phoenix and I-17 are filled with young families. Our communities are different and shouldn't be in the same district. South Scottsdale and Tempe are young, arts and entertainment sections; they belong together. Please follow the constitution and keep our communities together. Thank you so much for hearing our voice.

11/14/2021 - 15:17	lisa jackson	maps	lets keep sedona were it is lets stay w  lets leave sedona were it is ,we dont need the change
11/14/2021 - 15:40	Jerald Davis	redistricting	I lived here in Scottsdale at various home locations from near Scottsdale Rd and Shea up to now Dixileta Dr in north Scottsdale for 42 years and almost never go to the I-17 area or north phoenix. We do go to Fountain Hills and don't think our district should move toward north Phoenix or the I-17!! That's just wrong!Z
11/14/2021 - 15 54	Elizabeth George	Flagstaff and re-districting	I wish to state my support for the legislative map proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors. I do not think it fair that Flagstaff citizens have their vote diluted by splitting the town in two, and I don't think the IRC is creating competitive districts as specified in the AZ Constituion. Is it true that LD6 will become LD7 and vice versa? Is this a deliberate effort to confuse people? Please reconsider your proposed map and change it to better reflect the interests of Flagstaff.
11/14/2021 - 16 00	Tamara Blanton	Redistricting	I live in the Village of Oak Creek and want to encourage the Committee to keep our area as you currently have it on the map. In the VOC, we are closely aligned with Sedona and Prescott NOT Flagstaff.
11/14/2021 - 16 20	Gayle Earle	REDISTRICTING	I am a small business owner and live in Fountain Hills south of Shea Blvd. I shop, work and live my life in Fountain Hills and nearby Scottsdale. This is my community. I don't go to Desert Ridge, Deer Vally airport, go near I-17 and the Indian Reservation. Those areas are miles away and should not be in the same district. Fountain Hills, Scottsdale and Rio Verde are suburban towns. The Indian Reservation is a community unto itself, North Phoenix and the I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and should not be in the same district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not I-17!
11/14/2021 - 16 26	Deborah Hill	Redistricting	I want to make it very clear that I support the maps submitted by Coconino County Board of Supervisors for redistricting!
11/14/2021 - 16:42	mary catherine greer	redistricting	Hello I moved to Quail Creek four years ago and have settled nicely into Green Valley. I understand I actually live in Sahuarita; it is where I get dog licenses and building permits. No matter what we call where I live, it is my community. I shop here and in south Tucson I donate to local charities, Friends in Deed and Valley Assistance, volunteer at the Sahuarita Food Bank and the Scholarship Committee in Quail Creek (we vet and offer scholarships to our local high school women as well as women over 21 who are going back to college) shop and recreate here. Please keep Green Valley in District 21. It doesn't make sense to stretch us to the eastern border of Arizona for any reason, especially if it a political one. Thank you Mary Greer Please keep Green Valley in District 2
11/14/2021 - 17 07	A. George & Linda Brooks Vensel	Redistricting Santa Cruz County & Cochise	We live between Sonoita and Patagonia and certainly hope that we can be included in redistricting into Cochise County. The district that we are in certainly does not represent our way of thinking and our way of life. t is hoped that by joining Cochise County that we would have a voice in the elections of the future. We do not feel that our votes count in the district that we are currently in which is primarily Democate. We are conservative Republicans so our votes are totally overlooked due to the majority of Democate votes in our district. Since we live 9 miles out of Sonoita on Hwy 82 (Brooks Trail), t is our hope to be included in the redistricting of Sonoita and Elgin and surrounding areas into Cochise County.
11/14/2021 - 17 33	Laura Davis	Redistributing Map public meeting East Valley-Mesa	I am contacting you about the recent legislative and congressional "approved draft" maps. These maps are incredibly damaging to communities of interest in the East Valley.  t's clear that the RC is not taking the public comment period seriously. Despite being home to roughly 1.4 million people (more than 25% of the population of the Phoenix Metro area), the IRC has not scheduled even one public meeting in the East Valley.  Please understand that our communities of interest are unified in wanting our voices heard in-person. Our concerns are valid and we with to be heard in public. Thank you.
11/14/2021 - 18 07	Carol Shanks	Opposed to Sedona Redistricting	I am a member of the Sedona community living in West Sedona.  I am writing to express my strong opposition to the redistricting plan to split Sedona on county lines.  Sedona and and the greater Verde Valley is a "community of interest." To my knowledge, respecting communities of interest is one of the six criteria in the Arizona Constitution that the IRC must follow when drawing congressional and legislative districts.  t appears that the current proposal is in clear violation of this mandate and I'm requesting that the plans be reconsidered to respect our community.

11/14/2021 - 18:34	John Hassett	redistricting	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I live in CD6 &amp; LD15. I am near 40th St &amp; Bell. I am not happy with the current proposed maps. The proposed change to LD4 goes too far south. Instead it should go north at least to Lone Mountain to group us with like minded individuals where we live, eat &amp; shop.</p> <p>For the same reason proposed CD1 should not include so much of central Phoenix or go as far east as it does and instead have more of North Phoenix in it. Thank you for taking the time to review and consider everyone's comments!</p>
11/14/2021 - 18:34	Thia Hassett	redistricting	<p>Hello,</p> <p>We live near 40th St and Bell Rd. As it stands we are in proposed Legislative District 4. Our boundaries are going too far south into south Scottsdale, and should expand more north past Desert Ridge at least to Lone Mountain or the Cave Creek area. This is the area in which we live, shop, exercise and go to church.</p> <p>The proposed Congressional District 4 should not include the central Phoenix area. That is primarily high density housing, where we live in single family home. That southern boundary should be moved further north.</p> <p>Thank you and we appreciate you time and effort in reviewing the comments to help determine our state's new boundaries.</p>
11/14/2021 - 18:42	Mr. & Mrs. Joseph Boncher	Redistricting	<p>We are retired business owners living in Scottsdale, a few miles west of Fountain Hills and a little south of Shea Blvd. We moved here about 2 years ago because it had the same suburban feeling that we had in the western Chicago suburbs so we felt right at home. We shop, go to church and play in Scottsdale. North Phoenix and I-17 are filled with young families. Our communities are different and shouldn't be in the same district.</p>
11/14/2021 - 19:42	Mary Smoak	Re-districting of Sedona	<p>Please do not split Sedona, of which I am a resident, along county lines. I own homes in both Uptown (Coconino County) and West Sedona (Yavapai), in which I reside. If the re-districting splits this little town, my vote and voice go in two different directions in effect silencing me. Please do not do this.</p>
11/14/2021 - 21:12	Karen VanHyfte	Redistricting	<p>I am a citizen and I live in N. Scottsdale. I live, shop, work, worship, go to school and live my life in N Scottsdale. This is my community! I don't shop, work go to school in or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near I-17. Those areas are miles away and should not be in the same district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not I-17! Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are suburban cities/towns. N Phoenix and the I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same! Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district.</p>
11/14/2021 - 21:31	Jeralyn Thelen	congressional district boundaries	<p>Congressional district boundaries need to stay the same. Thank you.</p>
11/14/2021 - 22:12	Victoria Kauzlarich	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	<p>I have lived in Scottsdale for ten years and have been a property owner here for 20. Since becoming a full-time resident, I have served on four of my HOA's committees, I am a Deputy Registrar, a graduate of the Botanical Garden's Desert Landscape School and author of a sustainability column in my HOA's monthly magazine. Volunteer activities, all.</p> <p>I have been following the redistricting process for months and I have a keen interest in keeping greater Scottsdale together.</p> <p>Since the IRC began its map-making, communities of interest have been a key consideration for each commissioner. I live in the footprint of final draft map, D3. From my standpoint, D3 lacks a discernible community of interest. It combines clearly rural and clearly urban areas that have little in common. Equally important, this map is neither fair nor competitive.</p> <p>I draw your attention to map #LDF049 which fixes the flaws in D3. This map meets all six criteria laid out in the Arizona Constitution. Its particular strength is the economic communities of interest shared by the city of Scottsdale and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community (SRPMIC).</p> <p>These economic communities of interest are evident in the 101 transportation corridor beginning at about 90th Street and extending roughly to McDowell Road. The city and SRPMIC also share many mutual interests - in protecting desert and mountain lands, valuing diverse neighborhoods and a culture of citizen involvement, to name a few.</p> <p>I respectfully request the IRC to consider the breadth and depth of the shared communities of interest between Scottsdale and SRPMIC and keep greater Scottsdale together. I urge you to adopt map #LDF049.</p> <p>Many thanks to each of the commissioners for your service. Yours is a difficult job and I appreciate your willingness to pursue fair and competitive maps across Arizona.</p> <p>Thanks, too, for your time and consideration of the above recommendation.</p> <p>Victoria Kauzlarich Scottsdale Resident</p>

11/14/2021 - 22 25	Dottie Webster	redistricting of Sedona	<p>Please leave well enough alone. Why on earth would you want to mess with a small community that needs to be together? We have enough issues with the air b&amp;b 's that our governor as allowed and taken that away from AZ communities to make their own decisions and over 3 million tourist coming through every year messing with our infrastructure and trail heads, now you want to divide us even more??? This is extremely unfair to divide a 9,000 + community in pieces and for what?</p> <p>I have been a full time resident for well over 25 years and have never seen the need to divide up our small community. What on earth is the reason. PLEASE LEAVE US ALONE AND LEAVE SEDONA IN TACT. WE HAVE NOTHING IN COMMON WITH PRESCOTT or much interaction as a community what so ever.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT DIVIDE OUR SMALL BEAUTIFUL "JEWEL OF ARIZONA", TOWN!!!!!!</p>
11/15/2021 - 00 35	Brandy Welld	Subject: Opposing the Sedona Redistricting	<p>Subject: Opposing the Sedona Redistricting</p> <p>I am a member of the Sedona community living in West Sedona.</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the redistricting plan to split Sedona on county lines.</p> <p>Sedona and and the greater Verde Valley is a "community of interest." To my knowledge, respecting communities of interest is one of the six criteria in the Arizona Constitution that the IRC must follow when drawing congressional and legislative districts.</p> <p>It appears that the current proposal is in clear violation of this mandate and I'm requesting that the plans be reconsidered to respect our community.</p>
11/15/2021 - 07 07	Julie Pindzola	Competitiveness and "Significant Detriment"	<p>Dear IRC</p> <p>I need to ask you again to recognize how important your mission of Fair and Competitive Maps is to Arizona. I and others have worked since early spring to give you information to make this goal a reality in Northern Arizona. To date, that COI and other information has been largely ignored. As a 28 year resident of Yavapai County, I am telling you we want more competitive districts. You do the state and our sub-regions a complete disservice by packing and cracking to create so many safe districts, 24 at last count. All 4 of our LDs are SAFE, way too SAFE. The people want FAIRNESS, surely you understand this.</p> <p>I fear that your rush to get the draft maps done and posted leaves you now vested in those maps – though you have all said at some point that these are only drafts and amendable. Now is the time to reconcile the fact that the Northern Arizona maps must be reworked. Having 3 very safe Red LDs 5, 6, and 30, and one extremely packed Blue LD6 is not a competitive or fair arrangement. You have work to do here.</p> <p>Again, move the quasi-independent Verde Valley COI to LD7 to create a competitive district. This has been requested by Coconino Co BOS, the Navajo and Hopi Nations, and some officials of Flagstaff, Sedona, Clarkdale, and Jerome. Why you choose to hold so close to Yavapai County's request to keep the Verde Valley region in its LD5, begs the question of motivation. This does not change the already heavily Red make up of LD5, but it does gut the possibility of a competitive LD7 next door. It also places the Verde Valley more squarely under the political control of Prescott and the 4-1 conservative county supervisors. By not having their own Legislators or Congress member to go to on water policy issues, it leaves the Verde Valley (Lower Verde River Water Users) at the mercy of the Upper Verde River users and the Prescott AMA to drive water use and policy. The Verde Valley has a much closer bond and relationship to the Verde River itself. Honor this and give them the chance to elect reps that reflect their values. Mingus Mountain needs to be the dividing line as has been stated countless times.</p> <p>Look at LDF050 and LDF051 for guidance. You do not have to reinvent the wheel. FIX THIS PLEASE. Doing so creates zero significant detriment, in fact it would remedy the significant detriments your draft maps have created.</p> <p>Thank you for bringing back even handed leadership which is what we are asking for.</p>
11/15/2021 - 08 32	Leslie Schupp	Do not redistrict	<p>I have lived in Patagonia for 37 years and am proud to be a resident of Santa Cruz county.</p> <p>Please leave all of the county as one district.</p>
11/15/2021 - 08 34	Ayden Young	Mapping help	<p>I attended the Nov. 13 meeting and it was mentioned that there is a Q&amp;A today on mapping. I can't find anything about that on the website. Can you send me a link? Thanks.</p>
11/15/2021 - 08 55	Dorothy V Montgomery	LD 9.0 and LD10	<p>In my professional opinion as an urban planner (MS in Urban Planning and over 25 year of experience in the field locally before retiring) and personally as a resident of Oro Valley since before it was a town, I strongly recommend that map LD 9.0 be adopted because of how it related to Proposed LD 17.</p> <p>Map LD 9.0 by far meets the criteria set out for the Independent Redistricting Commission for LD 17 better than any other ones under consideration. These include equality of populations between districts, geographic compactness and contagiousness, not splitting communities of interest (Oro Valley, Marana, and the unincorporated areas of Pima County between those towns and the City of Tucson), and respecting geographic (Rillito River) and town (Oro Valley and Marana) and County (Pima County) lines as much as possible. By the calculations for the RC, it would also be a competitive district.</p> <p>Map LD 10.0 does not meet these criteria. Of special concern is that it creates a situation which makes it difficult from anyone other than a Republican to be elected. When it was submitted by an RC member, he publicly stated it was to create a safe Republican district. This could be grounds for a challenge in court further delaying the formal start of the election process for 2022. This has already been delayed because of late issue of census information.</p>

11/15/2021 - 09 00	Mary Kilburn	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	<p>Please consider keeping Greater Scottsdale together when drawing a district map..</p> <p>Greater Scottsdale is an economic community and along with Scottsdale Community College it would serve their mutual interest in preserving the land use.</p> <p>Thank you, Mary Kilburn</p>
11/15/2021 - 09 09	Barbara Yates	Please add hearings to the East Valley	<p>Dear Chair Neuberger and Members of the Commission,</p> <p>Please add redistricting hearings to the East Valley. It is rather stunning that there are no hearings near my home in the Gilbert/Queen Creek area. Please consider adding a hearing near the East Valley. We need to be heard.</p> <p>Barbara Yates Queen Creek</p>
11/15/2021 - 09:11	David Curtis	Redistricting	<p>Please leave the Verde Valley in the current district with Prescott.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
11/15/2021 - 09 23	Claire Bickel	redistricting	<p>2-Keeping greater Scottsdale together achieves compactness and contiguity. It incorporates visible geographic features. The geographic footprint of Scottsdale remains virtually intact as does the Salt River Pima-Maricopa community. It includes all the unique features of urban, suburban and rural.</p> <p>3-It comes very close to creating parity between the two major political parties with the advantage slightly favoring Republicans.</p> <p>4-Keeping greater Scottsdale together offers the opportunity for legislative candidates to run and govern from the middle. Thereby serving the majority of voters in the proposed Scottsdale district.</p> <p>5-We know this works in the City of Scottsdale the City Council and Scottsdale Unified School District Governing Board are composed of centrist candidates from both parties and both govern as citizen-centric.</p>
11/15/2021 - 09 38	Kenneth McLaughlin	Redistricting	<p>Please do not put the Verde Valley and Sedona in with the Flagstaff district. The views of Flagstaff do not reflect the views of the Verde Valley. Thank you.</p>
11/15/2021 - 09 52	Sandra Morrison	Redistricting	<p>I am retired living in north Scottsdale. I don't shop at Desert Ridge or go near I-17. These areas are miles away and should not be in the same district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not I-17. Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are suburban cities and towns. North Phoenix and I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same districts. Previous comments to the RC indicated that people in the area of North Phoenix and I-17 agree with this. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
11/15/2021 - 09 58	fredi hamilton	new map of district 5	<p>Prop. 106 requires districts to be competitive. District 5 is at risk of becoming non-competitive under the new lines that you have proposed. Please give democracy a chance to thrive by redrawing those lines to make district 5 a fair and competitive one.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
11/15/2021 - 10 03	Margaret A. Knecht	district competitiveness in draft map	<p>The current draft map district data make it look like the Commission has packed Democrats and Republicans into their respective majority districts so they have overwhelming majorities in these districts. Why have a criterion for 4% (or 4-7%) maximal difference between numbers of Dem and Rep voters to ensure competitiveness when there are proposed 20% (Rep) and 40% (Dem) majorities and only a handful of districts meet the 7% criterion? Surely the redistricting contractor could make more districts competitive without compromising the other criteria.</p>
11/15/2021 - 10 23	Sarah Fanning	Yield My Time Virtual Comments	<p>Good Morning!</p> <p>I signed up to speak at the Dec 3 hearing. I was able to speak this Saturday instead, and would like to give my time to someone else. How do I go about removing my name from the speaker's list? Thanks for your help!</p> <p>Sarah</p>
11/15/2021 - 10:47	Ann Mangold	redistricting	<p>I work and go to church in N. Scottsdale, I live and shop in Fountain Hills. It makes no sense for Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport to be in my district.</p> <p>Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are Western cities. North Phx and I-17 has young families, South Scottsdale and Tempe are young community and should not all be in the same district.</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposed redistricting map.</p>
11/15/2021 - 11:07	Dennis Winokur	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together!	<p>I am a Scottsdale resident and home owner, and own a business. From my perspective, Scottsdale is a geographic, economic and political community of interest, including the Salt River Indian community, which should be kept together, as much as possible, in one legislative district, rather than two or three, lumping in other different communities. Those of us in Scottsdale feel quite strongly about this. We also support competitiveness, as it has served both the City and the school district well, I believe that map#LDF049 accomplishes this goal, and we urge the adoption of this map. Thank you.</p>
11/15/2021 - 11:13	Dana Rakinic	Re redistricting: Keep Greater Scottsdale Together!	<p>I am a Scottsdale resident and home owner, involved in many community activities, including acting as a Court Appointed Special Advocate for foster children in our community. I am committed to making Scottsdale the best it can be, and for that reason I am opposed to the current draft map which would divide Scottsdale into numerous legislative districts. We in Scottsdale have mutual political, economic, environmental and development interests that define us both geographically and as a community. Please adopt Map #LDF049, which keeps greater Scottsdale together, rather than ripping us apart. Thank you.</p>

11/15/2021 - 11:25	Margaret Hendrickson	Public Comment in Redistricting maps	<p>Re: proposed LD 19 and the impact on Green Valley of being combined with frontier portions of Arizona.</p> <p>Anyone who looks at the new LD 19 on a map can see it's a huge mistake and miscarriage of justice and the constitutional rights of voters to vote with communities of interest. Sahuarita, Green Valley, and Quail Creek ARE ONE COMMUNITY!!!! We are separated from every other metropolitan area by several mountain ranges and the Santa Cruz Valley. The Santa Cruz Valley IS a community. Green Valley is no more part of the rest of southeastern Arizona than it is a part of Mars! People in Green Valley rarely go to Sierra Vista except to hike in Ramsey Canyon or visit Coronado National Monument. My husband and I camp and hike in the Chiricahua Mountains, the Dragoons and the Pinaleno Mountains. We have traveled these through this wide open desert and the farming areas sprinkled with a few small trashy little towns. I KNOW WE HAVE NOTHING IN COMMON WITH THESE FOLKS. Most of my finds in Green Valley, where I've lived for 17 years, have never been to any of these places.</p> <p>PUTTING GREEN VALLEY IN LD 19 is wrong, wrong, wrong, by any measure or standard! I was a PC in Precinct 141, and just getting together monthly in LD 2 required a lot of travel. Bringing PCs together to meet one another will be virtually impossible in the new LD 19, so political organizing in such a large, unpopulated district with large mountain ranges would be virtually impossible. Maybe that is the goal of the Redistricting Committee - to make political organizing impossible. It sure likes it. Just look at the map you have approved. It is very clear what you are trying to accomplish! Just look at it!!!!!!</p> <p>t would be so, so wrong to put</p>
11/15/2021 - 11:37	David Patchen	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together with LDF049	<p>I moved from Fountain Hills to the McDowell Mountain Range section of Scottsdale three years ago because I recognized that the FH community didn't share the same values as I did. True, both areas trend conservative, but the mindset there is completely different. I'm not sure whether it's because that area is more aligned with its rural neighbors, but I am far more comfortable in my new location. The Scottsdale residents I've come to know through my various non-profit organizations view Scottsdale as its own entity with its own priorities just as FH considers it its own. In fact, I couldn't help notice that people in FH looked at Scottsdale with a certain disdain.</p> <p>So needless to say, I was dismayed (to put it mildly) to see that my new neighborhood was being placed in the D3, which will be dominated by FH and other semi-rural communities.</p> <p>To my relief, I recently learned that an alternative map had been drawn that keeps Greater Scottsdale unified. I sincerely hope you will consider LDF049. As I understand it, this proposed district satisfies the IRC's constitutional criteria.</p> <p>Thank you for keeping all perspectives in mind. I've attended two of the "listening tour" stops so far and left impressed each time by the professionalism and dedication exhibited by the commission.</p>
11/15/2021 - 11:48	Peter Bernhardt	Redistricting Map	<p>The Commission's proposed map makes a mockery of the concepts of community of interest and continuity. I urge revision of its Northern Arizona map in accordance with the map proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and supported by the City of Sedona as well as the great majority of individual commenters at the IRC's</p>
11/15/2021 - 12:49	Stephanie Gold	proposed redistricting	<p>Hi -</p> <p>I'm a business owner and resident of mid-town Scottsdale, where I've lived for seven years. I recently learned that redistricting is on the books, to combine my district with areas in northwest Phoenix, which I find ridiculous. Northwest Phoenix is not my community. I rarely even go there. Most of my time, interests and business is conducted in Scottsdale and Fountain Hills.</p> <p>The western boundary for Scottsdale should be, the western boundary for Scottsdale. The Scottsdale district has very little in common with the North Phoenix/I-17 district, and I'm sure the residents of North Phoenix would agree with that as well. So please don't blend our districts in this way. It just makes no sense. Thank you.</p>
11/15/2021 - 13:02	Peggy Heine Klein	Keep Greater Scottsdale Together	<p>I would like to thank the commission for your thoughtful consideration of the public comments as you finalize your maps that fall within the constitutional guidelines.</p> <p>I would like to support the map introduced as LDF049: Keep Greater Scottsdale Together. This map creates a competitive political district. When I moved to Scottsdale in 2010, I was taken aback that there were few candidates (if any) running in one major party in local elections. Over the years, more individuals have stepped up to run for office, but realistically, success is not possible due to the lack of parity in the political domain. I feel that my voice is not heard in these elections. I think that it is imperative that in creating social, educational and economic policy that impacts Scottsdale and Arizona, there needs to be a conversation that includes shared interests from all citizens. Map LDF049 does just that! It Keeps Greater Scottsdale Together and creates a competitive district.</p>

11/15/2021 - 13 06	Gayle Earle	REDISTRICTING	<p>I am a small business owner and I live in Fountain Hills south of Shea Blvd. I shop, work, and live my life in Fountain Hills and nearby Scottsdale.</p> <p>It makes no sense for the Indian Reservation, Desert Ridge and Deer Valley Air Port to be in my district.</p> <p>Fountain Hills, Rio Verde and Scottsdale are cities. The Indian Reservation is a community unto itself and North Phoenix and I-17 are filled with young families. Our communities are different and shouldn't be in the same district.</p> <p>The western boundary for Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde district should be Scottsdale city line, which is Scottsdale Road, not I-17!"</p> <p>Thank you...</p>
11/15/2021 - 13:10	Janet Fernstrom	AZ Redistricting Maps	<p>I have lived in North Scottsdale and surrounding areas since 1966. I live (85258), shop, go to church (Mt View Pres). This is my community. I do not shop or visit Desert Ridge and Deer Valley airport or go near I-17 ever. Those areas are miles away &amp; should not be in the same district. The western boundary for the Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, Rio Verde district should be the western border of Scottsdale, which is at Scottsdale Road, not I-17. My community of Scottsdale needs correct representation.</p>
11/15/2021 - 13 23	Lawrence Fernstrom	AZ Redistricting Maps	<p>I have lived here in Scottsdale since 1982. I am 85 and stay close to home. The north Phoenix and I-17 are not my community. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district. Prior comments to the IRC indicated that people in the area of North Phoenix and I-17 agree with this. Please put the west boundary lines at Scottsdale road so I am properly represented.</p>
11/15/2021 - 13 39	Carole Bell	Redistricting	<p>I respectfully request that you accept the maps, BOS LDF 0050 and LDF 0051 that the Elected City Councils of Sedona and Flagstaff and the Coconino County Board of Supervisors have submitted and support for drawing the Legislative Districts. Keeping Sedona together is critical for shared services including government, school, fire and library. Keeping Sedona together</p> <p>along with Flagstaff and the Verde Valley honors our shared economies and facilities including infrastructure, healthcare, natural resources and culture as a community. In addition, voters passed Prop 106 to create fair and competitive districts. Northern Arizona Districts as drawn by the RC do not meet these standards. Competitive districts keep voters engaged and turnout high, and all voices are heard, including Independents. This is critical for the health of our democracy.</p>
11/15/2021 - 14:14	Kaelyn Costa	AZ Redistricting maps	<p>Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district. Prior comments to the IRC indicated that people in the area of North Phoenix and I-17 agree with this.</p>
11/15/2021 - 14:18	Amy Cummings	District boundaries	<p>Scottsdale, Fountain Hills, and Rio Verde are western/suburban cities/towns. North Phoenix and I-17 are urban. These areas are not the same. Our communities of interest are different and shouldn't be in the same district. Prior comments to the IRC indicated that people in the area of North Phoenix and I-17 agree with this."</p>
11/15/2021 - 14:41	Debbie Pauline Roulette	Redistricting	<p>Please leave us in the district with Prescott.</p>
11/15/2021 - 14:43	PATRICIA HOLLY DOVE	Congressional District 9	<p>Please, reconsider the Oct. 28,2021 official Congressional Draft Map where Maricopa County had been included in the proposed District 9. Mohave, La Paz and Yuma counties are mostly rural where as the portion of Maricopa County is not. A better fit in keeping with "communities of interest" would be to include a portion of the contiguous Yavapai County, a rural area as per Prop 106 from the year 2000. This way our representatives will have more common ground and shared interests. Thank you for volunteering your time and hard work on these critical matters You are appreciated.</p>
11/15/2021 - 14 59	Charlotte Anne Chase	Redistricting	<p>PLEASE LEAVE US IN THE PRESCOTT DISTRICT.</p>
11/15/2021 - 15:18	John Neville	LDF050/051	<p>We need you to approve LDF050/051 to keep the Verde Valley intact and to align our communities of interest with Flagstaff in a highly competitive district. The current draft map is gerrymandered to create two completely non-competitive districts that disenfranchise a large number of voters including tribal members. This is against the law and runs contrary to the charter of the IRC. Please correct the maps to create competitive districts and to keep communities of interest within the same districts.</p>
11/15/2021 - 15 25	Mary-Jeanne Fincher	commission review of draft maps	<p>Last week, the Commission reviewed several of the draft CD and LD maps at its Tuesday meeting. It seemed that Chair Neuberger endorsed every map by saying how well it met all the Constitutional criteria. It sounded like her mind is made up, regardless of public input during this 30 day period. I think it would be better if the Commissioners publicly and pointedly reserved judgment until they have heard what the people have to say.</p>
11/15/2021 - 15 29	Valerie Teich	CD Draft Maps Comments	<p>My family has lived and worked in Scottsdale for over 35 years. We raised our children here. We live just north of Shea east of the 101. We eat, live, shop, from Fountain Hills to Scottsdale road, and from downtown Scottsdale/Fashion Square Mall south up to Cave Creek/Carefree. Please keep Scottsdale together and not lump us with unlike communities. We do not break up our communities based on location of canals &amp; even though Shea is a major street, we are closely linked with the communities south of Shea. Our COI is all along Pima, Hayden &amp; Scottsdale roads from mid Scottsdale north. Desert Ridge and the area west of that is not in the same community of interest, and downtown Phoenix is also not in the same COI. Please reconsider these dividing lines. I'd be happy to answer any questions. Thank you for your time.</p>
11/15/2021 - 15 35	Vicki Vaughn	Redistricting Map	<p>I live in Paradise Valley. Paradise Valley has similar interests, concerns and needs as those living in Scottsdale NORTH of Camelback Road through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain....friends, gym, grocery stores, restaurants. We should be in the same Legislative District.</p>
11/15/2021 - 15:41	Thea L Chalow	gerrymandered LD17 versus map 9.0 instead	<p>I live in Oro Valley and don't want a gerrymandered LD17 which is designed for no other reason than to give Republicans a safe district in Southern Arizona. Not fair! I urge you to adopt map 9.0 putting together Oro Valley, Casas Adobes and Catalina Foothills instead.</p>
11/15/2021 - 15 55	Kathy Pyner	Voter districts	<p>Keep Greater Scottsdale Together.</p> <p>I have lived in Scottsdale for 43 years. I worked in Paradise Valley Unified School District for 32 years. I am very familiar with the city of Scottsdale and believe we should stay together as a voter district.</p>

11/15/2021 - 16 27	Nelson Morgan	Better explanation of compactness numbers	In a previous comment, I noted that the recitation by Dr. Johnson of a string of compactness measures for each district (as is being done on Tuesday mornings) is not particularly helpful; that I am aware that the definitions were described in a previous meeting, but that is not a good way to provide clarity on this point. In this comment, I want to provide a more specific suggestion for improvement. While someone looking at compactness intensely may want to consider all of the measures, it would be helpful to say something like - "these measures typically look at ways to consider the perimeter and the area of the district to describe how closely it conforms to a regular shape like a circle. The Schwartzberg measure, for instance, is the perimeter of the district divided by the perimeter of a circle with the same area. Generally, though, values close to 1 are more compact." I happened to like that measure (and circles are easier to understand than, say, a convex hull), and Dr. Johnson might prefer another, but basically, some very brief explanation of this sort would mean that listeners could actually get something out of the recitation.
11/15/2021 - 16:46	JEAN GR ESENBECK	new county alignment	I cannot imagine how anyone thought that including the areas mentioned in the proposal makes any sense although it probably makes cents (coins) to the Flagstaff area. It seems like the most illogical combination of areas who all have such different needs than Flagstaff. Hoping that common sense and not the pocket book rule supreme.
11/15/2021 - 17 21	bernard kirk	REDISTRICTING MAP	Placing voters in Phoenix at 31st avenue does not fit in geographically with Paradise Valley , north Scottsdale Carefree, Cave Creek Desert Mountain or Fountain Hills in D1. MAKES ZERO SENSE.
11/15/2021 - 17:42	Peter Helms	Redistricting Map	The purported Congressional D1 district does not respect communities of interest, does not use geographic features, and is not compact and contiguous! Placing voters in Phoenix (all the way west to 31st Avenue and south to the 202) does not correlate with the voters in Mid Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Desert Mountain and Fountain Hills.
11/15/2021 - 17:44	Stephen L Harrison	REDISTRICTING MAP	Congressional Districts; what decision was it to carve out D3 PHOENIX a "boot portion" of west Phoenix, Hwy 17 to Hwy 51 and Phoenix below Camelback to Hwy 202 and adding it to Scottsdale of D1? Also carving out D8 PHOENIX 31st Avenue to Hwy 51, Camelback north to Glendale and adding it to D1 Scottsdale. Phoenix is not Scottsdale! The purported map has NO NATURAL BOUNDARIES, NO TOWN BOUNDARIES, NOT COMPACT & CONTIGUOUS and NO GRID PATTERN!
11/15/2021 - 18:14	Jeanette Kirk	REDISTRICTING MAP	Placing voters in Phoenix does not correlate with voters in Cave Creek, Carefree or Paradise Valley
11/15/2021 - 18 30	Marilyn Bernhardt	Proposed Redistricting Maps - Northern Arizona	I live in the small Coconino County portion of Sedona next to the Village of Oak Creek in the Verde Valley and a little over half an hour from Flagstaff. Your legislative map removes me from association with with ALL of those entities - Sedona, the Village of Oak Creek, the Verde Valley and Flagstaff and puts me in LD7 with communities with which I have no relationship whatever. This makes absolutely no sense. I feel totally abandoned by the current proposal. Please revise your divisions of this area to comport with the map proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and supported by the City of Sedona.
11/15/2021 - 19 01	Carol Sowers German	Redistricting	As a concerned citizen in Camp Verde, I would like to request that the entire Verde Valley/Sedona be kept together with Prescott. Over the last 10 years many of us in the Verde Valley have been included with Part of Flagstaff, Northern Arizona, and the entire Eastern Arizona communities and all the way down to Southern Arizona. The distance is immense and we have nothing in common. We are close to Cottonwood, Clarkdale, Sedona, and Prescott. Please consider this as you draw the lines for new maps. Thank you, Carol German, Elected School Board Member and prior elected Council Member.
11/15/2021 - 19:10	Lynn Peterts	Draft Maps Public Hearings	I had planned to testify at the November 17th Sun City hearing and again at the November 30th Avondale hearing. I plan to make different comments at each hearing. A two to three minute time limit does not allow for a detailed statement of all of my concerns. At the November 9th RC meeting it was mentioned that speakers at virtual hearings would not be permitted to testify if they have already done so. Will that also be true for in person hearings? Will I be permitted to speak at the Avondale hearing after I have already testified at the Sun City hearing?
11/15/2021 - 19 25	Mary Margaret Monillas	Redistricting Map	As a resident of Scottsdale, I find the new configuration of my district peculiar. Scottsdale, Fountain Hills and Rio Verde are not similar to North Phoenix. We are more suburban not urban. Also, the western border should be Scottsdale Road, not I-17. Thank you for your time.
11/15/2021 - 20 02	Kathleen Andrews	redistricting Scottsdale	Hello Chairman Neuberger and Committee: November 15, 2021 Kathleen Andrews here again, independent from Scottsdale. After church yesterday, in McCormick Ranch, we got to talking about how important our church communities are here in Scottsdale and how important it is that we feel our representatives in the AZ state legislature understand our needs and support our outreach programs. We all agreed strongly that we do not want our district 23 boundaries to be changed to your district 4 ideas. We want our borders to remain to be: North to Cave Creek, South to Camelback Rd, West to Scottsdale Road and East to Fountain Hills, and to also include Carefree and Rio Verde.
11/15/2021 - 20:14	Chaley J Andrews	redistricting Scottsdale	Dear Redistricting committee, I am a Barrett student at ASU in my Junior year. I grew up in McCormick Ranch in Scottsdale and now live at THE DISTRICT in Tempe. I have just found out that the redistricting committee is considering including McCormick Ranch as part of the Tempe District. That is absurd. Having lived in both these areas, they could not be more different communities with different needs and issues. I strongly suggest that this does not happen. It will not be good for either community. Please keep McCormick Ranch as part of Scottsdale district. It is the heart of Scottsdale and needs to remain in the position it has held for many years. Thank you. Chaley Andrews, Independent
11/15/2021 - 20:42	BRUCE L NKER	Redistricting - Scottsdale as 1	I am a retiree, a former U of Phoenix instructor and a technologies project manager. My wife is a retired school teacher. We left the big city to move to a suburban environment to enjoy our retirement years. All of our daily activities are in Scottsdale. Fountain Hills & Paradise Valley have similar interests, concerns and needs as those living in Scottsdale north of Camelback Road up through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain. We should be in the same Legislative District. We almost never go east of Fountain Hills or West of Tatum Road. That's my recommendation for the boundaries of LD4.  As a resident of Scottsdale, I must comment that it is completely wrong to include Scottsdale in a Congressional district with Central Phoenix. The last time we were in Central Phx was 2018 for a d-Backs game. After the game we went back to Scottsdale for a bite to eat and take a walk. Scottsdale, PV & Fountain Hills are our community for friendship, worship, daily activities like doctors, shopping and dining. Our sales tax dollars stay in our community to fund Scottsdale City services and personnel, maintenance, infrastructure, decorative artwork along the roadways to enhance and maintain the suburban feel of Scottsdale. Central Phoenix is a city. It would not be fair to Sunny Slope or Phoenix to be included with Scottsdale politically.

11/15/2021 - 20 54	Mary Collum	REDISTRICTING MAP	I live in Paradise Valley. I work, shop, go out for dinner, doctors, children went to the neighborhood schools, and we go to a local synagogue in Scottsdale. All of our everyday activities are in Scottsdale. Paradise Valley has similar interests, concerns and needs as those living in Scottsdale north of Camelback Road up through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain. Should be in the same Legislative District.
11/15/2021 - 21:16	Barbara Dunlap	Redistricting	I live in Paradise Valley. I work, shop, go out for dinner, doctors, children went to the neighborhood schools, and we go to a local synagogue in Scottsdale. All of our everyday activities are in Scottsdale. Paradise Valley has similar interests, concerns and needs as those living in Scottsdale north of Camelback Road up through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain. Should be in the same Legislative District. I live in Scottsdale. I am retired, all my daily activities are in the Scottsdale area. Scottsdale north of Camelback all the way north through Cave Creek and Carefree are "considered communities of interest". South of Camelback, the Scottsdale arts and entertainment should be with Tempe.
11/15/2021 - 21:19	Robin Cameron	Redistricting Maps	THE MAIN POINT: The Commission's proposed maps make a mockery of the key factors of competitiveness, community of interest and contiguity in the legislative and congressional districts of Northern Arizona (5, 6 and 7). We urge you to revise the proposed maps in accordance with the proposals of the Coconino County Board of Supervisors, which are supported by the City of Sedona as well as the great majority of citizens who have commented at IRC public hearings.
11/15/2021 - 21 21	Charles H. Dunlap III	Redistricting	The Legislative D4 should be "geographically compact & contiguous", "RESPECT communities of interest" and "shall use geographic features, city, town boundaries". Those being the directives for LD 4 shouldn't the boundaries be Highway 51 on the west to Highway 101/Pima Road on the east, Camelback Road north all the way through Cave Creek, Carefree and Desert Mountain, Fountain Hills on the north boundary. This area is COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS, has SIMILAR INTERESTS, AND USES GEOGRAPHIC FEATURES as boundaries. A true grid pattern map.
11/15/2021 - 22:11	Grantham Smith	Proposed Maps	Greetings, my name is Grantham Smith, I am 15 and am a resident of Fountain Hills, Arizona and would like to share some of my concerns regarding the proposed Congressional Map, Commission Draft 7.1. My main concern is that given the growing trend of Hispanic and in general Democratic voters in the state, that this draft suppresses the votes of those new voters. Given the partisan margins of the map, the Democratic party could at best (in a hypothetical blue wave year) only hope to win 5 of the 9 districts on this map, and at worst (a hypothetical red wave year) only win 2 of the 9 districts (I consider anything under +10 for either party winnable by the other). If voter trends in the state continue (which they almost certainly will), then the Democrats could win the popular vote in a neutral year while only having 2 seats in a red wave. The reason I comment on this is because the Republicans cannot reasonably go under four seats while the Democrats can - in state which has an almost even partisan breakdown statewide. I understand that competitiveness is important to any map, but no party that could be winning in a neutral year should control a mere 22% of representation in a year where the national environment is even just slightly more favorable to the opposition. I would suggest a map similar in district partisan lean to the old map (which I feel is very fair), where each party has 3 fairly safe seats (just as an example, similar to Gallego, Stanton, Grijalva, Gosar, Lesko, and Biggs' current seats) with three quite competitive seats with one more Democratic leaning (such as Kirkpatrick's now), and one more Republican leaning (such as O'Hallaren's now) and having the final seat be essentially even (Schweikert's current seat). I chose Schweikert's seat to be more competitive in this scenario because the area it would represent best reflects the direction that the state is going, which is trending blue, and would get safer blue as the state itself gets bluer. I feel that the proposed map for the most part shores up Republican incumbents while weakening Democratic ones, and going into the 2020s, this map would end up unintentionally gerrymandered by the end of the decade to protect Republican seats.
11/15/2021 - 22 33	Margie Schnell	Legislative Draft Map	Hello, I understand it is time for drafting new legislative maps and districts and you are taking public comments under consideration. I also understand it is a tentative plan to split the North Valley corridor up, with the northern part, including Anthem, being lumped with Wickenburg and Wittman, and the southern part being dragged far east over with the Fountain Hills area. I live in Anthem and feel strongly that it would be a grave disservice to the North Valley corridor to redistrict in this way. We are a unified area with common interests in quality development and representation here. We have nothing in common with rural Wickenburg nor far east Fountain Hills. Our area in the North Valley corridor, from Norterra to Anthem and to Cave Creek and Carefree, is growing, and as it does so we want it to retain the unique, beautiful, life sustaining, desert adaptable, friendly culture it is developing organically so far. We do not want to be divided. We can best care for our area by having a unified district with unified representation. Please know that the people of this state want for our wishes to be recognized by committees such as yourselves when it comes to decisions like this. We do care about quality development and the integrity of our state legislative processes, and hope that you do too. Thank you.